A9: Main accesses to the database and transactions

This artefact shows the main accesses to the database, as well as the transactions necessary for ensuring database consistency.

For each transaction, the isolation level is explicitly stated and read-only transactions are identified to improve global performance. For each identified access, the SQL code and the reference of web resources (A7) are provided.

Main Accesses

This section describes the main accesses to the database.

SQL101 Search all questions by their title

Web Resource R301

```
SELECT *
FROM questions
WHERE
  tstitle @@ plainto_tsquery('english', $search_query)
ORDER BY
  ts_rank(tstitle, plainto_tsquery('english', $search_query))
DESC;
```

The tstitle column is of type "tsvector", and is equal to to_tsvector('english', title), as advised in class. This column is kept consistent with the title by updating it with triggers "on insert" and "on update".

SQL102 Get recent questions

Web Resource R301

```
SELECT questions.id, title, correct_answer,
   score, is_banned, author, content, creation_time
FROM questions, commentables, messages, message_versions
WHERE
   questions.id = commentables.id AND
   commentables.id = messages.id AND
   messages.id = message_versions.message_id AND
   messages.latest_version = message_versions.id
ORDER BY
   message_versions.creation_time DESC
LIMIT 25;
```

SQL103 Get a question's details

Web Resource R306

```
SELECT *
FROM questions, messages, message_versions
WHERE
  questions.id = $question_id AND
  questions.id = messages.id AND
  messages.latest_version = message_versions.id;
```

SQL104 Post a questions

Web Resource R307

```
INSERT INTO messages (author) VALUES ($author) RETURNING id INTO new_id;
INSERT INTO commentables (id) VALUES (new_id);
INSERT INTO questions (id, title) VALUES (new_id, $title);
INSERT INTO message_versions (content, message_id) values ($content, new_id);
```

SQL105 Post an answer

Web Resource R314

```
INSERT INTO messages (author) VALUES ($author) RETURNING id INTO new_id;
INSERT INTO commentables (id) VALUES (new_id);
INSERT INTO answers (id, question_id) VALUES (new_id, $question);
INSERT INTO message_versions (content, message_id) values ($content, new_id);
```

SQL106 Post a comment on a question or answer

Web Resource R318 and 322

```
INSERT INTO messages (author) VALUES ($author) RETURNING id INTO new_id;
INSERT INTO comments (id, commentable_id) VALUES (new_id, $commentable);
INSERT INTO message_versions (content, message_id) values ($content, new_id);
```

SQL107 Get a question's answers and their details

Web Resource R312 and R313

```
SELECT *
FROM messages, answers, message_versions
WHERE
   answers.question_id = $question_id AND
   messages.id = answers.id AND
   messages.latest_version = message_versions.id
ORDER BY
   message_versions.creation_time
   DESC;
```

SQL108 Get a commentable's comments (question or answer).

Web Resource R317 and R321

```
SELECT *
FROM commentables, messages, message_versions, comments
WHERE
   commentables.id = $commentable_id AND
   commentables.id = messages.id AND
   comments.commentable_id = commentables.id AND
   message_versions.id = messages.latest_version
ORDER BY
   message_versions.creation_time
   DESC;
```

2. Transactions

Transactions needed to assure the integrity of the data.

To1 Insert a question Since a object-oriented approach was used to map the question UML subclass to the relational model, atomicity must be guaranteed so all classes that need to be inserted are in fact all or none inserted. Isolation level Read Uncommitted

```
BEGIN TRANSACTION;
SET TRANSACTION ISOLATION LEVEL READ UNCOMMITTED;

DO $$
DECLARE
new_id INTEGER;
BEGIN
INSERT INTO messages (author) VALUES ($author) RETURNING id INTO new_id;
INSERT INTO commentables (id) VALUES (new_id);
```

```
INSERT INTO questions (id, title) VALUES (new_id, $title);
INSERT INTO message_versions (content, message_id) values ($content, new_id);
END $$;
COMMIT;
```

Justification Since a object-oriented approach was used to map the answer UML subclass to the relational model, atomicity must be guaranteed so all classes that need to be inserted are in fact all or none inserted. Isolation level Read Uncommitted BEGIN TRANSACTION; SET TRANSACTION ISOLATION LEVEL READ UNCOMMITTED; D0 \$\$ DFCLARE

```
BEGIN TRANSACTION;
SET TRANSACTION ISOLATION LEVEL READ UNCOMMITTED;

DO $$
DECLARE
   new_id INTEGER;
BEGIN
   INSERT INTO messages (author) VALUES ($author) RETURNING id INTO new_id;
   INSERT INTO commentables (id) VALUES (new_id);
   INSERT INTO answers (id, question_id) VALUES (new_id, $question);
   INSERT INTO message_versions (content, message_id) values ($content, new_id);
   END $$;

COMMIT;
```

T03 Insert a comment

Justification

Since a object-oriented approach was used to map the comment UML subclass to the relational model, atomicity must be guaranteed so all classes that need to be inserted are in fact all or none inserted.

Isolation level

Read Uncommitted

```
BEGIN TRANSACTION;
SET TRANSACTION ISOLATION LEVEL READ UNCOMMITTED;

DO $$
DECLARE
   new_id INTEGER;
BEGIN
```

```
INSERT INTO messages (author) VALUES ($author) RETURNING id INTO new_id;
INSERT INTO comments (id, commentable_id) VALUES (new_id, $commentable);
INSERT INTO message_versions (content, message_id) values ($content, new_id);
END $$;
COMMIT;
```

Several other user stories would require the use of transactions. Fortunately, by default all statements like insert, update or delete are inside their own transaction and the triggers that are fired by them also run in the same transaction. Therefore if anything fails in the execution of the trigger, then everything is rolled back, including the initial statement that fired the trigger.

We've made extensive use of this autocommit mode to ensure database integrity. For example, when a vote is made to a message, a trigger updates its score value. If these two actions weren't executed inside the same transaction and there was a failure in updating the score attribute, then the number of positive votes minus the negative ones wouldn't match the score, leaving the database in a inconsistent state. This is true for all derived attributes, whose consistency is ensured by triggers.

GROUP1763, 24/04/2018

André Cruz, up201503776@fe.up.pt Daniel Marques, up201503822@fe.up.pt Edgar Carneiro, up201503784@fe.up.pt João Carvalho, up201504875@fe.up.pt