Clinical Exome Sequencing Analysis

Patient name : XXX PIN : XXX

Gender/ Age : Male/2 years Sample number : XXX

Referring clinician: XXX Sample collection date: XXX

Hospital/Clinic : XXX Sample receipt date : XXX

Specimen : Peripheral Blood Report date : XXX

Clinical history

XXX is presented with pigeon chest, growth failure, fever, anemia, neutropenia and thrombocytopenia. Bone marrow evaluation showed pancytopenia. XXXX has been evaluated for pathogenic variations.

Results

Likely compound heterozygous variants causative of the reported phenotype was detected

List of significant variant identified related to phenotype:

Gene	Region	Variant*	Allele Status	Disease	Classification*	Inheritance pattern
DNAJC21 (+)	Intron 7	c.983+1G>T (5' splice site)	Heterozygous	Bone marrow failure syndrome 3 (OMIM#617052)	Likely pathogenic	Autosomal Recessive
	Exon 5	c.642_648del (p.Asp214GlufsTer23)				

^{*}Genetic test results are based on the recommendation of American college of Medical Genetics [1]. No other variant that warrants to be reported for the given clinical indication was identified.

Interpretation

Likely compound heterozygous variants were detected in DNAJC21 gene

Variant 1: DNAJC21: c.983+1G>T

Variant summary: A heterozygous 5' splice site variation in intron 7 of the *DNAJC21* gene (chr5:g.34941289G>T, NM_001012339.3, Depth: 46x) that affects the invariant GT donor splice site of exon 7 (c.983+1G>T) was detected.

Population frequency: The variant has not been reported in gnomAD database and 1000 genomes database.

Clinical and literature evidence: This variant has been classified as pathogenic in ClinVar database [3]. This variant has been previously reported in patient affected with bone marrow failure syndrome in homozygous state [4].

In-silico predictions: The *in-silico* predictions of the variant are damaging by MutationTaster2. The reference base is conserved across species.

Variant classification: Based on the evidence, this variant has been classified as a likely pathogenic variant.

Variant 2: *DNAJC21*: c.642_648del

Variant summary: A heterozygous seven base pair insertion in exon 5 of the *DNAJC21* gene (chr5:g.34937526_34937532del, NM_001012339.3, Depth: 122x) that results in a frameshift and premature truncation of the protein 23 amino acids downstream to codon 214 (p.Asp214GlufsTer23) was detected.

Population frequency: The variant has not been reported in gnomAD database and 1000 genomes database.

In-silico predictions: The *in-silico* predictions of the variant are damaging by MutationTaster2. The reference region is conserved across species.

Variant classification: Based on the evidence, this variant has been classified as a likely pathogenic variant.

OMIM phenotype: Bone marrow failure syndrome 3 (OMIM#617052) is caused by homozygous mutation in the *DNAJC21* gene (OMIM*617048). Bone marrow failure syndrome-3 is an autosomal recessive disorder characterized by onset of pancytopenia in early childhood. Patients may have additional variable nonspecific somatic abnormalities, including poor growth, microcephaly, and skin anomalies. This disease follows autosomal recessive pattern of inheritance [2].

The variants are reported to be in likely compound heterozygous state. These likely compound heterozygous variants are strongly recommended to confirm the cis or trans status by parental segregation analysis.

Recommendations

- Sequencing the variant(s) in the parents and the other affected and unaffected members of the family is recommended to confirm the significance
- Alternative test is strongly recommended to rule out the deletion/duplication.
- Genetic counselling is advised.

Methodology

DNA extracted from the blood, was used to perform targeted gene capture using a custom capture kit. The targeted libraries were sequenced to a targeted depth of 80 to 100X using Illumina sequencing platform. This kit has deep exonic coverage of all the coding regions including the difficult to cover regions. The sequences obtained are aligned to human reference genome (GRCh38.p13) using Sentieon aligner and analyzed using Sentieon for removing duplicates, recalibration and re-alignment of indels. Sentieon DNAscope has been used to call the variants. Detected variants were annotated and filtered using the VarSeq software with the workflow implementing the ACMG guidelines for variant classification. The variants were annotated using 1000 genomes (V2), gnomAD (v3.1.2,2.1.1), ClinVar, OMIM, dbSNP, NCBI RefSeq Genes. In-silico predictions of the variant was carried out using VS-SIFT, VS-PolyPhen2, PhyloP, GERP++, GeneSplicer, MaxEntScan, NNSplice, PWM Splice Predictor. Only non-synonymous and splice site variants found in the coding regions were used for clinical interpretation. Silent variations that are clinically significant in the coding regions are reported.

Sequence data attributes

Total reads generated	8.80 Gb
Data ≥ Q30	93.59 %

Genetic test results are reported based on the recommendations of American College of Medical Genetics [1], as described below:

Classification	Interpretation
Pathogenic	A disease-causing variation in a gene which can explain the patients' symptoms has been detected. This usually means that a suspected disorder for which testing had been requested has been confirmed

Likely Pathogenic	A variant which is very likely to contribute to the development of disease however, the scientific evidence is currently insufficient to prove this conclusively. Additional evidence is expected to confirm this assertion of pathogenicity.
Variant of Uncertain Significance	A variant has been detected, but it is difficult to classify it as either pathogenic (disease causing) or benign (non- disease causing) based on current available scientific evidence. Further testing of the patient or family members as recommended by your clinician may be needed. It is probable that their significance can be assessed only with time, subject to availability of scientific evidence.

Disclaimer

- The classification of variants of unknown significance can change over time. Anderson Diagnostics and Labs cannot be held responsible for it.
- Intronic variants, UTR, Promoter region variants and CNV are not assessed using this assay.
- Certain genes may not be covered completely, and few mutations could be missed. Variants not detected by this assay may impact the phenotype.
- The variations have not been validated by Sanger sequencing.
- The above findings and result interpretation was done based on the clinical indication provided at the time of reporting.
- It is also possible that a pathogenic variant is present in a gene that was not selected for analysis and/or interpretation in cases where insufficient phenotypic information is available.
- Genes with pseudogenes, paralog genes and genes with low complexity may have decreased sensitivity and specificity of variant detection and interpretation due to inability of the data and analysis tools to unambiguously determine the origin of the sequence data in such regions.
- Incidental or secondary findings that meet the ACMG guidelines can be given upon request [5].

References

- 1. Richards, S, et al. Standards and Guidelines for the Interpretation of Sequence Variants: A Joint Consensus Recommendation of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics and the Association for Molecular Pathology. Genetics in medicine: official journal of the American College of Medical Genetics. 17.5 (2015): 405-424.
- 2. Amberger J, Bocchini CA, Scott AF, Hamosh A. McKusick's Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man (OMIM). Nucleic Acids Res. 2009 Jan;37(Database issue):D793-6. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkn665. Epub 2008 Oct 8.
- 3. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/clinvar/variation/VCV000253171.1
- 4. Tummala, Hemanth, et al. "*DNAJC21* mutations link a cancer-prone bone marrow failure syndrome to corruption in 60S ribosome subunit maturation." The American Journal of Human Genetics 99.1 (2016): 115-124. 27346687

5. Kalia S.S. et al., Recommendations for reporting of secondary findings in clinical exome and genome sequencing, 2016 update (ACMG SF v2.0): a policy statement of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics. Genet Med., 19(2):249-255, 2017.

This report has been reviewed and approved by:

S. Swalanko

Sivasankar.S, Ph.D Molecular Biologist S. Neitherkumstage

Muthukumaran. S, Ph.D Clinical Bioinformatician

5 324

Sachin. D.Honguntikar, Ph.D, Molecular Geneticist Dr. G. Suriyakumar

Director