# Introduction to OpenMP


October 18, 2022

# Prologue: Thread VS Process

Process: "control flow" + "memory space"

Thread: "control flow"

Specific to each process	Specific to each thread	
Memory (Heap)	Instruction pointer	
Global variables	Registers	
Open Files	Stack (local variables)	
child process, signals	CPU state	





# Prologue: Thread VS Process (continued)

### Single-thread process:



### multi-thread process:

Γ						
	Code	Données	Tas	Pile Threa	Pile d Threa	Pile d Thread 0
			-	2 <b>←</b>	1 <b>←</b>	<b>←</b>
l						

# All threads have access to the process' memory space

- Shared variables (between several threads)
  - global variables ("data segment")
  - Dynamically allocated variables with shared pointer
- private variables ("owned" by a thread)
  - Local variables (on the stack)
  - Dynamically allocated variables with private pointer

### **OpenMP**

- 😦 Easier to use than MPI
- Preserve the original sequential code
- © Code is easier to understand and maintain
- Allows progressive parallelizationShared memory machines only (e.g. ONE server)
- Works better on specific code patterns (loop nests, ...)

### MPI

- © Designed for **distributed memory** machines
- Also works fine on shared-memory machines

Algorithmic modifications often necessary

- ⊕ Separated memory spaces (no conflicts)
- Harder to use
- Performance depends on the network

# Historique d'OpenMP

- 1997, a consortium of industrials and academic adopt OpenMP (Open Multi Processing) as an standard. Fortran, C and C++ interface
- ▶ Version 2.5 (2000, gcc 4.2): lean and mean (for loops)
- Version 3.0 (2008, gcc 4.4): new task concept
- Version 3.1 (2008, gcc 4.7): better tasks, atomic
- Version 4.0 (2013, gcc 4.9): SIMD and devices (GPU, ...)
- ▶ Version 4.5 (2013, gcc 6): better SIMD, more GPU, ...
- ▶ Version 5.1 (2020, gcc 10): atomic compare + ...
  - v5.1 of the spec is much harder to read than v4.5
- Version 5.2 (2021): clean up the spec...

# Principle

- A single process runs on a machine.
- The corresponding thread is the "master thread" (number 0)
- It occasionally spawns other threads to run parallel computation, then waits for them to complete (fork and join model)



- Declaring parallel sections in the code is done using OpenMP directives
- Specific memory model (shared / private variables)

# Using OpenMP

- Compile-time directives (#pragma in C)
  - Interpreted by OpenMP-aware the compiler
  - Silently ignored otherwise
  - ► Tell the compiler to generate parallel code
  - ▶ gcc: -fopenmp option
- Must link against OpenMP run-time library
  - gcc: -fopenmp option
- At run-time: environment variables allow some control over OpenMP

# OpenMP in One Slide

```
// sequential prologue
#pragma omp parallel for
for (int i = 0 ; i < n ; i++) {
    /*
    * All the iterations of this loop can
    * be executed in parallel
    */
}
// sequential epilogue</pre>
```

```
gcc -fopenmp prog_omp.c -o prog_omp
```

- "normal" sequential program until #pragma omp
- A team of threads is created
- The iterations of the loop are distributed between them
- ▶ **Barrier** at the end of the loop
- "normal" sequential program then

# Conditional Compilation and Run-Time OpenMP Functions

# Conditional compilation

```
#ifdef _OPENMP
    // Code included only if the compiler supports OpenMP
    // With gcc, only if the -fopenmp option has been given
#endif
```

### OpenMP run-time functions

```
With #include <omp.h>
```

- Enable a SPMD style of programming (as in MPI)
- omp\_get\_num\_threads()
- omp\_get\_thread\_num()
- omp\_set\_num\_threads()
- **...**

### Hello world

### Program

```
#ifdef OPENMP
#include <omp.h>
#endif
int main()
  #pragma omp parallel
    #ifdef OPENMP
    printf("Hello world, thread %d/%d\n",
          omp_get_thread_num(),
          omp_get_num_threads());
    #else
    printf("Hello world\n");
    #endif
```

```
$ gcc hello.c -o hello
$ ./hello
Hello world
$ gcc -fopenmp hello.c \
  -o hello
$ export OMP_NUM_THREADS=4
$ ./hello
Hello world, thread 0/4
Hello world, thread 3/4
Hello world, thread 1/4
Hello world, thread 2/4
```

# **OpenMP Directives**

```
#pragma omp directive [clause[[, ]clause]...]
```

Barrier (synchronization) at the end by default

### Using directives

- Jumping out of a parallel section is forbidden (goto, setjmp/longjmp, ...)
- One directive per #pragma omp
- Case-sensitive
- Directives ⊆ { parallel, for, sections, section, single, master, critical, barrier, atomic, flush, ordered, threadprivate, ... }


# OpenMP Memory Model

### Reminder

**Variable**: identifier denoting a memory address

Variables present in the original sequential code can be declared as *shared* or *private*) with OpenMP.

- ➤ **Shared**: all threads access the memory address of the original variable
- Private: each thread "owns" a copy of the original variable (all located at different memory addresses)



(after An Overview of OpenMP 3.0, R. van der Pas)

# OpenMP Memory Model (continued)

- Variables declared before a parallel region are shared by default
- Their status can be modified using clauses in OpenMP directives
  - private, shared, firstprivate, lastprivate, default(shared), default(none), reduction, copyin
- Local variables of each thread are private (cf. infra)

# parallel Directive

```
#pragma omp parallel [clause[[,]clause]...]
structured block
```

- Create a thread team (creation/recycling)
- ▶ **All** threads run the *structured block*.

### Associated clauses

- ▶ if(cond): cond == False  $\rightarrow$  no threads
  - E.g., don't use all the machine for small problem
- private (var\_list), firstprivate (var\_list)
- reduction (cf. infra)
- num\_threads(int): force the size of the thread team

```
int main()
{
    ...
    initialization();
    #pragma omp parallel ...
    {
        parallel_computation();
    }
    post_processing();
}
```

### How to choose the number of threads

### By decreasing order of priority:

```
Compile-time : #pragma omp parallel num_threads(16)

Run-time : omp_set_num_threads(4)
```

Environment variable : export OMP\_NUM\_THREADS=4

# Predefined Variables are Shared by Default

# **Program** #include <omp.h> #include <stdio.h> int main() int c=0; #pragma omp parallel c++; printf("c=%d thread %d\n", c, omp\_get\_thread\_num());

```
$ export OMP_NUM_THREADS=4
$ ./a.out
c=1 thread 3
c=2 thread 0
c=3 thread 1
c=4 thread 2
```

### **Beware of Conflicts!**

# Program

```
#include <omp.h>
#include <stdio.h>
int main()
  int c = 0;
  #pragma omp parallel
    for (int i=0; i<100000; i++)
        c++;
    printf("c=%d thread %d\n",
        c, omp_get_thread_num());
```

```
$ export OMP_NUM_THREADS=4
$ ./a.out
c=100000 thread 0
c=200000 thread 3
c=270620 thread 2
c=286162 thread 1
```

# private Clause

### private variable:

- Each thread owns a (private) local copy
- Not initialized

### BUG:

# int main() { int a = 100; #pragma omp parallel private(a) { /\* This "a" is not the same as before \*/ a = a + 10; printf("a=",d\n", a); } printf("After a=",d\n", a);

```
$ export OMP_NUM_THREADS=4
$ ./a.out
a=-1208433038
a=-22
a=-22
a=-22
Apres a=100
```

# firstprivate Clause

### firstprivate Variables;

- ► Each thread owns a (private) local copy
- Initialized with the preexisting value

# **Program** int main() int a = 100;#pragma omp parallel \ firstprivate(a) a = a + 10; // idem... printf("a=%d\n", a); printf("After a=%d\n", a);

```
$ export OMP_NUM_THREADS=4
$ ./a.out
a=110
a=110
a=110
a=110
Apres a=100
```

### Local Variables

- ➤ All local variables in functions called from a parallel section are owned by the corresponding thread (on their stacks)
- Same goes for local variables declared inside the structured block

# **Program** void func() int a = 10: a += omp\_get\_thread\_num(); printf("a=%d\n", a); int main() #pragma omp parallel func();

```
$ export OMP_NUM_THREADS=4
$ test2
10
11
12
13
```

# Local Variables: My Opinion

# Complex (avoid)

```
int main()
  int a;
  #pragma omp parallel private(a)
    a = \dots;
/***********************************
int main()
  int a:
  #pragma omp parallel firstprivate(a)
    ... a ...
```

# Simple (better)

```
int main()
  #pragma omp parallel
   int a = ...;
/****************
int main()
 #pragma omp parallel
   int b = a;
   ... b ...
```

### Reminders / Details

### #pragma omp parallel

- A thread team is created
- ► The **encountered thread** belongs to it (**master**)
- ▶ All threads of the team execute the structured block
- Identifiers ("ranks") 0 (master), 1, 2, ..., #threads 1
  - omp\_get\_num\_threads(), omp\_get\_thread\_num()
- Barrier at the end
- Encountering thread then resumes sequential execution

### for Directive

```
#pragma omp for [clause[[,]clause]...] \langle \; for \; loop \; \rangle
```

### Worksharing directive

Threads of the team cooperate and **divide** the work between them

### Associated clauses:

- private (variable\_list), firstprivate (variable\_list),
  lastprivate (variable\_list)
- reduction(operator: variable list)
- ordered
- ► collapse(n)
- schedule(type, size)
- ▶ nowait

### for Directive (continued)

### Canonical loop form

```
for (init_expr; cond; increment)
```

- ► Integer iteration variable
- ► Loop counter updated with ++, --, +=, -=, var=var+inc, var=inc+var, var=var-inc
- integer increment
- Condition: <, >, <=, >=. Bound is a fixed expression
- No early exit (break, return, exit)
- continue is allowed

### Consequences of the for directive:

- ▶ Implicit barrier at the end of the loop (except if nowait)
- No barrier at the beginning
- ► The iteration variable is private

# Example

```
int main()
 int t[100];
  #pragma omp parallel
   #pragma omp for
   for (int i = 0; i < 100; i++)
     t[i] = i;
```

With 4 threads, the first one may **for instance** compute the t[i] from 0 to 24, the second from 25 to 49, ...

### Short Form of the for directive

```
#pragma omp parallel for [clause[[,]clause]...]
for loop
```

Admits all clauses of parallel and for, except nowait.

```
#pragma omp parallel
#pragma omp for
for (int i = 0 ; i < n ; i++) {
    ....
}

#pragma omp parallel for
for (int i = 0 ; i < n ; i++) {
    ....
}</pre>
```

### The reduction Clause

### Programme

```
int main()
 int a[4][4], s=0;
 for (int i = 0; i < 4; i++)
      for (int j = 0; j < 4; j++)
        a[i][j] = i * 4 + j;
  #pragma omp parallel for reduction(+:s)
 for (int i = 0; i < 4; i++) {
      for (int j = 0; j < 4; j++)
          s += a[i][j];
      printf("PAR=%d: i=%3d s=%d\n",
           omp_get_thread_num(), i, s);
 printf("SEQ s=%d\n", s);
```

# The reduction Clause (continued)

- ▶ Operators:+, -, \* , &, |, ^, &&, ||, min, max
- Can define your own

Authorized on omp for, omp parallel, ...

```
int main()
{
  int m = 0;
  #pragma omp parallel reduction(max:m)
  {
   int tid = omg_get_thread_num();
   m = f(tid);
  }
  ...
}
```

### New Features in reduction

- reduction on arrays
- ... or array slices
- Starting with OpenMP 4.5
  - November 2015,  $gcc \ge 6.1$

```
double *A = malloc(n * sizeof(*A));
#pragma omp parallel reduction(+:A[0:n])
   // Each thread own its own copy of A[0:n]
    for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
       Α[i]= ....
// A[i] contains the sum of the
// private A[i]'s of all threads
```

# Load Balancing in Loops

omp for admits load-balancing clauses: schedule and nowait

- nowait clause:
  Removes the automatic barrier at the end of the loop
- schedule(mode, chunk\_size) clause:
  4 modes: static, dynamic, guided, runtime

Implementation-dependent if not specified (static on gcc)

# schedule Example

```
#define MAX 10
int main()
 int a[MAX];
  #pragma omp parallel
      int imax = 0;
      int imin = MAX;
      #pragma omp for schedule(static)
      for (int i = 0; i < MAX; i++) {
          imin = (i < imin) ? i : imin;</pre>
          imax = (i > imax) ? i : imax;
          a[i] = 1:
          sleep(1); /* simulate computation */
          printf("%3d:%3d\n",omp_get_thread_num(), i);
      printf("T%d imin=%d imax=%d\n", omp_get_thread_num(), imin, imax);
```

# static and dynamic Clauses

schedule(...)

### static, 2 static 3 1: 1: 2 3: 3: 6 2: 2: 4 0: 0: 0 1: 1: 3 3: 3: 7 2: 0: 1 0: 2: 5 1: 0: 8 0: 0: 9 T1 imin=3 imax=5 TO imin=0 imax=9 TO imin=0 imax=2T1 imin=2 imax=3T2 imin=6 imax=7T3 imin=6 imax=7 T3 imin=8 imax=9 T2 imin=4 imax=5

# dynamic, 2

```
1:
     4
 0:
     0
  2:
     6
     2
 3:
      5
 1:
 2:
     7
 0:
      1
 3:
      3
  1:
      8
T1 imin=4 imax=9
T3 imin=2 imax=3
T2 imin=6 imax=7
TO imin=0 imax=1
```

### Schedule

- schedule(static): block distribution
- schedule(static, n): block-cyclic distribution.
  - block size n
- **>** schedule(dynamic, n): chunks of n iterations are affected to available threads (n = 1) by default).
- guided: like dynamic but the chunk size is proportional to the number of remaining iterations
- auto: the OpenMP runtime does its magic
- runtime: choice is deferred until runtime Example: export OMP\_SCHEDULE="static,1"

# single Directive

#pragma omp single directive[clause[[,]clause]...]
structured block

### Goal

Sequential portion inside a parallel region, *i.e.* a code chunk executed by a **single** thread

- It can by any thread
- ▶ Implicit barrier at the end of single
- nowait and copyprivate are incompatible clauses

### Possible clauses:

- private (variable\_list), firstprivate (variable\_list), copyprivate (variable\_list)
- nowait

# single Example: Prefix-Sum

```
int s = 0;
for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
    int tmp = A[i];
    A[i] = s;
    s += tmp;
}</pre>
```

```
#pragma omp parallel
    int t = omp_get_thread_num();
    S[t] = 0;
    #pragma omp for schedule(static)
    for (int i = 0; i < n; i++)
        S[t] += A[i];
    #pragma omp single
        int p = omp_get_num_threads();
        int s = 0:
        for (int i = 0; i < p; i++) {
            int tmp = S[i];
            S[i] = s;
            s += tmp;
    int s = S[t];
    #pragma omp for schedule(static)
    for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
        int tmp = A[i];
        A[i] = s;
        s += tmp;
```

# Synchronizations in OpenMP

### Several options:

- Barriers
- atomic and critical
- Locks via OpenMP runtime functions (not covered):
   omp\_init\_lock()
   omp\_{set,test}\_lock()
   omp\_unset\_lock()
   omp\_destroy\_lock()

#### barrier Directive

```
#pragma barrier
```

All threads must *enter* the barrier before any of the threads continue execution beyond the barrier

```
Problems with C syntax
if (n != 0)
     #pragma omp barrier // syntactically incorrect
if (n != 0) {
     #pragma omp barrier // OK
}
```

#### critical Directive

#pragma omp critical [name]
structured block

- Only a single thread may enter the structured block simultaneously
- "critical section"
- An incoming thread has to wait while another thread executes the structured block
- ▶ The *name* allows to distinguish distinct critical sections.

# critical Example

Adding an Item to a Linked List

```
struct item_t {
 void *data;
 struct item_t *next;
};
                                        /* global variable */
struct item t *list;
void append(void *payload)
   struct item_t *new_item = malloc(sizeof(*new_item));
  new_item->data = payload;
   #pragma omp critical
      new item->next = list;
      list = new_item;
```

#### atomic Directive

```
#pragma omp atomic [ read | write | update ]
atomic-update
```

- The atomic-update is atomic (cannot be interrupted)
- atomic-update of the type:

```
v = x; Of x = expr;
```

- x += expr; Or x = x + expr;
  - ► Works with +, \*, -, /, &, &&, ^, |, ||, >>, <<
  - expr must not reference x.
- Only reading/writing/updating x is atomic.
- Evaluation of expr is not

#### **Program**

```
#include <omp.h>
int main()
  int c = 0;
  #pragma omp parallel
    for (int i = 0; i < 100000; i++) {
       #pragma omp atomic
       c++:
    }
    printf("c=%d thread %d\n",
      c, omp_get_thread_num());
```

#### Execution

c=394308 thread 3

c=400000 thread 1

```
$ export OMP_NUM_THREADS=4
$ ./a.out
c=100000 thread 0
c=294308 thread 2
```

# atomic capture Since OpenMP 3.0 (2008)

```
#pragma omp atomic capture
structured block
```

- Capture = saving the previous value ("capture") + update
- With the capture clause, structured block can be:

#### atomic capture Example

Appending an Item to a Preallocated Array

#### atomic compare

Since OpenMP 5.1 (needs gcc 12.2, August 2022!)

```
"Compare-and-Swap"

#pragma omp atomic compare
if (x == old) x = new;
```

#### "Compare-and-Swap" with capture

```
#pragma omp atomic compare capture
{ if (x == old) { x = new; }; else { cap = x; } }
```

# "Compare-and-Swap", most general form

```
#pragma omp atomic compare capture
{ ok = x==old; if (ok) { x = new; } else { cap = x; } }
```

► All CPUs have *hardware* support for this operation

#### atomic compare Example

Adding an Item to a Linked List

```
struct item_t {
    void *data;
    struct item_t *next;
struct item t *list;
                                      /* global variable */
void atomic_append(void *payload)
    struct item_t *new_item = malloc(sizeof(*new_item));
    new item->data = payload;
    bool ok = 0:
    while (!ok) {
        new item->next = list;
        #pragma omp atomic compare capture
            ok = list == new_item->next;
            if (ok)
                list = new item;
```

# "Transactions" Using Compare-And-Swap

It is possible to do almost anything using Compare-And-Swap!

#### Idiom: Compare-And-Swap Loop

- 1. [Begin.]  $x_{old} \leftarrow x$
- 2. [Work.] Compute an updated  $x_{new}$ 
  - This may read x...
  - ... and x may be modified by another thread
- 3. [Commit.]  $ok = (x == x_old)$ ; if  $(ok) x = x_new$ ;
- 4. [Repeat.] If not OK, go back to 1.

# atomic compare Example

Insertion Into a Hash Table With Linear Probing

# Hash Table With Linear Probing

#### Thread-safe version

```
void atomic_insert(void *H, void *item)
{
   int i = hash_function(item);
   book ok = 0;
   while (!ok) {
        #pragma omp atomic compare capture
        { ok = H[i] == EMPTY; if (ok) H[i] = item; }
        i = (i + 1) % HASHTABLE_SIZE;
   }
}
```

#### Differences Between atomic and critical

- atomic: Intended to update variables
  - Depends on hardware support
    - "Atomic" CPU instructions (compare-and-swap or ll/sc)
    - Implemented with locks as a last resort
  - Lighter overhead a priori
  - To be preferred if possible

#### critical:

- Intended to encompass a larger portion of code
- Implemented using locks
- Heavier overhead

# atomic VS critical: Tricky Example

Appending an Item to a Dynamic Array with resizing

```
void *A[]:
int n = 0;
                                    /* #items inserted in A[] */
size = 0;
                                    /* allocated size of A */
void append(void *payload)
  #pragma omp critical
     if (n >= size) {
                           /* array too small? Enlarge it */
          size = 2 * size + 1;
         A = realloc(A, size); /* change A */
     A[n] = payload;
                                 /* finally, insert */
     n += 1:
```

#### Without locks / critical?

- ► Possible, but quite difficult
- ► Nice challenge! Try it at home!

# Lock-Free Algorithms

#### Definition

A function is **lock-free** if, when it is called by several threads at the same time, at least one of the invocations finishes in a finite number of steps (whatever the others do, even if they block).

⇒ if a function acquires a lock or enters a critical section, it cannot be *lock-free*.

#### Challenge

Design a *lock-free* data structure to hold a set of *N* integers. API:

```
void setup(int N);  /* initialise S = {0, 1, ..., N-1} */
bool remove(int i);  /* Remove i from S. Return 1 if i was in S */
int extract_min();  /* let k = min(S) in remove(k) */
```

# Golden rule of multi-thread programming

**ALL** potentially conflicting accesses\* to **shared** variables **MUST** be protected (atomic, critical, ...).

\* at least one of them is a write

# The Same Example Again

# #include <stdio.h> int main() { int c = 0; #pragma omp parallel for for (int i = 0; i < 100000; i++) { c++; } printf("c=%d\n", c); }</pre>

#### Execution


```
$ ./a.out
c=15074
```



$$c = 42$$

















Load-Store Hardware Architectures









%rax = 42









Load-Store Hardware Architectures








%rax = 43



Load-Store Hardware Architectures





c = 43





%rax = 43









#### Bad

```
#include <stdio.h>
int main()
{
   int c = 0;
   #pragma omp parallel for
   for (int i = 0; i < 100000; i++) {
      c++;
   }
   printf("c=%d\n", c);
}</pre>
```

#### Good

```
#include <stdio.h>
int main()
{
  int c = 0;
  #pragma omp parallel for
  for (int i = 0; i < 100000; i++) {
    #pragma omp atomic update
    c++;
  }
  printf("c=%d\n", c);
}</pre>
```

#### Load-store architectures:

- x++, x += 10, etc. are **not atomic**
- ▶ Not even when performed by a single CPU instruction

#### **Tasks**

Since OpenMP 3.0 (2008)

### French speakers: point d'orthographe

Tache marque, salissure, souillure (stain). Une tache d'encre

Tâche travail à exécuter (task). Une tâche ardue

#### **Tasks**

Since OpenMP 3.0 (2008)

#### French speakers: point d'orthographe

Tache marque, salissure, souillure (stain). Une tache d'encre

Tâche travail à exécuter (task). Une tâche ardue

#### Reminder: #pragma omp parallel

- A thread team is assembled
- **.**..
- ► An implicit task is scheduled on each thread
- It is tied (cannot migrate to another thread)

**task** = code + associated data ("closure"), executed by a thread

# omp task Directive

```
#pragma omp task [clause], [clause], ...
structured block
```


- The encoutering thread creates an explicit task
- Execution can be immediate or deferred
- Execution by one of the threads of the team... if available

# omp task Directive

```
#pragma omp task [clause], [clause], ...
structured block
```

- The encoutering thread creates an explicit task
- Execution can be immediate or deferred
- Execution by one of the threads of the team... if available
- ▶ A thread may suspend a task and start/resume another one...
- ... but only at a task scheduling point

#### "Task Pool"



# Developer specifies tasks in application Run-time system executes tasks

(An Overview of OpenMP 3.0, R. van der Pas, IWOMP2009)

# Synchronizing Tasks

Fork/Join Model

#### "Normal" barrier

- Implicit: at the end of a parallel region, of omp for, ...
- Explicit: #pragma omp barrier

**Guarantee**: All tasks created by threads in the team are completed after the barrier

#### Task barrier: #pragma omp taskwait

- ► The current task waits for completion of its child tasks
- Only direct children, not descendant

# Example: Iterating Over a Linked List

```
struct item_t {
   void *data;
   struct item_t *next;
};
struct item_t *list;
```

# Sequential

```
struct item_t *e = list;
while (e != NULL) {
   process(e->data);
   e = e->next;
}
```

#### With tasks

```
struct item_t *e = list;
#pragma omp parallel
#pragma omp single
while (e != NULL) {
    #pragma omp task
    process(e->data);
    e = e->next;
}
```

# Example: Visiting the Nodes of a Binary Tree

```
struct tree_t {
    ...
    struct tree_t *left, *right;
};
struct tree_t *root;
```

# Sequential

```
void walk(struct tree_t *t)
{
    ...
    if (t->left)
        walk(t->left);
    if (t->right)
        walk(t->right);
}
```

#### With tasks

```
void walk(struct tree_t *t)
{
  if (t->left)
      #pragma omp task
      walk(t->left);
  if (t->right)
      #pragma omp task
      walk(t->right);
}
#pragma omp parallel
#pragma omp single
walk(root);
```

# omp task Directive (continued)

```
#pragma omp task [clause], [clause], ...
structured block
```

#### Associated clauses:

- private (variable\_list), firstprivate (variable\_list), shared
   (variable\_list)
- default(shared | none)
- untied
- depend(dependance-type: list)
- ▶ if (expression)
- **...**

# Tasks: Variable Scoping

- Most useful data attribute with tasks: firstprivate
- → By default on all variables...
- ...except if they are already shared
  - Global cariables
  - Declared before the parallel section
  - Explicitly tagged asshared

#### Be careful with shared variables on the stack

```
void f()
{
   int i = 3;
    #pragma omp task shared(i)
   printf("%d\n", i);
}

#pragma omp parallel
#pragma omp single
f();
```

# Tasks: When is shared Necessary?

```
struct tree_t {
  . . .
  struct tree_t *left, *right;
}:
struct tree_t *root;
/* Return \# nodes in the tree. */
int size(struct tree_t *t)
  int s_left = 0, s_right = 0;
  if (t->left)
      #pragma omp task shared(s left)
      s_left = size(t->left);
  if (t->right)
      #pragma omp task shared(s right)
      s_right = size(t->right);
  #pragma omp taskwait
  return 1 + s_left + s_right;
#pragma omp parallel
#pragma omp single
printf("%d\n", size(root));
```

# Tasks: granularity

#### Creating a task has a non-trivial cost

#### Don't create microscopic tasks

- ▶ if Clause from the omp task directive
  - ► E.g. #pragma omp task if(prof < PROF\_MAX)
  - The task is created anyway...
  - ...but executed immediately by the encountering thread
- ▶ if instruction:

```
if (prof < PROF_MAX) {
    #pragma omp task
    stuff(...);
} else {
    stuff(...);
}</pre>
```

 $\rightarrow$  to be preferred

# Tasks: dependances

Example (Christian Terboven)

```
void blocked cholesky (int NB, float A[NB][NB]) {
   int i, i, k;
   for (k=0; k<NB; k++) {
     #pragma omp task depend(inout:A[k][k])
        spotrf (A[k][k]);
     for (i=k+1; i<NT; i++)
       #pragma omp task depend(in:A[k][k]) depend(inout:A[k][i])
          strsm (A[k][k], A[k][i]);
       // update trailing submatrix
       for (i=k+1; i<NT; i++) {
         for (j=k+1; j<i; j++)
           #pragma omp task depend(in:A[k][i],A[k][j])
                                                                     * image from BSC
                            depend(inout:A[j][i])
              sgemm( A[k][i], A[k][j], A[j][i]);
         #pragma omp task depend(in:A[k][i]) depend(inout:A[i][i])
            ssyrk (A[k][i], A[i][i]);
```

#### Nested Parallelism

- A parallel directive inside a parallel directive
- In most cases, this is a no-op.
  - No more "available" threads to work on the nested parallel region: they are all in the parent parallel region.
- It is possible to force the creation of a new thread teams (cf. spec)

#### My opinion

- STRICTLY USELESS to do it voluntarily
- Could happen if a parallel program invoked a parallel library inside a parallel region

# OpenMP Runtime Library

#### Some common functions (with #include <omp.h>):

- void omp\_set\_num\_threads(int num\_thread) : sets the number of threads to use in subsequent parallel regions
- int omp\_get\_num\_threads(void): return the number of threads in the current team
- int omp\_get\_max\_threads(void): upper bound on #threads in new teams
- int omp\_get\_thread\_num(void)
- int omp\_get\_num\_procs(void)
- int omp\_in\_parallel(void): return true if we are inside a parallel region
- double omp\_get\_wtime(void)

#### **Environment Variables**

- ► OMP\_NUM\_THREADS
- OMP\_SCHEDULE:
   export OMP\_SCHEDULE="static,4"
   export OMP\_SCHEDULE="dynamic"
- **.**..

#### **Cheat Sheet**

#### Most common

- ► The must: #pragma omp parallel for
- atomic and critical directives
- schedule and reduction clauses

#### Less common: SPMD mode

- Parallel region with explicit #pragma omp for inside
- omp\_get\_thread\_num() and omp\_get\_num\_threads()
- barrier or single directives

#### Rare (reserved for the most delicate cases...)

Everything else!