18.5 BESSEL FUNCTION
To determine the required boundary condition for this result to hold, let us consider the functions  $f(x) = J_v(\alpha x)$  and  $g(x) = J_v(\beta x)$ , which, as will be proved below, respectively satisfy the equations

$$x^{2}f + xf + (\alpha^{2}x^{2} - v^{2})f = 0,$$
  
$$x^{2}g + xg + (\beta^{2}x^{2} - v^{2})f = 0,$$

Show that  $f(x) = J_y(\alpha x)$  satisfies (18.85).

If  $f(x) = nJ_{\nu}(ax)$  and we write  $w = \alpha x$ , then

$$\frac{df}{dx} = \alpha \frac{dJ_y(w)}{dw}$$

and

$$\frac{d^2f}{dx^2} = \alpha^2 \frac{d^2J_v(w)}{dw^2}$$

When these expressions are substitutes into 918.85), its LHS becomes

$$x^{2}\alpha^{2} \frac{d^{2}J_{v}(w)}{dw^{2}} + x\alpha \frac{dJ_{v}(w)}{dw} + (\alpha^{2}x^{2} = v^{2})J_{v}(w)$$

$$= w^{2} \frac{d^{2} J_{v}(w)}{dw^{2}} + w \frac{d J_{v}(w)}{dw} + (w^{2} - v^{2}) J_{v}(w)$$

But, from Bessel's equation itself, this final expression is equal to zero, thus verifying that f(x) does satisfy(18.85)  $\triangle$ 

Now multiplying (18,85) by f(x) and (18,85) by g(x) and subtracting them gives

$$\frac{d}{dx}x\bigg[(fg'-gf')\bigg] = (\alpha^2 - \beta^2)xfg$$

where we have used the fact that

$$\frac{d}{dx}\left[\left(x(fg'-gf')\right)\right] = x(fg''-gf'') + (fg'-gf').$$

By integrating (18,87)over any given range  $x = \alpha$  to  $x = \beta$ , we obtain

$$\int_{a}^{b} x f(x)g(x)dx = \frac{1}{\alpha^2 - \beta^2} \left[ x f(x)g'(x) - xg(x)f'(x) \right]_{a}^{b}$$

which, on setting  $f(x)J_v(\alpha x)$  and  $g(f)=J_v(\beta x)$ , becomes

$$\int_{a}^{b} x J_{v}(\alpha x) J_{v}(\beta x) dx = \frac{1}{\alpha^{2} - \beta^{2}} \left[ \beta x J_{v}(\alpha x) J_{v}'(\beta x) = \alpha x J_{v}(\beta x) J_{v}'(\alpha x) \right]_{a}^{b} (18, 88)$$

If  $x \neq \beta$ , and the interval [a,b] is such that the expression on the RHS of (18,88) equals zero, then we obtain the orthogonality condition (18,84). This happends, for example, if  $J_v(xx)$  and  $J_v()$  vanish at x=a and x=b, or if  $J_v(xx)$   $J_v()$  vanish at x=a) and x=b, or more for many general conditions. It should be noted that the boundary term is automatically zero at the point x=0, as one might expect from the fact that the Sturm-Liouville from of Bessel's equation has p(x) = x.

If  $\alpha = \beta$ , the RHS of (18.88) takes the indeterminant from 0/0. This may be