Formalising Groth16 in Lean 4

Daniel Rogozin, for Yatima Inc

August 15, 2022*

1 Introduction

In this document, we describe the Groth16 soundness formalisation in Lean 4. The text contains the protocol description as well as some comments to its implementation.

Groth16 is a kind of ZK-SNARK protocol introduced in [1]. The latter means that:

- It is zero-knowledge. In other words, a prover only has a particular piece of information.
- It is *non-interactive* in order to make secret parameters reusable.

Protocols of this kind have the core characteristics such as:

- Soundness, i.e., if a statement does not hold, then the prover cannot convince the verifier.
- Completeness, i.e., the verifier is convinced whenever a statement is true.
- Zero-knowledge, i.e., the only thing is needed is the truth of a statement.

Generally, non-interactive zero-knowledge proofs relies on the *common reference string* model, that is, a model where a public string is generated in a trusted way and all parties have an access to it.

Let us describe the commond scheme that non-interactive zero-knowledge protocols obey, see [2] to have more details. Before that, we need some bits of terminology. Let $p \in F[X]$ be a polynomial, a prover is going to convince a verifier that they know p. In turn, knowing p means that a prover knows some of its roots. As it is well-known, any polynomial might be decomposed as follows whenever it has roots (since fields we consider are finite and they are not algebraically closed):

$$p(x) = \prod_{i < deg(p)} (x - a_i) \tag{1}$$

for some a_i , i < deg(p).

Assume that a prover has some values $\{r_i \mid i < n\}$ where each $r_i \in F$ for some $n \leq deg(p)$. A prover wants to convince a verifier that $p(r_i)$ for each a_i from that set.

If there a_i 's are really roots of p, then the polynomial p can be rewritten as:

$$p(x) = (\prod_{i < n} (x - r_i)) \cdot h(x)$$
(2)

for some $h \in F[X]$.

Denote $(\prod_{i < n} (x - r_i))$ as t(x). We shall call t(x) further a target polynomial. So, a verifier accepts only if a target polynomial t divides p.

^{*}This document may be updated frequently.

•

2 Preliminary definitions

We have a fixed finite field F, and F[X] stands for the ring of polynomials over F as usual. The corresponding listing written in Lean:

```
variable {F : Type u} [field : Field F]
```

TODO: listings are rather ugly

In Groth16, we have random values $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in F$ that we introduce separately as an inductive data type:

```
inductive Vars : Type
  | alpha : Vars
  | beta : Vars
  | gamma : Vars
  | delta : Vars
```

We also introduce the following parameters:

- $n_{stmt} \in \mathbb{N}$ the statement size;
- $n_{wit} \in \mathbb{N}$ the witness size;
- $n_{var} \in \mathbb{N}$ the number of variables.

In Lean 4, we introduce those parameters as variables in the following way:

```
variable {n_stmt n_wit n_var : Nat}
```

We also define several finite collections of polynomials:

- $u_{stmt} = \{ f_i \in F[X] \mid i < n_{stmt} \}$
- $u_{wit} = \{ f_i \in F[X] \mid i < n_{wit} \}$
- $v_{stmt} = \{ f_i \in F[X] \mid i < n_{stmt} \}$
- $v_{wit} = \{ f_i \in F[X] \mid i < n_{wit} \}$
- $w_{stmt} = \{ f_i \in F[X] \mid i < n_{stmt} \}$
- $w_{wit} = \{ f_i \in F[X] \mid i < n_{wit} \}$

We introduce those collections in Lean 4 as variables as well:

Let $(r_i)_{i < n_{wit}}$ be a collection of elements of F (that is, each $r_i \in F$) parametrised by elements of $\{0, \ldots, n_{wit}\}$. Define a polynomial $t \in F[X]$ as:

$$t = \prod_{i \in n_{wit}} (x - r_i).$$

Crearly, these r_i 's are roots of t. The definition in Lean 4:

```
variable (r : Fin n_{\text{wit}} \rightarrow F)
```

$$\begin{array}{lll} def & t : F[X] := Pi & i & in finRange & n_wit \\ (x : F[X]) & - Polynomial.c & (r & i) \end{array}$$

3 Properties of t

The polynomial t has the following properties:

Lemma 1.

- 1. $deg(t) = n_{wit}$;
- 2. t is monic, that is, its leading coefficient is equal to 1;
- 3. If $n_{wit} > 0$, then deg(t) > 0.

We formalise these statements as follows (but we skip proofs):

Let $\{a_{wit_i} | i < n_{wit}\}$ and $\{a_{stmt_i} | i < n_{stmt}\}$ be collections of elements of F. A stamenent witness polynomial pair is a pair of single variable polynomials $(F_{wit_{sv}}, F_{stmt_{sv}})$ such that $F_{wit_{sv}}, F_{stmt_{sv}} \in F[X]$ and

- $F_{wit_{sv}} = \sum_{i < n_{wit}} a_{wit_i} u_{wit_i}(x)$
- $F_{stmt_{sv}} = \sum_{i \le n, \dots, n} a_{stmt_i} u_{stmt_i}(x)$

Their Lean 4 counterparts:

```
def V_{wit_sv} (a_{wit} : Fin n_{wit} \rightarrow F) : Polynomial F := \\ sum i in finRange n_{wit}, a_{wit} i \\ bullet u_{wit} i
```

Define a polynomial sat as:

$$sat = (V_{stmt_{sv}} + V_{wit_{sv}}) \cdot ((\sum_{i < n_{stmt}} a_{stmt_{i}} v_{stmt_{i}}(x)) + (\sum_{i < n_{wit}} a_{wit_{i}} v_{wit_{i}}(x))) - ((\sum_{i < n_{stmt}} a_{stmt_{i}} w_{stmt_{i}}(x)) + (\sum_{i < n_{wit}} a_{wit_{i}} w_{wit_{i}}(x)))$$

$$- ((\sum_{i < n_{stmt}} a_{stmt_{i}} w_{stmt_{i}}(x)) + (\sum_{i < n_{wit}} a_{wit_{i}} w_{wit_{i}}(x)))$$

$$(3)$$

A pair $(F_{wit_{sv}}, F_{stmt_{sv}})$ satisfies the square span program, if the remainder of division of sat by t is equal to 0. This requirement is common for ZK-SNARK protocols as we discussed in the first section.

The Lean 4 analogue of the property defined above:

```
 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{sin} \operatorname{fin} \operatorname{Range} \operatorname{n\_wit}, \ \operatorname{a\_wit} \ \operatorname{i} \ \operatorname{bullet} \ \operatorname{v\_wit} \ \operatorname{i}) \\ - \\ ((\sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{fin} \operatorname{Range} \operatorname{n\_stmt}, \ \operatorname{a\_stmt} \ \operatorname{i} \ \operatorname{bullet} \ \operatorname{w\_stmt} \ \operatorname{i}) \\ + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{fin} \operatorname{Range} \operatorname{n\_wit}, \ \operatorname{a\_wit} \ \operatorname{i} \ \operatorname{bullet} \ \operatorname{w\_wit} \ \operatorname{i}) : F[X]) \ \%_{-m} \ (t \ r) = 0
```

4 Common reference string elements

Assume we interpreted α , β , γ , and δ somehow with elements of F, say crs_{α} , crs_{β} , crs_{γ} , and crs_{δ} , that is, in Lean 4:

$$def crs_gamma (f : Vars -> F) : F := f Vars.gamma$$

$$def \ crs_delta \ (f \ : \ Vars \ -\!\!\!> \ F) \ : \ F \ := \ f \ \ Vars . \ delta$$

For simplicity, we write this interpretation as a function $f: \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta\} \to F$ defined by equations:

$$f(a) = crs_a \text{ for } a \in \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta\}.$$

In addition to those four elements of F we have a collection of degrees for $a \in F$:

$$\{a^i \mid i < n_{var}\}$$

formalised as:

$$\operatorname{def} \operatorname{crs_powers_of_x} (i : \operatorname{Fin} \operatorname{n_var}) (a : F) : F := (a)^(i : \operatorname{Nat})$$

We also introduce collections crs_l , crs_m , and crs_n for $a \in F$:

$$crs_{l} = \frac{((f(\beta)/f(\gamma)) \cdot (u_{stmt_{i}})(a)) + ((f(\alpha)/f(\gamma)) \cdot (v_{stmt_{i}})(a)) + w_{stmt_{i}}(a)}{f(\gamma)}$$
for $i < n_{stmt}$ (4)

$$crs_{l} = \frac{((f(\beta)/f(\delta)) \cdot (u_{wit_{i}})(a)) + ((f(\alpha)/f(\delta)) \cdot (v_{wit_{i}})(a)) + w_{wit_{i}}(a)}{f(\delta)}$$
for $i < n_{wit}$ (5)

$$crs_l = \frac{a^i \cdot t(a)}{f(\delta)}, \text{ for } i < n_{var}$$
 (6)

Their Lean 4 version:

```
((f Vars.beta / f Vars.delta) * (u_wit i).eval (a)
+
  (f Vars.alpha / f Vars.delta) * (v_wit i).eval (a)
+
  (w_wit i).eval (a)) / f Vars.delta

def crs_n (i : Fin (n_var - 1)) (f : Vars -> F) (a : F) : F :=
  ((a)^(i : Nat)) * (t r).eval a / f Vars.delta
```

Assume we have fixed elements of a field A_{α} , A_{β} , A_{γ} , A_{δ} , B_{α} , B_{β} , B_{γ} , B_{δ} , C_{α} , C_{β} , C_{γ} , C_{δ} .

We also have indexed collections $\{A_x \in F \mid x < n_{var}\}, \{B_x \in F \mid x < n_{var}\}, \{C_x \in F \mid x < n_{var}\}, \{A_l \in F \mid l < n_{stmt}\}, \{B_l \in F \mid l < n_{stmt}\}, \{C_l \in F \mid l < n_{stmt}\}, \{A_m \in F \mid m < n_{wit}\}, \{B_m \in F \mid m < n_{wit}\}, \{C_m \in F \mid m < n_{wit}\}, \{A_h \in F \mid h < n_{var-1}\}, \{B_h \in F \mid h < n_{var-1}\}, \{C_h \in F \mid h < n_{var-1}\}.$

```
variable { A_alpha A_beta A_gamma A_delta : F }
variable { B_alpha B_beta B_gamma B_delta : F }
variable { C_alpha C_beta C_gamma C_delta : F }
variable { A_x B_x C_x : Fin n_var -> F }
variable { A_l B_l C_l : Fin n_stmt -> F }
variable { A_m B_m C_m : Fin n_wit -> F }
variable { A_h B_h C_h : Fin (n_var - 1) -> F }
```

The adversary's proof representation is defined as the following three sums, for $x \in F$:

$$A = A_{\alpha} \cdot crs_{\alpha} + A_{\beta} \cdot crs_{\beta} + A_{\gamma} \cdot crs_{\gamma} + A_{\delta} \cdot crs_{\delta} +$$

$$+ \sum_{i < n_{var}} A_{x_i} * x^i + \sum_{i < n_{stmt}} A_{l_i} * crs_l(x) +$$

$$+ \sum_{i < n_{wit}} A_{m_i} * crs_m(x) + \sum_{i < n_{war} - 1} A_{h_i} * crs_n(x)$$
 (7)

$$B = B_{\alpha} \cdot crs_{\alpha} + B_{\beta} \cdot crs_{\beta} + B_{\gamma} \cdot crs_{\gamma} + B_{\delta} \cdot crs_{\delta} +$$

$$+ \sum_{i < n_{var}} B_{x_i} * x^i + \sum_{i < n_{stmt}} B_{l_i} * crs_l(x) +$$

$$+ \sum_{i < n_{wit}} B_{m_i} * crs_m(x) + \sum_{i < n_{var} - 1} B_{h_i} * crs_n(x)$$
(8)

$$C = C_{\alpha} \cdot crs_{\alpha} + C_{\beta} \cdot crs_{\beta} + C_{\gamma} \cdot crs_{\gamma} + C_{\delta} \cdot crs_{\delta} + \sum_{i < n_{stmt}} C_{l_i} * crs_l(x) + \sum_{i < n_{wit}} C_{m_i} * crs_m(x) + \sum_{i < n_{var} - 1} C_{h_i} * crs_n(x)$$
(9)

Here, we provide the Lean 4 version of A only.

$$\begin{array}{lll} \operatorname{def} \ A \ (f : \operatorname{Vars} -> F) \ (x : F) : F := \\ (A_a \operatorname{lpha} * \operatorname{crs}_a \operatorname{lpha} F \ f) \\ + \end{array}$$

```
A_beta * crs_beta F f

+
A_gamma * crs_gamma F f

+
A_delta * crs_delta F f

+
\sum i in (finRange n_var), (A_x i) * (crs_powers_of_x F i x)

+
\sum i in (finRange n_stmt),
    (A_l i) * (@crs_l F field n_stmt u_stmt v_stmt w_stmt i f x)

+
\sum i in (finRange n_wit),
    (A_m i) * (@crs_m F field n_wit u_wit v_wit w_wit i f x)

+
\sum i in (finRange (n_var - 1)), (A_h i) * (crs_n F r i f x)
```

A proof is called *verified*, if the following equation holds:

$$A \cdot B = crs_{\alpha} \cdot crs_{\beta} + (\sum_{i < n_{stmt}} a_{stmt_i} \cdot crs_{l_i}(x)) \cdot crs_{\gamma} + C \cdot crs_{\delta}$$

$$\tag{10}$$

5 Modified common reference string elements

We modify common reference string elements from the previous section as multivariate polynomials.

6 Coefficient lemmas

7 Formalised soundness

References

- [1] Jens Groth. On the size of pairing-based non-interactive arguments. In Annual international conference on the theory and applications of cryptographic techniques, pages 305–326. Springer, 2016.
- [2] Maksym Petkus. Why and how zk-snark works: Definitive explanation. $arXiv\ preprint\ arXiv:1906.07221$, 2019.