

# Tutorial 9

## Research Methods for Political Science - PO3110

---

Andrea Salvi

27 November 2018

Trinity College Dublin,

<https://andrsalvi.github.io/research-methods/>

# Table of contents

1. HMW 4 - Keys
2. A few notes from the assignment
3. Q&A
4. RD Challenge

## HMW 4 - Keys

---

## A few notes from the assignment

---

# Independent Samples and Paired Samples T-tests

- **The One Sample T-Test** determines whether the sample mean is statistically different from a known or hypothesized population mean. The One Sample t Test is a parametric test.

# Independent Samples and Paired Samples T-tests

- **The One Sample T-Test** determines whether the sample mean is statistically different from a known or hypothesized population mean. The One Sample t Test is a parametric test.
- Example: IQ

# Independent Samples and Paired Samples T-tests

- **The One Sample T-Test** determines whether the sample mean is statistically different from a known or hypothesized population mean. The One Sample t Test is a parametric test.
- Example: IQ
- **An Independent-Samples T-Test** compares two sample means from different populations regarding the same variable to determine whether the difference between the two means is statistically significant or occurred by chance alone.

# Independent Samples and Paired Samples T-tests

- **The One Sample T-Test** determines whether the sample mean is statistically different from a known or hypothesized population mean. The One Sample t Test is a parametric test.
- Example: IQ
- **An Independent-Samples T-Test** compares two sample means from different populations regarding the same variable to determine whether the difference between the two means is statistically significant or occurred by chance alone.
- Examples: Guinness Batches, Rents in North and South Dublin in a given year. Others?



# Independent Samples and Paired Samples T-tests

- **The One Sample T-Test** determines whether the sample mean is statistically different from a known or hypothesized population mean. The One Sample t Test is a parametric test.
- Example: IQ
- **An Independent-Samples T-Test** compares two sample means from different populations regarding the same variable to determine whether the difference between the two means is statistically significant or occurred by chance alone.
- Examples: Guinness Batches, Rents in North and South Dublin in a given year. Others?
- **A Paired Samples T-Test** (or *Dependent Samples T-test*) compares two sample means from the same population regarding the same variable at two different times such as during a pre-test and post-test to determine whether the difference between the two means is statistically significant or occurred by chance alone.

# Independent Samples and Paired Samples T-tests

- **The One Sample T-Test** determines whether the sample mean is statistically different from a known or hypothesized population mean. The One Sample t Test is a parametric test.
- Example: IQ
- **An Independent-Samples T-Test** compares two sample means from different populations regarding the same variable to determine whether the difference between the two means is statistically significant or occurred by chance alone.
- Examples: Guinness Batches, Rents in North and South Dublin in a given year. Others?
- **A Paired Samples T-Test** (or *Dependent Samples T-test*) compares two sample means from the same population regarding the same variable at two different times such as during a pre-test and post-test to determine whether the difference between the two means is statistically significant or occurred by chance alone.
- Example: Pre-module vs Post-module test score

# Experiments vs Quasi-Experiments

Experiments:

- High degree of control

# Experiments vs Quasi-Experiments

Experiments:

- High degree of control
- Experimental group receives the stimulus or "treatment"

# Experiments vs Quasi-Experiments

Experiments:

- High degree of control
- Experimental group receives the stimulus or "treatment"
- Control group

# Experiments vs Quasi-Experiments

## Experiments:

- High degree of control
- Experimental group receives the stimulus or "treatment"
- Control group
- Random assignment to groups:

# Experiments vs Quasi-Experiments

## Experiments:

- High degree of control
- Experimental group receives the stimulus or "treatment"
- Control group
- Random assignment to groups: **this control for other factors that may influence the DV**

# Experiments vs Quasi-Experiments

## Experiments:

- High degree of control
- Experimental group receives the stimulus or "treatment"
- Control group
- Random assignment to groups: **this control for other factors that may influence the DV**

## Steps:

- $t_1$ : Pre-tests



# Experiments vs Quasi-Experiments

## Experiments:

- High degree of control
- Experimental group receives the stimulus or "treatment"
- Control group
- Random assignment to groups: **this control for other factors that may influence the DV**

## Steps:

- $t_1$ : Pre-tests
- $t_2$ : Stimolous for the treatment-group

# Experiments vs Quasi-Experiments

## Experiments:

- High degree of control
- Experimental group receives the stimulus or "treatment"
- Control group
- Random assignment to groups: **this control for other factors that may influence the DV**

## Steps:

- $t_1$ : Pre-tests
- $t_2$ : Stimolous for the treatment-group
- $t_3$ : Post-test

# Experiments vs Quasi-Experiments

## Experiments:

- High degree of control
- Experimental group receives the stimulus or "treatment"
- Control group
- Random assignment to groups: **this control for other factors that may influence the DV**

## Steps:

- $t_1$ : Pre-tests
- $t_2$ : Stimolous for the treatment-group
- $t_3$ : Post-test
- Pre-tests further reduce the risks of extraneous factors influencing the results

# Experiments vs Quasi-Experiments

## Experiments:

- High degree of control
- Experimental group receives the stimulus or "treatment"
- Control group
- Random assignment to groups: **this control for other factors that may influence the DV**

## Steps:

- $t_1$ : Pre-tests
- $t_2$ : Stimolous for the treatment-group
- $t_3$ : Post-test
- Pre-tests further reduce the risks of extraneous factors influencing the results

# Experiments vs Quasi-Experiments

Problems:

- Biases coming from the Supply/Demand

# Experiments vs Quasi-Experiments

## Problems:

- Biases coming from the Supply/Demand
- Threat to internal validity (Intra/inter session history, performance effect, mortality)

# Experiments vs Quasi-Experiments

## Problems:

- Biases coming from the Supply/Demand
- Threat to internal validity (Intra/inter session history, performance effect, mortality)
- External validity!

# Experiments vs Quasi-Experiments

## Problems:

- Biases coming from the Supply/Demand
- Threat to internal validity (Intra/inter session history, performance effect, mortality)
- External validity!
  - Unrepresentative treatment group of the population



# Experiments vs Quasi-Experiments

## Problems:

- Biases coming from the Supply/Demand
- Threat to internal validity (Intra/inter session history, performance effect, mortality)
- External validity!
  - Unrepresentative treatment group of the population
  - The effect of the treatment might differ depending on the setting (lab vs real life)

# Experiments vs Quasi-Experiments

## Problems:

- Biases coming from the Supply/Demand
- Threat to internal validity (Intra/inter session history, performance effect, mortality)
- External validity!
  - Unrepresentative treatment group of the population
  - The effect of the treatment might differ depending on the setting (lab vs real life)
  - The effect of the treatment may differ over time

# Experiments vs Quasi-Experiments

## Problems:

- Biases coming from the Supply/Demand
- Threat to internal validity (Intra/inter session history, performance effect, mortality)
- External validity!
  - Unrepresentative treatment group of the population
  - The effect of the treatment might differ depending on the setting (lab vs real life)
  - The effect of the treatment may differ over time

NB: Ethical considerations are always needed!

# Experiments vs Quasi-Experiments

- In the real world, and particularly in some areas of research, random assignment is not always possible!

# Experiments vs Quasi-Experiments

- In the real world, and particularly in some areas of research, random assignment is not always possible!
- We then take advantage of situations occurring naturally that correct for issues of internal and external validity

# Experiments vs Quasi-Experiments

- In the real world, and particularly in some areas of research, random assignment is not always possible!
- We then take advantage of situations occurring naturally that correct for issues of internal and external validity
- Many approaches:
  - Difference in differences

# Experiments vs Quasi-Experiments

- In the real world, and particularly in some areas of research, random assignment is not always possible!
- We then take advantage of situations occurring naturally that correct for issues of internal and external validity
- Many approaches:
  - Difference in differences
  - Natural experiments

# Experiments vs Quasi-Experiments

- In the real world, and particularly in some areas of research, random assignment is not always possible!
- We then take advantage of situations occurring naturally that correct for issues of internal and external validity
- Many approaches:
  - Difference in differences
  - Natural experiments
  - Instrumental variables



# Experiments vs Quasi-Experiments

- In the real world, and particularly in some areas of research, random assignment is not always possible!
- We then take advantage of situations occurring naturally that correct for issues of internal and external validity
- Many approaches:
  - Difference in differences
  - Natural experiments
  - Instrumental variables
  - Regression-discontinuity designs

## Q&A

---

Questions? Doubts?

## RD Challenge

---

# Research Design Challenge!

Team up with your colleagues and download the following dataset from James D. Fearon and David D. Laitin, "Ethnicity, Insurgency, and Civil War," American Political Science Review 97, 1 (March 2003): 75-90.

- `https://tinyurl.com/method-conflict`

1. Let's look at the data

# Research Design Challenge!

Team up with your colleagues and download the following dataset from James D. Fearon and David D. Laitin, "Ethnicity, Insurgency, and Civil War," American Political Science Review 97, 1 (March 2003): 75-90.

- `https://tinyurl.com/method-conflict`

1. Let's look at the data
2. Come up with a research question

# Research Design Challenge!

Team up with your colleagues and download the following dataset from James D. Fearon and David D. Laitin, "Ethnicity, Insurgency, and Civil War," American Political Science Review 97, 1 (March 2003): 75-90.

- <https://tinyurl.com/method-conflict>

1. Let's look at the data
2. Come up with a research question
3. Identify a DV and an IV

# Research Design Challenge!

Team up with your colleagues and download the following dataset from James D. Fearon and David D. Laitin, "Ethnicity, Insurgency, and Civil War," American Political Science Review 97, 1 (March 2003): 75-90.

- `https://tinyurl.com/method-conflict`

1. Let's look at the data
2. Come up with a research question
3. Identify a DV and an IV
4. Use plots/tables to describe your data and the relationship you propose



# Research Design Challenge!

Team up with your colleagues and download the following dataset from James D. Fearon and David D. Laitin, "Ethnicity, Insurgency, and Civil War," American Political Science Review 97, 1 (March 2003): 75-90.

- <https://tinyurl.com/method-conflict>

1. Let's look at the data
2. Come up with a research question
3. Identify a DV and an IV
4. Use plots/tables to describe your data and the relationship you propose
5. Identify a suitable test for your design!

I am available for further questions/feedback!