

Contents

Contents	i
1 Quality assurance	1
1.1 Unit Testing and Assertions	1
1.2 Code Review	1
1.3 Version Control and Git Work Flow	1
1.4 Profiling	1
1.5 Bechmarking	1
2 Mandatory tasks	3
2.1 Inverted Indices	3
2.2 Refined Queries	4
2.3 Ranking Algorithms	5
3 Extensions	7
3.1 Improved Client GUI	7
4 Conclusion	9

Chapter 1

Quality assurance

In order to ensure proper quality of the individual code files and the system as a whole, we utilise different dynamic techniques.

1.1 Unit Testing and Assertions

Assertions, unit tests,

1.2 Code Review

Pair-programming, review of pull requests

1.3 Version Control and Git Work Flow

Keeping track of changes is essential. We decided upon a simplified version of Gitflow including a master and develop branch as well as individual feature branches. This allowed us to keep distinct releases separate from the latest work and ongoing experiments. For the scope of this project, we deemed release and hotfix branches unnecessary.

1.4 Profiling

Write something in this section.

title

Some subsection

1.5 Bechmarking

Another section.

Chapter 2

Mandatory tasks

2.1 Inverted Indices

Implementation

Based on the prototype for the project we implemented two inverted indexes. The `InvertedIndexHashMap` and `InvertedIndexTreeMap` are two subclasses of the superclass `InvertedIndex` which in turn implements the `Index` interface. The inverted index is a clever implementation of the `SimpleIndex` class trying to overcome the problems given by this naive implementation which for every query given looks through every website in the database. The purpose of an inverted index is to preemptively create an index of websites to word when the program is build, rather than performing the task at every query. In this scenario when a user makes a query the search will be performed on a `Map` object which maps words contained in the websites, to the website objects. In this way we increase the time needed for building the application, but we decrease the time needed for every single query. This results in an overall improvement of the performance.

The two subclasses have only one difference, they instantiate two different dynamic types of the map object. A `Map` is an interface which once implemented by a class will map a key to a value. The key needs to be unique but the value can be repeated. The `InvertedIndexHashMap` inherits all the methods and variables from the abstract superclass `InvertedIndex` and instantiate a `HashMap`. The `HashMap` is an implementation of the `Map` interface, it maps a data value (in this case a `Collection<Website>`) to a specific key (in this case a string which represents one word present in a specific `Website`). The `HashMap` does not follow any index and the order of the objects contained can change over time. On the other hand we have the `InvertedIndexTreeMap`, which instantiate a `TreeMap` dynamic type for the map variable and inherits all the methods and variables from the abstract superclass `InvertedIndex`. The `TreeMap` follows the same behaviour as the `HashMap` with only one important difference, the `TreeMap` has an ordered index of its mappings. The `TreeMap` is sorted following the natural order of the keys or according to a provided `Comparator`. In our case this means that the `String` key will be sorted alphabetically.

As said previously mentioned these two classes extend the superclass `InvertedIndex` which is an abstract class, this means that is not possible to in-

stantiate an `InvertedIndex` object directly. This structure allows us to write the code in a more structured way, avoiding duplicate code and leading to easy extensibility of the application. Hence, we implement all the common behaviour of an index into the `InvertedIndex` superclass and then we implement the details into the specific subclasses.

Is this relevant? When we create an object using a subclass as dynamic type, the constructor of the subclass will initialize the map object respectively as a `HashMap` or a `TreeMap`. Then when a method is called on the object, the compiler will perform a method-lookup, which means that it will look for the method in the subclass. But in this case it will find only the constructor, then it will look into the superclass that contain the `build` method and the `lookup` method. These two methods will perform their operations on the map object which was initialized into the sub-class constructor, so we use a `TreeMap` or a `HashMap` depending on the dynamic type declared at the object creation.

Benchmarking

The different implementations are to be benchmarked and compared, the expected findings were that the `TreeMap` would outperform the `HashMap` implementation due to `TreeMaps` logarithmic growth of time cost of the `.get` method based on the map size, compared to the constant growth of time cost in the `HashMap`. The efficiency of the two indices is then expected to intersect at some map size, with `HashMap` being the most efficient up until a certain map size and `TreeMap` being efficient of sizes bigger than that. The benchmark process was carried out multiple times in an attempt to reduce the error size of the process. This was to some extent possible although the error did not become entirely neglectable. The results of the benchmarking can be found in appendix A.

Analysis and discussion: The results of the benchmarking did not align completely with the result that was expected, the `TreeMap` implementation did not manage to outperform `HashMap`, evidently due to the limited size of the datafiles.

2.2 Refined Queries

Basic Input Validation

Initially, we added simple input validation to `[QueryHandler]` to only accept queries comprised of characters in the English alphabet, digits, dashes and underscores. This was done using the following regular expression: Additionally, query strings are transformed to lowercase using `[.toLowerCase()]` before doing a lookup.

Several Keywords

some text

Searches With "OR"

some more text

2.3 Ranking Algorithms

section about ranking algorithms.

Term Frequency

about term frequency

Term Frequency - Inverse Document Frequency

now make it inverse document frequency

Comparison of Algorithms

which one is best?

Chapter 3

Extensions

3.1 Improved Client GUI

there is no deeper blue ...

Chapter 4

Conclusion

