

Audit Tracker OpShin Audit



Contents

Milestone1 - Project Kickoff and Planning	
Milestone2 - Language Analysis Report	2
Topics to cover	
Areas Covered	
Rewrites and Optimizations	6
code Generation parts	12
List of Files Audited	14



Project Name: OpShin Audit

URL: <u>Catalyst Proposal</u>

Project Name: Opshin Language Audit

Audit Period: Oct 27th,2024

Team Member(s) Assigned: Suganya Raju, Eric Lee

Audit Start Date: Oct 2024

Audit End Date:



Milestone1 - Project Kickoff and Planning

The milestone1 was approved in November 2024.

Milestone2 - Language Analysis Report

As part of Milestone2 we are required to submit a detailed analysis report on the OpShin Language.

Topics to cover

- 1. UPLC debugging Architectural Decisions
 - How types are mapped to UPLC types
 - Static type Inferencer -> How ATI is implemented within OpShin
 - Mapping of Python -> Pluto -> UPLC
 - Storage of variables in statemonads
- 2. Opshin compiler pipeline
 - rewrites how effective it is written and area of improvement
 - ► [CS] rewrite/rewrite_cast_condition.py: is the injected cast removed if it is redundant (i.e. bool(b: bool))?
 - ► [CS] rewrite/rewrite_empty_dicts.py: this is a tiny AST transformation. Should this be merged with rewrite_empty_lists.py?
 - ► [CS] rewrite/rewrite_import.py: what does spec.loader.exec_module(module) do?
 - [CS] rewrite/rewrite_import.py: is the package path resolution correctly implemented, and does it always lead to the expected package being imported? Are there security risks?
 - type inference
 - ► [CS] type_inference.py/AggressiveTypeInferencer: are the more complex visitors (eg. visit_Call) implemented correctly
 - ► [CS] type_inference.py/AggressiveTypeInferencer.visit_Expr: why does not setting .typ still return a valid TypedExpr AST node?



- ► [CS] type_inference.py/
 AggressiveTypeInferencer.visit_NoneType: the TypedConstant
 return type is confusing, is the NoneType AST node a type or a None
 value?
- ► [CS] type_inference.py/ AggressiveTypeInferencer.visit_ImportFrom: is this the correct place for the remaining ImportFrom node.module == "opshin.bridge" assertion?
- [CS] type_inference.py/AggressiveTypeInferencer: how is the type of empty lists and dicts infered? What is the same empty list is subsequently used in two different lists with two different item types?
- ► [CS] type_inference.py: can a top-down visitor pattern be considered aggressive? (function arg types must always be defined, so this is simple upstream to downstream type propagation)
- optimization how effective it is written and area of improvement
 - ► [CS] optimize/optimize_const_folding.py: some expressions might be valid python at this point, without actually passing the OpShin type checker (eg. int(0.5)). This leads to inconsistent behavior.
 - [CS] optimize/optimize_const_folding.py: is the evaluated math consistent with the on-chain math?
 - ► [CS] optimize/optimize_const_folding.py/
 DefinedTimesVisitor.visit_ImportFrom(): is incrementing the number of writes of "*" spurious?
 - ► [CS] optimize/optimize_const_folding.py: can print be assigned to a variable (thus circumventing the "print(" in unparse output condition)? Are there other statements that shouldn't be optimized out?
 - [CS] optimize/optimize_remove_comments.py: the name is confusing. Are only comments being removed, or are all constants that are statements being removed? Are comments treated as constants internally?
 - ► [CS] optimize/optimize_remove_comments.py: why does this optimization remove AST nodes by returning None, and in



OptimizeRemoveDeadvars AST nodes are removed by returning Pass()?

- conversion -> pluto
 - [CS] **pluthon** seems quite low-level. Does this complicate the code generation unnecessarily? Investigate precisely what constructs **pluthon** allows.
 - [CS] verify that the PlutoCompiler visitor pattern generates correct **pluthon**
 - ► [CS] verify python-like builtins defined in fun_impls.py and type_impls.py are correctly implemented (manual review and unit tests)
 - [CS] verify check_integrity generates correct **pluthon** code.
 - ► [CS] util.py/opshin_name_scheme_compatible_varname: is there a performance penalty to using f"1{n}". This seems to be a very frequently used function. Perhaps simple string concatenation makes more sense. At least add a comment about what this name mapping is necessary.
 - [CS] If str() is used mainly for debugging we must ensure that it is implemented in a way that can be optimize out. However if str() is also used for validation logic a fast, error-throwing, alternative should be made available.
- 3. Performance and script size testing
 - [CS] Investigate root cause of maximum recursion limit error
 - [CS] Running opshin compile examples/smart_contracts/ assert_sum.py -03 creates a completely unacceptable output, the contract is extremely simple, yet the purpose debugging print statement can't be optimized out. With the print statement removed the output is still unacceptable: 470 bytes. The Muesli swap governance code-base seems to use some external compression mechanism, how exactly is that done?
- 4. Overall language constructs
 - [CS] Does the AST only contain a single Module?



- [CS] Can polymorphic builtins defined in fun_impls.py and type_impls.py be assigned to variables?
- [CS] Can helper functions like bytes.fromhex and .decode be accessed as first class citizens, or only be called directly? If only called: ensure the error message is sensible when trying to access without calling.
- [CS] Attempting to import Self or Dict, List, Union from typing directly failed
- [CS] Does the ability to use a getter defined on any member of a Union type add a lot of overhead because the field can be in a different position?
- [CS] Why does down-casting not perform the isinstance check?
- [CS] Verify that bundled std library, written in OpShin itself, is correctly implemented.



Areas Covered

- 1. UPLC debugger through Gastronomy
- 2. Tested Language constructs output of opshin eval, eval_uplc,
- 3. Code Coverage using Pytest -cov tool
- 4. Checked build artifacts
- 5. Tried to replicate aiken acceptance tests in opshin(only first 20 test cases)
 - Challenge opshin supports only a limited language constructs, its a challenge to replicate most of the aiken acceptance tests.

Rewrites and Optimizations

- 6. [SR] rewrite/rewrite_import.py -
 - Observations:
 - 1. Relative imports are not supported as the package is always set to none (test cases for relative imports, package is a single or multiple, relative import from local) created two files, tried with imports starting with "." not working()
 - 2. assumption of __spec__ for the parent module, what if __spec__ is not available for the parent module– may be try catch block?
 - 3. what if spec.loaded.exec_module fails to load -
 - 4. Line no 76 to 84, checks are for length, empty asnames, and * as name but no checks on duplicate imports, I am able to import as many times and no warnings/errors thrown
 - 5. sys.modules- As per Python docs If you want to iterate over this global dictionary always use sys.modules.copy() or tuple(sys.modules) to avoid exceptions as its size may change during iteration as a side effect of code or activity in other threads.
- 7. [SR] rewrite/rewrite_empty_dicts.py
 - Observations -None
- 8. [SR] rewrite/rewrite_empty_lists.py



Observations

1. List of Lists is not considered in the empty_list function the below compiles,

```
def validator(a:List[List[int]])-> List[List[int]]: empty_List :
  List[List[int]]= [[1,2],[2,3]] return empty_List

but this fails

def validator(a:List[List[int]])-> List[List[int]]: empty_List :
  List[List[int]]= [[]] return empty_List (have to look at the type inference)
```

- 9. [SR] rewrite/rewrite_import_dataclasses.py
 - Observations
 - 1. error messages can be more descriptive, for all the assertions , the error message is almost same

Example:

from dataclasses import dataclass as dc def dataclass(cls): return cls @dc class MyClass(PlutusData): pass

I get the error as "The program must contain one 'from dataclasses import dataclass"

2. Validate Decorator Source: If I define a custom dataclass (rewrite over)

from dataclasses import dataclass

def dataclass(cls): return cls

@dataclass class MyClass(PlutusData): pass

def validator(a:int)-> None : return None

now the dataclass refers to the custom dataclass instead of import dataclass, the rewrite code checks the format "from import dataclass" and @dataclass decorator is present but it does not validates the source of it.



10. [SR] optimize/optimize_const_folding.py - executes the constant expressions in compile time instead of run time ex:

$$x=10 y = x + 5$$

optimize tranforms the code like this

$$x = 10 y = 15$$

- Visit_ImportForm in class DefinedTimesVisitor this class collects how
 often variables are written from ast import * not handled To be added
 to finding
- 11. [SR] rewrite/rewrite_import_plutusdata.py
 - 1. all assertions have the same error message, can be informative
 - 2. suppose the imports are spilt like below, it doesn't work

Ex : from pycardano import Datum as Anything from pycardano import PlutusData

- 12. [SR] rewrite/rewrite_import_typing.py from typing import Dict, List, Union
 - 1. More descriptive error messages
 - 2. What if my program uses only List, still need to import Dict, List, Union (combined with 4)
 - 3. Self has to be imported by typing(This case also fails , from typing import Self from typing import Dict, List, Union, Self)
 - 4. visit_classDef, checks replace self with classname(probably for type checking) only checks for Name and Union, why not List[Self] if the datum classes are used part of List, also for dictionaries importerror, self is not from typing anymore? TODO: create a test with List[Self] Python docs to be cheked to give the example self-> Self (it nor rewritten)
- 13. [SR] rewrite/rewrite_forbidden_overwrites.py
 - 1. Only names are checked against overriding.(covered under finding 27)



- 14. [SR] rewrite/rewrite forbidden return.py No issues
- 15. [SR] rewrite/rewrite import hashlib.py
 - Aliased imports this transformer handles alias name, What happens if the alias conflicts with an existing variable or function in the scope?
 It throws a type inference error, a check for name conflicts can be used instead.
- 16. [SR] rewrite/rewrite_import_integrity_check.py Similar to 15, if line 55 has a alias name it will be added to INITIAL_SCOPE as a new pair
- 17. [SR] rewrite/rewrite_subscript38.py
 - Tested for index (python old version of slice)
 - Tested for nested index worked def validator(x:List[List[int]]) -> int: x = [[1,2],[3,4]] b = x[1][0] return b
 - Tried for a complex case works Ex : def validator(x:List[int]) -> int: x = [1,2,3,4] index = 1 return (x[index + 1])
 - mixed slicing and index works Ex : def validator(x:List[List[int]]) ->
 List[int]: x = [[1, 2], [3, 4], [5, 6]] return (x[1:3][0]) Ex2: @dataclass
 class Buy(PlutusData):

```
CONSTR_ID = 0
index : int

Const_list = [1,2,3,4]

def validator(x:Buy) -> int:
return (Const_list[x.index])
```

Edge case:

- Empty index doesn't work its an invalid syntax in python itself(valid case)
- 18. [SR]- rewrite/rewrite_cast_condition.py -
 - if the condition is already a boolean and if its a constant node, explicit cast to bool is redundant
 - small performance overhead using timeit performance with and without bool was analysed



- 19. [SR] rewrite/rewrite augassign.py
 - checked the order of precedence Ex!: def validator(x:int,y:int, z:int) -> int: $x += y^*z$ return x -> it becomes $x = x + (y^*z)$ Ex2: (Finding) def validator(x:List[int]) -> int: x = [1,2,3,4] x[0] += 1 return x Error "Can only assign to variable names, no type deconstruction" this is possible in python
- 20. [SR] rewrite/rewrite_remove_type_stuff.py No issues
- 21. [SR] rewrite/rewrite_tuple_assign.py need for it if the tuple is complex, reuse and efficiency What is the need of temporary variables like 2_uid_tup, why can't it be assigned using the tuple itself Ex : a,b =(1,2) a =(1,2)[0] b =(1,2)[1]
- 22-[SR] rewrite/rewrite_inject_builtins.py no issues
- Q until the aggressive type inference occurs , there wouldn't be any typed modules ,so how can it take an typedmodule node? maintainability issue, Q polymorphic functions are skipped, two different ways to find if the node is polymorphic maintainability polymorphic func can be known only after type checking
- 23 [SR] rewrite_inject_builtin_constr.py Q For all the builtins, constr_type is polymorphic only, that check is redundant or may be for a future use cases already there (finding 11 try to add the comment)
- 24 [SR] rewrite/rewrite_import_uplc_builtins.py
- 1. line 33 What if the the function defintion has more than one decorator along with wraps_builtin
- 2. for splitting the function name relies on the underscore and a digit following

the underscore,foo_bar_123, this will be split foo and bar_123 and captilised into Foo and Bar_123 - the logic for splitting may not handle all cases

25 - [SR] - optimize/optimize_remove_comments.py If the need is to remove only string comments, checking instance of constant node removes all



constants Ex: "this is a comment" 42, if i add this to the code, to debug my onchain this will be removed as well None x=, here True will be removed as part of this code

if x "some text" - worked fine

26 - [SR]- rewrite/rewrite_orig_name.py-> maintainability/minor add finding

 Have to check on annotated nodes, besides names, classdef and function def nodes. x:int = 10 'These nodes are not taken into account' - add finding added

```
27 - [SR] - rewrite/rewrite_comparison_chaining.py
```

• No other finding other than finding 10

```
28 - [SR] - optimize/optimize remove pass.py
```

• Q - clarify finding 9- Which visit_pass method?

```
29 - [SR] - optimize/optimize remove deadvars.py
```

visit_If - it looks for an intersection of the if body and else body, what if there is a break statement ? - as break is not implemented yet, this finding is not needed for now

```
def validator(x:int,y:int):
  while x == 1:
  if x > 0:
  y = 10
  break
  else:
  y = 20
  print("The value of y is:",y)
```

Notimplemented Error: NotImplementedError: Cannot infer type of non-implemented node <class 'ast.Break'>

```
30 \text{ -}[SR] \text{ - rewrite/rewrite\_scoping}
```

The process of rewriting the variable names with scope id to resolve scopes and used for debugging



• How to check if builtins are not rewritten? tried using test_rewrites.py-confirmed that builtins are not rewritten

code Generation parts

- 1. [SR] util.py
 - 1 followed by variable names like 1val_param0 affects readability, instead use variable names
 - O_adhocpattern_hash of the ast the hashes are long and affects readability
 - Line 213 force_params-> all parameters default and correct
- 2. [SR] fun_impls.py Function: len
 - Correctly uses FoldList, Integer. starts at 0 and adds 1
 - ByteString case (uses LengthOfByteString)
 - List/Dict case (uses FoldList with increment)
 - Tuple case (returns fixed length)
 - Raises non implemented error for unsupported types

[x] - empty case of len (len[]) is not handled here,avoid indexError: list index out of range Recom: error message has to be improved visit_Assign , check the target is already given a type - **Finding**

- Function reserved, print, abs , all and any no issues
- pow(2,3), contains traceerror in uplc output even if 3<0, reason is lazy execution of plt.ite

[x] - recursion depth can be specified in hex, to avoid max recursion error - finding - recom: Xor is not yet implemented, it compiled with optimization

3. rewrite/rewrite_empty_lists.py - as per the code

```
def validator(x:List[int]):
    x : List[int] = []
```

- output builtins in uplc code, builtin unListData,builtin unIData, (con (list integer) []),builtin constrData,con integer 0, [(builtin mkNilData) (con unit ())], builtin mkcons, builtin chooseList, builtin headList, builtin tailList
- 4. rewrite/rewrite_empty_dicts.py as per the code



```
def validator(x:Dict[str,int]):
   x :Dict[str,int] = {}
• builtin unMapData, [(builtin constrData) (con integer 0)], [(builtin
  mkNilData) (con unit ())]
6. rewrite/rewrite_import_hashlib.py
#from hashlib import sha256 as hsh
from hashlib import blake2b
# def validator () -> bytes:
      x = sha3 \ 256(b"123").digest()
      return x
def validator () -> bytes:
    x = blake2b(b"123").digest()
    return x
as expected (lam x [(lam x (lam [(builtin blake2b 256) x]))])
7.rewrite/rewrite_integrity check.py
@dataclass()
class B(PlutusData):
    CONSTR ID = 1
    foobar: int
    bar: int
def validator(x: B) -> None:
    check integrity(x)
as expected - (lam 1x [(lam 1x (force [[[(force (builtin ifThenElse))
[[(builtin equalsData) 1x]
[Op AdHocPattern 6e5e35746e0db09c0956f182750126a838d5650add52b85f95f67e428d
1x]]] (delay (con unit ()))] (delay [(lam (error)) [[(force
(builtin trace)) (con string "ValueError: datum integrity check
failed")|||)|)
lazy evalution of ifThenElse but then trace error always thrown in putput –
finding
```



8. rewrite/rewrite_import_UPLC_builtins.py

```
def validator(x:int):
    assert x == 1
```

- When there is no return type mentioned, data is constructed for integer 0 in addition to mkNilData
- performance minor add this finding

```
@wraps_builtin
def add_integer(x: int, y: int) -> int:
    z = x*y
    return x

def validator():
    assert add_integer(1, 2) == 3
```

• this add_integer is already defined under builtins.py file, if someone tries to define anything at all, this will be directly mapped to uplc builtin, how safe this could be?

Recom: local definition should be declined when builtins are used from builtin.py - already noted in finding 6 Why should the user be given a choice to wrap the builtin?

9. transform_ext_params_map(),transform_output_map(),empty_List() None

List of Files Audited

Folder	List of Files	Audited
Ledger	api_v2.py	
	interval.py	
optimize	optimize_const_folding.py	Done
	optimize_remove_comments.py	Done
	optimize_remove_deadvars.py	Done
	optimize_remove_pass.py	Done
rewrite	rewrite_augassign.py	Done



	rewrite_cast_condition.py	Done
	rewrite_comparison_chaining.py	Done
	rewrite_empty_dicts.py	Done
	rewrite_empty_lists.py	Done
	rewrite_forbidden_overwrites.py	Done
	rewrite_forbidden_return.py	Done
	rewrite_import_dataclasses.py	Done
	rewrite_import_hashlib	Done
	rewrite_import_integrity_check	Done
	rewrite_import_plutusdata	Done
	rewrite_import_typing	Done
	rewrite_import_uplc_builtins	Done
	rewrite_import	Done
	rewrite_inject_builtin_constr	Done
	rewrite_inject_builtins	Done
	rewrite_orig_name	Done
	rewrite_remove_type_stuff	Done
	rewrite_scoping	Done
	rewrite_subscript38	Done
	rewrite_tuple_assign	Done
std	bitmap.py	
	builtins.py	
	fractions.py	
	hashlib.py	
	integrity.py	
	math.py	
opshin	bridge.py	
	builder.py	
	compiler_config.py	
	compiler.py	Done
	fun_impls.py	Done
	prelude.py	



type_impls.py	Done
type_inference.py	Done
typed_ast.py	
util.py	