

# Towards model-order reduction in structure-formation simulations

Tino Valentin Bächtold and Prasenjit Saha

*Physik-Institut, University of Zurich, Winterthurerstr 190, 8057 Zurich, Switzerland*

## ABSTRACT

### Key words:

## 1 INTRODUCTION

Even though there is a rapid speed-up in computer performance, many real-life systems face challenges in numerical simulations. One of these challenges is the computation of the quantum mechanical wave function. Also, recent paper indicates that theoretical dark matter particles may underlie a macroscopic quantum mechanical description. As a simple but non-trivial example, we use the non-linear Schrödinger (NLS) equation, which has been studied by several groups as model for structure formation(??) and apply the proper orthogonal decomposition (POD) and its use with the Galerkin-projection. Although the POD is a widely used method in the description of fluid dynamics, [2] it seems to be that there are only two papers where the POD was applied to the Schrödinger equation (?). In this paper we are focusing on the NLS equation with a self induced Poisson potential solve it with the split step Fourier method (SSM) and approximate new wave functions finally via POD.

## 2 NLS AND THE SSM

The time dependent NLS emerges as a model describing the dynamics of wave packets in fibre optics, in the Bose-Einstein condensate theory and may predict the behaviour of the theoretical dark matter particle, the axion. It can be formulated by following equation.

$$i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi(\vec{x}, t) = \left( -\frac{\nabla^2}{2} + \xi \rho \right) \psi(\vec{x}, t) \quad (1)$$

where  $\xi$  is a parameter describing if the equation is focusing ( $\xi < 0$ ) or defocusing ( $\xi > 0$ ) and  $\rho$  is the solution of the Poisson equation:

$$\nabla \rho = |\psi(\vec{x}, t)|^2 \quad (2)$$

An elegant way of solving (??) is through the Fourier

split step method where the equation gets split into the two parts:

$$i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi(\vec{x}, t) = \xi \rho \psi(\vec{x}, t) \quad (3)$$

$$i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi(\vec{x}, t) = -\frac{\nabla^2}{2} \psi(\vec{x}, t) \quad (4)$$

By introducing the Fouriertransform  $\tilde{\psi}(\vec{k}, t)$  of  $\psi(\vec{x}, t)$  in ??

$$i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \tilde{\psi}(\vec{k}, t) = \frac{k^2}{2} \tilde{\psi}(\vec{k}, t) \quad (5)$$

you end up with a solvable system of equation. For a detailed look on this method we are recommending [XX]

## 3 POD

Since the above mentioned method requires to compute the Fourier transform and its inverse every time-step, the simulation gets quite expensive by increasing the spatial resolution. We are therefore trying to provide a brief introduction to the POD <sup>1</sup> which reduces eq ?? to an ordinary differential equation of the form

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{a} = G(\vec{a}, V) \quad (6)$$

Where  $G$  is a function depending solely on  $\vec{a}$  and some external values denoted as  $V$ . The premise to achieve this reduction - and therefore of this paper - is the approximation of the wave function  $\psi(\vec{x}, t)$  as following sum:

<sup>1</sup> For a complimentary reading of this subject we are recommending the fantastic article of A. Chatterjee

$$\psi(\vec{x}, t) = \sum_{i=0}^M \alpha_i(t) \phi_i(\vec{x}) \quad (7)$$

There are many types of this factorization. One could choose for example the Legendre polynomials or the Fourier series where for each of these choices, both the time-dependent function  $\alpha_i(t)$  and the spatial dependent function  $\phi_i(\vec{x})$  would change. In this paper  $\phi_i(\vec{x})$  is denoted the  $i_{th}$  vector of an orthonormal basis, the so called the POD-Modes. The original concept of the POD goes back to Pearson (1901) with the principal component analysis. The POD is also known as the Karhunen-Love decomposition or the singular value decomposition (SVD) (for a detailed discussion about the connection between the PCA, KLD and the SVD, see [5]). The main goal of the POD can be formulated as follows: *to find a basis of a subspace that optimally describes a set of data in a least square sense.*

This can be shown by considering the wavefunction  $\psi(\vec{x}, t)$  evaluated at  $N$  grid points and at  $M$  time steps. These state variables are inserted into a data matrix  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times N}$  in a way such that the element  $A_{ij}$  denotes the amplitude of the wave function at the  $i_{th}$  time and the  $j_{th}$  grid point.<sup>2</sup> The SVD now states that for every such matrix there exists a factorization

$$A = U \Sigma V^* \quad (8)$$

Where  $U \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times N}$  is a unitary matrix,  $V \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times N}$  is a unitary matrix where  $*$  denotes the conjugate transpose and  $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times M}$  is a diagonal matrix with  $r = \min(M, N)$  non-negative real values  $\sigma_i$  on the diagonal. These so-called singular values are arranged in decreasing order such that  $\sigma_1 \geq \dots \geq \sigma_r \geq 0$ . Rewriting ?? via outer-product leads to

$$A = \sum_{i=1}^M \vec{u}_i \sigma_i \vec{v}_i^* \quad (9)$$

Comparing this ?? yields to  $\alpha_i(t) \equiv \vec{u}_i \sigma_i$  and  $\phi_i(\vec{x}) \equiv \vec{v}_i^*$ . In this arrangement of  $A$ , the function  $\alpha_i(t)$  evaluated in the time resolution where  $A$  was produced is represented by  $\vec{u}_i \sigma_i$ . The same holds true for the POD-Modes  $\phi_i(\vec{x})$  and  $\vec{v}_i^*$  in the spatial resolution. This is the connection between POD and SVD. Two remarks we want to highlight here.

The first lies in the possibility of a low rank approximation of the matrix  $A$ . For any  $k < r$  there is a corresponding  $\tilde{\Sigma} \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$  such that  $\sigma_1 \geq \dots \geq \sigma_k \geq \sigma_{k+1} = \dots = \sigma_r = 0$  and its reduced low ranked data matrix  $\widetilde{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times N}$  is as follows

$$\tilde{A} = U \tilde{\Sigma} V \quad (10)$$

The above mentioned optimality in the least square sense can now be seen that no other  $k$ -ranked matrix minimizes better the Frobenius norm  $\|A - \tilde{A}\|$ .<sup>3</sup>

As second remark, there is no need in inserting just one evaluation of the wave function into the data matrix  $A$ . There can be a large input set with different initial and/or

boundary conditions. Rather than trying to reduce one wave function, the goal of this paper will be to extract a basis which sufficiently describes a whole system of wave functions - and ultimately, describing ones with new parameter which are not included in the data set. For this let  $\phi_i(\vec{x})$  be the  $i_{th}$  POD-Mode<sup>4</sup> of such a data set. As already mentioned the POD-Modes are orthonormal, i.e.

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_i(\vec{x}) \phi_j(\vec{x})^* d\vec{x} = \delta_{ij} \quad (11)$$

To find the components  $\alpha_i(t_0)$  which describes an arbitrary frame  $\Psi(\vec{x}, t_0)$  the best, this orthonormal condition ?? and the premise ?? is used to receive them directly

$$\alpha_i(t_0) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Psi(\vec{x}, t_0) \phi_i(\vec{x})^* d\vec{x} \quad (12)$$

## 4 GALERKIN PROJECTION

<sup>2</sup> Remark that this arrangement of the time coordinate can be arbitrary. Later on, we will insert data from several evaluation with varying initial parameters and  $A$  therefore will not be chronological in  $i$ .

<sup>3</sup> For a full prove see Golub G. H Matrix Computation S. 72

<sup>4</sup> In the discrete form (SVD) the POD-modes should be replaced by the column of the  $V$  matrix in ??, the Integral by a summation, etc