# Table Placeholders

## Methods

Table 1: Overview of issues and recommendations for common classes of models. Correlation and distributions refer to predicted data from a fitted model, against which observed points are compared. A linear rotation refers to a multiplication of the simulated and observed data by a Cholesky decomposition of the estimated covariance matrix of the observed data, z'=Lz, as available in DHARMa.

Model class	Case studies	Issues and causes	Recommendation	
Linear model	Linear model	No issues	Pearson residuals	
Generalized linear	Skewed Gamma,	Quantile residuals are needed if not	Conditional simulation residuals or	
model (GLM)	Non-normality	approximately normal.	OSA CDF	
	caused by response			
	variable.			
Linear mixed	Random walk, spa-	Random effects cause linear correla-	Use a method that linearly decorre-	
model (LMM)	tial LMM	tion in predicted data.	lates in order to scale to a unit iid	
			normal. OSA Full Gaussian or sim-	
			ulation residuals with rotation.	
Generalized lin-	Spatial Poisson,	Non-normality and non-linear cor-	Needs non-linear decorrelation and	
ear mixed model	Repeated measures	relations caused by random effect	quantiles. OSA is only viable op-	
(GLMM)	gamma	structure and non-normal response	tion.	
		variable.		

Table 2: Linear Model Simulation: data generating models, parameter values, and mis-specifications.

Data Generating Model	Parameters	Mis-specified Model
$X_i \sim N(0, 1)$ $\mu_{i,j} = X_i \beta$ $y_{i,j} \sim N(\mu_{i,j}, \sigma_y)$	$\beta = (4, -5)$ $\sigma_y = 1$	Data simulated with lognormal overdispersion: $\mu_{i,j} = X_i \beta + exp(\epsilon)$ $\epsilon \sim N(0,1)$ Data fit to model without drift term

Table 3: Mixed Model Simulation: data generating models, parameter values, and mis-specifications.

Data Generating Model	Parameters	Mis-specified Model
$X_i \sim N(0,1)$	$\beta = (4, -8)$	
$u_j \sim N(0, \sigma_u)$	$\sigma_u = 2$	Data simulated with covariate term
$\mu_{i,j} = X_i \beta + u_j$	$\sigma_y = 0.5$	Data fit to model without covariate term
$y_{i,j} \sim N(\mu_{i,j}, \sigma_y)$		
$X_i \sim Unif(-0.5, 0.5)$	$\beta = (4, -8)$	
$u_j \sim N(0, \sigma_u)$	$\sigma_u = 2$	Data simulated with covariate term
$\mu_{i,j} = X_i \beta + u_j$	$\sigma_y = 0.5$	Data fit to model without covariate term
$y_{i,j} \sim N(\mu_{i,j}, \sigma_y)$		
$u_j \sim N(0, \sigma_u)$	$\beta = 1.5$	
$\mu_{i,j} = exp(\beta_0 + u_j)$	$\sigma_u = 1.4$	Data simulated with random effect term
$y_{i,j} \sim Tweedie(\mu_{i,j}, \phi, p)$	$\phi = 1.4$	Data fit to model without random effect term
	p = 1.2	

Table 4: Randomwalk Simulation: data generating models, parameter values, and mis-specifications.

Data Generating Model	Parameters	Mis-specified Model
$\mu_i = u_{i-1} + a$	a = 0.75	
$u_i \sim N(\mu_i, \tau)$	$\tau = 1$	Data simulated with drift term, a
$y_i \sim N(u_i, \sigma)$	$\sigma = 1$	Data fit to model without drift term

Table 5: Spatial Simulation: data generating models, parameter values, and mis-specifications.

Data Generating Model	Parameters	Mis-specified Model
	spatial range $= 50$	
$\omega \sim GMRF(Q[\kappa, \sigma_{\omega}^2])$	$\kappa = \sqrt{8}/50$	
$\eta_i = \beta_0 + \omega_i$	$\sigma_{\omega}^2 = 1$	Data simulated with $\exp(\omega_i)$
$y \sim N(\eta, \sigma_y)$	$\beta_0 = 1$	Data fit to model without covariate term
	$\sigma_y = 1$	
$\omega \sim GMRF(Q[\kappa, \sigma_{\omega}^2])$	spatial range $= 50$	
$\eta_i = \beta_0 + \omega_i$	$\kappa = \sqrt{8}/50$	Data simulated with random effect term
$y \sim Pois(exp(\eta))$	$\sigma_{\omega}^2 = 2$	Data fit to model without random effect term
$y \sim 1 \text{ ors}(exp(\eta))$	$\beta_0 = 0.5$	

## Results

#### Linear Model

Table 6: Linear Model. Type I error rates and Power evaluated for each analytical and simulation method for theoretical residuals. Results are partitioned out by residual type (top to bottom).

	Overdispersion		
method	Type I Error	Power	
Pearson	0.048	1	
one-step Generic	0.048	1	
one-step Gaussian	0.048	1	
full Gaussian	0.048	1	
$\operatorname{cdf}$	0.048	1	
Unconditional ecdf, Not Rotated	0.041	1	
Conditional ecdf, Not Rotated	0.044	1	

Table 7: Linear Model. Type I error rates and Power evaluated for each analytical and simulation method for estimated residuals. Results are partitioned out by residual type (top to bottom).

	Overdispersion		
method	Type I Error	Power	
Pearson	0	0.962	
one-step Generic	0	0.963	
one-step Gaussian	0	0.963	
full Gaussian	0	0.963	
$\operatorname{cdf}$	0	0.963	
Unconditional ecdf, Not Rotated	0	0.962	
Conditional ecdf, Not Rotated	0	0.961	

#### Mixed Model

Table 8: Mixed Model. Type I error rates and Power evaluated for each analytical and simulation method for theoretical residuals. Results are partitioned out by model mis-specification (from left to right) and residual type (top to bottom).

	$\operatorname{GLMM}$ - Drop RE		LMM - Missing X Normal		LMM - Missing X Uniform	
method	Type I Error	Power	Type I Error	Power	Type I Error	Power
MCMC	0.042	0.038	0.050	1	0.050	1
Unconditional ecdf, Rotated	0.809	0.998	0.996	1	0.994	1
Unconditional ecdf, Not Rotated	0.991	0.032	0.999	1	0.999	1
Conditional ecdf, Rotated	0.995	0.998	0.996	1	0.996	1
Conditional ecdf, Not Rotated	0.035	0.030	0.048	1	0.050	1
Pearson	NA	NA	0.045	1	0.047	1
one-step Generic	NA	NA	0.045	1	0.044	1
one-step Gaussian	NA	NA	0.045	1	0.044	1
full Gaussian	NA	NA	0.045	1	0.044	1
$\operatorname{cdf}$	NA	NA	0.045	1	0.044	1

Table 9: Mixed Model. Type I error rates and Power evaluated for each analytical and simulation method for estimated residuals. Results are partitioned out by model mis-specification (from left to right) and residual type (top to bottom).

	$\operatorname{GLMM}$ - Drop RE		LMM - Missing	LMM - Missing X Normal		LMM - Missing X Uniform	
method	Type I Error	Power	Type I Error	Power	Type I Error	Power	
MCMC	0.050	0.667	0.036	0.259	0.042	0.861	
Unconditional ecdf, Rotated	0.935	0.999	0.998	0.999	0.998	1.000	
Unconditional ecdf, Not Rotated	0.710	0.654	0.914	0.073	0.914	0.070	
Conditional ecdf, Rotated	0.999	1.000	0.999	0.999	0.997	1.000	
Conditional ecdf, Not Rotated	0.000	0.664	0.000	0.207	0.000	0.782	
Pearson	NA	NA	0.000	0.216	0.000	0.785	
one-step Generic	NA	NA	0.035	0.281	0.030	0.902	
one-step Gaussian	NA	NA	0.035	0.281	0.030	0.902	
full Gaussian	NA	NA	0.035	0.281	0.030	0.902	
$\operatorname{cdf}$	NA	NA	0.035	0.281	0.030	0.902	

#### Randomwalk

Table 10: Randomwalk Model. Type I error rates and Power evaluated for each analytical and simulation method for theoretical residuals. Results are partitioned out by model mis-specification (from left to right) and residual type (top to bottom).

	mu0	
method	Type I Error	Power
Pearson	0.039	1.000
one-step Generic	0.038	1.000
one-step Gaussian	0.038	1.000
full Gaussian	0.038	1.000
$\operatorname{cdf}$	0.041	1.000
MCMC	0.050	0.055
Unconditional ecdf, Rotated	0.058	1.000
Unconditional ecdf, Not Rotated	0.987	1.000
Conditional ecdf, Rotated	0.053	1.000
Conditional ecdf, Not Rotated	0.046	1.000

Table 11: Randomwalk Model. Type I error rates and Power evaluated for each analytical and simulation method for estimated residuals. Results are partitioned out by model mis-specification (from left to right) and residual type (top to bottom).

	mu0	
method	Type I Error	Power
Pearson one-step Generic one-step Gaussian full Gaussian cdf	0.128 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000	1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000
MCMC Unconditional ecdf, Rotated Unconditional ecdf, Not Rotated Conditional ecdf, Rotated Conditional ecdf, Not Rotated	0.045 0.000 0.996 0.080 0.113	0.042 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000

### Spatial

Table 12: Spatial Model. Type I error rates and Power evaluated for each analytical and simulation method for theoretical residuals. Results are partitioned out by model mis-specification (from left to right) and residual type (top to bottom).

	GLMM - Dr	op RE	LMM - Lognormal RE		
method	Type I Error	Power	Type I Error	Power	
Pearson	0.452	1.000	0.046	1.000	
one-step Generic	0.033	0.979	0.042	0.286	
$\operatorname{cdf}$	0.047	0.979	0.042	0.292	
MCMC	0.039	0.979	0.042	0.088	
Unconditional ecdf, Rotated	0.381	0.987	0.044	0.372	
Unconditional ecdf, Not Rotated	0.662	0.973	0.689	1.000	
Conditional ecdf, Rotated	0.081	0.989	0.049	1.000	
Conditional ecdf, Not Rotated	0.037	0.976	0.039	1.000	
one-step Gaussian	NA	NA	0.042	0.286	
full Gaussian	NA	NA	0.042	0.286	

Table 13: Spatial Model. Type I error rates and Power evaluated for each analytical and simulation method for estimated residuals. Results are partitioned out by model mis-specification (from left to right) and residual type (top to bottom).

	GLMM - Drop RE		LMM - Lognormal RE	
method	Type I Error	Power	Type I Error	Power
Pearson	0.859	1.000	0.183	0.655
one-step Generic	0.007	0.965	0.013	0.366
$\operatorname{cdf}$	0.006	0.964	0.015	0.368
MCMC	0.039	0.969	0.043	0.297
Unconditional ecdf, Rotated	0.193	0.970	0.027	0.325
Unconditional ecdf, Not Rotated	0.270	0.966	0.272	0.636
Conditional ecdf, Rotated	0.345	0.969	0.109	0.575
Conditional ecdf, Not Rotated	0.415	0.960	0.186	0.660
one-step Gaussian	NA	NA	0.013	0.366
full Gaussian	NA	NA	0.013	0.366