ETM538 HW 4

Jordan Hilton February 3, 2019

Initial Setup

Here's a modified version of the provided data load:

Here's the processing code:

```
colnames(mem_days) <- c("MemberID", "Days")
mem_to_risk <- merge(mem_days, risk_model, by="Days")
claims_to_risk <- merge(mem_claims, mem_to_risk, by = "MemberID")</pre>
```

Here's the code to calculate the a priori probabilities:

```
n_claims <- length(claims_to_risk[,1])  # note that we have to pick a column.

risks <- as.data.frame(as.character(claims_to_risk$RiskLevel))

riskl <- as.list(risks)

risk_count <- aggregate(risks, riskl, FUN=length)

colnames(risk_count) <- c("RiskLevel", "RiskCount")

a_priori <- risk_count

a_priori$Total <- n_claims

a_priori$Prob <- a_priori$RiskCount / n_claims

colnames(a_priori) <- c("RiskLevel", "RiskCount", "Total", "Prob") ##Modified this from original code

write.csv(a_priori, file = "out_a_priori.csv", row.names = FALSE)</pre>
```

The calculation for the condition on Charlson index:

```
l_risk <- on_charlson$RiskLevel</pre>
count_char <- aggregate(df_char, by=list(l_char, l_risk), FUN=length)</pre>
colnames(count_char) <- c("Charlson", "RiskLevel", "Count")</pre>
# check the total to make sure everything is present and accounted for.
n_chars <- sum(count_char$Count)</pre>
n_missing <- n_claims - n_chars</pre>
print(paste("A Posteriori Charlson -- ", toString(n_missing), " are missing."))
## [1] "A Posteriori Charlson -- 0 are missing."
post_char <- merge(count_char, risk_count, by="RiskLevel")</pre>
post_char$Prob <- post_char$Count / post_char$RiskCount</pre>
post_char$Label <- paste(post_char$Charlson, post_char$RiskLevel, sep="|")</pre>
# reorder the columns
post_char <- post_char[c("Label", "Charlson", "RiskLevel", "Count", "RiskCount", "Prob")]</pre>
The calculation for the condition on length of (first) stay:
# extract length of stay as a vector
new_stay <- as.character(claims_to_risk$LengthOfStay)</pre>
# assign default value to missing columns
new_stay[new_stay == ''] <- '0 days'</pre>
on_stay <- data.frame(as.character(claims_to_risk$RiskLevel),</pre>
                            as.character(new_stay))
colnames(on_stay) <- c("RiskLevel", "Stay")</pre>
###for question 4, we're going to add in 1 count for every combination of stay and risk level. this fir
addon<-expand.grid(levels(on_stay$RiskLevel),levels(on_stay$Stay))
colnames(addon) <- c("RiskLevel", "Stay")</pre>
##and this second line adds that to the bottom of df_stay
on_stay<-rbind(on_stay, addon)</pre>
df_stay <- as.data.frame(as.character(on_stay$Stay))</pre>
colnames(df_stay) <- c("Stay")</pre>
1_stay <- on_stay$Stay</pre>
l_risk <- on_stay$RiskLevel</pre>
```

```
count_stay <- aggregate(df_stay, by=list(l_stay, l_risk), FUN=length)</pre>
colnames(count_stay) <- c("Stay", "RiskLevel", "Count")</pre>
# check the total to make sure everything is present and accounted for.
n_stays <- sum(count_stay$Count)</pre>
n_missing <- n_claims - n_stays</pre>
print(paste("A Posteriori stay -- ", toString(n_missing), " are missing."))
## [1] "A Posteriori stay -- -65 are missing."
post_stay <- merge(count_stay, risk_count, by="RiskLevel")</pre>
post_stay$Prob <- post_stay$Count / post_stay$RiskCount</pre>
post_stay$Label <- paste(post_stay$Stay, post_stay$RiskLevel, sep="|")</pre>
# reorder the columns
post_stay <- post_stay[c("Label", "Stay", "RiskLevel", "Count", "RiskCount", "Prob")]</pre>
The calculation for the condition on primary condition group:
on pcg <- data.frame(as.character(claims to risk$RiskLevel),
                        as.character(claims_to_risk$PrimaryConditionGroup))
colnames(on_pcg) <- c("RiskLevel", "pcg")</pre>
df_pcg <- as.data.frame(as.character(on_pcg$pcg))</pre>
colnames(df_pcg) <- c("pcg")</pre>
1_pcg <- on_pcg$pcg</pre>
l_risk <- on_pcg$RiskLevel</pre>
count_pcg <- aggregate(df_pcg, by=list(l_pcg, l_risk), FUN=length)</pre>
colnames(count_pcg) <- c("pcg", "RiskLevel", "Count")</pre>
# check the total to make sure everything is present and accounted for.
n_pcgs <- sum(count_pcg$Count)</pre>
n_missing <- n_claims - n_pcgs</pre>
print(paste("A Posteriori pcg -- ", toString(n_missing), " are missing."))
## [1] "A Posteriori pcg -- 0 are missing."
post_pcg <- merge(count_pcg, risk_count, by="RiskLevel")</pre>
```

```
post_pcg$Prob <- post_pcg$Count / post_pcg$RiskCount

post_pcg$Label <- paste(post_pcg$pcg, post_pcg$RiskLevel, sep="|")

# reorder the columns

post_pcg <- post_pcg[c("Label", "pcg", "RiskLevel", "Count", "RiskCount", "Prob")]</pre>
```

Writing out to csvs:

```
write.csv(post_char, file="out_charlson.csv")
write.csv(post_stay, file="out_stay.csv")
write.csv(post_pcg, file="out_pcg.csv")
```

Question responses:

1. Dataset explanation

Explain how the R data pipeline works, by describing the role of each of the following R data sets:

- mem_to_risk Combines the "Days in Hospital Y2" and "Risk Level" tables to provide information about the risk level of each member ID.
- claims_to_risk Combines the "Claims Y1" table with the mem-to-risk table, so that now we have a single table with information about the claims in Y1 and the risk level in Y2 for each member.
- risk count A simple count of how many rows there are in claims-to-risk for each different risk level.
- a_priori Contains the a priori probability for each risk level calculated from claims-to-risk.
- on_charlson A subset of the claims-to-risk table containing only the Charlson index and risk level fields
- count_char Counts the number of claims for each possible combination of risk level and Charlson index in claims-to-risk.
- post_char Gets the total number of risk counts for each risk level, then uses the count from count_char to calculate the a posteriori probability

2. Spreadsheet operation

Charlson index seems to be the most influential variable- when you hold other variables constant and change Charlson index, you get the biggest change in predicted outcome.

3. Label column

The label column is produced in the R code by this line, which pastes together the risk level and the independent variable we're looking at:

```
post_pcg$Label <- paste(post_pcg$pcg, post_pcg$RiskLevel, sep="|")</pre>
```

It's used by the Excel sheet as the key for the vlookup function to reference the chosen combination of independent variable and risk level for the naive Bayes calculation.

4. Missing values for long hospital stays

This happens because there are 0 occurrences in the data of some combinations of length of stay and risk level. To fix this, we'll add a little seed to the data in the middle of the probability calculation for stay that adds 1 row for every possible combination. We did this in the code above at line 112 as follows:

```
###for question 4, we're going to add in 1 count for every combination of stay and risk level. this fir
addon<-expand.grid(levels(on_stay$RiskLevel),levels(on_stay$Stay))
colnames(addon) <- c("RiskLevel", "Stay")</pre>
```

```
##and this second line adds that to the bottom of df_stay
on_stay<-rbind(on_stay, addon)</pre>
```

As a minor note, we also had to adjust the formula in the excel model to include the additional rows this generated

5. Unlikely B

First, here are some example combinations that predict risk levels A, C, and D:

- A
- C
- D Charlson: 3-4 Stay: 4-8 weeks PCG: SEPSIS predicts for D at 67.2%

Looking at the probability tables, what's happening is just that because that the counts for risk level B are lower than for other categories- presumably because the odds of spending exactly 2 days in the hospital are lower than the odds of spending either 1 day or between 3 and 5 days.

6. Changed Risk buckets

7. Adding a variable