

Review protocol for review question: What is the effectiveness of corticosteroid treatment in bacterial meningitis?

Table 4: Review protocol

| Field | Content |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| PROSPERO registration number | CRD42021232481 |
| Review title | Corticosteroid treatment in bacterial meningitis |
| Review question | What is the effectiveness of corticosteroid treatment in bacterial meningitis? |
| Objective | To determine the effectiveness of corticosteroid treatment in bacterial meningitis |
| Searches | <p>The following databases will be searched:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL) • Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews (CDSR) • Embase • MEDLINE <p>Searches will be restricted by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Date limitations: 1980 • English language • Human studies <p>The full search strategies for MEDLINE database will be published in the final review. For each search, the principal database search strategy is quality assured by a second information scientist using an adaptation of the PRESS 2015 Guideline Evidence-Based Checklist.</p> |
| Condition or domain being studied | Bacterial meningitis |
| Population | Inclusion: All adults, young people, children and babies (including neonates defined as aged 28 days old and |

| Field | Content |
|---|---|
| | <p>younger) with confirmed bacterial meningitis.</p> <p>Exclusion:</p> <p>People:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • with known immunodeficiency. • who have brain tumours, pre-existing hydrocephalus, intracranial shunts, previous neurosurgical procedures, or known cranial or spinal anomalies that increase the risk of bacterial meningitis. • with confirmed viral meningitis or viral encephalitis. • with confirmed tuberculous meningitis. • with confirmed fungal meningitis. |
| Intervention/Exposure/Test | <p>Corticosteroids (administered via any route):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dexamethasone • Hydrocortisone • Prednisolone • Methylprednisolone |
| Comparator/Reference standard/Confounding factors | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Head-to-head comparisons between the above corticosteroids • Placebo • No corticosteroid treatment |
| Types of study to be included | <p>Include published full-text papers:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Systematic reviews of RCTs • RCTs • If insufficient RCTs: prospective cohort studies • If insufficient prospective cohort studies: retrospective cohort studies <p>Exclude:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Conference abstracts |
| Other exclusion criteria | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cohort studies from low income countries. • Studies conducted prior to 1980 as currently used antibiotics were not in common usage prior to this date. |

| Field | Content |
|---|--|
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Studies published not in English-language • Non-randomised studies be downgraded for risk of bias if they do not adequately adjust for the following covariates, but will not be excluded for this reason: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ Infective organism ◦ Severity of illness at presentation ◦ Comorbidity |
| Context | This guidance will fully update the following: Meningitis (bacterial) and meningococcal septicaemia in under 16s: recognition, diagnosis and management (CG102) |
| Primary outcomes (critical outcomes) | <p>Population: adults</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All-cause mortality (measured up to 1 year after discharge) • Any long-term neurological impairment (defined as any motor deficits, sensory deficits [excluding hearing impairment], cognitive deficits, or behavioural deficits; measured from discharge up to 1 year after discharge) • Functional impairment (measured by any validated scale at any time point) <p>Population: infants and children</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All-cause mortality (measured up to 1 year after discharge) • Any long-term neurological impairment (defined as any motor deficits, sensory deficits [excluding hearing impairment], cognitive deficits*, or behavioural deficits*; measured from discharge up to 1 year after discharge) • Severe developmental delay (defined as score of >2 SD below normal on validated assessment scales, or MDI or PDI <70 on Bayleys assessment scale, or inability to assign a score due to cerebral palsy or severity of cognitive delay; measured at the oldest age reported unless there is substantially more data available at a younger age) <p>*For infants and children below school-age, cognitive and behavioural deficits will be assessed at school-age.</p> |
| Secondary outcomes (important outcomes) | <p>Population: adults</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Diagnosis of epilepsy or occurrence of seizures during hospitalisation • Hearing impairment (defined as any level of hearing impairment; measured from discharge up to 1 year after discharge) • Serious intervention-related adverse effects leading to death, disability or prolonged hospitalisation or that are |

| Field | Content |
|--|---|
| | <p>life threatening or otherwise considered medically significant</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Length of hospitalisation <p>Population: infants and children</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Diagnosis of epilepsy or occurrence of seizures during hospitalisation • Hearing impairment (defined as any level of hearing impairment; measured from discharge up to 1 year after discharge) • Functional impairment (measured by any validated scale at any time point) • Serious intervention-related adverse effects leading to death, disability or prolonged hospitalisation or that are life threatening or otherwise considered medically significant |
| Data extraction (selection and coding) | <p>All references identified by the searches and from other sources will be uploaded into STAR and de-duplicated. Titles and abstracts of the retrieved citations will be screened to identify studies that potentially meet the inclusion criteria outlined in the review protocol. Dual sifting will not be undertaken for this question. Dual sifting will not be undertaken for this question. Full versions of the selected studies will be obtained for assessment. Studies that fail to meet the inclusion criteria once the full version has been checked will be excluded at this stage. Each study excluded after checking the full version will be listed, along with the reason for its exclusion. A standardised form will be used to extract data from studies. The following data will be extracted: study details (reference, country where study was carried out, type and dates), participant characteristics, inclusion and exclusion criteria, details of the intervention if relevant, setting and follow-up, relevant outcome data and source of funding. One reviewer will extract relevant data into a standardised form, and this will be quality assessed by a senior reviewer.</p> |
| Risk of bias (quality) assessment | <p>Quality assessment of individual studies will be performed using the following checklists:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ROBIS tool for systematic reviews • Cochrane RoB tool v.2 for RCTs and quasi-RCTs • Cochrane ROBINS-I tool for non-randomised (clinical) controlled trials and cohort studies <p>The quality assessment will be performed by one reviewer and this will be quality assessed by a senior reviewer.</p> |
| Strategy for data synthesis | <p>Quantitative findings will be formally summarised in the review. Where multiple studies report on the same outcome for the same comparison, meta-analyses will be conducted using Cochrane Review Manager software. A fixed effect meta-analysis will be conducted and data will be presented as risk ratios if possible or odds ratios when required (for example if only available in this form in included studies) for dichotomous outcomes, and mean differences or standardised mean differences for continuous outcomes. Heterogeneity in the effect estimates of the individual studies will be assessed by visual inspection of the forest plots and consideration of the I² statistic.</p> |

| Field | Content |
|------------------------|--|
| | <p>Heterogeneity will be explored as appropriate using sensitivity analyses and pre-specified subgroup analyses. If heterogeneity cannot be explained through subgroup analysis then a random effects model will be used for meta-analysis, or the data will not be pooled if the random effects model does not adequately address heterogeneity. The confidence in the findings across all available evidence will be evaluated for each outcome using an adaptation of the 'Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) toolbox' developed by the international GRADE working group: http://www.gradeworkinggroup.org/</p> <p>Minimally important differences:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All-cause mortality: statistical significance • Serious intervention-related adverse effects: statistical significance • Length of hospitalisation: 1 day • Validated scales: Published MIDs where available; if not GRADE default MIDs • All other outcomes: GRADE default MIDs |
| Analysis of sub-groups | <p>Evidence will be stratified by:</p> <p>Age:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Neonates <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ Extremely preterm: <28 weeks ◦ Very preterm: ≥28 weeks to <32 weeks ◦ Preterm: ≥32 weeks to <37 weeks ◦ Term: ≥37 weeks • Younger Infants: >28 days to ≤3 months of age • Older infants and children: >3 months to <18* years of age • Adults: ≥18* years of age <p>*There is variation in clinical practice regarding the treatment of 16 to 18 year olds. Therefore, we will be guided by cut-offs used in the evidence when determining if 16 to 18 year olds should be treated as adults or children.</p> <p>Evidence will be subgrouped by the following only in the event that there is significant heterogeneity in outcomes:</p> |

| Field | Content | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--------------|--------------------------|------------|--------------------------|------------|--------------------------|-------------|--------------------------|---------------|--------------------------|------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| | <p>Age:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Young and middle aged adults • Older adults* <p>*There is variation regarding the age at which adults should be considered older adults. Therefore, we will be guided by cut-offs used in the evidence when determining this threshold.</p> <p>Corticosteroid dose</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Timing of starting course of corticosteroids relative to timing of starting course of antibiotics: • Before antibiotics • At the same time • After antibiotics <p>Where evidence is stratified or subgrouped the committee will consider on a case by case basis if separate recommendations should be made for distinct groups. Separate recommendations may be made where there is evidence of a differential effect of interventions in distinct groups. If there is a lack of evidence in one group, the committee will consider, based on their experience, whether it is reasonable to extrapolate and assume the interventions will have similar effects in that group compared with others.</p> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Type and method of review | <table border="1"> <tr> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td><td>Intervention</td></tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>Diagnostic</td></tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>Prognostic</td></tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>Qualitative</td></tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>Epidemiologic</td></tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>Service Delivery</td></tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>Other (please specify)</td></tr> </table> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Intervention | <input type="checkbox"/> | Diagnostic | <input type="checkbox"/> | Prognostic | <input type="checkbox"/> | Qualitative | <input type="checkbox"/> | Epidemiologic | <input type="checkbox"/> | Service Delivery | <input type="checkbox"/> | Other (please specify) |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Intervention | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Diagnostic | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Prognostic | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Qualitative | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Epidemiologic | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Service Delivery | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Other (please specify) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Language | English | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Country | England | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Anticipated or actual start date | 29/01/2021 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Anticipated completion date | 07/12/2023 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Field | Content | | |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Stage of review at time of this submission | Review stage | Started | Completed |
| | Preliminary searches | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| | Piloting of the study selection process | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| | Formal screening of search results against eligibility criteria | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| | Data extraction | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| | Risk of bias (quality) assessment | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| | Data analysis | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Named contact | Named contact: National Guideline Alliance Named contact e-mail: meningitis&meningococcal@nice.org.uk Organisational affiliation of the review: National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) and National Guideline Alliance | | |
| Review team members | National Guideline Alliance | | |
| Funding sources/sponsor | This systematic review is being completed by the National Guideline Alliance which receives funding from NICE. | | |
| Conflicts of interest | All guideline committee members and anyone who has direct input into NICE guidelines (including the evidence review team and expert witnesses) must declare any potential conflicts of interest in line with NICE's code of practice for declaring and dealing with conflicts of interest. Any relevant interests, or changes to interests, will also be declared publicly at the start of each guideline committee meeting. Before each meeting, any potential conflicts of interest will be considered by the guideline committee Chair and a senior member of the development team. Any decisions to exclude a person from all or part of a meeting will be documented. Any changes to a member's declaration of interests will be recorded in the minutes of the meeting. Declarations of interests will be published with the final guideline. | | |

| Field | Content |
|--|--|
| Collaborators | Development of this systematic review will be overseen by an advisory committee who will use the review to inform the development of evidence-based recommendations in line with section 3 of Developing NICE guidelines: the manual . Members of the guideline committee are available on the NICE website: https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/indevelopment/gid-ng10149 . |
| Other registration details | None |
| Reference/URL for published protocol | https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display_record.php?RecordID=232481 |
| Dissemination plans | NICE may use a range of different methods to raise awareness of the guideline. These include standard approaches such as: notifying registered stakeholders of publication publicising the guideline through NICE's newsletter and alerts issuing a press release or briefing as appropriate, posting news articles on the NICE website, using social media channels, and publicising the guideline within NICE. |
| Keywords | Bacterial meningitis, corticosteroid, dexamethasone, hydrocortisone, mortality, impairments |
| Details of existing review of same topic by same authors | None |
| Current review status | <input type="checkbox"/> Ongoing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Completed but not published <input type="checkbox"/> Completed and published <input type="checkbox"/> Completed, published and being updated <input type="checkbox"/> Discontinued |
| Additional information | None |
| Details of final publication | www.nice.org.uk |

CDSR: Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews; CENTRAL: Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials; GRADE: Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation; IV: intravenous; MDI: mental development index; MID: minimally important difference; NICE: National Institute for Health and Care Excellence; PDI: psychomotor development index; PRESS: Peer Review of Electronic Search Strategies; RCT: randomised controlled trial; RoB: risk of bias; ROBINS-I: risk of bias in non-randomised studies – of interventions; ROBIS: Risk of Bias in Systematic Reviews; SD: standard deviation