

Morphological modelling


Francis M. Tyers

ftyers@hse.ru https://www.hse.ru/org/persons/209454856

Национальный исследовательский университет «Высшая школа экономики» (Москва)

29 октября 2018 г.





The story so far



В 1942—1945 годах профессором Г. С. Петровым и сотрудниками была разработана серия клеев БФ^[1]. Советский учёный-химик Петров знаменит также «контактом Петрова» и работами в области химии и технологии карболита (бакелита, фенолформальдегидных пластмасс)^[2].



В 1942—1945 годах профессором [[Петров, Григорий Семёнович|Г. С. Петровым]] и сотрудниками была разработана серия клеев БФ-геf>[http://chem21.info
/раде/034120176225149200221127252239157188201019105199/ Справочник по пластическим массам Том 2
(1969) стр.149.]</ri>
/геf>. Советский учёный-химик Петров знаменит также «контактом Петрова» и работами в области химии и технологии [[карболит]]а ([[бакелит]]а, фенолформальдегидных пластмасс)-геf>[http://www.planet-of-people.org/htmls/rus/nadezhdin/plastmassa.htm Надеждин Н. Я. История науки и техники. Пластмасса!-- Заголовок добавлен ботом -->]{{Недоступная ссылка|date=Июль 2018 |bot=InternetArchiveBot }}{{битая ссылка}}



В 1942—1945 годах профессором Г. С. Петровым и сотрудниками была разработана серия клеев БФ. Советский учёный-химик Петров знаменит также «контактом Петрова» и работами в области химии и технологии карболита (бакелита, фенолформальдегидных пластмасс).



В 1942 — 1945 годах профессором Г. С. Петровым и сотрудниками была разработана серия клеев БФ . Советский учёный-химик Петров знаменит также « контактом Петрова » и работами в области химии и технологии карболита (бакелита , фенолформальдегидных пластмасс) .

The story so far



В 1942—1945 годах профессором Г. С. Петровым и сотрудниками была разработана серия клеев БФ^[1]. Советский учёный-химик Петров знаменит также «контактом Петрова» и работами в области химии и технологии карболита (бакелита, фенолформальдегидных пластмасс)^[2].



В 1942—1945 годах профессором [[Петров, Григорий Семёнович|Г. С. Петровым]] и сотрудниками была разработана серия клеев БФ-геf>[http://chem21.info
/раде/034120176225149200221127252239157188201019105199/ Справочник по пластическим массам Том 2
(1969) стр.149.]</ri>
/геf>. Советский учёный-химик Петров знаменит также «контактом Петрова» и работами в области химии и технологии [[карболит]]а ([[бакелит]]а, фенолформальдегидных пластмасс)-геf>[http://www.planet-of-people.org/htmls/rus/nadezhdin/plastmassa.htm Надеждин Н. Я. История науки и техники. Пластмасса!-- Заголовок добавлен ботом -->]{{Недоступная ссылка|date=Июль 2018 |bot=InternetArchiveBot }}{{битая ссылка}}



В 1942—1945 годах профессором Г. С. Петровым и сотрудниками была разработана серия клеев БФ. Советский учёный-химик Петров знаменит также «контактом Петрова» и работами в области химии и технологии карболита (бакелита, фенолформальдегидных пластмасс).



В 1942 — 1945 годах профессором Г. С. Петровым и сотрудниками была разработана серия клеев БФ . Советский учёный-химик Петров знаменит также « контактом Петрова » и работами в области химии и технологии карболита (бакелита , фенолформальдегидных пластмасс) .

Overview



- Morphology: What is it? Why should we care?
- Modelling morphology: With finite-state machines
- Development: Some development tips

Morphology

What is morphology?



Morphology is:

« the branch of linguistics that studies patterns of word formation within and across languages, and attempts to formulate rules that model the knowledge of the speakers of those languages. »

This is a big field, here we are interested in practical models.

Why produce models?



English or Chinese:

- A full form list is a possibility
- Few or no inflectional forms
 - e.g. 5 forms per English verb {see, sees, saw, seen, seeing}

Other languages:

- Difficult or impossible to enumerate all forms
- Very productive inflection and derivation
 - Russian verbs: over 150 forms (maximally)
 - Turkish verbs: thousands of forms



```
PÝCNO, a, g. pl. annel; pýcno, a, g. pl. annel; river. Triver) bed, channel; course of a river. Change the course of a river. Change the course of the cours
```

A morphological lexicon consists of entries:

- Lemma: The citation form of a word (cf. headword)
- Stem: The part of a word affixes attach to
- Paradigm: A description of how the word inflects:



Add additional meaning or change the meaning of a lexical stem:

- **Suffixes:** hus 'house' huset 'the house'
- Prefixes: kjent 'known' ukjent 'unknown'
- Infixes: ktieb 'book' kotba 'books'
- Circumfixes: nagy 'big' legnagyobb 'biggest'

Morphological processes



- Inflection: Inflectional morphemes carry grammatical information, such as number, case, tense, etc., but do not change the word category
- Derivation: Derivational morphemes change the basic semantic meaning of a word, and can also change word category.
- Compounding: A process where two or more words are joined together to form one, typically of the same category or supertype.
- Clitics: Syntactically independent word that functions phonologically as an affix of another word.
- Incorporation: Where a nominal (e.g. direct object) or adverbial is included into a verb form.

Inflection



Examples of inflection categories:

- Case: дом·у 'house-LOC', ev·de 'house-LOC', talo·ssa 'house-INE'
- Possession: ev-im 'house-1sg', talo-ni 'house-1sg'
- Number: дом·a 'house-PL, ev·ler 'house-PL', talo·t 'house-PL'
- Tense, aspect, mood: говори·ла 'say-PAST.F, söyle·di 'say-PAST', puhu·i 'say-PAST'
- Comparison: больше 'big-comp', пысак рах 'big-comp', iso mpi 'big-comp'

Высшая школа экономики

In general: Change in meaning is regular.

Derivation



Examples of derivational affixes:

- Actor: diş·çi /tooth-er/ 'dentist'
- State: boş·luk 'emptiness', nycm·oma 'emptiness'
- Diminutive: dog·gie, kedi·cik /cat-DIM/ 'kitten'

Can often be stacked:

- temizlikçi /temiz-lik-çi/ clean-ness-er = cleaner
- поверхностный /по-верх-ность-ный/ on-surface-ness-ly = superficial

Change in meaning may be irregular, compare:

- cooker /cook-er/ 'machine that cooks'
- cleaner /clean-er/ 'person who cleans'
- looker /look-er/ 'person that looks good'

May be limited to particular stems.

Compounding



New words are formed from morphologically/syntactically independent words:

- This may be indicated in the writing system or not.
 - infrastruktuurontwikkelingsplan, or
 - infrastructure development plan
- tri-noun compounds, but different orthographical treatment

Note: a given compound word may be split different ways, or a given word may appear as a compound, but not be one:

- Freitag = Friday (not "Frei" + "tag" = free day)
- kulturforskeren = the ethnographer, and not
 - kultur+forskeren = "culture researcher"
 - kultur+forske+ren = "culture research clean"



Clitics are syntactically separate words that are phonologically conditioned by another unit (word, phrase).

Pronominal:

- Spanish: me lo das me it you.give 'You give it to me'
- Spanish: dámelo! give-me-it 'Give it to me!'

• Verb forms:

- Serbo-Croatian: govorit ću vs. govoriću 'I will speak'
- English: I'm 'I am', gonna 'going to'

Other:

- Question words (e.g. Finnish onko? is-QST? 'Is there?')
- Tense markers (e.g. Kurdish -ê)

Should these be tokenised prior to analysis?

Incorporation




Гақорапэнратлэн Сыкванақай рэмкык "Cıkwaŋaqaj chased after the reindeer in the other encampment."

```
га-қора-пэнр-ат-лэн Сыкванақай рэмк-ык 
PERF-reindeer-chase-s3sg Cıkwaŋaqaj folk-Loc
```

- Syntactically/pragmatically determined (not lexically!)
- Can be valency changing, e.g.
 - DOBJ + V.TR \rightarrow V.INTR

Morphological typology





- Analytic—Synthetic:
 - Morphemes per word
- Agglutinative—Fusional:
 - Meanings per morpheme

Modelling

Analysis and generation



Analysis:

студента
$$\rightarrow$$
 {cтудент, cтудент}

Generation:

студент<n><m><aa><sg><gen> \rightarrow студента

Morphotactics



How morphemes can be combined:

- студентом, играющийся, played, evlerde
- *омстудент, *ющийсяигра, *edplay, *deevler

Morphophonology



The changes that happen when morphemes are combined:

- работа + ы \rightarrow работы
- $fox + s \rightarrow foxes$
- огонёк + и \rightarrow огоньки

Running example



Let's take the Turkish words ev 'house', kız 'girl':


	Singular	Plural
Nominative	ev, kız	ev-ler, kız-lar
Accusative	ev-i, kız-ı	ev-ler-i, kız-lar-ı
Genitive	ev-in, kız-ın	ev-ler-in, kız-lar-ın
Dative	ev-e, kız-a	ev-ler-e, kız-lar-a
Locative	ev-de, kız-da	ev-ler-de, kız-lar-da
Ablative	ev-den, kız-d <mark>a</mark> n	ev-ler-den, kız-lar-dan

Suffixes are different according to front and back vowels.

Finite-state morphology



We can represent these as a finite-state automaton:



Where the labels would mean:

- front-stem: the front stems (e.g. ev)
- back-stem: the back stems (e.g. kiz)
- front-suffix: the front suffixes (e.g. -de)
- back-suffix: the back suffixes (e.g. -da)

Lexicon format: lexc



```
Multichar Symbols
%<n%> %<nom%> %<loc%>
LEXICON Root.
front-stem :
back-stem :
LEXICON front-suffix
%<n%>%<nom%>: # :
%<n%>%<loc%>:de # ;
LEXICON back-suffix
%<n%>%<nom%>: # ;
%<n%>%<loc%>:da # :
LEXICON front-stem
ev:ev front-suffix ; ! "house"
LEXICON back-stem
kız:kız back-suffix ; ! "girl"
```

- Tags: Symbols that show grammatical information
- Continuation class: Sets of morphemes
- Next continuation: Shows where to go next
- #: End of string
- Comment string: Indicated with!

Representing the lexicon





- $Q = Set of N states = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9\}$
- Σ = Input alphabet = {a, d, e, k, ı, v, z, @0@}
- $\Delta = \text{Output alphabet} = \{e, k, i, v, z, < n >, < \text{nom} >, < \text{loc} > \}$
- $q_0 \in Q = A$ single start state = 0
- $F \subseteq Q = A$ set of final states = $\{9\}$
- $\delta(q, w) = A$ transition function from a state $q \in Q$ and a string $w \in \Sigma^*$ to a set of states in Q

Высшая школа экономики

24/39

Archiphonemes



We can simplify the morphotactics by using **archiphonemes**:

- Archiphonemes stand in for underspecified surface symbols
- e.g. underlying %{A%} can be surface a or e

Example:

```
Multichar_Symbols
%<n%> %<nom%> %<loc%> %{A%}

LEXICON Root

stems;


LEXICON suffix
%<n%>%<nom%>: #;
%<n%>%<loc%>:d%{A%} #;

LEXICON stems

ev:ev suffix; ! "house"
k1:k1z suffix; ! "girl"
```

Archiphonemes/2





- 50% reduction in code length (15 lines \rightarrow 10 lines)
- 20% reduction in number of states (9 states \rightarrow 7 states)

Two-level rules



```
\begin{array}{lll} & \text{evd}\{A\}: \text{evde} \\ & \text{evd}\{A\}: \text{evda} & \text{[apply rules]} & \text{evd}\{A\}: \text{evde} \\ & \text{kızd}\{A\}: \text{kızde} & \rightarrow & \text{kızd}\{A\}: \text{kızda} \\ & \text{kızd}\{A\}: \text{kızda} \end{array}
```

- First expand all possible forms
- Rules are constraints on possible symbol pairs
- Each rule is an automaton which accepts or rejects a string

Schema of a rule file



```
Alphabet
a b c d e f g h i j k l m n o p q r s t u v
w x y z ü ö ş ç ı %{A%}:a %{A%}:e;

Sets
Back = a ı o u;
Cns = b c d f g h j k l m n p q r s t v w x y z ş ç;

Rules
```

Three main sections:


- Alphabet: Valid symbol pairs, n.b. a = a:a, etc.
- Sets: Groups of symbols to be used in rules
- Rules: Constraints

Rule example: Vowel harmony



```
"Vowel harmony for archiphoneme {A}"
%{A%}:a <=> :Back [ Cns: | :Back | %>: ]* _ ;
```

- Symbol pair: The symbol pair to constraint
- Rule operator: The type of constraint
- Rule context: The context where the rule should apply
- Rule centre: Where the symbol pair is found in the context



Rule operators



	Positive Reading	Negative Reading	
a:b <=> 1 _ r ;	If the symbol pair a:b appears, it must be in the context l_r.	If the symbol pair a:b appears outside the context l_r, FAIL.	
	 If lexical a appears in the context l r, then it must be be realized on the surface as b. 	2. If lexical a appears in the context l _ r and is realized as anything other than b, FAIL.	
a:b => 1 _ r;	If the symbol pair a:b appears, it must be in the context l_r.	If the symbol pair a:b appears outside the context l_r, FAIL.	
a:b <= 1 _ r;	If lexical a appears in the context 1_r, it must be realized on the surface as b.	If lexical a appears in the context l_r and is realized as anything other than b, FAIL.	
a:b /<= 1 _ r ;	Lexical a is never realized as b in the context $l = r$.	If lexical a is realized as b in the context l_r , FAIL.	
Table 1.1: twoic Rule Operator Semantics			

From twolc.pdf page 22



Sometimes several rules can apply to the same form:

	Singular	Plural
Nominative	ev, kız, baş	evler, kızlar, başlar
Accusative	evi, kızı, başı	evleri, kızları, başları
Genitive	evin, kızın, başın	evlerin, kızların, başların
Dative	eve, kıza, başa	evlere, kızlara, başlara
Locative	evde, kızda, başta	evlerde, kızlarda, başlarda
Ablative	evden, kızdan, baştan	evlerden, kızlardan, başlardan

The suffix -da can be -ta/-te, e.g. başta not *başda.

• This calls for another archiphoneme! $% \{D\%\} \rightarrow \{d, t\}$

```
Multichar Symbols
%<n%> %<nom%> %<loc%> %{A%} %{D%}
LEXICON Root.
stems:
LEXICON suffix
%<n%>%<nom%>: # :
%<n%>%<loc%>:%{D%}%{A%} # ;
LEXICON stems
ev:ev suffix ; ! "house"
kız:kız suffix ; ! "girl"
baş:baş suffix ; ! "head"
```

Rule application process



ev{D}{A}:evda ev{D}{A}:evde ev{D}{A}:evta

ev{D}{A}:evte

baş{D}{A}:başde
baş{D}{A}:başta
bas{D}{A}:baste

- ev{D}{A}
 k1z{D}{A}:k1zda
 k1z{D}{A}:k1zde
 bas{D}{A}
 k1z{D}{A}:k1zta
 k1z{D}{A}:k1zta
 k1z{D}{A}:k1zta
 k1z{D}{A}:k1zta
- ev{D}{A}:evde kız{D}{A}:kızda baş{D}{A}:başta

Rule application



```
"Vowel harmony for archiphoneme {A}"
%{A%}:a <=> :Back [ Cns: | :Back | %>: ]* ;
```

```
"Devoicing of {D}"
%{D%}:t <=> :Unvoiced %>: ;
```


- Rules are applied in parallel
- Every pair must be accepted by all rules

Development

35/39

Development guidelines





Take **frequency** into account, in adding:

- Stems
- Morphemes
- Phonological rules

Applications





- Spellcheckers: For morphologically-rich languages that have little data, FSTs are the only choice.
- Online dictionaries: For languages where it is non-trivial to determine the headword from a surface form, an FST can be a real aid
 - for learners and for newly literate speakers
- Improve parsing: For languages with limited data for training a parser, an FST can significantly improve performance.

What we have not covered



Templatic morphology:

- Semitic languages like Maltese, Hebrew and Arabic use templates to form surface forms, e.g. Maltese k-t-b could be ktieb 'book' or kotba 'books'
- The FSMBook¹ has examples of how to treat these

Machine learning approaches:

- Recent advances in morphological generation (SIGMORPHON)²
- Morphological analysis way behind

Rewrite rules:

- Some prefer to write phonological rules as a cascade of rules
- Computationally equivalent
- See FSMBook for further details

Weighting:

• Refer to the practical

¹Beesley and Karttunen (2003) Finite-State Morphology (Chicago: CLSI)

²https://sigmorphon.github.io/sharedtasks/



Go through the following practical:

https://ftyers.github.io/2017-КЛ_МКЛ/hfst.html

This will take you through all of the main steps to build a transducer.