

Essential Laws of Propositional Logic

Double Negation	$\{\neg(\neg p) \models p$
Excluded Middle	$\{p \vee \neg p \models 1$
Contradiction	$\{p \wedge \neg p \models 0$
Idempotence	$\begin{cases} p \wedge p \models p \\ p \vee p \models p \end{cases}$
Identity	$\begin{cases} p \wedge 1 \models p \\ p \vee 0 \models p \end{cases}$
Domination	$\begin{cases} p \wedge 0 \models 0 \\ p \vee 1 \models 1 \end{cases}$
Commutativity	$\begin{cases} p \wedge q \models q \wedge p \\ p \vee q \models q \vee p \\ p \leftrightarrow q \models q \leftrightarrow p \end{cases}$
Associativity	$\begin{cases} p \wedge (q \wedge r) \models (p \wedge q) \wedge r \\ p \vee (q \vee r) \models (p \vee q) \vee r \end{cases}$
Distributivity	$\begin{cases} p \wedge (q \vee r) \models (p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge r) \\ p \vee (q \wedge r) \models (p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r) \end{cases}$
Implication	$\{p \rightarrow q \models \neg p \vee q$
Contrapositive	$\{p \rightarrow q \models \neg q \rightarrow \neg p$
Equivalence	$\{p \leftrightarrow q \models (p \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow p)$
De Morgan	$\begin{cases} \neg(p \wedge q) \models \neg p \vee \neg q \\ \neg(p \vee q) \models \neg p \wedge \neg q \end{cases}$
Absorption I	$\begin{cases} p \wedge (p \vee q) \models p \\ p \vee (p \wedge q) \models p \end{cases}$
Absorption II	$\begin{cases} (p \vee q) \wedge (\neg p \vee q) \models q \\ (p \wedge q) \vee (\neg p \wedge q) \models q \end{cases}$

Eleven Rules of Formal Deduction (+ Six of First Order Logic)

(Abbr.)	From	Conclude	Rule
(Ref)	\emptyset	$A \vdash A$	Reflexivity
(+)	$\Sigma \vdash A$	$\Sigma, \Sigma' \vdash A$	Addition of premises
(\neg -)	$\begin{matrix} \Sigma, \neg A \vdash B \\ \Sigma, \neg A \vdash \neg B \end{matrix}$	$\Sigma \vdash A$	\neg elimination
(\rightarrow -)	$\begin{matrix} \Sigma \vdash A \rightarrow B \\ \Sigma \vdash A \end{matrix}$	$\Sigma \vdash B$	\rightarrow elimination (modus ponens)
(\rightarrow +)	$\Sigma, A \vdash B$	$\Sigma \vdash A \rightarrow B$	\rightarrow introduction
(\wedge -)	$\Sigma \vdash A \wedge B$	$\begin{matrix} \Sigma \vdash A \\ \Sigma \vdash B \end{matrix}$	\wedge elimination
(\wedge +)	$\begin{matrix} \Sigma \vdash A \\ \Sigma \vdash B \end{matrix}$	$\Sigma \vdash A \wedge B$	\wedge introduction
(\vee -)	$\begin{matrix} \Sigma, A \vdash C \\ \Sigma, B \vdash C \end{matrix}$	$\Sigma, A \vee B \vdash C$	\vee elimination
(\vee +)	$\Sigma \vdash A$	$\begin{matrix} \Sigma \vdash A \vee B \\ \Sigma \vdash B \vee A \end{matrix}$	\vee introduction
(\leftrightarrow -)	$\begin{matrix} \Sigma \vdash A \leftrightarrow B \\ \Sigma \vdash A \end{matrix}$	$\Sigma \vdash B$	\leftrightarrow elimination
(\leftrightarrow +)	$\begin{matrix} \Sigma, A \vdash B \\ \Sigma, B \vdash A \end{matrix}$	$\Sigma \vdash A \leftrightarrow B$	\leftrightarrow introduction
(\forall -)	$\Sigma \vdash \forall x A(x)$	$\Sigma \vdash A(t)$	\forall elimination
(\forall +)	$\begin{matrix} \Sigma \vdash A(u) \\ u \text{ not in } \Sigma \end{matrix}$	$\Sigma \vdash \forall x A(x)$	\forall introduction
(\exists -)	$\begin{matrix} \Sigma, A(u) \vdash B \\ u \text{ not in } \Sigma, B \end{matrix}$	$\Sigma, \exists x A(x) \vdash B$	\exists elimination
(\exists +)	$\Sigma \vdash A(u)$	$\Sigma \vdash \exists x A(x)$	\exists introduction
(\approx -)	$\begin{matrix} \Sigma \vdash A(t_1) \\ \Sigma \vdash t_1 \approx t_2 \end{matrix}$	$\Sigma \vdash A(t_2)$	\approx elimination
(\approx +)	\emptyset	$\emptyset \vdash u \approx u$	\approx introduction

N.B.: In (\forall +) and (\exists +), $A(x)$ is $A(u)$ with some but not necessarily all occurrences of u replaced by x .

Proved Theorems

(Abbr.)	From	Conclude	Theorem
(\in)	$A \in \Sigma$	$\Sigma \vdash A$	Membership
(Tr.)	$\Sigma \vdash \Sigma'$ $\Sigma' \vdash A$	$\Sigma \vdash A$	Transitivity
($\neg +$)	$\Sigma, A \vdash B$ $\Sigma, A \vdash \neg B$	$\Sigma \vdash \neg A$	Reductio ad absurdum
(Repl.)	$A \vdash A'$ $C = B$ with A' for A	$B \vdash C$	Replaceability
	$A \vdash B$	$\neg B \vdash \neg A$	Flip-Flop

$$\neg \neg A \vdash A$$

(Double Negation)

$$\emptyset \vdash A \vee \neg A$$

(Excluded Middle)

$$\emptyset \vdash \neg(A \wedge \neg A)$$

(Non-Contradiction)

$$A, \neg A \vdash B$$

(Inconsistency Rule)

$$A \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow C \vdash A \rightarrow C$$

(Hypothetical Syllogism)

$$A \vee B, \neg B \vdash A$$

(Disjunctive Syllogism)

$$A \rightarrow B \vdash \neg A \vee B$$

(Implication)

$$A \rightarrow B \vdash \neg B \rightarrow \neg A$$

(Contrapositive)

$$\neg(A \wedge B) \vdash \neg A \vee \neg B$$

$$\neg(A \vee B) \vdash \neg A \wedge \neg B$$

(De Morgan)

Definitions

Propositional language (§02, 3). A set of all strings of proposition, connective, and punctuation symbols. \mathcal{L}^p has $p, q, r, \dots, \neg, \wedge, \vee, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow, (,)$.

First-order language (§11, 4). A set \mathcal{L} of all strings of connective, quantifier (\forall, \exists), free (u, v, w) and bound variable (x, y, z), punctuation ($(,), ", "$), individual (a, b, c, \dots), relation (F, G, H), and function (f, g, h) symbols.

Expression (§02, 4). An element of a language. The empty expression is ϵ .

Segment (§02, 5). V segment of U if $U = W_1 V W_2$. Initial if $W_1 = \epsilon$, final if $W_2 = \epsilon$. Proper if $V \neq U$.

Term (§11, 6). $\text{Term}(\mathcal{L})$ is smallest set that contains all individual symbols, free variable symbols, and functions of terms.

Atom (§02, 6). $\text{Atom}(\mathcal{L}^p)$ has expressions that are one proposition symbol.

Atom (§11, 8). $\text{Atom}(\mathcal{L})$ has $F(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n)$ and $t_1 \approx t_2$ for $t_i \in \text{Term}(\mathcal{L})$.

Formula (§02, 6). $\text{Form}(\mathcal{L}^p)$ defined by: $\text{Atom}(\mathcal{L}^p) \subset \text{Form}(\mathcal{L}^p)$.

$A, B \in \text{Form}(\mathcal{L}^p) \Rightarrow (\neg A), (A \wedge B), (A \vee B), (A \rightarrow B), (A \leftrightarrow B) \in \text{Form}(\mathcal{L}^p)$.

No other expressions are in $\text{Form}(\mathcal{L}^p)$.

Formula (§11, 9). $\text{Form}(\mathcal{L})$ has same formation rules but also includes

$A(u) \in \text{Form}(\mathcal{L}), x \notin A(u) \Rightarrow \forall x A(x), \exists x A(x) \in \text{Form}(\mathcal{L})$

Sentence (§11, 17). $\text{Sent}(\mathcal{L}) \subset \text{Form}(\mathcal{L})$ with no free variables.

Scope (§02, 45). In $(\neg A)$, A is the scope of \neg . In $(A \star B)$, A is the left scope and B is the right scope of \star .

Truth valuation (§03, 6). A function $t : \text{Atom}(\mathcal{L}^p) \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$.

Valuation (§12, 7). A domain D and function v such that

$a^v, u^v \in D$ for all individual symbols a and free variables u

$w^{v(u/d)} = d$ if $w = u$ and w^v otherwise for free variables u, w, d

$F^v \subseteq D^n$ for all n -ary relations F with $\approx^v = \{(x, x), x \in D\}$

$f^v : D^n \rightarrow D$ for all n -ary functions f

Satisfiability (§03, 9). t satisfies A if $A^t = 1$. Set satisfied if members satisfied.

Tautology/Universally valid (§03, 14, §12, 23). For all t , $A^t = 1$.

Contradiction/Unsatisfiability (§03, 14). For all t , $A^t = 0$.

Contingent (§03, 14). A is neither a tautology nor contradiction.

Tautological consequence (§03, 21). $\Sigma \models A$ if for all t , $\Sigma^t = 1$ gives $A^t = 1$.

Tautological equivalence (§03, 25). $A \models B$ if $A \models B$ and $B \models A$.

Literal (§04, 10). A formula of the form p or $\neg p$.

Disjunctive clause (§04, 12). Disjunction with literal disjuncts.

Conjunctive clause (§04, 12). Conjunction with literal conjuncts.

DNF (§04, 13). Disjunction with conjunctive clause disjuncts.

CNF (§04, 13). Conjunction with disjunctive clause conjuncts.

Definability/Reducibility (§05, 2). Connective \star reducible to set \mathcal{S} if $A \star B \models C$ where C uses only A, B , and connectives in \mathcal{S} .

Adequate (§05, 7). Connectives which express any truth table/connective.

Formal deducibility (§06, 15). $\Sigma \vdash A$ generated by finite deduction rules.

Syntactic equivalence (§06, 15). $A \vdash B$ if $A \vdash B$ and $B \vdash A$.

Consistency (§06, 67). There is no F such that $\Sigma \vdash F$ and $\Sigma \vdash \neg F$.

Resolution (§07, 8). $C \vee p, D \vee \neg p \vdash_r C \vee D$ if C and D disjunctive clauses. Resolve parent clauses over p to resolvent $C \vee D$. Commutativity and idempotence allowed. Note $p, \neg p \vdash_r \{\}$ (empty clause, representing contradiction).

Set-of-Support Strategy (§07, 19). Let $\Sigma' = \neg C$. Resolve all premises against Σ' and add resolvents to Σ' . Repeat until $\{\}$.

Davis-Putnam Procedure (§07, 29). For each p : discard tautologies from Σ , resolve all pairs over p , discard clauses with p . Always outputs \emptyset or $\{\}$.

Theorems (...the general kind)

Lemma (§02, 29). Every formula has equal number of left/right parentheses.

Unique Readability Theorem (§02, 32). Every formula in $\text{Form}(\mathcal{L}^p)$ is exactly one form of exactly one of $(\neg A)$, $(A \wedge B)$, $(A \vee B)$, $(A \rightarrow B)$, $(A \leftrightarrow B)$.

Theorem (§11, 15). The same applies to $\text{Form}(\mathcal{L})$ plus $(\forall x A(x))$, $(\exists x A(x))$.

Theorem (§11, 15). Also to $\text{Term}(\mathcal{L})$ with free/individual/function symbols.

Lemma (§03, 23). Equivalent statements of $\{A_i\} \models C$:

Argument with premises A_i and conclusion C is valid.

$(\bigwedge A_i) \rightarrow C$ is a tautology; $(\neg C \wedge \bigwedge A_i)$ is a contradiction.

Formula $(\neg C \wedge \bigwedge A_i)$ or set $\{\neg C, A_i\}$ is not satisfiable.

Replaceability of tautologically equivalent formulas (§03, 44, §13, 16).

If $A \models A'$ and A is a subformula of B , then $B \models B'$ where B' is B with

some of the A s replaced by A' .

Duality Theorem (§03, 44). If A has only \neg, \wedge, \vee and $\Delta(A)$ replaces atoms with negations and swaps \wedge with \vee , then $\neg A \models \Delta(A)$.

Duality in FoL (§13, 16). If A is as above plus \forall, \exists , and $\Delta(A)$ replaces atoms with negations, swaps \wedge with \vee , and swaps \forall with \exists , then $\neg A \models \Delta(A)$.

Theorem (§04, 22). All formulas have $F \models \text{DNF}(F)$ based on truth table.

Theorem (§04, 24). All formulas have $F \models \text{CNF}(F) = \Delta(\text{DNF}(\neg F))$.

Theorem (§05, 8). The set $S_0 = \{\neg, \wedge, \vee\}$ is adequate.

Finiteness of Premise Set (§06, 31). If $\Sigma \vdash A$, $\Sigma^0 \vdash A$ with finite $\Sigma^0 \subseteq \Sigma$.

Soundness Theorem (§06, 45). If $\Sigma \vdash A$ then $\Sigma \models A$.

Completeness Theorem (§06, 49). If $\Sigma \models A$ then $\Sigma \vdash A$.

Lemma (§06, 67). Σ is satisfiable if and only if Σ is consistent.

Theorem (§07, 20). \vdash_r is complete with the set of support strategy.

Theorem (§07, 35). \vdash_r is sound and complete with DPP.

Theorem (§12, 17). All terms in $\text{Term}(\mathcal{L})$ have $t^v \in D$.

Theorem (§12, 20). All formulas in $\text{Form}(\mathcal{L})$ have $A^v \in \{0, 1\}$.

Theorem (§12, 28). There is no algorithm to decide validity or satisfiability in first-order logic.

Theorem (§14, 33). First order formal deduction is sound and complete.