ASEN 6519-007 Decision Making under Uncertainty Homework 5: Introduction to POMDPs

March 16, 2020

1 Conceptual Questions

Question 1. Consider a modified version of the Crying Baby POMDP discussed in class and in Section 6.1.1 of Decision Making under Uncertainty by Kochenderfer. This version is exactly as described in the book, except that the baby has a 20% chance of becoming hungry at the next time step if it is not currently hungry and only a 60% chance of crying when hungry. The alpha vectors for the optimal policy are shown below (green=feed, red=don't feed). The point at which the alpha vectors for feeding and not feeding intersect is at P(hungry) = 0.19. Draw an optimal policy graph with appropriate action and observation labels if the initial belief is certainty that the baby is not hungry $(b_0(\text{hungry}) = 0)$.



2 Exercises

Question 2. Consider the following POMDP that represents a personalized cancer monitoring plan¹:

```
\mathcal{S}=\{	ext{healthy,in-situ-cancer,invasive-cancer,death}\}
\mathcal{A}=\{	ext{wait,test,treat}\}
\mathcal{O}=\{	ext{positive,negative}\}
\gamma=0.99
s_0=	ext{healthy}
```

The **transition dynamics** are as follows:

- If the patient is healthy, at each timestep, they have a 2% chance of developing in-situ-cancer.
- If the patient has in-situ-cancer and they are treated, they have an 60% chance of becoming healthy at the next time step.
- If the patient has in-situ-cancer and they are not treated, they have a 10% chance of developing invasive-cancer.
- If the patient has invasive-cancer and they are treated, they have a 20% chance of recovering and a 20% chance of dying at the next time step.
- If the patient has invasive-cancer and they are not treated, they have a 60% chance of dying.
- In all other cases, the state remains the same as in the previous step.

The **observation** is determined as follows:

- If the action is test and the *new* state is healthy, then the observation will be (falsly) positive 5% of the time.
- If the action is test and the new state is in-situ-cancer then the observation will be positive 80% of the time.
- If the action is test and the new state is invasive-cancer then the observation will be positive.
- If the action is treat and the *new* state is in-situ-cancer or invasive-cancer then the observation will be positive.
- In all other cases, the observation is negative.

The **rewards** are defined as follows (one could interpret the reward as roughly quality years of life):

- R(death, any action) = 0.0 (i.e. death is a terminal state)
- R(any living state, wait) = 1.0
- R(any living state, test) = 0.8 (because of costs and anxiety about a positive result)
- R(any living state, treat) = 0.1
- (a) Use Monte Carlo simulations to evaluate a policy that always waits.
- (b) Propose a better heuristic strategy based on the observation history or belief and evaluate it with Monte Carlo simulations. See if you can get an average discounted return of 75 or more.

¹Note that the probabilities are not meant to be realistic. See https://pubsonline.informs.org/doi/10.1287/opre.1110.1019 for an actual publication on this topic