Clinical Exome Sequencing Analysis

Patient name : Miss. XXX PIN :

Gender/ Age : Female/ 13 Years Sample number :

Referring clinician : XX Sample collection date :

Hospital/Clinic : XX Sample receipt date :

Specimen : Peripheral Blood Report date :

Clinical history

Proband, Miss XXX is diagnosed with Type II Diabetes Mellitus at the age of 13 years. Miss XXX has been evaluated for pathogenic variations.

Results

Variants of Uncertain Significance related to the given phenotype was detected

List of uncertain significant variant identified related to the phenotype:

Gen	Region	Variant*	Allele Status	Disease	Classification*	Inheritance pattern
HNF1 (+)	A Exon 6	c.1135C>A (p.Pro379Thr)	Heterozygous	MODY, type III (OMIM#600496)	Uncertain Significance	Autosomal Dominant

^{*}Genetic test results are based on the recommendation of American college of Medical Genetics [1]. No other variant that warrants to be reported for the given clinical indication was identified.

Interpretation

HNF1A: c.1135C>A

Variant summary: A heterozygous missense variation in exon 6 of the *HNF1A* gene (chr12:g.120996568C>A, NM_000545.8, Depth: 91x) that results in the amino acid substitution of Threonine for Proline at codon 379 (p.Pro379Thr) was detected.

Population frequency: This variant has a minor allele frequency of 0.0033% in gnomAD database and has not been reported in 1000 genomes databases.

Clinical and Literature evidence: This variant has been previously classified as uncertain significance in ClinVar database [3]. This variant has been previously reported in patients affected with MODY3 [4].

In silico predictions: The in-silico predictions of the variant are damaging by SIFT and PolyPhen-2 (HumDiv), LRT and MutationTaster2. The reference codon is conserved across mammals in PhyloP and GERP++ tools.

OMIM phenotype: MODY, type III (OMIM#600496) is caused by heterozygous mutation in the *HNF1A* gene (OMIM*142410). MODY is a form of familial noninsulin-dependent diabetes mellitus and is characterized by an early age of onset (childhood, adolescence, or young adulthood under 25 years) and autosomal dominant inheritance. These diseases follow autosomal dominant of inheritance [2].

Variant classification: Based on the evidence, this variant has been classified as a variant of uncertain significance. In this view, clinical correlation and familial segregation analysis are strongly recommended to establish the significance of the finding. If the results do not correlate, additional testing may be considered based on the phenotype observed.

Additional Variant(s)

The additional variants identified may or may not be related to patient's phenotype. Phenotype – genotype correlation is recommended.

List of additional variants identified:

Gene	Region	Variant*	Allele Status	Disease	Classification*	Inheritance pattern	Clinvar ID
HBB (-)	Intron 1	c.92+5G>C (5' splice site)	Heterozygous	Thalassemia, beta (OMIM#613985)	Likely pathogenic	Autosomal Recessive	<u>15447</u>
SRD5A3 (+)	Exon 1	c.57G>A (p.Trp19Ter)	Heterozygous	Congenital disorder of glycosylation, type Iq	Likely pathogenic	Autosomal Recessive	<u>96125</u>

		(OMIM#612379)		
		Kahrizi syndrome		
		(OMIM#612713)		

Recommendations

- The *HBB* and *SRD5A3* genes have pseudogene in the human genome. Validation of the variant(s) by Sanger sequencing is strongly recommended to rule out false positives.
- Sequencing the variant(s) in the parents and the other affected and unaffected members of the family is recommended to confirm the significance.
- Alternative test is strongly recommended to rule out the deletion/duplication.
- Genetic counselling is recommended.

Methodology

DNA extracted from the blood, was used to perform targeted gene capture using a custom capture kit. The targeted libraries were sequenced to a targeted depth of 80 to 100X using GenoLab M sequencing platform. This kit has deep exonic coverage of all the coding regions including the difficult to cover regions. The sequences obtained are aligned to human reference genome (GRCh38.p13) using Sentieon aligner and analyzed using Sentieon for removing duplicates, recalibration and re-alignment of indels. Sentieon DNAscope has been used to call the variants. Detected variants were annotated and filtered using the VarSeq software with the workflow implementing the ACMG guidelines for variant classification. The variants were annotated using 1000 genomes (V2), gnomAD (3.1.2,2.1.1), ClinVar, OMIM, dbSNP, NCBI RefSeq Genes. *In-silico* predictions of the variant was carried out using VS-SIFT, VS-PolyPhen2, PhyloP, GERP++, GeneSplicer, MaxEntScan, NNSplice, PWM Splice Predictor. Only non-synonymous and splice site variants found in the coding regions were used for clinical interpretation. Silent variations that do not result in any change in amino acid in the coding region are not reported.

Sequence data attributes

Total reads generated	4.25 Gb	
Data ≥ Q30	96.78%	

Genetic test results are reported based on the recommendations of American College of Medical Genetics [1], as described below:

Classification	Interpretation
Pathogenic	A disease-causing variation in a gene which can explain the patients' symptoms has been detected. This usually means that a suspected disorder for which testing had been requested has been confirmed
Likely Pathogenic	A variant which is very likely to contribute to the development of disease however, the scientific evidence is currently insufficient to prove this conclusively. Additional evidence is expected to confirm this assertion of pathogenicity.
Variant of Uncertain Significance	A variant has been detected, but it is difficult to classify it as either pathogenic (disease causing) or benign (non- disease causing) based on current available scientific evidence. Further testing of the patient or family members as recommended by your clinician may be needed. It is probable that their significance can be assessed only with time, subject to availability of scientific evidence.

Disclaimer

- The classification of variants of unknown significance can change over time. Anderson Diagnostics and Labs cannot be held responsible for it.
- Intronic variants, UTR, Promoter region variants and CNV are not assessed using this assay.
- Certain genes may not be covered completely, and few mutations could be missed. Variants not detected by this assay may impact the phenotype.
- The variations have not been validated by Sanger sequencing.
- The above findings and result interpretation was done based on the clinical indication provided at the time of reporting.
- It is also possible that a pathogenic variant is present in a gene that was not selected for analysis and/or interpretation in cases where insufficient phenotypic information is available.
- Genes with pseudogenes, paralog genes and genes with low complexity may have decreased sensitivity and specificity of variant detection and interpretation due to inability of the data and analysis tools to unambiguously determine the origin of the sequence data in such regions.
- Incidental or secondary findings that meet the ACMG guidelines can be given upon request [5].

References

 Richards, S, et al. Standards and Guidelines for the Interpretation of Sequence Variants: A Joint Consensus Recommendation of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics and the Association for Molecular Pathology. Genetics in medicine: official journal of the American College of Medical Genetics. 17.5 (2015): 405-424.

- 2. Amberger J, Bocchini CA, Scott AF, Hamosh A. McKusick's Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man (OMIM). Nucleic Acids Res. 2009 Jan;37(Database issue):D793-6. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkn665. Epub 2008 Oct 8.
- 3. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/clinvar/variation/VCV000972818.16
- 4. Wang X, et al. Screening of *HNF1A* and *HNF4A* mutation and clinical phenotype analysis in a large cohort of Chinese patients with maturity-onset diabetes of the young. Acta Diabetol. 2019 Mar;56(3):281-288. doi: 10.1007/s00592-018-1232-x. Epub 2018 Oct 6. PMID: 30293189.
- 5. Kalia S.S. et al., Recommendations for reporting of secondary findings in clinical exome and genome sequencing, 2016 update (ACMG SF v2.0): a policy statement of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics. Genet Med., 19(2):249-255, 2017.

This report has been reviewed and approved by:

Sivasankar.S, Ph.D

Sivasankar.S, Ph.D Molecular Biologist S. Mithertunsique

Muthukumaran. S, Ph.D Clinical Bioinformatician

Sachin. D.Honguntikar, Ph.D, Molecular Geneticist Dr. G. Suriyakumar Director