

# Whole Exome Sequencing Analysis

Patient name : XXX PIN : XXX

Gender/ Age : XXX Sample number : XXX

Referring clinician: XXX Sample collection date: XXX

Hospital/Clinic : XXX Sample receipt date : XXX

Specimen : Blood Report date : XXX

## **Clinical history**

Proband, XXX is presented with chief complaints of decreased bilateral vision since 10 years (lateonset). Fundus examination indicative of black pigmented spots in fundus. She is suspected to be affected with retinitis pigmentosa and has been evaluated for pathogenic variations.

## **Results**

## Likely pathogenic variant was identified in MERTK gene

### List of significant variant identified related to phenotype:

Gene	Region	Variant*	Allele Status	Disease	Classification*	Inheritance pattern
MERTK (+)	Intron 8	c.1296+1G>A (5' Splice site)	Homozygous	Retinitis pigmentosa 38 (OMIM#613862)	Likely pathogenic	Autosomal Recessive

<sup>\*</sup>Genetic test results are based on the recommendation of American college of Medical Genetics [1]. No other variant that warrants to be reported for the given clinical indication was identified.

## Interpretation

MERTK: c.1296+1G>A

Variant summary: A homozygous 5' splice site variation in intron 8 of the MERTK gene

Anderson Clinical Genetics is a division of Anderson Diagnostics and Labs



(chr2:g.111982994G>A, NM\_006343.3, Depth: 97x) that affects the invariant GT donor splice site of exon 8 (c.1296+1G>A) was detected.

**Population frequency:** This variant has minor allele frequency of 0.001% in gnomAD database and has not been reported in 1000 genomes databases.

Clinical and Literature evidence: This variant has been previously classified as likely pathogenic variant in ClinVar database [3]. This variant has been previously reported in a patient affected with recessive retinitis pigmentosa in compound heterozygous state [4].

*In-silico* prediction: The *in-silico* predictions of the variant are deleterious by CADD and also disrupted by GeneSplicer, MaxEntScan, NNSplice and PWM. The reference codon is conserved across mammals in PhyloP and GERP++ tools.

**OMIM phenotype:** Retinitis pigmentosa 38 (OMIM#613862) is caused by homozygous or compound heterozygous mutation in the *MERTK* gene (OMIM\*604705). Retinitis pigmentosa (RP) describes a group of disorders with progressive degeneration of rod and cone photoreceptors in a rod-cone pattern of dysfunction. RP has a prevalence of 1 in 3,500, and is genetically and phenotypically heterogeneous. This disease follows autosomal recessive pattern of inheritance [2].

Variant classification: Based on the evidence, this variant has been classified as likely pathogenic variant. In this view, clinical correlation and familial segregation analysis are strongly recommended to establish the significance of the finding. If the results do not correlate, additional testing may be considered based on the phenotype observed.

#### Recommendations

- Sequencing the variant(s) in the parents and the other affected and unaffected members of the family is recommended to confirm the significance.
- Alternative test is strongly recommended to rule out the deletion/duplication.
- Genetic counselling is advised.

## **Methodology**

DNA extracted from the blood was used to perform whole exome using whole exome capture kit. The targeted libraries were sequenced to a targeted depth of 80 to 100X using Illumina sequencing platform. This kit has deep exonic coverage of all the coding regions including the difficult to cover regions. The sequences obtained are aligned to human reference genome (GRCh38.p13) using Sentieon aligner and analyzed using Sentieon for removing duplicates, recalibration and re-alignment of indels. Sentieon DNAscope has been used to call the variants. Detected variants were annotated and filtered using the VarSeq software with the workflow implementing the ACMG guidelines for variant classification. The variants were annotated using 1000 genomes (V2), gnomAD (v3.1,2.1.1), ClinVar, OMIM, dbSNP, NCBI RefSeq Genes. *In-silico* predictions of the variant was carried out using VS-SIFT, VS-PolyPhen2, PhyloP, GERP++, GeneSplicer, MaxEntScan, NNSplice, PWM Splice Predictor. Only non-synonymous and splice site variants found in the coding regions were used for clinical interpretation. Silent variations that do not



result in any change in amino acid in the coding region are not reported.

## **S**equence data attributes

Total reads generated	11.07 Gb
Data ≥ Q30	96.43%

Genetic test results are reported based on the recommendations of American College of Medical Genetics [1], as described below:

Classification	Interpretation
Pathogenic	A disease-causing variation in a gene which can explain the patients' symptoms has been detected. This usually means that a suspected disorder for which testing had been requested has been confirmed
Likely Pathogenic	A variant which is very likely to contribute to the development of disease however, the scientific evidence is currently insufficient to prove this conclusively. Additional evidence is expected to confirm this assertion of pathogenicity.
Variant of Uncertain Significance	A variant has been detected, but it is difficult to classify it as either pathogenic (disease causing) or benign (non- disease causing) based on current available scientific evidence. Further testing of the patient or family members as recommended by your clinician may be needed. It is probable that their significance can be assessed only with time, subject to availability of scientific evidence.

### **Disclaimer**

- The classification of variants of unknown significance can change over time. Anderson Diagnostics and Labs cannot be held responsible for it.
- Intronic variants, UTR, Promoter region variants and CNV are not assessed using this assay.
- Certain genes may not be covered completely, and few mutations could be missed. Variants not detected by this assay may impact the phenotype.
- The variations have not been validated by Sanger sequencing.
- The above findings and result interpretation was done based on the clinical indication provided at the time of reporting.
- It is also possible that a pathogenic variant is present in a gene that was not selected for analysis and/or interpretation in cases where insufficient phenotypic information is available.



- Genes with pseudogenes, paralog genes and genes with low complexity may have decreased sensitivity and specificity of variant detection and interpretation due to inability of the data and analysis tools to unambiguously determine the origin of the sequence data in such regions.
- Incidental or secondary findings that meet the ACMG guidelines can be given upon request [5].

#### References

- 1. Richards, S, et al. Standards and Guidelines for the Interpretation of Sequence Variants: A Joint Consensus Recommendation of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics and the Association for Molecular Pathology. Genetics in medicine: official journal of the American College of Medical Genetics. 17.5 (2015): 405-424.
- 2. Amberger J, Bocchini CA, Scott AF, Hamosh A. McKusick's Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man (OMIM). Nucleic Acids Res. 2009 Jan;37(Database issue):D793-6. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkn665. Epub 2008 Oct 8.
- 3. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/clinvar/variation/VCV000808793.29
- 4. Biswas P, et al. Detection and validation of novel mutations in MERTK in a simplex case of retinal degeneration using WGS and hiPSC-RPEs model. Hum Mutat. 2021 Feb;42(2):189-199. doi: 10.1002/humu.24146. Epub 2020 Dec 13. PMID: 33252167; PMCID: PMC7878419.
- Kalia S.S. et al., Recommendations for reporting of secondary findings in clinical exome and genome sequencing, 2016 update (ACMG SF v2.0): a policy statement of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics. Genet Med., 19(2):249-255, 2017.

This report has been reviewed and approved by:

Sivasankar.S, Ph.D

S. Sivalankan

Molecular Biologist

S. Mither Eurosaye

Muthukumaran. S, Ph.D Clinical Bioinformatician Sachin. D.Honguntikar, Ph.D.

Molecular Geneticist

Dr. G. Suriyakumar Director