3rd Floor, Narayana Nethralaya Building, Narayana Health City, #258/A, Bommasandra, Hosur Road, Bangalore - 560 099, India. Tel: +91 (0)80 67154989 / 990, Web: www.medgenome.com



## **DNA TEST REPORT - MEDGENOME LABORATORIES**

806031/8252456 Full Name / Ref No: **MURUGAN** Order ID/Sample ID: Gender: Male Sample Type: Peripheral Blood (EDTA) 7<sup>th</sup> December 2023 Date of Birth / Age: 48 years Date of Sample Collection: Referring Clinician: Date of Sample Receipt: 8<sup>th</sup> December 2023 Dr. Srinivasa Raman, Anderson Diagnostic & Labs Pvt Date of Order Booking: 8th December 2023 Ltd, Chennai Date of Report: 2<sup>nd</sup> January 2024 **Test Requested:** MGM194 - Hereditary cancer panel genes

## **CLINICAL DIAGNOSIS / SYMPTOMS / HISTORY**

Operated case of moderately differentiated, adenocarcinoma, colon.

## **RESULTS**

## LIKELY PATHOGENIC DELETION CAUSATIVE OF THE REPORTED PHENOTYPE WAS DETECTED

Gene (Transcript) #	Location	Variant	Zygosity	Disease (OMIM)	Inheritance	Classification <sup>\$</sup>
MLH1 (+) (ENST00000231790.8)	Exon 6	c.(453+1_454- 1)_(545+1_546- 1)del	Heterozygous	Lynch syndrome 2		Likely Pathogenic

The specificity of NGS based assays to detect large deletions/duplications is low and an alternate method of testing like MLPA/Microarray/PCR is highly recommended to confirm the same.

## ADDITIONAL FINDINGS: VARIANT(S) OF UNCERTAIN SIGNIFICANCE (VUS) DETECTED

Gene (Transcript) #	Location	Variant	Zygosity	Disease (OMIM)	Inheritance	Classification <sup>\$</sup>
<b>MSH2 (+)</b> (ENST00000233146.7)	Exon 3	c.531A>T (p.Glu177Asp)	Heterozygous	Lynch syndrome 1	Autosomal dominant	Uncertain Significance
MSH6 (+) (ENST00000234420.11)	Exon 4	c.2626G>A (p.Glu876Lys)	Heterozygous	Lynch syndrome 5	Autosomal dominant	Uncertain Significance

\$Genetic test results are reported based on the recommendations of American College of Medical Genetics [PMID: <u>25741868</u>]

The genes included in this assay and their coverage are listed in Appendix 1.

## **VARIANT INTERPRETATION AND CLINICAL CORRELATION**

## VARIANT 1 (MLH1 gene):

Variant description: On *in-silico* CNV analysis, a heterozygous contiguous region of size 179 bp, spanning genomic location [chr3:g.(37007064\_37008813)\_(37008906\_37011819)del] that encompasses exon 6 of the *MLH1* gene (ENST00000231790.8) was not covered in the sequencing data of this sample (Table). These regions are usually well

Page 1 of 7



3rd Floor, Narayana Nethralaya Building, Narayana Health City, #258/A, Bommasandra, Hosur Road, Bangalore - 560 099, India. Tel: +91 (0)80 67154989 / 990, Web: www.medgenome.com



covered in our targeted assay and hence, the lack of adequate coverage could likely be due to heterozygous deletion of these regions. Large exonic deletions involving exon 6 of the *MLH1* gene have been reported in Lynch syndrome patients [PMID: 35149321, 19459153].

**OMIM phenotype:** Lynch syndrome 2 (OMIM#609310) is caused by mutations in the *MLH1* gene (<u>OMIM\*120436</u>). Lynch syndrome 2 show a proclivity to early onset, predominant proximal location of **colon cancer**, a dominant pattern of inheritance, an excess of multiple primary cancers, including endometrial, ovarian, small bowel, pancreatic, biliary tract, urothelial, and bladder cancer.

Based on the above evidence<sup>\$</sup>, this MLH1 deletion is classified as a likely pathogenic variant and has to be carefully correlated with the clinical symptoms.

Though this CNV detected in the *MLH1* gene could be relevant, it is currently being classified as likely pathogenic due to lack of confirmation on the genuineness of the deletion, since NGS is not a recommended method for detecting large deletions or copy number variations. Reclassification of the variant could be considered with the availability of additional evidence from segregation analysis and confirmation of this deletion by an alternative method. Variant interpretation is likely to change if the variant is not confirmed as genuine.

#### VARIANT 2 (MSH2 gene):

Variant description: A heterozygous missense variation in exon 3 of the *MSH2* gene (chr2:g.47410258A>T; Depth: 231x) that results in the amino acid substitution of Aspartic Acid for Glutamic Acid at codon 177 (p.Glu177Asp; ENST00000233146.7) was detected (Table). The observed variation is documented as variant of uncertain significance in hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal neoplasms in the ClinVar database [VCV000491831.10]. It lies in the MutS domain II domain of the MSH2\_HUMAN protein (PF01624). The p.Glu177Asp variant has not been reported in the 1000 genomes and gnomAD databases and has a minor allele frequency of 0.005% in our internal database. The *in-silico* predictions# of the variant are probably damaging by PolyPhen-2 (Hum\_Div) and damaging by SIFT, Mutation Taster2 tools. The reference codon is conserved across mammals.

**OMIM phenotype:** Lynch syndrome 1 (OMIM#120435) is caused by mutations in the *MSH2* gene (<u>OMIM\*609309</u>). Lynch syndrome 1 shows a proclivity to early onset, predominant proximal location of **colon cancer**, a dominant pattern of inheritance, and an excess of multiple primary cancers including stomach, endometrium, biliary and pancreatic system, urinary tract, and brain (usually glioblastoma).

Based on the above evidence<sup>s</sup>, <u>this MSH2 variation is classified as a variant of uncertain significance and has to be</u> carefully correlated with the clinical symptoms.

#### VARIANT 3 (MSH6 gene):

Variant description: A heterozygous missense variation in exon 4 of the *MSH6* gene (chr2:g.47800609G>A; Depth: 233x) that results in the amino acid substitution of Lysine for Glutamic Acid at codon 876 (p.Glu876Lys; ENST00000234420.11) was detected (Table). The observed variation lies in the MutS domain III domain of the MSH6\_HUMAN protein (PF05192). The p.Glu876Lys variant has not been reported in the 1000 genomes and gnomAD databases and has a minor allele frequency of 0.002% in our internal database. The *in-silico* predictions# of the variant are damaging by LRT and Mutation Taster2 tools. The reference codon is conserved in mammals.

**OMIM phenotype:** Lynch syndrome 5 (OMIM#614350) is caused by mutations in the *MSH6* gene (<u>OMIM\*600678</u>). Lynch syndrome 5 is a cancer predisposition syndrome characterized by onset of **colorectal cancer** and/or extracolonic cancers, particularly endometrial cancer, usually in mid-adulthood. The disorder shows autosomal dominant inheritance with incomplete penetrance. Other rare tumors included breast cancer, cervical cancer, ovarian cancer, stomach cancer, and non-Hodgkin lymphoma.

Page 2 of 7



3rd Floor, Narayana Nethralaya Building, Narayana Health City, #258/A, Bommasandra, Hosur Road, Bangalore - 560 099, India. Tel: +91 (0)80 67154989 / 990, Web: www.medgenome.com



Based on the above evidence<sup>5</sup>, <u>this MSH6 variation is classified as a variant of uncertain significance and has to be</u> carefully correlated with the clinical symptoms.

## **RECOMMENDATIONS**

- Validation of the variant(s) by Sanger sequencing is recommended to rule out false positives.
- Targeted testing of at-risk family member especially first-degree relatives (children, siblings and parents) is recommended, as it could benefit other carriers in risk assessment and constituting measures for early detection, prevention and better management of disease.
- The specificity of NGS based assays to detect large deletions/duplications is low and an alternate method of testing like MLPA/Microarray/PCR is recommended to confirm the same. However, we recommend discussing alternative testing methodology option with MedGenome Tech Support before proceeding with confirmatory testing.
- Sequencing the variant(s) in the other affected and unaffected members of the family is recommended to confirm the significance.
- Genetic counselling is advised for interpretation on the consequences of the variant(s).

#### **LIMITATIONS**

- Genetic testing is an important part of the diagnostic process. However, genetic tests may not always give a definitive
  answer. In some cases, testing may not identify a genetic variant even though one exists. This may be due to
  limitations in current medical knowledge or testing technology. Accurate interpretation of test results may require
  knowing the true biological relationships in a family. Failing to accurately state the biological relationships in {my/my
  child's} family may result in incorrect interpretation of results, incorrect diagnoses, and/or inconclusive test results.
- Test results are interpreted in the context of clinical findings, family history and other laboratory data. Only variations in genes potentially related to the proband's medical condition are reported. Rare polymorphisms may lead to false negative or positive results. Misinterpretation of results may occur if the information provided is inaccurate or incomplete.
- Structural variants such as deletions/duplications (CNVs) reported through NGS assay needs to be confirmed by orthogonal method to rule out the possibility of false positives. Translocations, repeat expansions and chromosomal rearrangements are not detected through this assay.
- Genetic testing is highly accurate. Rarely, inaccurate results may occur for various reasons. These reasons include, but are not limited to mislabelled samples, inaccurate reporting of clinical/medical information, rare technical errors or unusual circumstances such as bone marrow transplantation, blood transfusion; or the presence of change(s) in such a small percentage of cells that may not be detectable by the test (mosaicism).
- The variant population allele frequencies and *in silico* predictions for GRCh38 version of the Human genome is obtained after lifting over the coordinates from hg19 genome build. The existing population allele frequencies (1000Genome, gnomAD-Exome) are currently available for hg19 genome version only. This might result in some discrepancies in variant annotation due to the complex changes in some regions of the genome.

## **DISCLAIMER**

• Interpretation of variants in this report is performed to the best knowledge of the laboratory based on the information available at the time of reporting. The classification of variants can change over time and MedGenome cannot be held responsible for this. Please feel free to contact MedGenome Labs (techsupport@medgenome.com) in the future to determine if there have been any changes in the classification of any variations. Re-analysis of variants in previously issued reports in light of new evidence is not routinely performed but may be considered upon request, provided the variant is covered in the current panel.

Page 3 of 7



3rd Floor, Narayana Nethralaya Building, Narayana Health City, #258/A, Bommasandra, Hosur Road, Bangalore - 560 099, India. Tel: +91 (0)80 67154989 / 990, Web: www.medgenome.com



- The sensitivity of this assay to detect large deletions/duplications of >10 bp or copy number variations (CNV) is 80-90%. The CNVs detected are recommended to be confirmed by alternate method.
- Due to inherent technology limitations of the assay, not all bases of the exome can be covered by this test. Accordingly, variants in regions that are not covered may not be identified and/or interpreted. Therefore, it is possible that certain variants are present in one or more of the genes analysed but have not been detected. The variants not detected by the assay that was performed may/may not impact the phenotype.
- It is also possible that a pathogenic variant is present in a gene that was not selected for analysis and/or interpretation in cases where insufficient phenotypic information is available.
- Genes with pseudogenes, paralog genes and genes with low complexity may have decreased sensitivity and specificity of variant detection and interpretation due to inability of the data and analysis tools to unambiguously determine the origin of the sequence data in such regions.
- The mutations have not been validated/confirmed by Sanger sequencing.
- Incidental or secondary findings (if any) that meet the ACMG guidelines [PMID: 27854360] can be given upon request.
- The report shall be generated within turnaround time (TAT), however, such TAT may vary depending upon the
  complexity of test(s) requested. MedGenome under no circumstances will be liable for any delay beyond afore
  mentioned TAT.
- It is hereby clarified that the report(s) generated from the test(s) do not provide any diagnosis or opinion or recommends any cure in any manner. MedGenome hereby recommends the patient and/or the guardians of the patients, as the case may be, to take assistance of the clinician or a certified physician or doctor, to interpret the report(s) thus generated. MedGenome hereby disclaims all liability arising in connection with the report(s).
- In a very few cases genetic test may not show the correct results, e.g. because of the quality of the material provided to MedGenome. In case where any test provided by MedGenome fails for unforeseeable or unknown reasons that cannot be influenced by MedGenome in advance, MedGenome shall not be responsible for the incomplete, potentially misleading or even wrong result of any testing if such could not be recognised by MedGenome in advance.
- This is a laboratory developed test and the development and the performance characteristics of this test was determined by MedGenome.

Malalate

Lakshmi Mahadevan, Ph.D, Principal Scientist Dr. Ambreen Aman, DNB (Pathology), PDF (Oncopathology) Molecular Pathologist; Reg Number: KMC 87877

## **TEST METHODOLOGY**

Targeted gene sequencing: Selective capture and sequencing of the protein coding regions of the genome/genes is performed. Mutations identified in the exonic regions are generally of clinical relevance compared to variations that occur in non-coding regions. Targeted sequencing represents a cost-effective approach to detect variants present in multiple/large genes in an individual. In this hereditary cancer panel, in addition to complete Coding segment (CDS) of 143 genes, promoter regions of relevant genes and critical other non-coding / coding pathogenic variants <100 bp documented in the ClinVar, HGMD, BRCA Exchange and LOVD databases mapping to the targeted genes are also included [PMID: 26582918], PMID: 28349240, PMID: 30586411, PMID: 21520333]. Additionally, there is an enhanced CNV coverage for better detection of CNVs. This panel provides a comprehensive and robust approach to identify SNV's, Indels and CNVs through single test.

DNA extracted from blood was used to perform targeted gene capture using a custom capture kit. The libraries were sequenced to mean >80-100X coverage on Illumina sequencing platform. We follow the GATK best practices framework for identification of variants in the sample using Sentieon (v201808.07) [https://europepmc.org/article/PPR/PPR28504]. The sequences obtained are aligned to human reference genome (GRCh38.p13) using Sentieon aligner [PMID:

Page 4 of 7



3rd Floor, Narayana Nethralaya Building, Narayana Health City, #258/A, Bommasandra, Hosur Road, Bangalore - 560 099, India. Tel: +91 (0)80 67154989 / 990, Web: www.medgenome.com



20080505 ] and analyzed using Sentieon for removing duplicates, recalibration and re-alignment of indels. Sentieon haplotype caller has been used to identify variants which are relevant to the clinical indication. Gene annotation of the variants is performed using VEP program [PMID: 20562413 ] against the Ensembl release 99 human gene model [PMID: 29155950]. Copy number variants (CNVs) are detected from targeted sequence data using the ExomeDepth (v1.1.10) method, a coverage-based approach [PMID: 22942019]. This algorithm detects rare CNVs based on comparison of the read-depths of the test data with the matched aggregate reference dataset and the overall sensitivity of CNV surveillance through ExomeDepth was found to be 97% [PMID: 28378820]. In our internal validation experiments on MLPA verified samples, >80-90% sensitivity was achieved for detecting CNVs.

Clinically relevant mutations were annotated using published variants in literature and a set of diseases databases - ClinVar, OMIM (updated on 11th May 2020), GWAS, HGMD (v2020.2), LOVD, BRCA Exchange and SwissVar [PMID: 265829183, PMID: 28349240, PMID: 30586411, PMID: 21520333, PMID: 17357067, PMID: 24316577, PMID: 20106818]. Common variants are filtered based on allele frequency in 1000Genome Phase 3, gnomAD (v3.1), EVS, dbSNP (v151), 1000 Japanese Genome and our internal Indian population database [PMID: 26432245, PMID: 11125122, PMID: 32461654, PMID: 26292667, https://esp.gs.washington.edu/drupal/,https://www.nature.com/articles/ncomms9018]. Non-synonymous variants effect is calculated using multiple algorithms such as PolyPhen-2, SIFT, MutationTaster2 and LRT. Only non-synonymous, splice site and critical non-coding variants found in the hereditary cancer panel genes were used for clinical interpretation. Silent variations that do not result in any change in amino acid in the coding region are not reported.

Total data generated (Gb)	0.4439
Total reads aligned (%)	99.99
Reads that passed alignment (%)	92.08
Data ≥ Q30 (%)	98.30

# \$The classification of the variations is done based on American College of Medical Genetics as described below [PMID: 25741868]

Variant	A change in a gene. This could be disease causing (pathogenic) or not disease causing (benign).
Pathogenic	A disease causing variation in a gene which can explain the patient's symptoms has been detected. This usually means that a suspected disorder for which testing had been requested has been confirmed.
Likely Pathogenic	A variant which is very likely to contribute to the development of disease however, the scientific evidence is currently insufficient to prove this conclusively. Additional evidence is expected to confirm this assertion of pathogenicity.
Variant of Uncertain Significance	A variant has been detected, but it is difficult to classify it as either pathogenic (disease causing) or benign (non-disease causing) based on current available scientific evidence. Further testing of the patient or family members as recommended by your clinician may be needed. It is probable that their significance can be assessed only with time, subject to availability of scientific evidence.

\*The transcript used for clinical reporting generally represents the canonical transcript, which is usually the longest coding transcript with strong/multiple supporting evidence. However, clinically relevant variants annotated in alternate complete coding transcripts could also be reported.

Variants annotated on incomplete and nonsense mediated decay transcripts will not be reported.

\*The *in-silico* predictions are based on Variant Effect Predictor, Ensembl release 99 (SIFT version - 5.2.2; PolyPhen - 2.2.2), dbNSFPv4.0 (LRT version - December 5, 2019) and MutationTaster2 (MT2). MutationTaster2 predictions are based on NCBI/Ensembl 66 build (GRCh38 genomic coordinates are converted to hg19 using UCSC LiftOver and mapped to MT2).

For any further technical queries please contact techsupport@medgenome.com.

Page 5 of 7



3rd Floor, Narayana Nethralaya Building, Narayana Health City, #258/A, Bommasandra, Hosur Road, Bangalore - 560 099, India. Tel: +91 (0)80 67154989 / 990, Web: www.medgenome.com



# APPENDIX 1: COVERAGE OF HEREDITERY CANCER PANEL GENES ^

Gene	Percentage of coding region covered	Gene	Percentage of coding region covered	Gene	Percentage of coding region covered
ABRAXAS1	100.00	AIP	100.00	ALK	100.00
APC*	100.00	AR	100.00	ATM	100.00
AXIN2	100.00	BAP1	100.00	BARD1	100.00
BLM	100.00	BMPR1A	100.00	BRCA1	100.00
BRCA2	100.00	BRIP1	100.00	BUB1B	100.00
CBL	100.00	CD82	100.00	CDC73	100.00
CDH1	100.00	CDK12	100.00	CDK4	100.00
CDKN1B	100.00	CDKN1C	100.00	CDKN2A	100.00
СЕВРА	100.00	CEP57	100.00	CHEK1	100.00
CHEK2	100.00	CTNNA1	100.00	CYLD	100.00
DDB2	100.00	DICER1	100.00	DIS3L2	100.00
EGFR	100.00	ELAC2	100.00	ENG	100.00
EPCAM	100.00	ERCC2	100.00	ERCC3	100.00
ERCC4	100.00	ERCC5	100.00	EXT1	100.00
EXT2	100.00	EZH2	100.00	FAN1	100.00
FANCA	100.00	FANCB	100.00	FANCC	100.00
FANCD2	100.00	FANCE	100.00	FANCF	100.00
FANCG	100.00	FANCI	100.00	FANCL	100.00
FANCM	100.00	FH	100.00	FLCN	100.00
GALNT12	100.00	GATA2	100.00	GPC3	100.00
HOXB13	100.00	HRAS	100.00	KIF1B	100.00
KIT	100.00	LZTR1	100.00	MAX	100.00
MEN1	100.00	MET	100.00	MITF	100.00
MLH1	100.00	MLH3	100.00	MRE11	100.00
MSH2	100.00	MSH3	100.00	MSH6	100.00
MSR1	100.00	MUTYH	100.00	MXI1	100.00
NBN	100.00	NF1	100.00	NF2	100.00
NSD1	100.00	NTHL1	100.00	PALB2	100.00
PALLD	100.00	PAX5	100.00	PDGFRA	100.00
РНОХ2В	100.00	PMS1	100.00	PMS2	100.00
POLD1	100.00	POLE	100.00	POT1	100.00
PPP2R2A	100.00	PRF1	100.00	PRKAR1A	100.00
PRSS1	100.00	PTCH1	100.00	PTCH2	100.00
PTEN	100.00	RAD50	100.00	RAD51B	100.00
RAD51C	100.00	RAD51D	100.00	RAD54L	100.00
RB1	100.00	RECQL	100.00	RECQL4	100.00
RET	100.00	RHBDF2	100.00	RINT1	100.00
RNASEL	100.00	RNF43	100.00	RUNX1	100.00
SBDS	100.00	SDHA	100.00	SDHAF2	100.00
SDHB	100.00	SDHC	100.00	SDHD	100.00
SLC45A2	100.00	SLX4	100.00	SMAD4	100.00
SMARCA4	100.00	SMARCB1	100.00	SMARCE1	100.00

Page 6 of 7



3rd Floor, Narayana Nethralaya Building, Narayana Health City, #258/A, Bommasandra, Hosur Road, Bangalore - 560 099, India. Tel: +91 (0)80 67154989 / 990, Web: www.medgenome.com



Gene	Percentage of coding region covered	Gene	Percentage of coding region covered	Gene	Percentage of coding region covered
SRGAP1	100.00	STK11	100.00	SUFU	100.00
TERT*	100.00	TGFBR2	100.00	TMEM127	100.00
TP53	100.00	TSC1	100.00	TSC2	100.00
TYR	100.00	VHL	100.00	WRN	100.00
WT1	100.00	XPA	100.00	XPC	100.00
XRCC2	100.00	XRCC3	100.00		

<sup>\*</sup> Promoter regions of these genes are also analysed.

<b>END OF REPORT</b>	
LIND OF INLEGATI	



<sup>^</sup> In addition to complete CDS coverage in these genes, critical non-coding variants reported as pathogenic in clinical databases are also analysed in this assay.