centered_noncentered_RTMB

Overview

This document summarizes model comparisons between centered and non-centered parameterizations of AR(1) recruitment deviations. We explore models with and without an estimated mean recruitment parameter (as a fixed effect); models with and without the intercept give slightly different results, however our focus is in comparing the centered versus non-centered parameterization of random effects for each model type.

Data and model overview

We embedded the centered and non-centered recruitment deviations within a RTMB version of SAM, extending code originally written by Anders Nielsen. The case study is built off of an existing SAM model and the data list (dat) can be updated for RTMB as follows

```
library(RTMB)
library(stockassessment)
library(tictoc)
load("../fit.RData")
dat <- list()</pre>
dat$logobs <- fit$data$logobs
dat$aux <- fit$data$aux</pre>
dat$idx1 <- fit$data$idx1</pre>
dat$idx2 <- fit$data$idx2</pre>
dat$minYear <- min(fit$data$years)</pre>
dat$minAge <- min(fit$data$minAgePerFleet)</pre>
dat$fleetTypes <- fit$data$fleetTypes</pre>
dat$sampleTimes <- fit$data$sampleTimes</pre>
dat$year <- fit$data$years
dat$age <- min(fit$data$minAgePerFleet):max(fit$data$maxAgePerFleet)</pre>
dat$M <- fit$data$natMor</pre>
```

```
dat$SW <- fit$data$stockMeanWeight
dat$MO <- fit$data$propMat</pre>
dat$PF <- fit$data$propF
dat$PM <- fit$data$propM
dat$srmode <- 0
dat$fcormode <- 2
dat$keyF <- fit$conf$keyLogFsta[1,]</pre>
dat$keyQ <- fit$conf$keyLogFpar</pre>
dat$keySd <- fit$conf$keyVarObs</pre>
dat$keySd[dat$keySd<(-.1)] <- NA</pre>
dat$covType <- c(0,1,2)
dat$keyIGAR <- fit$conf$keyCorObs
dat$keyIGAR[fit$conf$keyCorObs==-1] <- NA
dat$keyIGAR[is.na(fit$conf$keyCorObs)] <- -1</pre>
dat = GAR[2, 1:4] < -0
dat$noParUS <- sapply(1:length(dat$fleetTypes),</pre>
                       function(f){
                          A <- sum(!is.na(dat$keySd[f,]))
                          ifelse(dat$covType[f]==2, (A*A-A)/2, 0)
                       })
```

Parameter section

The parameters estimated in the RTMB code appear below; the parameters that matter most between implementations of the centered and non-centered recruitment deviations are the last 2 (z and rec_intercept).

```
# Parameter section
par <- list()
par$logsdR <- 0
par$logsdS <- 0
par$logsdF <- numeric(max(dat$keyF)+1)
par$rickerpar <- if(dat$srmode==1){c(1,1)}else{numeric(0)}
par$transRhoF <- if(dat$fcormode==0){numeric(0)}else{0.1}
par$bhpar <- if(dat$srmode==2){c(1,1)}else{numeric(0)}
par$logQ <- numeric(max(dat$keyQ, na.rm=TRUE)+1)
par$logsd <- numeric(max(dat$keySd, na.rm=TRUE)+1)
par$logIGARdist <- numeric(max(dat$keyIGAR, na.rm=TRUE)+1)
par$parUS <- numeric(sum(dat$noParUS))
par$logN <- matrix(0, nrow=length(dat$year), ncol=length(dat$age))</pre>
```

```
par$logF <- matrix(0, nrow=length(dat$year), ncol=max(dat$keyF)+1)
par$missing <- numeric(sum(is.na(dat$logobs)))
par$tPhi <- 1  # AR phi for recruitment
par$z <- rep(0, length(dat$year))  # Standard normal innovations
par$rec_intercept <- 0  # mapped off if not estimated</pre>
```

Code

RTMB helper functions and code for the main model appear below. This script is general so that the recruitment intercept and centered / non-centered parameterization can be turned on / off with flags.

```
itrans <- function(x){</pre>
               2/(1 + \exp(-2 * x)) - 1;
ssbFUN <- function(logN, logFF, M, SW, MO, PF, PM){</pre>
              nrow <- nrow(logN)</pre>
              ncol <- ncol(logN)</pre>
              ret <- numeric(nrow)</pre>
              for(y in 1:nrow){
                              for(a in 1:ncol){
                                            \texttt{ret[y]} = \texttt{ret[y]} + \texttt{SW[y,a]} * \texttt{MO[y,a]} * \texttt{exp(logN[y,a])} * \texttt{exp(-PF[y,a]} * \texttt{exp(logFF[y,a])} - \texttt{PM[y,a]} * \texttt{MO[y,a]} 
                              }
              }
               return(ret);
 jnll <- function(par){</pre>
              getAll(par, dat)
              logobs <- OBS(logobs)</pre>
              nobs <- length(logobs)</pre>
               nrow <- nrow(M)</pre>
               ncol <- ncol(M)</pre>
               sdR <- exp(logsdR)
               sdS <- exp(logsdS)</pre>
                sdF <- exp(logsdF)
                sd <- exp(logsd)
```

```
phi <- 2*plogis(tPhi)-1</pre>
logobs <- logobs+numeric(1) ## hack to make advector</pre>
logobs[is.na(logobs)] <- missing ##patch missing</pre>
logFF <- logF[,keyF+1] ## expand F</pre>
ssb <- numeric(nrow)</pre>
ssb[1] <- ssbFUN(logN[1,,drop=FALSE],logFF[1,,drop=FALSE],M[1,,drop=FALSE],</pre>
                  SW[1,,drop=FALSE],MO[1,,drop=FALSE],PF[1,,drop=FALSE],
                  PM[1,,drop=FALSE])
jnll <- 0
# NON-CENTERED PARAMETERIZATION
if(ar1code == -1){
  # likelihood for standard normal innovations
  jnll <- jnll - dnorm(z[1], 0, sqrt(sdR*sdR/(1-phi*phi)), log=TRUE)</pre>
  jnll \leftarrow jnll - sum(dnorm(z[-1], 0, sdR, log=TRUE))
  # transform innovations (z) to get recruitment deviations
  rec_dev <- numeric(nrow)</pre>
  rec_dev[1] <- z[1] # initial condition</pre>
  logN[1,1] <- rec_intercept + rec_dev[1]</pre>
  predN <- numeric((ncol-1)*(nrow-1))</pre>
  estN <- numeric((ncol-1)*(nrow-1))</pre>
  indN <- 0
  # 3. Set recruitment as random walk + transformed deviations
  for(y in 2:nrow){
    rec_{dev}[y] \leftarrow phi * rec_{dev}[y-1] + z[y]
    if(srmode==0){
      # Random walk with optional intercept
      predR <- rec_intercept</pre>
      logN[y,1] <- predR + rec_dev[y]</pre>
    }else{
      thisSSB <- ifelse((y-minAge-1)>(-.5), ssb[y-minAge], ssb[1])
      if(srmode==1){
        # Ricker: predicted recruitment + AR(1) deviation + intercept
        predR <- rickerpar[1] + log(thisSSB) - exp(rickerpar[2])*thisSSB</pre>
        logN[y,1] <- predR + rec_dev[y]</pre>
      }
      if(srmode==2){
```

```
# Beverton-Holt: predicted recruitment + AR(1) deviation + intercept
        predR <- bhpar[1] + log(thisSSB) - log(1.0 + exp(bhpar[2])*thisSSB)</pre>
        logN[y,1] <- predR + rec_dev[y]</pre>
      }
    }
    for(a in 2:ncol){
      indN < - indN + 1
      predN[indN] \leftarrow logN[y-1,a-1] - exp(logFF[y-1,a-1]) - M[y-1,a-1]
        predN[indN] \leftarrow log(exp(predN[indN]) + exp(logN[y-1,a] - exp(logFF[y-1,a]) - M[y-1,a])
      estN[indN] <- logN[y,a]</pre>
    }
    ssb[y] <- ssbFUN(logN[y,,drop=FALSE],logFF[y,,drop=FALSE],M[y,,drop=FALSE],</pre>
                      SW[y,,drop=FALSE],MO[y,,drop=FALSE],PF[y,,drop=FALSE],
                      PM[y,,drop=FALSE])
  }
  jnll <- jnll - sum(dnorm(estN, predN, sdS, TRUE))</pre>
}
# CENTERED PARAMETERIZATION
if(ar1code == 0){
  # AR1 init conditions
  jnll <- jnll - dnorm(logN[1,1], rec_intercept, sdR/sqrt(1-phi^2), log=TRUE)</pre>
  predN <- numeric((ncol-1)*(nrow-1))</pre>
  estN <- numeric((ncol-1)*(nrow-1))</pre>
  predR <- numeric((nrow-1))</pre>
  indN <- 0
  indR <- 0
  for(y in 2:nrow){
    indR <- indR + 1
    if(srmode==0){
      predR[indR] <- rec_intercept</pre>
    }else{
      thisSSB <- ifelse((y-minAge-1)>(-.5), ssb[y-minAge], ssb[1])
      if(srmode==1){
        # Ricker: predicted recruitment + AR(1) deviation + intercept
        predR[indR] <- rickerpar[1] + log(thisSSB) - exp(rickerpar[2])*thisSSB</pre>
      if(srmode==2){
```

```
# Beverton-Holt: predicted recruitment + AR(1) deviation + intercept
        predR[indR] <- bhpar[1] + log(thisSSB) - log(1.0 + exp(bhpar[2])*thisSSB)</pre>
      }
    }
    for(a in 2:ncol){
      indN \leftarrow indN + 1
      predN[indN] \leftarrow logN[y-1,a-1] - exp(logFF[y-1,a-1]) - M[y-1,a-1]
      if(a==ncol){
         predN[indN] \leftarrow log(exp(predN[indN]) + exp(logN[y-1,a] - exp(logFF[y-1,a]) - M[y-1,a])
      estN[indN] <- logN[y,a]</pre>
    }
    ssb[y] <- ssbFUN(logN[y,,drop=FALSE],logFF[y,,drop=FALSE],M[y,,drop=FALSE],</pre>
                       SW[y,,drop=FALSE],MO[y,,drop=FALSE],PF[y,,drop=FALSE],
                       PM[y,,drop=FALSE])
  }
  jnll <- jnll - sum(dnorm(estN, predN, sdS, TRUE))</pre>
  jnll <- jnll - sum(dnorm(logN[-1,1], predR + phi*(logN[-nrow,1] - predR), sdR, TRUE))</pre>
}
# F part
SigmaF <- matrix(0, ncol(logF), ncol(logF))</pre>
if(fcormode==0){
  diag(SigmaF) <- sdF*sdF</pre>
}
if(fcormode==1){
  diag(SigmaF) <- sdF*sdF</pre>
  rhoF <- itrans(transRhoF[1])</pre>
  for(i in 2:ncol(logF)){
    for(j in 1:(i-1)){
      SigmaF[i,j] <- rhoF*sdF[i]*sdF[j]</pre>
      SigmaF[j,i] <- SigmaF[i,j]</pre>
  }
}
if(fcormode==2){
```

```
diag(SigmaF) <- sdF*sdF</pre>
  rhoF <- itrans(transRhoF[1])</pre>
  for(i in 2:ncol(logF)){
    for(j in 1:(i-1)){
       SigmaF[i,j] \leftarrow sdF[i]*sdF[j]*(rhoF^(i-j))
       SigmaF[j,i] <- SigmaF[i,j]</pre>
    }
  }
}
for(y in 2:nrow){
  jnll <- jnll - dmvnorm(logF[y,], logF[y-1,], SigmaF, log=TRUE)</pre>
logPred <- numeric(nobs)</pre>
for(i in 1:nobs){
  y <- aux[i,1] - minYear + 1
  f \leftarrow aux[i,2]
  a \leftarrow aux[i,3] - minAge + 1
  Z \leftarrow \exp(\log FF[y,a]) + M[y,a]
  if(fleetTypes[f]==0){
    logPred[i] \leftarrow logN[y,a] - log(Z) + log(1-exp(-Z)) + logFF[y,a]
  }
  if(fleetTypes[f]==2){
    logPred[i] <- logQ[keyQ[f,a]+1] + logN[y,a] - Z*sampleTimes[f]</pre>
  }
}
Svec <- list()</pre>
for(f in 1:nrow(idx1)){
  thisdim <- sum(!is.na(keySd)[f,])</pre>
  S <- matrix(0, thisdim, thisdim)</pre>
  if(covType[f]==0){
    diag(S) <- sd[na.omit(keySd[f,])+1]^2</pre>
  if(covType[f]==1){
    dist <- numeric(thisdim)</pre>
    d=2;
    for(a in 1:ncol(keyIGAR)){
       if(!is.na(keyIGAR[f,a])){
         dist[d] <- dist[d-1] + exp(logIGARdist[keyIGAR[f,a]+1])</pre>
         d < - d + 1
```

```
}
    sdvec <- sd[na.omit(keySd[f,])+1]</pre>
    for(i in 1:nrow(S)){
       for(j in 1:(i-1)){
         S[i,j] \leftarrow sdvec[i]*sdvec[j]*(0.5^(dist[i]-dist[j]));
         S[j,i] \leftarrow S[i,j];
       }
       S[i,i] \leftarrow sdvec[i]^2;
  }
  if(covType[f]==2){
    sdvec <- numeric(thisdim);</pre>
    d <- 1;
    for(a in 1:ncol(keySd)){
       if(!is.na(keySd[f,a])){
         sdvec[d] \leftarrow sd[keySd[f,a]+1]
         d <- d+1
       }
    }
    from <- 1
    ii <- 1
    while(ii<f){from=from+noParUS[ii]; ii<-ii+1}</pre>
    thispar <- parUS[from:(from+noParUS[f]-1)]</pre>
    U <- diag(thisdim)</pre>
    U[upper.tri(U)] <- thispar</pre>
    R \leftarrow cov2cor(t(U)%*%(U))
    D <- diag(sdvec)</pre>
    S <- D%*%R%*%D
  }
  Svec[[f]] <- S;
}
for(f in 1:nrow(idx1)){
  for(y in 1:ncol(idx1)){
    if(!is.na(idx1[f,y])){
      idx <- (idx1[f,y]+1):(idx2[f,y]+1)
       jnll <- jnll - dmvnorm(logobs[idx], logPred[idx], Svec[[f]], log=TRUE)</pre>
    }
  }
}
```

```
REPORT(logPred)
ADREPORT(ssb)
if(ar1code == -1) {
   ADREPORT(rec_dev) # recruitment deviations
}
ADREPORT(logN[,1]) # report recruitment
ADREPORT(rec_intercept) # report intercept
jnll
}
```

Mapping

In addition to the recruitment intercept being turned on / off, the first column of logN is not needed for the non-centered model.

```
create_map_list <- function(est_rec_intercept = FALSE, ar1code = 0) {
    map_list <- list(
        logsdF = as.factor(rep(0, length(par$logsdF)))
)

# Map off rec_intercept if not estimated
    if (!est_rec_intercept) {
        map_list$rec_intercept <- as.factor(NA)
}

# For non-centered version, map off rec column of logN
    if (ar1code == -1) {
        nyr <- length(dat$year)
        nage <- length(dat$age)
        logN_map <- matrix(1:(nyr * nage), nyr, nage)
        logN_map[,1] <- NA  # Map off recruitment
        map_list$logN <- as.factor(logN_map)
}

return(map_list)
}</pre>
```

Models

1. Centered model without intercept

2. Centered model without intercept

3. Non-centered version without intercept

4. Non-centered version with intercept

Comparison

For each group of models (with and without the fixed effect recruitment mean), we can compare models with the centered and non-centered parameterization. We compared (1) likelihoods,

(2) fixed effect parameter means, (3) fixed effect parameter variances, and (4) estimated recruitment deviation mean and variances. For all comparisons, we found parameters to be perfectly correlated between the centered and non-centered parameterizations.

The only noticeable difference between these formulations appeared to be in the speed. From the base case we generated new datasets (n=25) to better understand whether the centered or non-centered parameterization was faster. We calculated the mean and standard deviation of computation time for each set of iterations (summarized in table below). This indicates that in general, the non-centered parameterizations are faster than the centered equivalents.

| Intercept | Model | Avgtimes. | SD | Min | Max |
|-----------|--------------|-----------|-------|-------|-------|
| Y | Centered | 1.381 | 0.642 | 0.957 | 3.635 |
| N | Centered | 1.561 | 0.620 | 1.163 | 4.473 |
| Y | Non-centered | 2.976 | 1.695 | 2.070 | 9.903 |
| N | Non-centered | 3.372 | 1.324 | 2.614 | 9.613 |