

Staged Compilation with Two-Level Type Theory

András Kovács

Eötvös Loránd University

12 September 2022, ICFP, Ljubljana

Overview

Two-level type theory (2LTT):

- *Voevodsky: A simple type system with two identity types*
- *Annekov, Capriotti, Kraus, Sattler: Two-Level Type Theory and Applications*
- Goal: extending *homotopy type theory* with a meta-level layer.

Overview

Two-level type theory (2LTT):

- *Voevodsky: A simple type system with two identity types*
- *Annekov, Capriotti, Kraus, Sattler: Two-Level Type Theory and Applications*
- Goal: extending *homotopy type theory* with a meta-level layer.

Staged compilation:

- Template Haskell, C++ templates, Rust generics & traits.
- Goal: code generation (for performance, code reuse).
- Clear separation of compile-time and runtime languages.

Overview

2LTT is directly applicable to two-stage compilation.

Overview

2LTT is directly applicable to two-stage compilation.

Features:

- ① Integrates a compile-time (“meta”) language and a runtime language.

Overview

2LTT is directly applicable to two-stage compilation.

Features:

- ① Integrates a compile-time (“meta”) language and a runtime language.
- ② Guarantees staging & well-typing of generated code.

2LTT is directly applicable to two-stage compilation.

Features:

- ① Integrates a compile-time (“meta”) language and a runtime language.
- ② Guarantees staging & well-typing of generated code.
- ③ Supports a wide range of runtime and meta-languages.

2LTT is directly applicable to two-stage compilation.

Features:

- ① Integrates a compile-time (“meta”) language and a runtime language.
- ② Guarantees staging & well-typing of generated code.
- ③ Supports a wide range of runtime and meta-languages.
 - Including dependent types.

2LTT is directly applicable to two-stage compilation.

Features:

- ① Integrates a compile-time (“meta”) language and a runtime language.
- ② Guarantees staging & well-typing of generated code.
- ③ Supports a wide range of runtime and meta-languages.
 - Including dependent types.
- ④ Supports highly efficient *staging-by-evaluation*.

2LTT is directly applicable to two-stage compilation.

Features:

- ① Integrates a compile-time (“meta”) language and a runtime language.
- ② Guarantees staging & well-typing of generated code.
- ③ Supports a wide range of runtime and meta-languages.
 - Including dependent types.
- ④ Supports highly efficient *staging-by-evaluation*.

This talk: **small programming examples**.

- For gory formal details: see **paper**.
- For larger programming examples: see **artifact**.

- ① Two universes U_0 , U_1 , closed under arbitrary type formers.
 - U_0 is the universe of runtime types.
 - U_1 is the universe of meta-level types.

Rules

- ① Two universes U_0 , U_1 , closed under arbitrary type formers.
 - U_0 is the universe of runtime types.
 - U_1 is the universe of meta-level types.
- ② No elimination allowed from one universe to the other.

Rules

- ① Two universes U_0 , U_1 , closed under arbitrary type formers.
 - U_0 is the universe of runtime types.
 - U_1 is the universe of meta-level types.
- ② No elimination allowed from one universe to the other.
- ③ *Lifting*: for $A : U_0$, we have $\uparrow A : U_1$.

Rules

- ① Two universes U_0 , U_1 , closed under arbitrary type formers.
 - U_0 is the universe of runtime types.
 - U_1 is the universe of meta-level types.
- ② No elimination allowed from one universe to the other.
- ③ *Lifting*: for $A : U_0$, we have $\uparrow\uparrow A : U_1$.
- ④ *Quoting*: for $A : U_0$ and $t : A$, we have $\langle t \rangle : \uparrow\uparrow A$.

Rules

- ① Two universes U_0 , U_1 , closed under arbitrary type formers.
 - U_0 is the universe of runtime types.
 - U_1 is the universe of meta-level types.
- ② No elimination allowed from one universe to the other.
- ③ *Lifting*: for $A : U_0$, we have $\uparrow A : U_1$.
- ④ *Quoting*: for $A : U_0$ and $t : A$, we have $\langle t \rangle : \uparrow A$.
- ⑤ *Splicing*: for $t : \uparrow A$, we have $\sim t : A$.

Rules

- ① Two universes U_0 , U_1 , closed under arbitrary type formers.
 - U_0 is the universe of runtime types.
 - U_1 is the universe of meta-level types.
- ② No elimination allowed from one universe to the other.
- ③ *Lifting*: for $A : U_0$, we have $\uparrow\uparrow A : U_1$.
- ④ *Quoting*: for $A : U_0$ and $t : A$, we have $\langle t \rangle : \uparrow\uparrow A$.
- ⑤ *Splicing*: for $t : \uparrow\uparrow A$, we have $\sim t : A$.
- ⑥ $\langle \sim t \rangle \equiv t$ and $\sim \langle t \rangle \equiv t$.

Inlined definitions

Staging input:

$$\text{two} : \uparrow\uparrow \text{Nat}_0$$
$$\text{two} = \langle \text{suc}_0 (\text{suc}_0 \text{zero}_0) \rangle$$
$$f : \text{Nat}_0 \rightarrow \text{Nat}_0$$
$$f = \lambda x. x + \sim \text{two}$$

Inlined definitions

Staging input:

$$\text{two} : \uparrow\uparrow \text{Nat}_0$$
$$\text{two} = \langle \text{suc}_0 (\text{suc}_0 \text{zero}_0) \rangle$$
$$f : \text{Nat}_0 \rightarrow \text{Nat}_0$$
$$f = \lambda x. x + \sim \text{two}$$

Output:

$$f : \text{Nat}_0 \rightarrow \text{Nat}_0$$
$$f = \lambda x. x + \text{suc}_0 (\text{suc}_0 \text{zero}_0)$$

Compile-time functions

Input:

$$\text{id} : (A : U_1) \rightarrow A \rightarrow A$$
$$\text{id} = \lambda A x. x$$
$$\text{idBool}_0 : \text{Bool}_0 \rightarrow \text{Bool}_0$$
$$\text{idBool}_0 = \lambda x. \sim(\text{id} (\uparrow \text{Bool}_0) \langle x \rangle)$$

Compile-time functions

Input:

$$\text{id} : (A : U_1) \rightarrow A \rightarrow A$$

$$\text{id} = \lambda A x. x$$

$$\text{idBool}_0 : \text{Bool}_0 \rightarrow \text{Bool}_0$$

$$\text{idBool}_0 = \lambda x. \sim(\text{id} (\uparrow \text{Bool}_0) \langle x \rangle)$$

Output:

$$\text{idBool}_0 : \text{Bool}_0 \rightarrow \text{Bool}_0$$

$$\text{idBool}_0 = \lambda x. x$$

Inlined map arguments

Input:

$$\text{inlMap} : \{A\ B : \uparrow\!U_0\} \rightarrow (\uparrow\!A \rightarrow \uparrow\!B) \rightarrow \uparrow\!(\text{List}_0\ A) \rightarrow \uparrow\!(\text{List}_0\ B)$$
$$\text{inlMap} = \lambda f\ as. \langle \text{foldr}_0 (\lambda a\ bs. \text{cons}_0\ (\sim(f\ \langle a \rangle)\ bs)\ \text{nil}_0\ \sim as) \rangle$$
$$f : \text{List}_0\ \text{Nat}_0 \rightarrow \text{List}_0\ \text{Nat}_0$$
$$f = \lambda xs. \sim(\text{inlMap}\ (\lambda n. \langle \sim n + 2 \rangle)\ \langle xs \rangle)$$

Inlined map arguments

Input:

$$\text{inlMap} : \{A\ B : \uparrow\mathbf{U}_0\} \rightarrow (\uparrow\sim A \rightarrow \uparrow\sim B) \rightarrow \uparrow(\text{List}_0 \sim A) \rightarrow \uparrow(\text{List}_0 \sim B)$$
$$\text{inlMap} = \lambda f\ as. \langle \text{foldr}_0 (\lambda a\ bs. \text{cons}_0 \sim(f\ \langle a \rangle) bs) \text{nil}_0 \sim as \rangle$$
$$f : \text{List}_0 \text{Nat}_0 \rightarrow \text{List}_0 \text{Nat}_0$$
$$f = \lambda xs. \sim(\text{inlMap} (\lambda n. \langle \sim n + 2 \rangle) \langle xs \rangle)$$

Output:

$$f : \text{List}_0 \text{Nat}_0 \rightarrow \text{List}_0 \text{Nat}_0$$
$$f = \lambda xs. \text{foldr}_0 (\lambda a\ bs. \text{cons}_0 (a + 2) bs) \text{nil}_0 xs$$

Staging Types

Input:

$$\text{Vec} : \text{Nat}_1 \rightarrow \uparrow\uparrow\text{U}_0 \rightarrow \uparrow\uparrow\text{U}_0$$

$$\text{Vec zero}_1 \quad A = \langle \top_0 \rangle$$

$$\text{Vec (suc}_1 n) A = \langle \sim A \times_0 \sim(\text{Vec } n A) \rangle$$

$$\text{Tuple3} : \text{U}_0 \rightarrow \text{U}_0$$

$$\text{Tuple3 } A = \sim(\text{Vec } 3 \langle A \rangle)$$

Staging Types

Input:

$$\text{Vec} : \text{Nat}_1 \rightarrow \uparrow\uparrow U_0 \rightarrow \uparrow\uparrow U_0$$

$$\text{Vec zero}_1 \quad A = \langle \top_0 \rangle$$

$$\text{Vec (suc}_1 n) A = \langle \sim A \times_0 \sim(\text{Vec } n A) \rangle$$

$$\text{Tuple3} : U_0 \rightarrow U_0$$

$$\text{Tuple3 } A = \sim(\text{Vec } 3 \langle A \rangle)$$

Output:

$$\text{Tuple3} : U_0 \rightarrow U_0$$

$$\text{Tuple3 } A = A \times_0 (A \times_0 (A \times_0 \top_0))$$

map for Vec

Input:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{map} : \{A\ B : \uparrow\!U_0\} &\rightarrow (n : \text{Nat}_1) \rightarrow (\uparrow\!\sim A \rightarrow \uparrow\!\sim B) \\ &\rightarrow \uparrow\!(\text{Vec } n\ A) \rightarrow \uparrow\!(\text{Vec } n\ B)\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{map zero}_1 \quad f\ as = \langle \text{tt}_0 \rangle$$

$$\text{map}(\text{suc}_1\ n)\ f\ as = \langle (\sim(f\ \langle \text{fst}_0\ \sim as \rangle), \sim(\text{map } n\ f\ \langle \text{snd}_0\ \sim as \rangle)) \rangle$$

$$f : \sim(\text{Vec } 2\ \langle \text{Nat}_0 \rangle) \rightarrow \sim(\text{Vec } 2\ \langle \text{Nat}_0 \rangle)$$

$$f\ xs = \sim(\text{map } 2\ (\lambda x. \langle \sim x + 2 \rangle)\ \langle xs \rangle)$$

map for Vec

Input:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{map} : \{A\ B : \uparrow U_0\} &\rightarrow (n : \text{Nat}_1) \rightarrow (\uparrow \sim A \rightarrow \uparrow \sim B) \\ &\rightarrow \uparrow (\text{Vec } n\ A) \rightarrow \uparrow (\text{Vec } n\ B)\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{map zero}_1 \quad f\ as = \langle \text{tt}_0 \rangle$$

$$\text{map} (\text{suc}_1\ n)\ f\ as = \langle (\sim(f\ \langle \text{fst}_0\ \sim as \rangle), \sim(\text{map } n\ f\ \langle \text{snd}_0\ \sim as \rangle)) \rangle$$

$$f : \sim(\text{Vec } 2\ \langle \text{Nat}_0 \rangle) \rightarrow \sim(\text{Vec } 2\ \langle \text{Nat}_0 \rangle)$$

$$f\ xs = \sim(\text{map } 2\ (\lambda x. \langle \sim x + 2 \rangle)\ \langle xs \rangle)$$

Output:

$$f : \text{Nat}_0 \times_0 (\text{Nat}_0 \times_0 \top_0) \rightarrow \text{Nat}_0 \times_0 (\text{Nat}_0 \times_0 \top_0)$$

$$f\ xs = (\text{fst}_0\ xs + 2, (\text{fst}_0\ (\text{snd}_0\ xs) + 2, \text{tt}_0))$$

In the demo implementation:

- Bidirectional elaboration
- Coercive subtyping for \uparrow and type formers
- Standard unification techniques

Almost all quotes and splices are inferable in practice.

Staging as Conservativity

The **object theory** is the TT supporting only U_0 and its type formers.

Staging as Conservativity

The **object theory** is the TT supporting only U_0 and its type formers.

The **object-level fragment** of 2LTT contains types in U_0 , their terms, and only allows contexts with entries in U_0 .

Staging as Conservativity

The **object theory** is the TT supporting only U_0 and its type formers.

The **object-level fragment** of 2LTT contains types in U_0 , their terms, and only allows contexts with entries in U_0 .

Conservativity of 2LTT means

- There's a bijection between object-theoretic types and object-fragment 2LTT types.
- There's also a bijection between object-theoretic terms and object-fragment 2LTT terms.
- (Both up to $\beta\eta$ -conversion).

(See proof in the preprint)

ICFP preprint, implementation, tutorial: github.com/AndrasKovacs/staged

Thanks for your attention!