DNS amplification attack

Andreoli C. • Ligari D. • Alberti A. • Scardovi M. • Intini K.[1]

[1]*Department of Computer Engineering - Data Science, University of Pavia, Italy*
Course of Enterprise digital infrastructure

Abstract

Only two levels of sectional headings, \section and \subsection, should be used. Ad nemo aut quae dolores nesciunt reprehenderit occaecati. Optio distinctio at aliquam odit dolores laudantium. Illum et qui iste et laudantium dolorum. Nihil quis qui at quia alias. Quisquam ea sit aspernatur. Labore at hic voluptas cumque eum officia repellat.

Keywords— DNS server • DDoS Attack • Wireshark • Dig • Top • Ping

Dizdar 2021 Fang *et al.* 2021 Wei-min, Lu-ying, and Zhen-ming 2010 Taylor 2021 Dizdar 2022 Yoachimik 2023 Devi 2015 Vries, Schmidt, and Pras 2016 Meitei, kh, and De 2016 Mathews *et al.* 2022

1   DNS based DDoS attacks

The DNS service plays a crucial role in the Internet infrastructure as it is relied upon by almost every internet service. Any compromise to the DNS service could have significant consequences, leading to a disruption of numerous networked applications. While the primary focus of DNS design is to provide fast responses, its emphasis on security is relatively limited, making it susceptible to various forms of attacks. According to a recent report by Cloudflare (Yoachimik 2023), almost a third of all DDoS attacks are DNS-based. These attacks primarily fall into three categories: *DNS query flood*, *TCP flood*, and *DNS reflection and amplification*.

DNS query flood

This is a direct attack, conducted with the intention of overwhelming the target DNS server by exhausting its available resources, eventually causing it to become unresponsive. This type of attack involves the attacker leveraging a botnet, a network of compromised devices, to send a massive volume of DNS queries to the target server.

When the victim of the attack is a recursive DNS server, the requests are structured in such a way that the server doesn't have the requested records cached. As a result, the server is forced to perform recursive queries to obtain the requested information and provide responses to the attacker, using eventually all its available resources.

A variant of this attack, known as **DNS water torture** attack, specifically targets authoritative DNS servers. In this scenario, the attacker floods the server with an enormous number of properly constructed queries. These latter consist of two parts: the domain of the victim authoritative server and a random string that ensures the fully qualified domain name (FQDN) does not exist. As a result, the recursive nameserver initiates a search that eventually reaches the targeted authoritative server. The authoritative server, upon realizing that the FQDN does not exist, sends an NXDOMAIN response. All of these queries travel to the authoritative server, overwhelming its resources as it is forced handling each request.

The DNS query flood attack is particularly effective against smaller DNS servers that may have limited resources to handle the high volume of queries, making them more vulnerable to disruption.

TCP flood

Another form of attack that aims to exhaust server resources is the TCP flood attack. In this type of attack, the attacker inundates the target server with a large number of TCP connection requests but does not close these connections. As a result, the server is compelled to allocate resources to handle each incoming TCP connection. As the number of connections grows, if the attack is successful the server becomes unresponsive to legitimate users.

DNS reflection and amplification

DNS reflection and amplification is an indirect attack strategy that aims to consume the target network's bandwidth. The attack begins with the attacker spoofing the IP address of the target. Subsequently, numerous queries are sent to a DNS server, utilizing the spoofed IP address

as the source address. In this scenario, the spoofed IP address receives the responses from the DNS server, which is the *reflection* aspect of the attack. To amplify the impact, the queries are crafted in a way that the responses from the DNS server are significantly larger in size. This *amplification* aspect ensures that the target's bandwidth becomes overwhelmed, while the attacker only expends minimal resources. The effectiveness of this attack is determined by the Amplification Factor (AF), which is calculated by comparing the size of the response to the size of the query. To achieve a high amplification factor, attackers often perform a type ANY query, which provides a substantial amplification effect.

Since according to the already cited report (Yoachimik 2023) the most common type of attack is the DNS reflection and amplification, in this project we focus on this type of attack.

2   Experimental setup

To ensure the success of the project, it is essential to establish a clear methodology and employ a well-defined set of tools. This section aims to provide a detailed explanation of the methodologies utilized to accomplish the project's objectives.

The selected methodologies encompass a systematic approach that allows for the accurate replication of a DDoS attack while maintaining ethical considerations and minimizing the potential impact on live networks. These methodologies were carefully chosen to ensure the reliability and validity of the experimental results. The methodological approach used is the following:

Why
The objective of this study is to assess the impact of a DoS attack, exploiting the DNS protocol and monitor the reachability of the targeted device and other network nodes. By simulating realistic attack scenarios, this study aims to understand the vulnerabilities within the DNS protocol, evaluate network resilience, and identify potential countermeasures.

Which/Who
The chosen target for the DDoS attack is a laptop (HP ENVY x360), which acts as a host for a DNS server running BIND9. Two laptops, specifically a MacBook Pro 14 and another device (to be specified)!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!, are utilized as vantage points to initiate the attack.

What
The chosen metrics include measuring the response time for each ICMP or DNS message sent, accounting for potential timeouts. Furthermore, the CPU and memory utilization of the DNS server were also monitored during the simulation.

Where
The vantage point is a MacBook Air inside the network and passive to the attack.
To ensure the security and stability of public networks and devices, the DDoS attack simulations were conducted within a local area network (LAN) environment.

3   Tools used

Ping
Ping is a network utility tool used to test the connectivity between two networked devices. It sends a small packet of data to a specific IP address or hostname and measures the time it takes for that packet to be received and returned. The result shows the round trip time (RTT), as well as the number of packets sent and received, and any packet loss that may have occurred.
While it is a useful tool for testing network connectivity, it has some limitations, it uses the ICMP protocol to send and receive packets, which may not always be allowed by network firewalls or routers, and it does not support different protocols like TCP or UDP. This means that if a network is configured to block ICMP traffic, the ping command may not work. Moreover ping provides only basic information about network connectivity, it does not provide information about bandwidth or the structure of the network.

Dig
The dig command (short for "domain information groper") is a popular network administration tool used to perform DNS queries. It allows users to perform DNS lookups to check DNS records and obtain information about DNS configurations. It is a versatile tool that allows users to specify different query types, such as A, AAAA, MX, TXT, and others. It can also be used to perform reverse DNS queries, where an IP address is used to retrieve the corresponding domain name. In addition to provide detailed DNS query results, the dig command can also be used to troubleshoot DNS issues, such as misconfigured DNS servers, slow DNS resolution times, or DNS cache issues.

Top

The top command provides real-time monitoring of system resources, such as CPU usage, memory utilization, running processes, and more. When executed, the top command displays an interactive, dynamic table that continually updates, allowing users to view the current state of their system and identify any processes consuming excessive resources. The top command also provides options to manipulate the displayed information and perform actions on running processes, such as terminating or changing their priority.

Wireshark

Wireshark is a open-source network protocol analyzer. It is designed to capture, analyze, and display network traffic in real-time. Wireshark allows users to inspect and interpret the data packets transmitted over a network, providing detailed information about the communication between different devices.

In addition to passive packet capturing, Wireshark offers powerful filtering and search capabilities, allowing users to focus on specific types of traffic or specific packets of interest. It also provides features for advanced analysis, such as the ability to reconstruct and view streams of data, perform statistical analysis, and even export captured data for further investigation or reporting

4 DNS Server

For the simulation of the DDoS attack, a dedicated DNS server was established on a virtual machine running the Ubuntu 24.04 LTS operating system. The virtual machine was allocated 2GB of RAM and 2 CPU cores to ensure sufficient resources for handling the simulated traffic.

To create the DNS server, the open-source software BIND9 was employed. This widely adopted DNS server software offers robust functionality and configurability. The server was specifically configured to act as the authoritative server for the domain name "ediproject.com." By assuming authority over this domain, the DNS server can respond to DNS queries and provide legitimate DNS responses during the simulation.

To mimic the characteristics of a genuine DNS server, a total of seven NS records and five MX-type records were meticulously added to the DNS server's configuration. The inclusion of these records introduces diverse amplification factors, enabling the analysis of the DDoS attack's effectiveness based on these factors. By incorporating varying NS and MX records, the simulation can reflect the behavior of a real DNS server and offer insights into the impact of different amplification configurations on the severity of the attack.

5 Mitigation measures

There exist a variety of measures that can be employed to mitigate the effects of DNS amplification attacks. These measures can be broadly categorized into three groups: those that aim to reduce the probability of an attack occurring, those that aim to minimize the impact of an attack by detecting it early, and those oriented to enhancing the resilience of the DNS service.

5.1 Proactive measures

Rate limiting

Rate limiting is a measure that can be used to mitigate the impact of DNS amplification attacks. The idea behind rate limiting is to limit the number of responses that a DNS server can send to a specific IP address within a certain time period. That way the queries sent by the attacker are dropped by the DNS server, therefore reducing the amplification effect.

Trusted sources

When a DNS recursive server is publicly accessible on the Internet, it becomes susceptible to receiving queries from any source. The potential range of IP addresses that can be spoofed is vast, making it impractical to block all of them effectively. However, a possible mitigation strategy is to restrict the number of sources allowed to send queries to the DNS server by implementing a whitelist of trusted sources. By creating such a whitelist, the probability of an attack occurring can be reduced. It is worth nothing to underline that the introduction of a whitelist is a not a foolproof method, since IP in whitelist can still be spoofed and used to perform the attack.

Firewall

A firewall is a network security system that monitors and controls the incoming and outgoing network traffic based on predetermined security rules. Setting up a proper firewall both DNS server side and victim side can block unauthorized traffic and reduce the impact of the attack.

5.2 Detection measures

The DNS amplification attack relies heavily on IP spoofing as a central activity. The key concept behind *detec-*

tion techniques is the ability to differentiate between an original source IP address and a spoofed one, therefore immediately identify and mitigate the attack.

Routing hops detection

This mechanism was proposed in the paper by 'Jin and Wang 2003'. The idea is to exploit the inconsistency between the number of hops of a spoofed IP packet and the spoofed IP address itself. The hops number is inferred by the TTL value in the IP header. This mechanism can detect almost 90% of the spoofed packets.

Machine Learning

In the last decade with the developing of machine learning, some algorithms have been proposed to detect the DNS amplification attack. In the paper by 'Meitei, kh, and De 2016', is proposed a machine learning based approach to detect the attacks, using *Random Forest*, *MLP* and *SVM* algorithms. However, a more recent publication by 'Mathews *et al.* 2022', shows that using an adversarial neural network approach (EAD) it is possible to easy circumvent the detection. The idea is to train a network to slightly modify the input data (DNS queries) in order to fool the detection algorithm.

5.3   Resilience measures

Resilience measures aim to enhance the DNS's ability to withstand attacks and maintain its service even when under attack.

Anycast scheme

A DDoS attack aims to disrupt a victim server's service by overwhelming it with a high volume of packets. A solution to this issue involves creating multiple replicas of the victim server, all sharing the same logical IP address. The destination of incoming packets is determined by the Anycast protocol employing certain routing criteria. Thereby, the load is distributed among the replicas and the strain on each individual server is reduced. In 2015, a significant DDoS attack targeted the DNS root name servers, resulting in a denial of service for some of them, as documented in the report 'Vries, Schmidt, and Pras 2016'. This attack highlighted that although anycast architecture can enhance the resilience of DNS servers, it is not foolproof solution to DDoS attacks. Nevertheless, due to the implementation of anycast technology in 11 out of the 13 root servers, the impact of the attack was limited and partially mitigated.

Caching behavior

The paper authored by 'Wei-min, Lu-ying, and Zhen-ming 2010' explores an approach to mitigate the impact of DDoS attacks on DNS performance. The authors argue that a relatively simple modification to the caching behavior of a DNS server can yield significant improvements in performance during such attacks. Their proposal suggests that a DNS server should refrain from evicting cache entries when it detects unavailability of the relevant DNS servers. Instead, these entries should be retained until the corresponding servers become available again. By implementing this change, even if a relevant server is rendered inaccessible during a DDoS attack, the DNS recursive server can still serve the cached entries, thereby providing responses for a portion of the requested domain names.

References

Devi, G. U. 2015. "Detection of DDoS Attack using Optimized Hop Count Filtering Technique" [in en]. *Indian Journal of Science and Technology* 8, no. 1 (January): 1–6. ISSN: 09746846, 09745645. https://doi.org/10.17485/ijst/2015/v8i26/83981.

Dizdar, A. 2021. *DNS Amplification Attack: How they Work, Detection and Mitigation* [in en-US], October. Accessed May 9, 2023. https://brightsec.com/blog/dns-amplification-attack/.

———. 2022. "5 DNS Attack Types and How To Prevent Them" [in en-US]. *Bright Security* (May). https://brightsec.com/blog/dns-attack/.

Fang, L., H. Wu, K. Qian, W. Wang, and L. Han. 2021. "A Comprehensive Analysis of DDoS attacks based on DNS." *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* 2024:012027. https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/2024/1/012027.

Jin, C., and H. Wang. 2003. *Hop-count filtering: an effective defense against spoofed DDoS traffic,* January.

Mathews, J., P. Chatterjee, S. Banik, and C. Nance. 2022. "A Deep Learning Approach to Create DNS Amplification Attacks." ArXiv:2206.14346 [cs] (April): 429–435. https://doi.org/10.1145/3535782.3535838. http://arxiv.org/abs/2206.14346.

Meitei, I., J. kh, and T. De. 2016. *Detection of DDoS DNS Amplification Attack Using Classification Algorithm,* August. https://doi.org/10.1145/2980258.2980431.

Taylor, R. 2021. *Four major DNS attack types and how to mitigate them* [in en-US], August. Accessed May 10, 2023. https://bluecatnetworks.com/blog/four-major-dns-attack-types-and-how-to-mitigate-them/.

Vries, W. de, R. Schmidt, and A. Pras. 2016. "Anycast and Its Potential for DDoS Mitigation." *ResearchGate* (June). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-39814-3_16.

Wei-min, L., C. Lu-ying, and L. Zhen-ming. 2010. "Alleviating the Impact of DNS DDoS Attacks." *2010 Second International Conference on Networks Security, Wireless Communications and Trusted Computing* 1:240–243. https://doi.org/10.1109/NSWCTC.2010.63.

Yoachimik, P. 2023. *DDoS threat report for 2023 Q1_2023* [in en], April. http://blog.cloudflare.com/ddos-threat-report-2023-q1/.