superTLS: A Diverse and Redundant Secure Communication Channel for Privacy in Cloud

André Joaquim
INESC-ID, Instituto Superior
Técnico, Universidade de
Lisboa
R. Alves Redol 9, 1000-029
Lisbon, Portugal

Miguel L. Pardal
INESC-ID, Instituto Superior
Técnico, Universidade de
Lisboa
R. Alves Redol 9, 1000-029
Lisbon, Portugal

Miguel Correia
INESC-ID, Instituto Superior
Técnico, Universidade de
Lisboa
R. Alves Redol 9, 1000-029
Lisbon, Portugal

{andre.joaquim, miguel.pardal, miguel.p.correia}@tecnico.ulisboa.pt

ABSTRACT

We present superTLS, a diverse and redundant vulnerabilitytolerant secure communication channel for privacy in cloud. There have always been concerns about the strength of some of encryption mechanisms used in SSL/TLS channels and some of them were regarded as insecure at some point in time. superTLS is our solution to mitigate the problem of secure communication channels being vulnerable to attacks due to unexpected vulnerabilities in its encryption mechanisms. It is based on diversity and redundancy of cryptographic mechanisms and certificates to provide a secure communication channel even when one or more mechanisms are regarded vulnerable. superTLS relies on a combination of k mechanisms/cipher suites, with k being the diversity factor and k > 1. Even when k - 1 mechanisms are regarded as insecure or considered vulnerable, superTLS relies on the remaining secure, diverse and redundant mechanism to maintain the channel secure. We evaluated our channel by comparing it to a normal TLS channel and TLS-over-TLS.

CCS Concepts

•Networks \rightarrow Application layer protocols; Network security; •Computer systems organization \rightarrow Redundancy; •Security and privacy \rightarrow Security protocols; Symmetric cryptography and hash functions;

Keywords

Secure communication channels; Diversity; Redundancy; TLS; Vulnerability-Tolerance

1. INTRODUCTION

Secure communication channels are mechanisms that allow two entities to exchange messages or information securely in the Internet. A secure communication channel has

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

WOODSTOCK '97 El Paso, Texas USA

© 2016 ACM. ISBN 123-4567-24-567/08/06...\$15.00

DOI: 10.475/123_4

three properties: authenticity, confidentiality, and integrity. Regarding authenticity, in an authentic channel, the messages can not be tampered. Regarding confidentiality, in a confidential channel, only the original receiver of a message is able to read that message. Regarding integrity, no one can impersonate another. The information regarding the original sender of a message can not be changed. Several secure communication channels exist nowadays, such as TLS, IPsec or SSH. Each of these examples is used for a different purpose, but with the same finality of securing the communication.

Transport Layer Security (TLS) is a secure communication channel widely used. Originally called Secure Sockets Layer (SSL), its first released version was SSL 2.0, released in 1995. SSL 3.0 was released in 1996, bringing improvements to its predecessor such as allowing forward secrecy and supporting SHA-1. Defined in 1999, TLS did not introduce major changes. Although, the changes introduced were enough to make TLS 1.0 incompatible with SSL 3.0. In order to grant compatibility, a TLS 1.0 connection can be downgraded to SSL 3.0, which brought security issues. TLS 1.1 and TLS 1.2 are upgrades which brought some improvements such as mitigating CBC (cipher block chaining) attacks and supporting more block cipher modes of operation to use with AES. TLS is divided in two sub-protocols, Handshake and Record, constituted by several mechanisms each. The Handshake protocol is used to establish or reestablish a communication between a server and client. The Record protocol is used to process the sent and received messages.

Internet Protocol Security (IPsec) is an Internet layer protocol that protects the communication at a lower level than SSL/TLS, which operates at the Application layer [1].

Secure Shell (SSH) is an Application layer protocol, such as SSL/TLS. SSH is a protocol used for secure remote login and other secure network services over an insecure network [2].

A secure communication channel becomes insecure when a vulnerability is discovered. Vulnerabilities may concern the protocol's specification, cryptographic mechanisms used by the protocol or specific implementations of the protocol. Many vulnerabilities have been discovered in SSL/TLS originating new versions of the protocol with renewed security aspects such as deprecating cryptographic mechanisms or enforcing security measures. Concrete implementations

of SSL/TLS have been also considered vulnerable by having implementation details causing a breach of security and affecting devices worldwide.

• Description

superTLS is a secure communication channel tolerant to vulnerabilities which does not rely on only one cryptographic mechanism. It is our belief that, in order to solve the problem, diversity and redundancy are helpful and can help mitigate the number of vulnerabilities. Diversity and redundancy consist in using two or more different mechanisms with the same objective. For example, MD5 and SHA-3 are both hash functions used to generate digests. In a real case, where MD5 has become insecure, i.e., it is proved to have collisions, our diverse secure communication channel has other mechanism, SHA-3, to keep the communication secure. Using diversity and redundancy, when a mechanism is targeted by an attack, another mechanism is able to maintain the security and availability of the communication.

- The contributions of this paper are: x,y,z
- The rest of the paper is organized as followed: z,y,x

The rest of the document is organized in the following way: Section 3 presents the related work. Section 4 presents the architecture of the proposed solution. Section 5 presents how the solution is going to be evaluated. Section 6 presents the scheduling of the future work. Section 7 presents some conclusions.

2. RELATED WORK

What are the current issues/vulnerabilities in the existing systems/mechanisms?

- Brief TLS
- Mechanisms vulnerabilities
- Brief combining mechanisms OR brief diversity

3. SUPERTLS/ARCHITECTURE

- Images updated from the thesis' project
- Explain second cipher suite [X-Factor] audition and selection

4. EXPERIMENTAL EVALUATION

5. CONCLUSIONS

This paragraph will end the body of this sample document. Remember that you might still have Acknowledgments or Appendices; brief samples of these follow. There is still the Bibliography to deal with; and we will make a disclaimer about that here: with the exception of the reference to the LATEX book, the citations in this paper are to articles which have nothing to do with the present subject and are used as examples only.

6. FUTURE WORK

• Usar duas libraries e usar funÃğÃţes duma e doutra

7. REFERENCES

- S. Kent and K. Seo. Security Architecture for the Internet Protocol (RFC 4301), 2005.
- [2] T. Ylonen and C. Lonvick. The Secure Shell (SSH) Protocol Architecture (RFC 4251), 2006.

8. REFERENCES

Generated by bibtex from your .bib file. Run latex, then bibtex, then latex twice (to resolve references) to create the .bbl file. Insert that .bbl file into the .tex source file and comment out the command \thebibliography.