

Abstract

We give an overview of the foundations and the basic results of the classical Brill-Noether Theory, which deals with the geometry of the moduli varieties parametrizing effective divisors and linear series on a given curve.

We mainly follow the treatment proposed in the book *Geometry of Algebraic Curves* written by Arbarello, Cornalba, Griffiths and Harris. The classical theory, as presented in the book, was developed for curves over the complex numbers during the last century.

Our discussion, instead, avoids the use of any complex-analytic tool and it is completely formulated in terms of modern algebraic geometry. As a result of this more general approach, we are able to generalize two key results of the classical theory – the Existence and Connectedness Theorems – to curves over an arbitrary algebraically closed field.

It is important to highlight that the Brill-Noether theory heavily relies on sheaf cohomology and abstract homological algebra and, in particular, a crucial role is played by the so called Petri's map, which is in fact a cohomological cup-product homomorphism. Motivated by these observations, it seems reasonable to expect the ideas described in the classical theory not to be strictly dependent on complex analysis and, thus, that most of the classical results can be extended to more general fields.

Index

1	Preliminaries and geometrical intuition	1
1.1	Divisors and the Abel-Jacobi map	1
1.2	Linear equivalence and Abel's Theorem	4
1.3	The canonical map	5
1.4	The Riemann-Roch Theorem	7
1.5	Special exceptional divisors	9
1.6	Geometrical interpretation	10
1.7	Examples	13
2	The Divisor and Picard schemes	17
2.1	Working assumptions	18
2.2	The relative Divisor functor	18
2.3	The relative Picard functor	19
2.4	Universal divisor and universal line bundle	21
2.5	Tangent spaces of X_d and Pic_X^d	22
2.6	Tangent bundles of X_d and Pic_X^d	26
2.7	Cohomological description of the Abel-Jacobi map	28
2.7.1	Fiberwise description	28
2.7.2	Global description	30
3	Moduli varieties and their tangent spaces	33
3.1	Fitting ideals and degeneracy loci	34
3.2	Definition of X_d^r and W_d^r	36
3.3	Dimensional lower bounds	39
3.4	Definition of G_d^r	41

INDEX

3.5	Cohomological description for the tangent spaces	42
3.6	Consequences of the infinitesimal study	45
4	Existence and Connectedness Theorems	49
4.1	An alternative perspective on W_d^r	50
4.2	Ampleness of $(\nu_*\mathcal{L})^* \otimes \nu_*(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}(-\Gamma))$	51
4.3	Existence and Connectedness Theorems	54
5	Appendix A: Some Results in Algebraic Geometry	57
5.1	Degeneracy loci	57
5.2	Cohomology and base change	58
5.3	Line Bundles	62
5.4	Tangent space of schemes	65
5.5	Clifford's Theorem	67
6	Appendix B: Serre Duality and Riemann-Roch	71
6.1	Cheap Riemann-Roch	71
6.2	Serre Duality Theorem	72
6.3	Duality between α and δ	77
6.4	Riemann-Roch Theorem	79
	Bibliography	81

Next, we define the **Picard group** of X as the sheaf cohomology group

$$\mathrm{Pic}_X := H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X^*),$$

which is well known to be isomorphic to the set of line bundles up to isomorphism, with group structure induced by the usual tensor product of coherent sheaves.

To any divisor $D \in \mathrm{Div}_X$ one can associate the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$ defined over any open set $U \subset X$ by the prescription

$$H^0(U, \mathcal{O}_X(D)) = \{ f \in k(X)^* \mid (f)|_U + D|_U \geq 0 \}$$

which can be seen as the line bundle whose global sections are locally controlled by D . In other words, the sections of $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$ are allowed to present poles on any point of the support of D , with order bounded by the coefficient of the point appearing in the formal sum.

It is an easy consequence of the Riemann-Roch Theorem that every line bundle L on X admits a global section s and, thus, it can be written in the form $L = \mathcal{O}_X(D)$ with $D = (s)$ being the divisor corresponding to such a section. Thus we define the **degree** of L to be the degree of the divisor D . We will denote by Pic_X^d the set of line bundles of degree d .

Based on the above construction, we give a definition of the so called **Abel-Jacobi map** by the assignment

$$u : \mathrm{Div}_X \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}_X \quad D \mapsto \mathcal{O}_X(D)$$

Remark 1.2. Recall that classically, in the theory of smooth curves over \mathbb{C} , the Abel-Jacobi map is defined for a one-point divisor P (and then extended linearly) by means of the Abelian integrals as

$$u : X_d \rightarrow J(X), \quad P \mapsto \left(\int_{P_0}^P \omega_1, \dots, \int_{P_0}^P \omega_g \right) \mod \Lambda,$$

where P_0 is a fixed point of X , the ω_i 's form a basis of the space of Abelian differentials and Λ is a nondegenerate lattice arising from the Riemann bilinear relations.

Our definition of the Abel-Jacobi map is simpler, more elegant and does not rely on analytical tools such as path-integration, nevertheless it turns out to be equivalent to its classical counterpart.

1. PRELIMINARIES AND GEOMETRICAL INTUITION

1.2 Linear equivalence and Abel's Theorem

Principal divisors can be used to define an equivalence relation on the set of divisors of X , by declaring two divisors D and E to be **linearly equivalent** if they differ by a principal divisor. More precisely, we define

$$D \sim E \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} \exists f \in k(X)^* \text{ such that } E = D + (f)$$

and, given an effective divisor $D \in \text{EDiv}_X$, we denote by $|D|$ the set of all effective divisors which are linearly equivalent to D , the so called **complete linear series** of D . By sending a global section $f \in H^0(D)$ to the divisor $(f) + D$, we obtain a canonical isomorphism

$$\mathbb{P}H^0(D) \cong |D|$$

which identifies the complete linear series of D with the projectification of the vector space of global sections of the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$. Motivated by this observation, to any linear subspace $V \subset H^0(D)$ we associate the projective space $\mathbb{P}V$ and call it a (not necessarily complete) **linear series**.

Definition 1.3. Let D be a divisor of degree d and $V \subset H^0(D)$ a linear subspace of dimension $r + 1$. We define a g_d^r to be the linear series associated to $\mathbb{P}V$.

The classical Abel's Theorem states that the quotient map associated to the equivalence relation defined above is nothing but the Abel-Jacobi map or, in other terms, that the fibres of u are complete linear series.

Abel's Theorem. *Let $D, E \in \text{EDiv}_X$ be two effective divisors of degree d on X . Then*

$$D \sim E \iff u(D) = u(E).$$

Abel's Theorem was originally proved for Riemann surfaces – see [5] for instance – but it remains valid for smooth curves over of any algebraically closed field. It plays a crucial role in the Brill-Noether theory because it allows to treat linear series as degeneracy loci of the Abel-Jacobi map, as we will see in Section 3.1.

2

The Divisor and Picard schemes

In the first part of this Chapter we will introduce the relative Divisor and Picard functors which, respectively, map a scheme T to flat families of effective Cartier divisors and to families of line bundles with rigidification parametrised by T . The representability of such functors – achieved under some particular assumptions – gives rise to scheme structures for the sets of divisors and line bundles on the curve X and, further, to two universal objects which will be fundamental for the rest of our discussion: the universal divisor Δ and the universal line bundle \mathcal{L} .

Next, exploiting the obtained scheme structure for EDiv_X and Pic_X , we will compute their tangent spaces. It is interesting to observe that the tangent space at a closed point D of the Divisor scheme is naturally isomorphic to the cohomology group $H^0(D)_D$ arising from the sheaf-cohomology of the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$ and that, moreover, the tangent space of the Picard scheme at any closed point is simply isomorphic to $H^1(\mathcal{O}_X)$ – the space of first order deformations of line bundles. This cohomological point of view leads to a description of the Abel-Jacobi map by means of the coboundary morphism

$$\delta_D : H^0(D)_D \longrightarrow H^1(\mathcal{O}_X)$$

appearing in the long cohomology sequence of $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$, from which one realises that the study of linear series is deeply related to the sheaf-cohomology of the curve.

Finally, building on the above idea, we will achieve a global cohomological description of the tangent sheaves $T\mathrm{EDiv}_X$ and $T\mathrm{Pic}_X$ – this is where the universal objects start to reveal their crucial role. In fact, the formal replacement of D by the universal divisor Δ allows to produce a long cohomology sequence containing the locally-free sheaves

2. THE DIVISOR AND PICARD SCHEMES

$\pi_*\mathcal{O}_\Delta(\Delta)$ and $R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O}_Z$, which we will show to be isomorphic to the tangent sheaves of EDiv_X and Pic_X , respectively. Moreover, the *global* coboundary morphism

$$\delta : \pi_*\mathcal{O}_\Delta(\Delta) \longrightarrow R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O}_Z$$

appearing in the cohomology sequence can be identified with the tangent morphism of sheaves Tu , thus giving a global cohomological way to describe the degeneracy loci of the Abel-Jacobi map which will be exploited in the next Chapter.

2.1 Working assumptions

Let k be an algebraically closed field of any characteristic. Even though most of what follows can be defined in a more general setting, we restrict our attention to the case in which the following conditions are satisfied:

$$(\star) \begin{cases} f : X \rightarrow S \text{ is quasi-compact and quasi-separated} \\ f : X \rightarrow S \text{ admits a section } \varepsilon : S \rightarrow X \\ f_*\mathcal{O}_{X_T} \cong \mathcal{O}_T \text{ for every } S\text{-scheme } T \end{cases}$$

where we abuse notation by writing f for the pullback morphism $X_T \rightarrow T$ given by the fibre product. It is easy to show that conditions (\star) are fulfilled in our case of interest, which is described by the following assumptions:

Assumption 2. *For the rest of our discussion, let $X \rightarrow S$ be a smooth projective curve of genus g over an algebraically closed field $k = \bar{k}$ and let $S = \mathrm{Spec}(k)$ be the trivial base scheme.*

2.2 The relative Divisor functor

Let T be a scheme over S and let us denote by X_T the fibered product $X \times_S T$. To start, let us introduce the notion of a relative effective Cartier divisors.

Definition 2.1. A **relative effective Cartier divisor** on X_T/T is a closed subscheme $D \subset X_T$ such that its ideal sheaf $\mathcal{O}_D \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ is invertible and the map $\varphi : D \rightarrow T$ is flat. Associated to any such divisor we have a map to the natural numbers given by

$$\deg_D : T \rightarrow \mathbb{N}, \quad t \mapsto \mathrm{rank} \text{ of } \varphi_*(\mathcal{O}_D) \text{ as a } \mathcal{O}_{T,t} \text{ - module}$$

and, in case of this map being constantly equal to $d \in \mathbb{N}$, we say that D has degree d . The sum $D_1 + D_2$ is defined as the closed subscheme of X corresponding to the sheaf of ideals $\mathcal{O}_{D_1}\mathcal{O}_{D_2} \subset \mathcal{O}_X$.

We refer to [9, Tag 01WO] for further details and for a proof of the fact that relative effective Cartier divisors are closed under the above defined sum.

Based on this notion we now define the contravariant functor $\mathbf{Div}_{X/S}$ which maps an S -scheme T to the set of families of divisors parametrized by T .

Definition 2.2. We define the **relative effective Cartier divisors functor** by

$$\mathbf{Div}_{X/S} : \mathrm{Sch}_S^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Set}, \quad T \mapsto \{ \text{Relative effective Cartier divisors on } X_T/T \}.$$

and the action on morphism by sending an S -map $T' \xrightarrow{f} T$ to the pullback $(1_X \times f)^*$. Moreover, for every $d \in \mathbb{N}$ define the subfunctors $\mathbf{Div}_{X/S}^d : \mathrm{Sch}_S \rightarrow \mathrm{Set}$ by restricting to divisors of degree d .

Remark 2.3. Notice that composition of morphisms is obviously respected and, further, the flatness of $D \rightarrow T$ ensures that the pullback $(1_X \times f)^* D$ is a relative effective Cartier divisor on $X_{T'}/T'$. Hence we see that $\mathbf{Div}_{X/S}$ is in fact a (contravariant) functor. Further one can show that, if $\mathbf{Div}_{X/S}$ is representable by a scheme EDiv_X , then the subfunctors $\mathbf{Div}_{X/S}^d$ are representable by open and closed subschemes X_d which form a disjoint cover of EDiv_X – see Exercise 3.8 of [1] for details.

Notation 2. In the following we will abuse notation by simply writing f^* instead of $(1_X \times f)^*$, whenever the meaning is clear from the context and no confusion arises.

Under reasonable hypothesis on $X \rightarrow S$, the relative Divisor functor turns out to be representable, the representing scheme being an open subscheme of the Hilbert scheme.

Theorem 2.4. *If the structure morphism $f : X \rightarrow S$ is projective and flat, then the functor $\mathbf{Div}_{X/S}$ is representable by an open subscheme of the Hilbert scheme.*

Proof. A proof can be found for instance in [1] – see Theorem 3.7. □

Therefore under our assumptions – X a projective curve and $S = \mathrm{Spec}(k)$ – the relative Divisor functor is representable.

2.3 The relative Picard functor

We now want to define a relative Picard functor, which extends the concept *Picard group* to flat families of line bundles parametrized by any S -scheme T . But let us first recall how the classical Picard group is defined:

2. THE DIVISOR AND PICARD SCHEMES

Definition 2.5. Let X be a scheme over a field k . We define the **Picard group** of X as the as the sheaf cohomology group

$$\mathrm{Pic}(X) := H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X^*)$$

There are subtle issues involved in the definition of the relative Picard functor and one needs to be careful. A naive definition could be of the form

$$T \mapsto \mathrm{Pic}(X_T) / \mathrm{Pic}(T)$$

but this does not lead to a representable functor, as one can see it only defines a *presheaf* with respect to both the étale and flat topologies. To solve this problem and hope for a representable Picard functor, one could define it as the sheafification of the above naive one, with respect to a reasonable Grothendieck topology on the category Sch_S . Another strategy, which leads to the same result but is conceptually more transparent, is to get rid of the automorphisms of the objects – families of line bundles – we want to parametrize with our functor. We will adopt this latter approach, thus restricting our attention to the class of rigidified line bundles which we now introduce.

Definition 2.6. Let $f : Y \rightarrow B$ be a scheme, $\varepsilon : B \rightarrow Y$ a section of f and L a line bundle over Y . A **rigidification of L along ε** is an isomorphism $\alpha : \varepsilon^* L \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{O}_B$. We define a **rigidified line bundle** on Y/B to be a pair (L, α) consisting of a line bundle on Y together with a rigidification along ε .

One can show that, under our hypothesis, any such line bundle can be written in the form $\mathcal{O}(D)$ for an effective Cartier divisor D on Y/B . Thus we define the **degree** of a rigidified line bundle (L, α) to be the degree of the corresponding divisor.

Going back to our situation (\star) of a scheme $f : X \rightarrow S$ with a section $\varepsilon : S \rightarrow X$ at our disposal, for every $T \in \mathrm{Sch}_S$ there is a canonical way to rigidify line bundles on X_T/T along the induced section ε_T – which by abuse of notation we will also denote by ε .

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X_T & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{f} \\ \xleftarrow{\varepsilon} \end{array} & T \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 X & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \\ \xleftarrow{f} \end{array} & S
 \end{array}$$

2.4 Universal divisor and universal line bundle

In fact, given a line bundle M over X_T , one can obtain a line bundle (L, α) with rigidification along ε by setting

$$L := M \otimes f^* \varepsilon^* M^{-1}.$$

Indeed, recalling that by definition of section $f \circ \varepsilon \equiv 1$, we find that

$$\varepsilon^* L = \varepsilon^* M \otimes \varepsilon^* f^* \varepsilon^* M^{-1} = \varepsilon^* M \otimes (f \circ \varepsilon)^* \varepsilon^* M^{-1} = \varepsilon^* (M \otimes M^{-1}) = \mathcal{O}_T$$

as desired. The key feature of rigidified line bundles is that they do not admit nontrivial automorphisms, as we show in Proposition 5.10 of Appendix A.

We are now ready to define the relative Picard functor:

Definition 2.7. We define the **relative Picard functor** by the assignment

$$\mathbf{Pic}_{X/S} : \mathrm{Sch}_S^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ab}, \quad T \mapsto \{ \text{Rigidified line bundles on } X_T/T \}$$

and the action on morphism by sending an S -map $T' \xrightarrow{f} T$ to the pullback $(1_X \times f)^*$. Moreover, for every $d \in \mathbb{N}$, we define the subfunctors $\mathbf{Pic}_{X/S}^d : \mathrm{Sch}_S \rightarrow \mathrm{Set}$ by restricting to line bundles of degree d .

Remark 2.8. Notice that composition of morphisms is obviously respected and, further, it is a well known fact that line bundles are stable under pullbacks. Hence we see that $\mathbf{Pic}_{X/S}$ is in fact a (contravariant) functor.

It can be shown (see for instance Section 8.1 of [3]) that conditions (\star) imply the representability of $\mathbf{Pic}_{X/S}$ and, moreover, that for every S -scheme T there is a natural isomorphism

$$\mathbf{Pic}_{X/S}(T) \cong \mathrm{Pic}(X_T)/\mathrm{Pic}(T) \tag{2.1}$$

2.4 Universal divisor and universal line bundle

As remarked above, under our hypothesis Theorem 2.4 applies, therefore $\mathbf{Div}_{X/S}^d$ is representable and we will denote the representing S -scheme by X_d , which is unique up to unique isomorphism. For X_d to be the representing scheme it means that there are canonical isomorphisms

$$\mathbf{Div}_{X/S}(T) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_S(T, X_d), \quad \forall T \in \mathrm{Sch}_S$$

2. THE DIVISOR AND PICARD SCHEMES

and a universal object $\Delta \in \mathbf{Div}_{X/S}(X_d)$ with the property that to every morphism $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_S(T, X_d)$ corresponds a unique $D \in \mathbf{Div}_{X/S}(T)$ given as the pullback $f^*(\Delta)$ of Δ via f . This is the universal property of Δ . Notice that there is a bijection between the set of closed points of X_d and the set of effective divisors of degree d on X . In particular with $T = S$, for any divisor $D \in X_d$ there is a unique canonical S -map $f : S \hookrightarrow X_d$ such that $f^*(\Delta) = D$.

The same reasoning applies to line bundles: as soon as $\mathbf{Pic}_{X/S}^d$ is representable we get a representing S -scheme Pic_X^d unique up to unique isomorphism (whose points are line bundles of degree d on X/S) and a universal line bundle $\mathcal{L} \in \mathbf{Pic}_{X/S}(\mathrm{Pic}_X^d)$. Notice that, under our hypothesis, the relative Picard functor $\mathbf{Pic}_{X/S}$ is representable and identification (2.1) holds.

Due to their importance in the rest of our discussion, we summarize below the nature of the universal objects we just obtained, in a concise fashion:

Notation 3. *The above universal objects are denoted by*

$$\Delta \subset X \times X_d \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \textit{Universal divisor}$$

$$\mathcal{L} \longrightarrow X \times \mathrm{Pic}_X^d \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \textit{Universal line bundle}$$

It is interesting to notice that, as we will show in the next sections, these universal objects can be used to describe the tangent sheaves of the Divisor and Picard schemes.

2.5 Tangent spaces of X_d and Pic_X^d

Within the categorical setting introduced in the previous Sections, the Abel-Jacobi map can be seen as a natural transformation of functors between $\mathbf{Div}_{X/S}$ and $\mathbf{Pic}_{X/S}$.

Definition 2.9. We define the Abel-Jacobi map (also known as the Albanese map) as the natural transformation of functors

$$u : \mathbf{Div}_{X/S} \rightarrow \mathbf{Pic}_{X/S}, \quad \mathcal{D} \mapsto \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{D}).$$

For every $d \in \mathbb{N}$ the classical Abel-Jacobi map induces a morphism in the category of schemes over S , between the representing schemes

$$u : X_d \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}_X^d, \quad D \mapsto \mathcal{O}_X(D)$$

and, in order to study its tangent map at a closed point $D \in X_d$

$$T_D u : T_D X_d \rightarrow T_{u(D)} \mathrm{Pic}_X^d,$$

we should, first of all, understand the above tangent spaces. A very useful tool for this purpose is the ring of the dual numbers $k_\varepsilon = k[\varepsilon]/\varepsilon^2$ and the associated fibred product

$$X_\varepsilon := X \times_S \mathrm{Spec}(k_\varepsilon).$$

Moreover, for any k -scheme P with a rational point e , denote by $P(k_\varepsilon)_e$ the set of all k -maps from the *free tangent vector* $\mathrm{Spec}(k_\varepsilon)$ to P which are supported at e .

In this situation Lemma 5.11 of Appendix A shows that the k -vector space $P(k_\varepsilon)_e$ is in fact isomorphic to the tangent space to P at the point e , in formula

$$P(k_\varepsilon)_e \cong T_e P.$$

Further Lemma 5.12 of Appendix A tells us that, if P is a group scheme, then the tangent space at the identity element e is simply given by

$$T_e P \cong \ker \left(P(k_\varepsilon) \rightarrow P(k) \right).$$

In order to describe the tangent space of Pic_X^d it is useful to introduce the normal sheaf associated to a divisor, by means of the following

Definition 2.10. The **normal sheaf** associated to a divisor $D \in \mathrm{Pic}_X^d$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{O}_D(D) := \mathcal{O}_X(D) \otimes \mathcal{O}_D.$$

We remark that, even though $\mathcal{O}_D(D)$ is a sheaf on D , we will often treat it as a sheaf on X by implicitly pushing it forward via the natural inclusion of D into X .

Notation 4. *With the aim of making our notation lighter, we will often write*

$$H^i(D)_D \equiv H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_D(D))$$

for the i -th cohomology group of the normal sheaf.

2. THE DIVISOR AND PICARD SCHEMES

Remark 2.11. The ideal sheaf of \mathcal{O}_D is $\mathcal{O}_X(-D)$, so we have a natural short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-D) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_D \rightarrow 0$$

and, since tensoring with the invertible sheaf $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$ leaves the sequence exact, we get

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(D) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_D(D) \rightarrow 0.$$

The following proposition shows that the normal sheaf $\mathcal{O}_D(D)$ is the house of the infinitesimal deformations of a divisor D , so that the tangent space of X_d at D is just its space of global sections $H^0(D)_D$.

Proposition 2.12. *Let D be a closed point of X_d . We have an isomorphism of vector spaces*

$$T_D X_d \cong H^0(D)_D.$$

Proof. From Lemma 5.11 of Appendix A we know that the tangent space $T_D X_d = T_D \mathbf{Div}_{X/S}(k)$ coincides with $\mathbf{Div}_{X/S}(k_\varepsilon)_D$, which can be described as the vector space

$$V = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Relative effective Cartier divisors on } X_\varepsilon/k_\varepsilon \text{ whose} \\ \text{pull-back to the closed fibre } X \subset X_\varepsilon \text{ is } D. \end{array} \right\}$$

Let $G_i \in H^0(U_i, \mathcal{O}_X(-D))$ be local equations for D over an open cover U_i . Then an element of V has local equations

$$F_i = G_i + \varepsilon H_i, \quad H_i \in H^0(U_i, \mathcal{O}_X)$$

satisfying the glueing condition $F_i = (\text{unit}) \cdot F_j$ on $U_{i,j}$. Equivalently, such conditions can be expressed as

$$G_i + \varepsilon H_i = (a_{i,j} + \varepsilon b_{i,j}) \cdot (G_j + \varepsilon H_j)$$

for some $b_{i,j} \in H^0(U_{i,j}, \mathcal{O}_X)$ and $a_{i,j} \in H^0(U_{i,j}, \mathcal{O}_X^*)$, which implies that

$$G_i = a_{i,j} G_j \quad \text{and} \quad H_i = a_{i,j} H_j + b_{i,j} G_j.$$

It follows that the assignment $F_i \mapsto H_i/G_i$ is well-defined, since the identity

$$\frac{H_i}{G_i} - \frac{H_j}{G_j} = b_{i,j} \cdot a_{j,i}$$

ensures that $\{H_i/G_i\}$ glues to a global section of $\mathcal{O}_D(D)$. It is easy to check that this gives a bijections of vector spaces. \square

We now turn to the tangent space of the Picard scheme, which actually admits a simpler description.

Proposition 2.13. *Let L be a closed point of Pic_X^d and assume conditions (\star) of Section 2.1 are met. Then we have an isomorphism of vector spaces*

$$T_L \text{Pic}_X^d \cong H^1(\mathcal{O}_X).$$

Proof. First of all notice that $\text{Pic} = \sqcup_{d \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Pic}_X^d$ is a group scheme, therefore the tangent space at every point L is canonically isomorphic to the tangent space at the identity element $0 \in \text{Pic}_X^0 = \mathbf{Pic}_{X/S}^0(k)$. Hence, from Lemma 5.12 of Appendix A we know what we are looking for: the kernel of the map $\mathbf{Pic}_{X/S}(k_\varepsilon) \rightarrow \mathbf{Pic}_{X/S}(k)$. In order to compute it, consider the truncated exponential sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_\varepsilon}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^* \rightarrow 0,$$

where the first map is the exponentiation $e : f \mapsto 1 + \varepsilon f$. Notice that this map enjoys the key property of the classical exponential, as we have

$$e(f + g) = 1 + \varepsilon(f + g) = (1 + \varepsilon f) \cdot (1 + \varepsilon g) = e(f) \cdot e(g)$$

so that its name is justified. The above sequence splits using the natural inclusion of \mathcal{O}_X^* into $\mathcal{O}_{X_\varepsilon}^*$, so we obtain a short exact sequence in cohomology of degree 1

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{O}_{X_\varepsilon}^*) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{O}_X^*) \rightarrow 0.$$

Now, the fact that conditions (\star) are satisfied implies that the natural identification (2.1) holds, thus giving isomorphisms

$$\mathbf{Pic}_{X/S}(k) \cong \text{Pic}(X) = H^1(\mathcal{O}_X^*) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{Pic}_{X/S}(k) \cong \text{Pic}(X_\varepsilon) = H^1(\mathcal{O}_{X_\varepsilon}^*).$$

Therefore we have the following commutative diagram with exact rows, where the two vertical arrows on the right are isomorphisms and thus the same holds for the induced vertical map between the kernels.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathcal{O}_X) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathcal{O}_{X_\varepsilon}^*) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathcal{O}_X^*) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & T_0 \mathbf{Pic}_{X/S}(k) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Pic}_{X/S}(k_\varepsilon) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Pic}_{X/S}(k) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Finally, one can easily check that the above dashed isomorphism is in fact an isomorphism of vector spaces. \square

2. THE DIVISOR AND PICARD SCHEMES

2.6 Tangent bundles of X_d and Pic_X^d

In the last section we gave an entirely cohomological description of the tangent spaces of both X_d and Pic_X^d . We will now show that we can actually do much better, giving a cohomological description for the tangent *sheaves* of those varieties, thus achieving a global analogue of the identifications obtained, fiberwise, in the last section.

We start with a Lemma showing that the sheaves we are interested in are locally-free.

Notation 5. *In order to make our notation shorter, in the following we will use write simply Z to denote the product $X \times X_d$, and we will write $\pi : Z \rightarrow X_d$ for the natural projection on the second factor.*

Definition 2.14. A **locally free sheaf** of rank n on a scheme Y is defined as an \mathcal{O}_Y -module \mathcal{F} that is locally a free sheaf of rank n . More precisely, there is an open cover $\{U_i\}$ of Y such that over each U_i we have an isomorphism $\mathcal{F}|_{U_i} \cong \mathcal{O}_{U_i}^{\oplus n}$.

Remark 2.15. It is a well known fact that, in our case of a smooth projective curve over an algebraically closed field, the representing schemes X_d and Pic_X^d are in fact smooth varieties in any characteristic. We do not prove these facts here but we refer to the literature – see for instance the discussion in Chapter 5 of [1]. Therefore it follows that the tangent sheaves TX_d and $T\mathrm{Pic}_X^d$ are locally-free.

Moreover, we remark that the quasi-coherent sheaves $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_\Delta(\Delta)$ and $R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O}_Z$ are locally-free, as will show in Proposition 2.20.

Starting from the tangent sheaf of the Divisor scheme, our strategy is to pass from a single divisor D to the universal divisor Δ , making the formal replacement

$$H^0(D)_D, \text{ vector space} \quad \mapsto \quad \pi_*\mathcal{O}_\Delta(\Delta), \text{ locally free sheaf}$$

Proposition 2.16. *There is a canonical isomorphism of sheaves $TX_d \cong \pi_*\mathcal{O}_\Delta(\Delta)$.*

Proof. Let $\tilde{\pi}$ denote the restriction of $\pi : X \times X_d \rightarrow X_d$ to the divisor Δ and look at the diagram of the closed immersion

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta & \hookrightarrow & X \times X_d \\ & \searrow \tilde{\pi} & \downarrow \pi \\ & & X_d \end{array}$$

from which we readily observe that we have natural identifications

$$\tilde{\pi}^*(TX_d) = (\pi^*TX_d)|_{\Delta} \quad \text{and} \quad T(X \times X_d)|_{\Delta} = (\pi^*TX_d)|_{\Delta} \oplus (\mathrm{Pr}_X^*TX)|_{\Delta}.$$

Moreover, since $\mathcal{O}_{\Delta}(\Delta)$ is the normal bundle of the divisor Δ , there is a natural map

$$T(X \times X_d) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Delta}(\Delta)$$

which, in light of the above identifications, gives a map from π^*TX_d to $\mathcal{O}_{\Delta}(\Delta)$ obtained by restriction. Therefore by the adjunction between π_* and π^* we find what we were looking for: a morphism

$$TX_d \longrightarrow \pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\Delta}(\Delta).$$

As remarked in Remark 2.15, the two sheaves in question are locally free of finite rank, therefore it is sufficient to show that the above global map induces isomorphisms on each fibre. One can check that, in fact, this global morphism restricts on every fibre to the linear map

$$T_D X_d \xrightarrow{\cong} H^0(D)_D$$

which we proved to be an isomorphism in Proposition 2.12, thus achieving the desired conclusion. \square

We now turn to the case of the Picard scheme, in which our strategy is in some sense to make the formal replacement

$$H^1(\mathcal{O}_X), \text{ vector space} \quad \mapsto \quad R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O}_Z, \text{ locally free sheaf}$$

Proposition 2.17. *There is a canonical isomorphism of sheaves $u^*T\mathrm{Pic}_X^d \cong R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O}_Z$.*

Proof. First of all recall from Proposition 2.13 that we have an isomorphism

$$T_0 \mathbf{Pic}_{X/S} \cong H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$$

then notice that, since $\mathbf{Pic}_{X/S}$ is a group variety, its tangent sheaf is constant with fibers $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$. The same is true if we restrict to the subscheme Pic_X^d , thus we see that $T\mathrm{Pic}_X^d$ is the constant sheaf $\underline{H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)}$ over Pic_X^d .

2. THE DIVISOR AND PICARD SCHEMES

Actually, we claim that $R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O}_Z$ is also constant with fibers $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$. To see this consider the base change diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z & \xrightarrow{\quad} & X \\ \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ X_d & \xrightarrow{\quad g \quad} & S \end{array}$$

and notice that, since we are assuming $S = \operatorname{Spec}(k)$, the structure morphism $f : X \rightarrow S$ is flat. Hence the above diagram describes a flat base change and thus (use Proposition 9.3 of [7] for instance) we get

$$R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O}_Z \cong g^* (R^1f_*\mathcal{O}_X) \cong g^*H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X),$$

from which we deduce that $R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O}_Z$ is the constant sheaf with fibers $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ over X_d . Therefore it follows that $u^*T\operatorname{Pic}_X^d \cong R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O}_Z$. \square

2.7 Cohomological description of the Abel-Jacobi map

In this section we will achieve a purely cohomological description of the tangent map Tu of the Abel-Jacobi map, first fiberwise and then globally.

2.7.1 Fiberwise description

In section 2.5 we showed that, on every closed point D , the tangent morphism T_Du is a linear map $H^0(D)_D \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{O}_X)$, which looks pretty familiar. Indeed from the natural short exact sequence of sheaves of Remark 2.11, i.e.

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(D) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_D(D) \rightarrow 0$$

we get, observing that $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_D(D))$ is trivial since D is 0-dimensional, the following long exact sequence in cohomology

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow H^0(D) \rightarrow H^0(D)_D \xrightarrow{\delta_D} H^1(\mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow H^1(D) \rightarrow 0 \quad (2.2)$$

and we are going to show that the coboundary map δ_D can be identified with T_Du .

Proposition 2.18. *Under the isomorphisms of Propositions 2.12 and 2.13, the maps δ_D and T_Du can be identified.*

2.7 Cohomological description of the Abel-Jacobi map

Proof. We want to prove the commutativity of the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^0(D)_D & \xrightarrow{\sim} & T_D X_d \\ \downarrow \delta_D & & \downarrow T_D u \\ H^1(\mathcal{O}_X) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & T_{u(D)} \text{Pic}_X^d \end{array}$$

where the lower horizontal map is the exponentiation $f \mapsto 1 + \varepsilon f$.

Let G_i be local equations for D and H_i/G_i represent a global section of $H^0(D)_D$. The coboundary map acts on it as

$$\delta_D \left(\frac{H_i}{G_i} \right) = \frac{H_i}{G_i} - \frac{H_j}{G_j}.$$

On the other hand, H_i/G_i is identified with the element of $T_D X_d$ defined by local equations $F_i = G_i + \varepsilon H_i$ and gets mapped through $T_D u$ to the element of $T_{u(D)} \text{Pic}_X^d$ with transition functions given by

$$\sigma_{i,j} = F_i/F_j.$$

This can be expanded (here's the trick!) as

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{i,j} &= (G_i + \varepsilon H_i)/(G_j + \varepsilon H_j) \\ &= G_i G_j^{-1} \cdot (1 + \varepsilon H_i/G_i) \cdot (1 - \varepsilon H_j/G_j) \\ &= G_i G_j^{-1} \cdot (1 + \varepsilon(H_i/G_i - H_j/G_j)). \end{aligned}$$

Now notice that $G_i G_j^{-1}$ represents a section of $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$, thus we can divide them out: this just means translating $\sigma_{i,j}$ back to the origin of Pic_X^d . We are left with

$$1 + \varepsilon \left(\frac{H_i}{G_i} - \frac{H_j}{G_j} \right),$$

which is the image under the exponential map of $\delta_D(H_i/G_i)$, as we wanted. □

We can now rewrite the long exact sequence (2.2) as

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H^0(D)/k & \longrightarrow & H^0(D)_D & \xrightarrow{\delta_D} & H^1(\mathcal{O}_X) \longrightarrow H^1(D) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \cong \downarrow & & \cong \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & T_D|D| & \longrightarrow & T_D X_d & \xrightarrow{T_D u} & T_{\mathcal{O}_X(D)} \text{Pic}_X^d \end{array} \quad (2.3)$$

from which we make the following observations:

2. THE DIVISOR AND PICARD SCHEMES

- i) The dimension of the Picard scheme is bounded by $h^1(\mathcal{O}_X)$ and equality holds if and only if Pic_X^d is smooth, at any point and hence everywhere. In the case of X being a smooth curve of genus g , recall that by definition $h^1(\mathcal{O}_X) = h^0(K) = g$;
- ii) The kernel of $T_D u$ is $H^0(D)/k \cong \mathbb{P}H^0(D)$, so one notices that u is constant on linear series. This is the content of Abel's theorem.

2.7.2 Global description

In the last Section we showed that the tangent spaces of X_d and Pic_X^d can be seen as cohomology groups and, further, that the tangent map $T_D u$ at any point D is a linear map of vector spaces. We now want to make this idea global, aiming for a cohomological description of the tangent sheaves of X_d and Pic_X^d and the sheaf morphism Tu . To do so, we will make use of the universal divisor Δ and the universal line bundle \mathcal{L} to obtain two exact sequences of sheaves, which will serve as global analogues of (2.2). Moreover, we will show that the last part of these sequences are free presentations, a fact that will be of crucial importance for the definition of the moduli varieties parametrising linear series and, in general, for the rest of our discussion.

Definition 2.19. Choose a divisor $M = \sum_{i=1}^m P_i$ consisting of $m \geq 2g - d - 1$ distinct points of X , then define the product divisor

$$\Gamma := M \times \text{Pic}_X^d$$

Proposition 2.20. Let $\pi : X \times X_d \rightarrow X_d$ and $\nu : X \times \text{Pic}_X^d \rightarrow \text{Pic}_X^d$ denote the natural projections on the second factor. Then the exact sequence of sheaves

$$\pi_* \mathcal{O}_\Delta(\Delta) \xrightarrow{\delta} R^1 \pi_* \mathcal{O}_Z \rightarrow R^1 \pi_* \mathcal{O}_Z(\Delta) \rightarrow 0 \quad (2.4)$$

is a free presentation of $R^1 \pi_* \mathcal{O}_Z(\Delta)$, while the exact sequence of sheaves

$$\nu_* \mathcal{L}(\Gamma) \rightarrow \nu_* \mathcal{L}(\Gamma)/\mathcal{L} \rightarrow R^1 \nu_* \mathcal{L} \rightarrow 0 \quad (2.5)$$

is a free presentation of $R^1 \nu_* \mathcal{L}$.

Proof. We start by looking at the natural short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Z \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Z(\Delta) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_\Delta(\Delta) \rightarrow 0 \quad (2.6)$$

3

Moduli varieties and their tangent spaces

In this Chapter we give a scheme-theoretic definition of the moduli varieties X_d^r parametrizing effective divisors and W_d^r parametrizing linear series. The idea is to start from the two free presentations (2.4) and (2.5) of the sheaves $R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O}_Z(\Delta)$ and $R^1\nu_*\mathcal{L}$ arising from some specific cohomology sequences related to the universal divisor Δ and the universal line bundle \mathcal{L} .

Then we introduce the concept of Fitting ideals, which is at the heart of this technical – but extremely useful – approach. Fitting ideals enjoy nice scheme-theoretical properties and, consequently, the scheme structure that we get on the moduli varieties turns out to be satisfactory for different reasons. For instance, Proposition 3.11 shows that the obvious set-theoretic identity $X_d^r = u^{-1}(W_d^r)$ holds in the category of schemes.

As a consequence of our definitions, it will be possible to exploit a Theorem on the height of Fitting ideals, proved by Eagon and Northcott, to give a lower bound to the dimension of the moduli varieties X_d^r and W_d^r in terms of the Brill-Noether number ρ . Next we will introduce the variety G_d^r parametrizing (not necessarily complete) linear series which, in the following Section, will permit to achieve a completely cohomological description of the tangent spaces of X_d^r and W_d^r , in which the Petri's map

$$\mu_0 : H^0(D) \otimes H^0(K - D) \longrightarrow H^0(K)$$

will turn out to play a crucial role. Relying on this description we will be able to characterize the singularities of the moduli varieties.

3.1 Fitting ideals and degeneracy loci

Given a linear map $\varphi : V \rightarrow W$ between finite dimensional vector spaces, one can look at its rank to get information about the *amount of degeneracy* involved in the mapping. More precisely, the lower the rank of φ is, the bigger the dimension of its fibres will be or, in other words, more *directions* in V will be collapsed. Moreover, if the map and the vector spaces depend on some parameters $x = x_1, \dots, x_n$, one can define the locus where the rank of $\varphi(x)$ is at most t , what is usually referred to as the **t -degeneracy locus** of φ .

In our case we work in a more general setting: we consider a morphism between two locally free sheaves of finite type, but the underlying intuition is similar.

Let $\varphi : E \rightarrow F$ be a morphism of locally free sheaves of finite ranks e and f over a Noetherian scheme Y and $n \leq \min(e, f)$. We are interested in studying the $(n - 1)$ -**degeneracy locus** of φ , which is defined as

$$D_{n-1}(\varphi) = \{ y \in Y \mid \text{rank}_y(\varphi) < n \}.$$

Since we want to work with degeneracy loci, it is useful to define the ideal $I_n(\varphi)$, generated by all of the $n \times n$ minors of φ . This can be done in a coordinate-free way through the formalism of exterior algebra:

Definition 3.1. Let $\varphi : E \rightarrow F$ be a map of free modules over a ring R . We define the ideal $I_n(\varphi) \subset R$ to be the image of the canonical map induced by φ

$$\wedge^n E \otimes \wedge^n F^* \rightarrow R.$$

Remark 3.2. The canonical map involved in the definition of $I_n(\varphi)$ is obtained in the following way: first, by the universal property of exterior algebra, given $\varphi : E \rightarrow F$ we have a unique map

$$\wedge^n \varphi : \wedge^n E \rightarrow \wedge^n F$$

and we can thus define the above canonical map as

$$\wedge^n E \otimes \wedge^n F^* \rightarrow R, \quad a \otimes b \mapsto b(\wedge^n \varphi(a))$$

Next we introduce the concept of the n -th Fitting ideal associated to a finitely presented module. This is a powerful algebraic invariant, which will serve us to define the moduli varieties X_d^r and W_d^r parametrising effective divisors and linear series.

Definition 3.3. Let G be a finitely presented module over a ring R and consider a free presentation

$$E \xrightarrow{\varphi} F \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0$$

of G such that F is a finitely generated R -module of rank f . For every integer $t \in \mathbb{N}$ we define the t -th **Fitting ideal** of G to be

$$\text{Fitt}_t(G) := I_{f-t}(\varphi).$$

Remark 3.4. Fitting ideals are well-defined, since $\text{Fitt}_t(G)$ does not depend on the chosen presentation. More precisely, if we have another presentation

$$E' \xrightarrow{\varphi'} F' \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0$$

with F' of rank f' then $I_{f-t}(\varphi) = I_{f'-t}(\varphi')$, as it was proved by Hans Fitting in his paper [10] of 1936.

The above remark allow us to extend the definition to quasicoherent sheaves over a scheme.

Definition 3.5. Let G be a locally-free coherent sheaf over a Noetherian scheme Y . We have local free presentations of G over an open cover of Y and, due to the above remark, the local t -th Fitting ideals fit together into a globally defined sheaf of ideals on Y , which we denote by $\text{Fitt}_t(G) \subset \mathcal{O}_Y$.

Since the ideal sheaf $\text{Fitt}_t(G)$ is coherent by construction, it cuts out a closed subscheme of Y which we denote by $\text{FittS}_t(G)$, the t -**Fitting scheme** of G .

Another useful property of Fitting ideals is their **invariance under base change**. More precisely, for every morphism of schemes $f : Y' \rightarrow Y$, the pullback of the Fitting ideal $f^* \text{Fitt}_t(G)$ is generated as an $\mathcal{O}_{Y'}$ -module by the Fitting ideal of the pullback $\text{Fitt}_t(f^*G)$.

Finally we define the locus of points y where the fibers of φ_y have a certain dimension:

Definition 3.6. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varphi : E \rightarrow F$ be a morphism of locally free sheaves over a Noetherian scheme Y . We define the set

$$\text{Fiber}_m(\varphi) = \{ y \in Y \mid \text{fibers of } \varphi_y \text{ have dimension } \geq m \}$$

3. MODULI VARIETIES AND THEIR TANGENT SPACES

Notice that, if $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and we have a free presentation of a coherent sheaf G

$$E \xrightarrow{\varphi} F \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0$$

with E of rank e and F of rank f , then it is a trivial consequence of the definitions that there is the following set-theoretic relationship among the objects we just defined:

$$\text{Supp} [\text{FittS}_{(s-1)}(G)] = D_{(f-s)}(\varphi) = \text{Fiber}_{(e-f+s)}(\varphi). \quad (3.1)$$

3.2 Definition of X_d^r and W_d^r

In order to define X_d^r and W_d^r – the varieties parametrising linear series – we will consider the free presentation appearing in Proposition 2.20, namely

$$\pi_* \mathcal{O}_\Delta(\Delta) \xrightarrow{\delta} R^1 \pi_* \mathcal{O}_Z \longrightarrow R^1 \pi_* \mathcal{O}_Z(\Delta) \longrightarrow 0$$

arising naturally from the universal divisor Δ and, further, the free presentation

$$\nu_* \mathcal{L}(\Gamma) \longrightarrow \nu_* \mathcal{L}(\Gamma)/\mathcal{L} \longrightarrow R^1 \nu_* \mathcal{L} \longrightarrow 0$$

associated to the universal line bundle \mathcal{L} . As we explained in Chapter 2, the first of the above presentations – which lives over $X \times X_d$ – is related to the tangent map of the Abel-Jacobi map u and thus embeds information on its degeneracy loci. Further, in Proposition 3.11 we will show that the second one – which lives over $X \times \text{Pic}_X^d$ – encodes basically the same information *modulo linear equivalence* and in fact pulls back to the first one, via u . For these reasons we will use the schemes associated to some specific Fitting ideals of these presentations to define X_d^r and W_d^r .

Definition 3.7. We define

$$X_d^r := \text{FittS}_{(g-d+r-1)}(R^1 \pi_* \mathcal{O}_Z(\Delta)) \quad \text{and} \quad W_d^r := \text{FittS}_{(g-d+r-1)}(R^1 \nu_* \mathcal{L})$$

As a preparation for the next Proposition we need the following Lemma, which illustrates in what precise sense the sequence (2.8) associated to the universal divisor is functorial.

Lemma 3.8. *Let L be a line bundle of degree d on $X \times T$ and let $f : T \rightarrow \text{Pic}_X^d$ the unique map for which*

$$f^* \mathcal{L} \cong L \otimes \phi^* F$$

where F is a line bundle over T and $\phi : X \times T \rightarrow T$ is the natural projection. Further, let $\Gamma' := \phi^*M$ where M is a divisor of high degree m as defined in 2.19. Then the sequence (2.8) pulls back via f to the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \phi_*L \otimes F \rightarrow \phi_*L(\Gamma') \otimes F \rightarrow \phi_*(L(\Gamma')/L) \otimes F \rightarrow R^1\phi_*L \otimes F \rightarrow 0$$

Remark 3.9. Before starting with the proof let us remark that, given a family of line bundles L as in the above statement, we have an exact sequence which is very similar to (2.8). Indeed, since Γ' is the pullback of a divisor of high degree on X , Lemma 5.5 of Appendix A implies $R^1\phi_*L(\Gamma') = 0$. Therefore, considering the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow L(\Gamma') \rightarrow L(\Gamma')/L \rightarrow 0$$

and taking the direct image through ϕ we obtain the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \phi_*L \rightarrow \phi_*L(\Gamma') \rightarrow \phi_*(L(\Gamma')/L) \rightarrow R^1\phi_*L \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. First of all we notice that the locally free sheaf $\mathcal{L}(\Gamma)$ enjoys the following properties

- $\nu_*\mathcal{L}(\Gamma)$ is locally free, as showed in Proposition 2.20
- $R^i\nu_*\mathcal{L}(\Gamma) = 0$ for every $i \geq 1$, as proven in Lemma 5.5

Hence we can apply Proposition 5.4 of Appendix A to the base change diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \times T & \xrightarrow{1 \times f} & X \times \text{Pic}_X^d \\ \phi \downarrow & & \downarrow \nu \\ T & \xrightarrow{f} & \text{Pic}_X^d \end{array}$$

and, using the Projection Formula (5.2), we deduce that there is a natural isomorphism

$$f^*R^1\nu_*\mathcal{L}(\Gamma) \cong R^1\pi_*f^*\mathcal{L}(\Gamma) \cong R^1\pi_*(L(\Gamma') \otimes \phi^*F) \cong R^1\pi_*L(\Gamma') \otimes F. \quad (3.2)$$

Moreover, during the proof of Proposition 2.20 we showed that $\nu_*\mathcal{L}(\Gamma)/\mathcal{L}$ is also locally free and, further, from the exactness of the direct image sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow R^1\nu_*\mathcal{L} \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow R^1\nu_*\mathcal{L}(\Gamma)/\mathcal{L} \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots$$

3. MODULI VARIETIES AND THEIR TANGENT SPACES

we see that $R^i \nu_* \mathcal{L}(\Gamma)/\mathcal{L} = 0$ for every $i \geq 1$ and, therefore, another application of Proposition 5.4 together with the projection formula gives us the natural isomorphism

$$f^* R^1 \nu_* \mathcal{L}(\Gamma)/\mathcal{L} \cong R^1 \pi_* L(\Gamma')/L \otimes F.$$

As a result, recalling that *tensoring with a line bundle* is an exact functor, we get the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & f^*(\nu_* \mathcal{L}) & \longrightarrow & f^*(\nu_* \mathcal{L}(\Gamma)) & \longrightarrow & f^*(\nu_* \mathcal{L}(\Gamma)/\mathcal{L}) & \longrightarrow & f^*(R^1 \nu_* \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \text{---} & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \text{---} \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \phi_* L \otimes F & \longrightarrow & \phi_* L(\Gamma') \otimes F & \longrightarrow & \phi_*(L(\Gamma')/L) \otimes F & \longrightarrow & R^1 \phi_* L \otimes F \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

where of course the dashed arrows are also isomorphisms. \square

For future reference, we remark the following obvious consequence of the above Lemma.

Corollary 3.10. *In the situation of the above Lemma, we have a natural isomorphism*

$$f^* R^1 \nu_* \mathcal{L} \cong R^1 \phi_* L \otimes F$$

The following proposition will clarify the relationship between X_d^r and W_d^r , showing that X_d^r is the scheme-theoretical inverse image of W_d^r .

Proposition 3.11. *The scheme theoretic inverse image of the variety W_d^r via the Abel-Jacobi map equals X_d^r . In symbols this amounts to*

$$u^{-1}(W_d^r) = X_d^r.$$

Proof. We remarked already that Fitting ideals are stable under base change and this implies in particular that, for any sheaf \mathcal{F} , $u^* \text{Fitt}(\mathcal{F})$ is generated as a module by $\text{Fitt}(u^* \mathcal{F})$. Therefore the ideal sheaf of $u^{-1}(W_d^r)$ is generated by $\text{Fitt}(u^* R^1 \nu_* \mathcal{L})$ and thus it is enough to show that the latter is isomorphic to $\text{Fitt}(R^1 \pi_* \mathcal{O}_Z(\Delta))$. To begin, pick $D \in X_d$ and notice that the canonical maps

$$f : D \hookrightarrow X_d \quad \text{and} \quad g : \mathcal{O}_X(D) \hookrightarrow \text{Pic}_X^d$$

satisfy the relation $g = u \circ f$. So fiberwise we have the identities

$$(u^* \mathcal{L})|_D = f^* u^* \mathcal{L} = (u \circ f)^* \mathcal{L} = g^* \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}(D) = \mathcal{O}(f^* \Delta) = f^* \mathcal{O}(\Delta) = \mathcal{O}(\Delta)|_D$$

for the dimension of every irreducible component of X_d^r . Further, invoking Proposition 3.11 we deduce that any irreducible component of W_d^r has dimension greater or equal than ρ . Let us state these results in the form of a Theorem, for future reference

Theorem 3.13. *Every irreducible component of X_d^r has dimension at least $\rho + r$, while every irreducible component of W_d^r has dimension at least ρ .*

3.4 Definition of G_d^r

We are now going to define a variety G_d^r parametrising g_d^r on the curve X , i.e. (not necessarily complete) linear series of degree d and dimension r . Our objective is to give the right definition for G_d^r and then show that its support is given by

$$\text{Supp}(G_d^r) = \left\{ (L, W) \in \text{Pic}_X^d \times \mathbb{G}(r+1, H^0(L)) \right\}$$

where L is a line bundle on X and $\mathbb{G}(r+1, H^0(L))$ denotes the Grassmannian bundle of $(r+1)$ -dimensional linear subspaces of $H^0(L)$. In order to give a scheme structure to G_d^r , we need to introduce a useful algebraic object. Let G be a locally free and finitely presented sheaf over a scheme Y and $E \xrightarrow{\varphi} F \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0$ a free presentation of G , where E and F have finite ranks e and f . Moreover, for every natural number $t \leq e$, let

$$\pi : \mathbb{G}(e-t, E) \rightarrow Y$$

be the projection from the Grassmannian bundle of $(e-t)$ -subspaces of sections of E to Y . Consider the natural short exact sequence of sheaves over $\mathbb{G}(e-t, E)$

$$0 \rightarrow S \rightarrow \pi^* E \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$$

where S and Q are the universal subbundle and quotient bundle of $\mathbb{G}(e-t, E)$. In order to better understand this sequence, let $y \in Y$ and let the $(e-t)$ -subspace $V = \pi^1(y)$ be the corresponding fiber over y . Then the fiberwise short exact sequence over V is simply given by

$$0 \rightarrow V \rightarrow E_y \rightarrow E_y/V \rightarrow 0.$$

Next, we define the following subset of $\mathbb{G}(e-t, E)$ as a specific vanishing locus:

3. MODULI VARIETIES AND THEIR TANGENT SPACES

Definition 3.14. We define $\text{Grass}_t(G) \subset \mathbb{G}(e - t, E)$ to be the vanishing locus of the morphism of sheaves

$$S \longrightarrow \pi^* E \xrightarrow{\pi^* \varphi} \pi^* F$$

i.e. the closed subset of the support of $\mathbb{G}(e - t, E)$ consisting of those points over which $S \rightarrow \pi^* F$ restricts to the zero morphism.

Remark 3.15. Notice that, by definition, the support of $\text{Grass}_t(G)$ consists of pairs (y, V) where $y \in Y$ and $V \subset E_y$ is a $(e - t)$ -subspace contained in the kernel of the linear map $\varphi_y : E_y \rightarrow F_y$.

Hence we can use the notion of $\text{Grass}_t(\bullet)$ to define the variety G_d^r . To do so, first recall the definition of the high-degree divisor Γ of degree m as in Definition 2.19 and then consider the free presentation (2.5) of finite rank locally free sheaves over Pic_X^d

$$\nu_* \mathcal{L}(\Gamma) \xrightarrow{\gamma} R^1 \nu_* \mathcal{L}(\Gamma) / \mathcal{L} \rightarrow R^1 \nu_* \mathcal{L} \rightarrow 0$$

which was involved in the definition of W_d^r .

Definition 3.16. We define G_d^r to be the closed subscheme of $\mathbb{G}(r + 1, \nu_* \mathcal{L}(\Gamma))$ given by $\text{Grass}_{(d+m-g+r)}(R^1 \nu_* \mathcal{L})$.

One can show that the above definition is independent from the choice of the presentation of $R^1 \nu_* \mathcal{L}$. We do not deal with this problem and we leave it as an exercise to the interested reader.

It is now time to check that G_d^r actually parametrizes g_d^r on the curve. First of all observe that, by Lemma 3.8, the kernel of $\gamma|_L$ over any $L \in \text{Pic}_X^d$ is canonically isomorphic to $H^0(L)$. Therefore, looking at Remark 3.15, we see that the support of G_d^r consists of couples (L, W) where L is a line bundle of degree d and $W \subset H^0(L)$ is a linear subspace of dimension $r + 1$, as desired.

3.5 Cohomological description for the tangent spaces

In the following we will use the short hand notations

$$\underline{X}_d^r = X_d^r \setminus X_d^{r+1} \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{W}_d^r = W_d^r \setminus W_d^{r+1}$$

3.5 Cohomological description for the tangent spaces

and we will refer to the points of \underline{X}_d^r and \underline{W}_d^r as **good points**.

With the aim of describing the tangent space of \underline{W}_d^r and \underline{X}_d^r , we will first look at the one of G_d^r . A motivation for this approach is the observation that the natural projection

$$\beta : G_d^r \rightarrow W_d^r, \quad (L, W) \mapsto L$$

is biregular away of W_d^{r+1} . Indeed β is clearly a regular map and, further, the preimage of $L \in \underline{W}_d^r$ consists just of the point $w = (L, H^0(L))$. It follows that, as far as \underline{W}_d^r is regarded, $T\beta$ gives an isomorphism between the tangent spaces

$$T\beta : T_w G_d^r \xrightarrow{\cong} T_L W_d^r, \quad \forall L \in \underline{W}_d^r. \quad (3.4)$$

In order to describe the tangent space of G_d^r , a preliminary result about the first order deformations of a pair $(L, s) \in \text{Pic}^d \times H^0(L)$ will turn out to be crucial.

Proposition 3.17. *Let $L \in \text{Pic}^d$ be a line bundle over X and $s \in H^0(L)$ a global section. Then an element $\phi \in T_L \text{Pic}^d \cong H^1(\mathcal{O}_X)$ induces a first order deformation of the pair (L, s) if and only if $\phi \cdot s = 0$ in $H^1(L)$.*

Proof. Assume that L is given by transition functions $g_{\alpha\beta}$ on a open cover U_α of X . We already know that $T_L \text{Pic}^d \cong H^1(\mathcal{O}_X)$ and a first order deformation L' of L is represented by a class $\phi \in H^1(\mathcal{O}_X)$ in the following way

$$g_{\alpha\beta} \xrightarrow{\phi} g'_{\alpha\beta} = g_{\alpha\beta} \cdot (1 + \varepsilon \phi_{\alpha\beta}).$$

On the other hand, on a first order deformation of the pair (L, s) to (L', s') we have the additional requirement that the section s' corresponds to a linear deformation of s . In formula this is expressed as

$$s'_\alpha = s_\alpha + \varepsilon t_\alpha, \quad t \in H^0(L).$$

The action of the transition functions can therefore be expanded as

$$s'_\beta = g'_{\alpha\beta} \cdot s'_\alpha \iff s_\beta + \varepsilon t_\beta = g_{\alpha\beta} \cdot (1 + \varepsilon \phi_{\alpha\beta}) \cdot (s_\alpha + \varepsilon t_\alpha)$$

and imposes the conditions

$$s_\beta = g_{\alpha\beta} \cdot s_\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_{\alpha\beta} \cdot s_\alpha = t_\alpha - g_{\beta\alpha} \cdot t_\beta.$$

3. MODULI VARIETIES AND THEIR TANGENT SPACES

The first one is automatically satisfied since s is a global section of L , while the second one can be rewritten in terms of the coboundary map $\partial : C^0(L) \rightarrow C^1(L)$ as

$$\phi \cdot s = \partial(t),$$

thus giving the desired result. \square

We now have a way to describe an element of $T_w G_d^r$. In fact the latter is nothing but a first order deformation of the pair (L, W) and, as an immediate consequence of the above Proposition, one such deformation corresponds to an element $\phi \in H^1(\mathcal{O}_X)$ such that $\phi \cdot W = 0$. Hence we deduce that the image of $T\beta$ at a point $w = (L, W)$ can be described as

$$T\beta(T_w G_d^r) \cong \{ \phi \in H^1(\mathcal{O}_X) \mid \phi \cdot W = 0 \text{ in } H^1(L) \}.$$

Further, this description can be reformulated using Serre duality by considering the restriction of μ_0 to $W \subseteq H^0(L)$, i.e. the map

$$\mu_{0,W} : W \otimes H^0(K - L) \rightarrow H^0(K), \quad s \otimes s' \mapsto s \cdot s'.$$

Indeed, since the duality pairing is perfect, the condition $\phi \cdot W = 0$ is equivalent to require that $\forall s \in W$ and $\forall s' \in H^0(K - L)$ the pairing

$$\langle s', s \cdot \phi \rangle = \langle s' \cdot s, \phi \rangle$$

vanishes. Notice that the above identity follows from Lemma 6.10 of Appendix A and the local description of Abelian differentials. Therefore, in a more concise form, we can write

$$T\beta(T_w G_d^r) \cong (\text{Im } \mu_{0,W})^\vee. \quad (3.5)$$

As a consequence, at least for good points, we can easily describe the tangent space of W_d^r in a purely cohomological fashion.

Proposition 3.18. *For every good point $L \in \underline{W}_d^r$ the tangent space is given by*

$$T_L W_d^r \cong (\text{Im } \mu_0)^\vee$$

where $\mu_0 : H^0(L) \otimes H^0(K - L) \rightarrow H^0(K)$ is the cup product.

Proof. This follows immediately from (3.4) and (3.5), taking $W = H^0(L)$. \square

3.6 Consequences of the infinitesimal study

Exploiting the fact that α is dual to δ we get, as a corollary, a nice cohomological description for the tangent space of X_d^r as well.

Corollary 3.19. *For every good point $D \in \underline{X}_d^r$ the tangent space is given by*

$$T_D X_d^r \cong (\operatorname{Im} \alpha \mu_0)^\vee$$

where $\mu_0 : H^0(D) \otimes H^0(K - D) \rightarrow H^0(K)$ is the cup product.

Proof. Let $D \in \underline{X}_d^r$ and set $L = u(D)$. Using Proposition 3.18 we find

$$\begin{aligned} T_D X_d^r &= u_*^{-1} T_L W_d^r \\ &= u_*^{-1} (\operatorname{Im} \mu_0)^\vee \\ &= \delta^{-1} (\operatorname{Im} \mu_0)^\vee \\ &= (\operatorname{Im} \alpha \mu_0)^\vee \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality holds because α is dual to δ , as shown in Appendix B – see identity (6.3). \square

3.6 Consequences of the infinitesimal study

The results achieved in this Section shade light on the crucial role of the cup-product homomorphism μ_0 in the study of the geometry of linear series. Indeed, as we will see in the following, the moduli varieties parametrizing effective divisors and linear series present singularities on those points over which the cup-product presents a non trivial kernel. This is a fact which was already observed in the toy-model examples of Section 1.7.

We start with a proposition about the dimension of G_d^r .

Proposition 3.20. *The dimension of G_d^r is at least ρ and, at every point $w = (L, W)$,*

$$\dim T_w G_d^r = \rho + \dim(\ker \mu_{0,W}).$$

Hence G_d^r is smooth of dimension ρ at w if and only if $\mu_{0,W}$ is injective.

3. MODULI VARIETIES AND THEIR TANGENT SPACES

Proof. The lower bound on the dimension of G_d^r follows directly from Theorem 3.13, since β is onto W_d^r . To get the dimension of $T_w G_d^r$, notice that the fiber of β over a point $L \in W_d^r$ is canonically isomorphic to the Grassmannian $\mathbb{G}(r+1, H^0(L))$, whose tangent space at a point W is given by $\text{Hom}_k(W, H^0(L)/W)$. Hence we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_k(W, H^0(L)/W) \rightarrow T_w G_d^r \rightarrow \text{Im}(T\beta) \rightarrow 0$$

and the result follows from a trivial computation:

$$\begin{aligned} \dim T_w G_d^r &= \dim \text{Im}(T\beta) + \dim \text{Hom}_k(W, H^0(L)/W) \\ &= g - \dim \text{Im } \mu_{0,W} + (r+1)(h^0(L) - r - 1) \\ &= g - (r+1)h^0(K-L) + \dim(\ker \mu_{0,W}) + (r+1)(h^0(L) - r - 1) \\ &= g - (r+1)(h^0(K-L) - h^0(L) + r + 1) + \dim(\ker \mu_{0,W}) \\ &= g - (r+1)(g - d + r) + \dim(\ker \mu_{0,W}) \\ &= \rho + \dim(\ker \mu_{0,W}) \end{aligned}$$

The statement about the smoothness now follows immediately. \square

As a corollary, we get an important result about the dimension and smoothness of W_d^r at good points $L \in \underline{W}_d^r$.

Corollary 3.21. *The variety W_d^r is smooth of dimension ρ at $L \in \underline{W}_d^r$ if and only if the cup product $\mu_0 : H^0(L) \otimes H^0(K-L) \rightarrow H^0(K)$ is injective.*

Proof. From Proposition 3.20 together with (3.4) we know that for every good point L

$$\dim T_L W_d^r = \rho + \dim(\ker \mu_0)$$

so it is enough to invoke the lower bound of Theorem 3.13 to conclude. \square

Finally, we have the corresponding result on the dimension and smoothness of X_d^r .

Proposition 3.22. *The variety X_d^r is smooth of dimension $\rho + r$ at $D \in \underline{X}_d^r$ if and only if the cup product $\mu_0 : H^0(D) \otimes H^0(K-D) \rightarrow H^0(K)$ is injective.*

3.6 Consequences of the infinitesimal study

Proof. This is another a trivial computation. Indeed, using Corollary 3.19 and noticing that $\ker \alpha = H^0(K - D)$ is contained in the image of μ_0 , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \dim T_D X_d^r &= d - \dim \operatorname{Im} \alpha \mu_0 = d - \dim \operatorname{Im} \mu_0 + \dim \ker \alpha \\
 &= d - (r + 1)(g - d + r) + \dim \ker \mu_0 + g - d + r \\
 &= r + g - (r + 1)(g - d + r) + \dim \ker \mu_0 \\
 &= r + \rho + \dim \ker \mu_0.
 \end{aligned}$$

The remark about the smoothness of X_d^r at D follows from the above combined with the lower bound of Theorem 3.13. \square

These results make it natural to ask how the tangent spaces of the moduli varieties behave in the case of a general curve. An answer is given by a classical result due to Gieseker, which was proved during the last decades for the case of curves over \mathbb{C} .

Smoothness Theorem. *Let X be a general curve of genus g and let $d \geq 1$, $r \geq 0$ be natural numbers. Then G_d^r is smooth of dimension ρ .*

An extension of this Theorem to an arbitrary algebraically closed field is out of the scope of this Thesis, nevertheless the above achieved results strongly suggest that such a generalization is possible.

Because of the cohomological description of the infinitesimal structure of the moduli varieties, the Smoothness Theorem can also be stated in an equivalent and purely cohomological manner:

Smoothness Theorem 2. *Let X be a general curve of genus g and D an effective divisor on X . Then the cup-product homomorphism*

$$\mu_0 : H^0(D) \otimes H^0(K - D) \rightarrow H^0(K)$$

is injective.

3. MODULI VARIETIES AND THEIR TANGENT SPACES

4

Existence and Connectedness Theorems

The scope of this Chapter is to achieve a generalization of two basic results of the classical Brill-Noether Theory, the Existence and Connectedness Theorems, to the case of an arbitrary algebraically closed field. The content of the Theorems underlines the crucial role of the Brill-Noether number ρ in the study of linear series: in fact, a non negative value of ρ implies that W_d^r is not empty and, moreover, $\rho > 0$ ensures its connectedness. These results were proved in the last decades for curves over the complex numbers but, as we will see, can be extended to closed fields of positive characteristic. Thanks to a general result on degeneracy loci proved by Fulton – Theorem 5.2 of Appendix A – the Existence and Connectedness Theorems will follow immediately, but first we need to show that Fulton’s hypothesis are met in our situation. The first step is to show that W_d^r can be seen as a degeneracy locus of a morphism of vector bundles

$$\varphi : E \longrightarrow F$$

where E and F arise from a specific cohomology sequence associated to the universal line bundle \mathcal{L} . It is crucial to choose these vector bundles in a smart way, in order to simplify the following and last step, in which we need to show that the tensor product $E^* \otimes F \cong \mathrm{Hom}_k(E, F)$ is an ample vector bundle.

4. EXISTENCE AND CONNECTEDNESS THEOREMS

4.1 An alternative perspective on W_d^r

Notation 6. For every natural number $d \in \mathbb{N}$, let $M = \sum_{i=1}^m p_i$ be a divisor of high degree $m \geq 2g - d - 1$ on X and set $n := m + d$.

To begin, we will give a recipe to construct an explicit universal line bundle \mathcal{L}_n of degree n which enjoys the characterizing universal property. Because of the uniqueness up to isomorphisms of such an universal object, the explicit recipe given in this Section is compatible with the non-constructive approach we adopted in the previous Chapters. For now and for the rest of the section, choose a closed point $Q \in X$ and define

$$[Q] := \{ D \in X_n \mid Q \in \text{Supp}(D) \} \subset X_n$$

Further, let Δ be a universal divisor of degree n , let $u : X_n \rightarrow \text{Pic}_X^n$ the Abel-Jacobi map and $\pi : Z = X \times X_n \rightarrow X_n$ the natural projection.

Definition 4.1. We define a universal line bundle $\mathcal{L}_n \rightarrow X \times \text{Pic}_X^n$ of degree n by

$$\mathcal{L}_n := (1_X \times u)_*(\mathcal{O}_Z(\Delta - \pi^*[Q]))$$

Notice that the above pushforward gives in fact a line bundle, the reason being that the Abel-Jacobi map u is onto Pic_X^n since n is greater than $2g - 1$. Moreover, it is easy to check that the resulting line bundle enjoys the universal property of a universal line bundle, but we leave the details to the interested reader.

Recall that the moduli variety W_d^r was defined as a Fitting scheme associated to the sheaf $R^1\nu_*\mathcal{L}_d$, where \mathcal{L}_d is a universal line bundle of degree d . It will be convenient, in this section, to *translate* our point of view and work directly in higher degree. Hence, using the recipe provided by Definition 4.1, choose \mathcal{L}_n to be a universal line bundle of degree $n = d + m$. Further, let $\Gamma = M \times \text{Pic}_X^n$ and use the isomorphism

$$a : \text{Pic}_X^d \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Pic}_X^n, \quad L \mapsto L \otimes \mathcal{O}(M)$$

to define the universal line bundle \mathcal{L}_d of degree d as the pull-back of $\mathcal{L}_n(-\Gamma)$

$$\mathcal{L}_d := a^*\mathcal{L}_n(-\Gamma).$$

We claim that the image $a(W_d^r) \subset \text{Pic}_X^n$ is the closed subscheme Y corresponding to the Fitting ideal $\text{Fitt}_t(R^1\nu_*\mathcal{L}_n(-\Gamma))$ with $t = g - d + r - 1$, the reason being that the scheme theoretic preimage $a^{-1}(Y)$ corresponds to the ideal sheaf

$$a^* \text{Fitt}_t(R^1\nu_*\mathcal{L}_n(-\Gamma)) = \text{Fitt}_t(a^*R^1\nu_*\mathcal{L}_n(-\Gamma)) = \text{Fitt}_t(R^1\nu_*\mathcal{L}_d)$$

as one sees invoking Corollary 3.10 and recalling that Fitting ideals – defined through a free presentation – are not affected by tensor product. Therefore we understand that W_d^r can also be seen as the $(m - g + d - r)$ -degeneracy locus of the evaluation map

$$E := \nu_*\mathcal{L}_n \longrightarrow \nu_*(\mathcal{L}_n/\mathcal{L}_n(-\Gamma)) =: F,$$

which will turn out to be a convenient point of view, as we will see in the next Section.

4.2 Ampleness of $(\nu_*\mathcal{L})^* \otimes \nu_*(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}(-\Gamma))$

We start by exploiting the choice of the vector bundle $F = \nu_*(\mathcal{L}_n/\mathcal{L}_n(-\Gamma))$, showing that it admits a simple description in the following Lemma.

Notation 7. For the rest of this Section we will write $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_n$ to denote the universal line bundle of degree n . Further, for any closed point $P \in X$, denote by Γ_P the divisor $P \times \text{Pic}_X^n \subset X \times \text{Pic}_X^n$.

Definition 4.2. Two line bundles L_1 and L_2 over a scheme Y are said to be **algebraically equivalent** if there exist a connected scheme T , two closed points $t_1, t_2 \in T$ and a line bundle L over $Y \times T$ such that

$$L|_{Y \times t_1} \cong L_1 \quad \text{and} \quad L|_{Y \times t_2} \cong L_2.$$

Lemma 4.3. If the divisor $M = \sum_{i=1}^m p_i$ is reduced, then $F = \nu_*(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}(-\Gamma))$ is a direct sum of algebraically trivial line bundles.

Proof. Let P be a closed point of X and notice that $\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}(-\Gamma_P)$ is just the restriction of \mathcal{L} to Γ_P . Therefore, from the natural isomorphism

$$\mathcal{O}_{X \times X_n}(\Delta) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\{P\} \times X_n} \cong \mathcal{O}_{X_n}([P])$$

together with the explicit form of the universal line bundle $\mathcal{L} = (1_X \times u)_*(\mathcal{O}(\Delta - \pi^*[Q]))$, we deduce that

$$\nu_*(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}(-\Gamma_P)) \cong u_*\mathcal{O}_{X_n}([P] - [Q]). \tag{4.1}$$

4. EXISTENCE AND CONNECTEDNESS THEOREMS

To show that $u_*\mathcal{O}_{X_n}([P] - [Q])$ is algebraically equivalent to the trivial bundle, following the notation of Definition 4.2 we can take $L = \mathcal{L}$, $T = X$ and $t_1 = P$, $t_2 = Q$ for the points. Indeed one can easily see that we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{|\mathrm{Pic}_X^n \times \{P\}} \cong u_*\mathcal{O}_{X_n}([P] - [Q]) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{L}_{|\mathrm{Pic}_X^n \times \{Q\}} \cong u_*\mathcal{O}_{X_n} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Pic}_X^n}$$

□

Remark 4.4. In the case of a non-reduced M (i.e. if the p_i 's are not distinct), the statement of the above Lemma is no longer true. However, one can still show that F admits a filtration with successive quotients being trivial line bundles. The latter fact is enough for the next arguments, allowing minor modifications. Nevertheless, we will just deal with the reduced case here, leaving the generalisation as an exercise for the reader.

Our next objective is to show that the vector bundle $\nu_*\mathcal{L}$ is ample, but first of all we need to clarify what ampleness means in this setting.

Definition 4.5. A vector bundle E over a variety X is said to be **ample** if the tautological line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}E^*}(1)$ is ample.

We now move to the vector bundle $E = \nu_*\mathcal{L}$, looking in particular to its associated projectified bundle.

Proposition 4.6. *The projectified bundle $\mathbb{P}E$ is naturally isomorphic to X_n and the projection $\mathbb{P}E \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}_X^n$ coincides with the Abel-Jacobi map $X_n \xrightarrow{u} \mathrm{Pic}_X^n$. Moreover, under this isomorphism we have*

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}E}(1) \cong \mathcal{O}_{X_n}([Q])$$

Proof. Every fiber of $E = \nu_*\mathcal{L}$ over $L \in \mathrm{Pic}_X^n$ coincides with the vector space of global sections $H^0(X, L)$, hence the points of $\mathbb{P}E$ are pairs (L, σ) where σ is a 1-dimensional subspace of $H^0(X, L)$, which is the same as a divisor $D \in |L|$. Therefore $\mathbb{P}E \cong X_n$, and the projection onto Pic_X^n is given by the Abel-Jacobi map $(L, \sigma) \mapsto L$.

For the second statement, consider the natural map

$$\psi : E \rightarrow \nu_*(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}(-\Gamma_Q))$$

obtained by evaluation and restriction, an pull it back via u to get a morphism

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}E}(-1) \rightarrow u^*E \rightarrow u^*(\nu_*(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}(-\Gamma_Q)))$$

where the last bundle is trivial, as one immediately sees from the description 4.1 given in the proof of Lemma 4.3. Hence passing to the dual we find the transpose morphism

$$\mathcal{O}_{X_n} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}E}(1)$$

which, by definition of ψ , vanishes of order 1 over $[Q]$. Therefore we obtain an induced isomorphism

$$\mathcal{O}_{X_n}([Q]) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}E}(1)$$

□

Exploiting the description of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}E}(1)$ we just achieved, we will now show that E^* is ample.

Proposition 4.7. *The vector bundle $E^* = (\nu_*\mathcal{L})^*$ is ample.*

Proof. Our objective is to show that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}E}(1) \cong \mathcal{O}_{X_n}([Q])$ is ample as a line bundle over X_n , so it is useful to apply Proposition 5.6 of Appendix A to the quotient map $\zeta : X^n \rightarrow X_n$ and look at the pullback of $\mathcal{O}_{X_n}([Q])$. This can be written as

$$\zeta^*\mathcal{O}_{X_n}([Q]) = \bigotimes_{i=1}^n \pi_i^*\mathcal{O}_X(Q),$$

where $\pi_i : X^n \rightarrow X$ is the projection on the i -th component.

Now notice that $\mathcal{O}_X(Q)$ is ample being of positive degree and, so, from Lemma 5.8 of Appendix A it follows that the tensor product $\bigotimes_{i=1}^n \pi_i^*\mathcal{O}_X(Q)$ is ample as well, showing that $\zeta^*\mathcal{O}_{X_n}([Q])$ and hence $\mathcal{O}_{X_n}([Q])$ is ample, as desired. □

Now, since we showed that E^* is ample and F is the direct sum of algebraically trivial line bundles, it follows immediately that the vector bundle

$$E^* \otimes F \cong \text{Hom}(E, F)$$

is ample. This is exactly what we need, in the following section, to apply Theorem 5.2 of Appendix A to our situation thus getting the Existence and Connectedness Theorems.

4.3 Existence and Connectedness Theorems

In the previous section we proved the ampleness of the vector bundle

$$E^* \otimes F = (\nu_* \mathcal{L})^* \otimes \nu_*(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}(-\Gamma))$$

and, as a consequence, we can apply Theorem 5.2 of Appendix A to the bundle morphism

$$\nu_* \mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \nu_*(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}(-\Gamma))$$

getting as a result the Existence and Connectedness Theorems.

Existence Theorem. *Let X be a smooth projective curve of genus g and $d, r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$\rho = g - (r + 1)(g - d + r) \geq 0.$$

Then the moduli variety W_d^r is not empty.

Connectedness Theorem. *Let X be a smooth projective curve of genus g and $d, r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$\rho = g - (r + 1)(g - d + r) > 0.$$

Then the moduli variety W_d^r is connected.

Proof. Since pullback preserves the rank of vector bundles, we deduce from Remark 2.21 that E and F have ranks $e = d + m - g + 1$ and $f = m$. Then, recall from the last Section that W_d^r can be interpreted as the degeneracy locus

$$W_d^r = \text{FittS}_{(s-1)}(R^1 \nu_* \mathcal{L}(-\Gamma)) = D_{(m-s)}(\varphi)$$

with $s = g - d + r$. Further, we know that the dimension of $Y = \text{Pic}_X^n$ is given by g , so a trivial computation shows that

$$\dim(Y) \geq (e - (m - s))(f - (m - s)) \iff g \geq (r + 1)(g - d + r) \iff \rho \geq 0,$$

therefore both the Existence and Connectedness Theorems follow immediately from Theorem 5.2 of Appendix A. \square

Looking at the above results, a natural question to ask is whether a negative value of the Brill-Noether number ρ , for given integers d and r , implies the absence of g_d^r on the curve X . This question admits a positive answer in the classical setting of a smooth projective curve over \mathbb{C} , as the following Theorem – originally stated by Brill and Noether and then proved by Griffiths and Harris – implies.

5

Appendix A: Some Results in Algebraic Geometry

In this Appendix we collect a number of technical results which are exploited in various parts of the Thesis, a solution adopted with the objective of making the main text lighter and more readable.

For some of the statements a direct proof is given in these pages, while for others we refer to the literature, giving precise references.

5.1 Degeneracy loci

Recall from Section 3.1 the definition of degeneracy ideals $I_n\varphi$. A result by Eagon and Northcott on the height of such ideals is exploited in Section 3.3 to obtain a lower bound for the dimension of connected components of the varieties X_d^r and W_d^r .

Theorem 5.1. *Let $\varphi : E \rightarrow F$ be a morphism of locally free sheaves of finite rank e and f . Then for every \mathfrak{p} a minimal prime ideal of $I_n\varphi$ we have*

$$\text{height}(\mathfrak{p}) \leq (e - n + 1)(f - n + 1).$$

Proof. See [8], Theorem 3. □

A general result about the degeneracy locus of a vector bundle morphism turns out to be the crucial ingredient we need to get the Existence and Connectedness Theorems.

5. APPENDIX A: SOME RESULTS IN ALGEBRAIC GEOMETRY

Recall our notation for the n -degeneracy locus associated to a morphism φ of vector bundles over X :

$$D_n(\varphi) = \{ x \in X \mid \text{rank}_x(\varphi) \leq n \}$$

Theorem 5.2. *Let Y be an irreducible algebraic variety over an algebraically closed field k and $\varphi : E \rightarrow F$ a morphism of vector bundles of dimension e and f over Y , such that $E^* \otimes F$ is ample. Then*

$$\dim(Y) \geq (e - n)(f - n) \implies D_n(\varphi) \text{ is not empty}$$

and

$$\dim(Y) > (e - n)(f - n) \implies D_n(\varphi) \text{ is connected}$$

Proof. The Theorem is stated and proved in the case $k = \mathbb{C}$ in [2] (see Theorem 1.1). Moreover, Remark 1.7 of the same article ensures that the result is still valid for an arbitrary algebraically closed field k . The argument needs to be modified slightly, using the étale cohomology in place of the singular cohomology. \square

5.2 Cohomology and base change

First of all we recall here a basic result about proper base change and cohomology, appearing for example in the nice book *Abelian Varieties* by David Mumford.

Proper Base Change Theorem. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a proper morphism of Noetherian schemes with $Y = \text{Spec}(A)$ affine, and \mathcal{F} a coherent sheaf on X , flat over Y . Then there exists a finite complex*

$$K^\bullet : \quad 0 \rightarrow K^0 \rightarrow K^1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow K^n \rightarrow 0$$

of finitely generated projective A -modules, together with a natural isomorphism of functors

$$H^p(X \times_Y \square, \mathcal{F} \otimes_A \square) \cong \mathcal{H}(K^\bullet \otimes_A \square)$$

on the category of A -algebras.

Proof. The proof can be found in Chapter II, Section 5 of [4] – see the second Theorem. \square

As a corollary of the above Theorem we get a statement on the relationship between the direct image and cohomology functors, ensuring that the fibers of $R^\bullet f_*$ coincide with H^\bullet as long as the dimensions of the cohomology groups are fiberwise constant. Since any second cohomology group is trivial on a curve, this result is particularly useful in our context and is exploited more than once.

Proposition 5.3. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a proper morphism of Noetherian schemes, and \mathcal{F} a coherent sheaf on X , flat over Y . Assume Y is reduced and connected, then the following are equivalent for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$*

- (i) *The function $y \mapsto \dim_{k(y)} H^i(X_y, \mathcal{F}|_y)$ is constant*
- (ii) *$R^i f_* \mathcal{F}$ is a locally free sheaf on Y and the natural map*

$$R^i f_* \mathcal{F} \otimes k(y) \rightarrow H^i(X_y, \mathcal{F}|_y)$$

is an isomorphism for every $y \in Y$.

Moreover, if the above conditions are fulfilled, the natural map

$$R^{i-1} f_* \mathcal{F} \otimes k(y) \rightarrow H^{i-1}(X_y, \mathcal{F}|_y)$$

is an isomorphism for every $y \in Y$.

Proof. The proof can be found in Chapter II, Section 5 of [4] – see Corollary 2. □

Applying the above Proposition to the case of a locally free sheaf \mathcal{F} with trivial cohomology in positive degrees, we get the following Proposition:

Proposition 5.4. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a proper morphism of Noetherian schemes with Y reduced and connected, and \mathcal{F} a coherent sheaf on X with the properties*

- \mathcal{F} is flat over Y
- $f_* \mathcal{F}$ is locally free
- $R^i f_* \mathcal{F} = 0$ for every $i > 0$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{h} & X \\ f' \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ Y' & \xrightarrow{g} & Y \end{array}$$

Then for every base change diagram as above, setting $\mathcal{F}' = h^ \mathcal{F}$, the natural map $g^* R^i f_* \mathcal{F} \rightarrow R^i f'_* \mathcal{F}'$ is an isomorphism for every $i \geq 0$.*

5. APPENDIX A: SOME RESULTS IN ALGEBRAIC GEOMETRY

Proof. First observe that on fibres, for every $y \in Y$ and $y' \in Y'$ such that $g(y') = y$, we have isomorphisms

$$H^i(X_y, \mathcal{F}|_y) \cong H^i(X_{y'}, \mathcal{F}'|_{y'}) \quad \forall i \geq 0. \quad (5.1)$$

Next, applying Proposition 5.3 and using induction from above, we readily see that our hypothesis of the vanishing of $R^i f_* \mathcal{F}$ in positive degrees implies that

$$H^i(X_y, \mathcal{F}|_y) = 0 \quad \forall i > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad H^0(X_y, \mathcal{F}|_y) \cong f_* \mathcal{F}|_y.$$

Further, combining the above with (5.1), we deduce that the function $y' \mapsto h^i(X_{y'}, \mathcal{F}'|_{y'})$ is constantly equal to zero $\forall i > 0$, hence – invoking Proposition 5.3 again – it follows that $R^i f'_* \mathcal{F}'$ vanishes for positive i and, moreover, that

$$H^0(X_{y'}, \mathcal{F}'|_{y'}) \cong f'_* \mathcal{F}'|_{y'} \quad \forall y' \in Y'.$$

Therefore we deduce – we can apply Proposition 5.3 since $f_* \mathcal{F}$ is locally free – that the function

$$y' \mapsto h^0(X_{y'}, \mathcal{F}'|_{y'}) = h^0(X_y, \mathcal{F}|_y)$$

is also constant, hence $f'_* \mathcal{F}'$ is locally free. Since pullbacks preserve locally free sheaves, the sheaf $g^* f_* \mathcal{F}$ is locally free as well and, as a consequence, we have

$$g^* f_* \mathcal{F}|_{y'} = f_* \mathcal{F}|_y \cong H^0(X_y, \mathcal{F}|_y).$$

Now, for $i > 0$ we are done, while for $i = 0$ we have a natural map $g^* f_* \mathcal{F} \rightarrow f'_* \mathcal{F}'$ between two locally-free sheaves. From the above discussion combined with (5.1), it follows that the two sheaves are fiberwise isomorphic and, further, one can check that the isomorphisms on fibres are induced by the natural map, thus getting to the desired conclusion. \square

We continue this Section by proving an easy Lemma, which is used more than once during our discussion. The idea is that to kill the higher cohomology of a family of line bundles it is enough to add a divisor of high degree, then the Riemann-Roch finishes the job.

Lemma 5.5. *Let X be a smooth projective curve over an algebraically closed field, let T be an S -scheme and L a family of line bundles of degree d parametrised by T . Denote by $\phi : X_T \rightarrow T$ the natural projection, pick a divisor $D \subset X$ of degree higher than $2g - d - 1$ and let $\Gamma := \phi^* D$ be the product divisor on X_T . Then*

$$R^i \phi_* L = 0 \quad \forall i \geq 1$$

Proof. Since over any closed point $t \in T$ the line bundle $L|_t$ has degree d , it follows that $L(\Gamma)|_t$ has degree strictly higher than $2g - 2$. Hence from the Riemann-Roch Theorem we deduce that the function

$$t \mapsto \dim H^1(X, L|_t)$$

is everywhere vanishing and therefore Proposition 5.3 implies that $R^1\phi_*L$ is the trivial sheaf, being locally free with trivial fibres. For $i > 1$ a similar argument applies: the only difference is that, since we are working on a curve, we do not even need to invoke the Riemann-Roch. \square

Another well known and useful result is the so called Projection Formula.

Projection Formula. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of locally ringed spaces, \mathcal{F} an \mathcal{O}_X -module and \mathcal{E} a locally free \mathcal{O}_Y -module of finite rank. Then $\forall i \geq 0$ we have natural isomorphisms*

$$R^i f_*(\mathcal{F} \otimes f^* \mathcal{E}) \cong R^i f_*(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathcal{E} \quad (5.2)$$

Proof. A proof can be found in [9, Lemma 01E8]. Nevertheless, we give here an alternative and more *down-to-earth* proof of the result.

First of all we will show that there is a natural morphism

$$f_* \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{E} \rightarrow f_*(\mathcal{F} \otimes f^* \mathcal{E})$$

and, to do so, it is useful to recall the following basic results, where we denote by \mathcal{H} the Hom sheaf prescribed on open sets U as $U \mapsto \text{Hom}(\square|_U, \square|_U)$

(1) Adjunction between \otimes and \mathcal{H}

$$\text{Hom}_X(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}) \cong \text{Hom}_X(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}_X(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}))$$

(2) Adjunction between f_* and f^*

$$\text{Hom}_Y(f_* \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E}) \cong \text{Hom}_X(\mathcal{F}, f^* \mathcal{E})$$

(3) Identity which follows from the definitions of \mathcal{H} and f_*

$$f_* \mathcal{H}_X(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \cong \mathcal{H}_Y(f_* \mathcal{A}, f_* \mathcal{B})$$

5. APPENDIX A: SOME RESULTS IN ALGEBRAIC GEOMETRY

Then, the existence of the above mentioned natural map follows from the chain of isomorphisms: just pick the identity!

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathrm{Hom}_Y(f_*\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{E}, f_*(\mathcal{F} \otimes f^*\mathcal{E})) &\stackrel{(1)}{\cong} \mathrm{Hom}_Y(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{H}_Y(f_*\mathcal{F}, f_*(\mathcal{F} \otimes f^*\mathcal{E}))) \\
 &\stackrel{(3)}{\cong} \mathrm{Hom}_Y(\mathcal{E}, f_*\mathcal{H}_X(\mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F} \otimes f^*\mathcal{E}))) \\
 &\stackrel{(2)}{\cong} \mathrm{Hom}_X(f^*\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{H}_X(\mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F} \otimes f^*\mathcal{E}))) \\
 &\stackrel{(1)}{\cong} \mathrm{Hom}_X(\mathcal{F} \otimes f^*\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F} \otimes f^*\mathcal{E})
 \end{aligned}$$

We are now going to show that the obtained natural map is in fact an isomorphism. Since all the functors involved commute with open restrictions, we can reduce to the affine case and assume \mathcal{E} is free. One can check that the above global map agrees with the chain of isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_*(\mathcal{F} \otimes f^*\mathcal{E}) &\cong f_*(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X^n) \\
 &\cong f_*(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X)^n \\
 &\cong f_*(\mathcal{F})^n \\
 &\cong f_*(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y^n \\
 &\cong f_*(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathcal{E}
 \end{aligned}$$

where we used multiple times the fact that the functors involved are additive and thus commute with finite direct sums. The obtained isomorphism implies that

$$R^i f_*(\mathcal{F} \otimes f^*\mathcal{E}) \cong R^i(f_*(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathcal{E}) \cong R^i f_*(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathcal{E}$$

for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$. For the second isomorphism we used the well known fact that *tensoring with a locally free module* is an exact functor. \square

5.3 Line Bundles

In Section 4.2 we need to show that a certain line bundle of interest is ample and, to do so, we exploit the combination of the following criterions for ampleness together with the next Lemma.

Proposition 5.6. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a finite and surjective morphism between proper Noetherian schemes and L a line bundle over Y . Then L is ample if and only if its pullback f^*L is.*

Proof. We refer to [7], where the result is given in Ex. III.5.7.d. \square

Proposition 5.7. *Let Y be a smooth projective surface. Then the line bundle corresponding to a Cartier divisor D is ample if and only if the self-intersection number D^2 is strictly positive and $C \cdot D > 0$ for every irreducible curve $C \subset Y$.*

Proof. We refer to [7], Theorem V.1.10. \square

Lemma 5.8. *For every $i = 1, \dots, n$, let Y_i be a smooth projective curve over an algebraically closed field k and M_i an ample line bundle on Y_i . Further let $Y = Y_1 \times \dots \times Y_n$ and denote by $\pi_i : Y \rightarrow Y_i$ the projection on the i -th component. Then the line bundle $\otimes_{i=1}^n \pi_i^* M_i$ over Y is ample.*

Proof. We will prove the claim for the case $n = 2$, then the general result easily follows by induction over n . Notice that $Y_1 \times Y_2$ is a smooth projective surface.

Set $L = \pi_1^* M_1 \otimes \pi_2^* M_2$. Since M_1 and M_2 are ample, replacing L with $L^{\otimes m}$ with m big enough (if needed) we see that L admits a section which corresponds to the effective divisor

$$D = \sum_a F_a + \sum_b G_b$$

where each F_a is a fiber of π_1 and each G_b is a fiber of π_2 . Notice that, since the surface $Y_1 \times Y_2$ is the product of two curves, all such fibres are algebraically equivalent. Hence, given an irreducible curve $C \subset Y$, we can choose the fibres F_a and G_b in such a way that the intersection between C and D is proper, so that we get $C \cdot D > 0$. Moreover, this argument applies to the case $C = D$ as well, therefore also $D^2 > 0$ and by Proposition 5.7 we conclude that L is ample. \square

We now prove a Lemma about the behaviour of line bundles over a product with a complete factor, which we use in Section 3.2.

Lemma 5.9. *Let X and Y be schemes, with X complete and L, M line bundles over $X \times Y$ such that $\forall y \in Y$ we have $L_y \cong M_y$. Then there exists a line bundle F over Y such that $L \cong M \otimes \pi^* F$, where $\pi : X \times Y \rightarrow Y$ is the natural projection.*

Proof. Let $F = L \otimes M^{-1}$ and notice that, since F_y is trivial and X is complete, for

5. APPENDIX A: SOME RESULTS IN ALGEBRAIC GEOMETRY

every $y \in Y$ we have $H^0(X, F_y) = k(y)$. Hence the function

$$y \mapsto \dim_{k(y)} H^0(X, F_y)$$

is constantly equal to 1. Therefore, by Proposition 5.3, $\pi_* F$ is locally free of rank 1, i.e. it is a line bundle over Y . Now, if we show that the natural map

$$\varphi : \pi^* \pi_* F \rightarrow F$$

is an isomorphism, we are done. On every fiber the above map restricts to the isomorphism

$$\mathcal{O}_X \otimes H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{O}_X$$

so by Nakayama's lemma we deduce that φ is surjective. But rank is invariant under pullback, so $\pi^* \pi_* F$ has rank 1, thus forcing φ to be an isomorphism. \square

Finally, we prove that line bundles on X_T/T with the canonical rigidification discussed in Section 2.3 admit no nontrivial automorphisms. This is the main reason why one defines the relative Picard functor as in Section 2.3, since the absence of automorphisms allows for its representability.

Proposition 5.10. *Under hypothesis and notation of (\star) from Section 2.1, line bundles on X_T/T with the canonical rigidification along ε_T have no nontrivial automorphisms.*

Proof. First of all, to make the setting clear, let us draw the fibered diagram we are working with, filled with the sections we have at our disposal (we abuse notation and write ε also for the pullback morphism ε_T). Further, we draw the diagram describing a morphism of line bundles with rigidification on the right.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_T & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{f} \\ \xleftarrow{\varepsilon} \end{array} & T \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X & \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\varepsilon} \\ \xrightarrow{f} \end{array} & S \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_T & \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} & \varepsilon^* L_1 \\ \downarrow = & & \downarrow \varepsilon^* \varphi \\ \mathcal{O}_T & \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} & \varepsilon^* L_2 \end{array}$$

Recall that a morphism between two line bundle with rigidification $\varphi : (L_1, \alpha_1) \rightarrow (L_2, \alpha_2)$ consists of a morphism of (plain) line bundles $\varphi : L_1 \rightarrow L_2$ such that $(\varepsilon^* \varphi) \alpha_1 =$

α_2 and, in particular, an endomorphism is an element $h \in \Gamma(X_T, \mathcal{O}_{X_T})$ such that $\varepsilon^* h = 1$. But from our assumption $f_* \mathcal{O}_{X_T} \cong \mathcal{O}_T$, contained in (\star) , we get isomorphisms

$$\Gamma(X_T, \mathcal{O}_{X_T}) \cong \Gamma(T, f_* \mathcal{O}_{X_T}) \underset{(\star)}{\cong} \Gamma(T, \mathcal{O}_T)$$

and we therefore see that the only automorphisms are the trivial ones. \square

5.4 Tangent space of schemes

The following basic lemmata allow us to compute the tangent spaces of the schemes EDiv_X and Pic_X in Section 2.5. Recall that we use the notation k_ε for the ring of dual numbers $k[\varepsilon]/\varepsilon^2$.

Lemma 5.11. *Let P be a scheme over $S = \mathrm{Spec}(k)$ locally of finite type and $e \in P$ a rational point. Then we have an isomorphism of vector spaces*

$$P(k_\varepsilon)_e \cong T_e P.$$

Proof. Let \mathfrak{m} be the maximal ideal of e and A its local ring. The Zariski tangent space at e is $\mathrm{Hom}_k(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2, k)$, which can naturally be identified with the set of k -derivations $\delta : A \rightarrow k$. To any such derivation corresponds bijectively a k -map

$$u_\delta : A \rightarrow k_\varepsilon, \quad a \mapsto a \bmod \mathfrak{m} + \varepsilon \delta(a),$$

as it is easy to show. Further, any such map gives rise to a unique map of schemes

$$t_\delta : \mathrm{Spec}(k_\varepsilon) \rightarrow P$$

which is supported at e , and viceversa. Therefore we proved that $P(k_\varepsilon)_e \cong T_e P$ as sets. We now want to see how the vector space structure of $T_e P$ transfers to $P(k_\varepsilon)_e$, starting from the multiplication by scalars. For $b \in k$ it is easy to check that the k -map

$$\mu_b : k_\varepsilon \rightarrow k_\varepsilon, \quad \varepsilon \mapsto b \cdot \varepsilon$$

corresponds to multiplication by b in $T_e P$. Therefore scalar multiplication by b on $P(k_\varepsilon)_e$ is given by the map

$$P(\mu_b) : P(k_\varepsilon)_e \rightarrow P(k_\varepsilon)_e.$$

5. APPENDIX A: SOME RESULTS IN ALGEBRAIC GEOMETRY

For the additive structure, first define $k_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon'}$ to be the ring obtained by adjoining to k_ε an element ε' such that $\varepsilon'^2 = \varepsilon\varepsilon' = 0$. Secondly define maps $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 : k_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon'} \rightarrow k_\varepsilon$ by

$$\sigma_1 : \varepsilon \mapsto \varepsilon, \varepsilon' \mapsto 0, \quad \sigma_2 : \varepsilon \mapsto 0, \varepsilon' \mapsto \varepsilon$$

and use them to get a bijection $\pi : P(k_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon'})_e \xrightarrow{\cong} P(k_\varepsilon)_e \times P(k_\varepsilon)_e$. Further, let

$$\sigma : k_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon'} \rightarrow k_\varepsilon, \quad \varepsilon \mapsto \varepsilon, \varepsilon' \mapsto \varepsilon$$

and finally set $\alpha = P(\sigma) \circ \pi^{-1}$. It is now a triviality to check that α corresponds to summation in $T_e P$. \square

In the case of a group scheme we can state a slightly more powerful result:

Lemma 5.12. *Let P be a group scheme over $S = \operatorname{Spec}(k)$ locally of finite type and $e \in P$ the identity element. Then we have an isomorphism of vector spaces*

$$T_e P \cong \ker \left(P(k_\varepsilon) \rightarrow P(k) \right).$$

Proof. The natural map of rings $k_\varepsilon \rightarrow k$ gives rise to a map of schemes $\rho : P(k_\varepsilon) \rightarrow P(k)$ whose kernel is $P(k_\varepsilon)_e \cong T_e P$. So to conclude we just need to show that the sum on $T_e P$ corresponds to the group operation of $\ker(\rho)$, i.e., using the notation of the previous lemma, that we have

$$\alpha(m, n) = m \cdot n.$$

Let $i : k_\varepsilon \rightarrow k_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon'}$ be the natural inclusion and notice that the map $\sigma_2 \circ i$ factors via $k_\varepsilon \rightarrow k$. Thus for every $m \in P(k_\varepsilon)_e$ we find $P(\sigma_2) \circ P(i)(m) = e$. Moreover the maps $\sigma \circ i$ and $\sigma_1 \circ i$ coincide with the identity of k_ε , which implies

$$P(\sigma) \circ P(i)(m) = m \quad \text{and} \quad \pi \circ P(i)(m) = (m, e).$$

Hence we deduce

$$\alpha(m, e) = P(\sigma) \circ \pi^{-1}(m, e) = P(\sigma) \circ P(i)(m) = m$$

and similarly $\alpha(e, n) = n$. Since α arises from the composition of two ring homomorphisms, it is a group homomorphism and therefore

$$\alpha(m, n) = \alpha(m, e) \cdot \alpha(e, n) = m \cdot n$$

as desired. \square

5.5 Clifford's Theorem

This Section is dedicated to the famous Theorem of Clifford, which gives an upper bound on the dimension of linear series. In order to prove it, we first need some preliminary results.

Lemma 5.13. *For a divisor D on a curve X we have $\dim |D| \geq k$ if and only if for every set of k points p_1, \dots, p_k of X there exists an element of $|D|$ containing all of them.*

Proof. If for every set of k points p_1, \dots, p_k of X there exists a divisor in $|D|$ containing all of them, then trivially $\dim |D| \geq k$ since the family $\sum_{i=1}^d p_i$ is k -dimensional. Conversely, assume $\dim |D| \geq k$ and pick k points p_1, \dots, p_k of X . We have

$$h^0(X, D - \sum_{i=1}^d p_i) \geq h^0(X, D) - k \geq 1,$$

so that there exists a global section $f \in H^0(X, D - \sum_{i=1}^d p_i)$ such that

$$(f) + D - \sum_{i=1}^d p_i \geq 0 \iff D' = (f) + D \geq \sum_{i=1}^d p_i,$$

therefore D' is an element of $|D|$ containing all the points. \square

Corollary 5.14. *Let D_1 and D_2 be two effective divisors of X . Then*

$$\dim |D_1| + \dim |D_2| \leq |D_1 + D_2|.$$

Proof. Assume $d_i = \dim(D_i)$ and let p_1, \dots, p_{d_1} and q_1, \dots, q_{d_2} be points of X . Then by the lemma we can find divisors $D'_1 \in |D_1|$ and $D'_2 \in |D_2|$ containing respectively p_1, \dots, p_{d_1} and q_1, \dots, q_{d_2} . Therefore the divisor $D'_1 + D'_2 \in |D_1 + D_2|$ contains all of the $d_1 + d_2$ points and, applying the lemma again, we find

$$\dim |D_1 + D_2| \geq d_1 + d_1.$$

\square

We now state another useful Lemma, for which we need the following well-known fact about the non-degeneracy of the canonical image

5. APPENDIX A: SOME RESULTS IN ALGEBRAIC GEOMETRY

Proposition 5.15. *Let $d \leq g$, and X a curve of genus g . Then any d points of the canonical image $\phi(X)$ are linearly independent, i.e. they span a \mathbb{P}^{d-1} .*

Lemma 5.16. *Let X be a hyperelliptic curve of genus g , and $d \leq g$. Then any complete g_d^r on X is of the form*

$$r \cdot g_2^1 + P_1 + \cdots + P_{d-2r},$$

where none of the P_i is conjugate under the hyperelliptic involution.

Proof. Almost immediate using the above proposition. □

Now we are almost ready to prove the crucial Clifford's theorem, which gives a bound to the dimension of the complete linear system $|D|$. But first we need another classical result, i.e. the

General Position Theorem. *Let $r \geq 2$ and $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ be an irreducible nondegenerate curve of degree d . Then a general hyperplane cuts X in d points, any r of which are linearly independent.*

A proof of the above theorem can be found in [5]. Next, we finally move to the

Clifford's Theorem. *Let D be an effective divisor on a curve X with $d = \deg(D) \leq 2g - 2$. Then we have:*

$$(i) \dim |D| \leq \frac{d}{2}.$$

(ii) *The equality holds only if $D = 0$, $D = K$ or, in case X is hyperelliptic, if D is a multiple of the hyperelliptic involution.*

Proof. Before beginning with the proof, recall that a divisor D is called **special** if $h^0(K - D) > 0$.

(i) If D is not special then by the Riemann-Roch we get

$$h^0(D) = d - g + 1 \iff \dim |D| = d - g$$

which by hypothesis is strictly lower than $d/2$, since $g \geq d/2 + 1$. If D is special, instead, there exists an effective divisor D' such that $K = D + D'$. Therefore applying the above corollary we find

$$\dim |D| + \dim |D'| \leq \dim |K| = g - 1.$$

Moreover, since $D' = K - D$, the Riemann-Roch formula gives

$$\dim |D| - \dim |D'| = d - g + 1.$$

Adding up the two equations we obtain the result.

(ii) If the equality holds we have

$$r(D) + r(D') = g - 1.$$

Since the degree of $K = D + D'$ is $2g - 2$, without losing generality we can assume $\deg(D) \leq g - 1$ and from part (i) it follows that

$$r(D) = 0 \implies \deg(D') = 2g - 2 \implies D \sim 0.$$

Otherwise, let $r(D) > 0$ and suppose X is not hyperelliptic. From the geometric Riemann-Roch we know that any hyperplane of \mathbb{P}^{g-1} cuts X in $\deg(D) \leq g - 1$ points of $E \in |D|$ which are not independent (recall that $r(D) > 0$ means there is at least one linear relation among them). But this contradicts the general position theorem, therefore $r(D) > 0$ forces X to be hyperelliptic. In this case using Lemma 5.16 we know that any complete g_d^r on X is of the form

$$|D| = r \cdot g_2^1 + P_1 + \cdots + P_{d-2r}$$

and, since we are assuming $d = 2r$, we see that $|D|$ is a multiple of the hyperelliptic involution.

□

5. APPENDIX A: SOME RESULTS IN ALGEBRAIC GEOMETRY

6

Appendix B: Serre Duality and Riemann-Roch

In this Chapter we give the statement and a proof of the Riemann-Roch Theorem. As we will see, the *cheap* version of the result can be easily obtained, while the complete statement heavily relies on the Serre Duality Theorem, which we prove in Section 6.2 following the original work of Serre.

Further, in Section 6.3 we give a motivation for the fact that the restriction map

$$\alpha : H^0(K) \rightarrow H^0(K \otimes \mathcal{O}(D))$$

is, with respect to the Serre duality pairing, dual to the cohomological coboundary map

$$\delta : H^0(D)_D \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{O}_X).$$

6.1 Cheap Riemann-Roch

In this section we state and prove the *cheap version* of the fundamental Riemann-Roch theorem. We will be able to prove the complete version of the theorem at the end of this Appendix, exploiting Serre duality.

Cheap Riemann-Roch Theorem. *Let X be a complete curve of genus g , K a canonical divisor and $D \in X_d$. Then*

$$h^0(D) - h^1(D) = \deg(D) - g + 1.$$

6. APPENDIX B: SERRE DUALITY AND RIEMANN-ROCH

Remark 6.1. The **Euler characteristic** of a line bundle L over a curve X is defined as

$$\chi(L) = h^0(X, L) - h^1(X, L),$$

so we can rewrite the statement of the Riemann-Roch as

$$\chi(D) = \deg(D) - g + 1.$$

Proof. We first prove the theorem for effective divisors $D \geq 0$, proceeding by induction over $d = \deg(D)$. For $d = 0$ we obtain $\mathcal{O}(D) = \mathcal{O}_X$ and, since by definition $g = h^1(\mathcal{O}_X)$, the formula holds trivially

$$h^0(\mathcal{O}_X) - h^1(\mathcal{O}_X) = 1 - g.$$

Now suppose the relation holds for all effective divisors with $\deg < d$. Let $D' \geq 0$ be of degree $d - 1$ and set $D = D' + P$ for $P \in X$. We have a short exact sequence of quasi-coherent sheaves

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(D') \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(D) \rightarrow k_P \rightarrow 0,$$

where k_P is the skyscraper sheaf at P and the map $\mathcal{O}(D) \rightarrow k_P$ is the evaluation at P . Thus using the fact that the Euler characteristic is additive on short exact sequences we obtain

$$\chi(D) = \chi(D') + 1 = (d - 1 - g + 1) + 1 = d - g + 1,$$

as required. For the general case $D = D_1 - D_2$ with D_i effective of degree d_i we have the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(D) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(D_1) \rightarrow k^{d_2} \rightarrow 0$$

which easily leads to the desired result. \square

6.2 Serre Duality Theorem

This Section is based on the first chapter of the wonderful book *Algebraic Groups and Class Fields* written by Serre, which appears as entry number [6] in our Bibliography.

Even though Serre duality for curves can be derived as a consequence of Grothendieck's duality Theorem (see for instance Theorem III.7.6 of [7]), we will give a more direct proof for the case of curves, inspired by the classical proof used by Weil. A crucial ingredient is the the ring of repartitions, which we now introduce.

Definition 6.2. Define R , the **ring of repartitions** on X , as the set of collections $\{r_P\}_{P \in X}$ such that $r_P \in k(X)$ for every $P \in X$ and $r_P \in \mathcal{O}_{X,P}$ for all but finitely many $P \in X$. This is a very big ring, containing in particular $k(X)$, but can also be seen as a k -vector space. Further, for any divisor $D \in \text{Div}_X$, we define $R(D)$ to be the k -vector subspace of R consisting of those repartitions r for which

$$v_P(r_P) + v_P(D) \geq 0, \quad \forall P \in X.$$

As one can easily guess, the space $R(D)$ is strictly related to the invertible sheaf $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$. The following proposition will make this idea precise, but first we need an easy Lemma.

Lemma 6.3. *Let X be an irreducible topological space and \underline{A} a constant sheaf of abelian groups on X . Then*

$$H^1(X, \underline{A}) = 0$$

Proof. Let $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ be an open cover of X and $(g_{\alpha\beta})$ be a 1-cocycle in $Z^1(X, \underline{A})$. Since X is irreducible, the constant presheaf $\underline{A}^{\text{pre}}$ is already a sheaf, thus we can fix $i \in I$ and define the 0-cochain

$$h_\alpha := g_{\alpha,i} \quad \forall \alpha \in I.$$

The coboundary condition on $(g_{\alpha\beta})$ implies that

$$\partial(h_\alpha) = (h_\alpha - h_\beta) = (g_{\alpha,i} - g_{\beta,i}) = (g_{\alpha\beta})$$

so we see that $(g_{\alpha\beta})$ is a coboundary and it is therefore trivial in $H^1(X, \underline{A})$. □

Remark 6.4. A shorter proof of the above Lemma can be given in terms of Grothendieck's functorial definition of sheaf cohomology. The point is that constant sheaves on algebraic varieties are **flasque**, and these have trivial cohomology in positive degrees. See Proposition 2.5, Chapter III.2 of [7].

Proposition 6.5. *There is a canonical isomorphism $H^1(D) \cong \frac{R}{R(D) + k(X)}$.*

Proof. Let $\underline{k(X)}$ denote the constant sheaf with stalks $k(X)$ and let S be the cokernel of the natural inclusion $\mathcal{O}_X(D) \hookrightarrow \underline{k(X)}$. We thus have a short exact sequence of invertible sheaves

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(D) \rightarrow \underline{k(X)} \rightarrow S \rightarrow 0$$

6. APPENDIX B: SERRE DUALITY AND RIEMANN-ROCH

which, since by Lemma 6.3 we know $H^1(\underline{k(X)}) = 0$, gives the following exact sequence in cohomology

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(D) \rightarrow k(X) \rightarrow H^0(S) \rightarrow H^1(D) \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence we just need to show that $H^0(S) \cong R/R(D)$ to be done. In order to do so recall that, by definition of quotient sheaf, S is the sheaf corresponding to the pre-sheaf S^{pre} with stalks at P given by

$$S_P := \frac{k(X)}{\mathcal{O}_X(D)_P}$$

Notice, moreover, that $R/R(D)$ is the direct sum of S_P over all points of X

$$R/R(D) = \bigoplus_{P \in X} S_P$$

so it suffices to show that $H^0(S) = \bigoplus_{P \in X} S_P$ get the conclusion. To see it, pick an arbitrary $P \in X$ and consider a nonzero element of the stalk S_P . Extend it to a section $s \in \Gamma(U, S)$ locally defined in a neighborhood U of P and observe this implies that s has a pole at P – otherwise it would be zero in the stalk S_P . Since the locus of points where s is singular is a Zariski closed subset of X , we can find a smaller open $U' \subset U$ containing no other singularities of s apart from P . Hence we have $s \equiv 0$ on $U' \setminus P$, so it follows that $S^{\text{pre}} = S$ is a sheaf on its own right and moreover, since X is complete and any discrete compact set is finite, we see that S is a direct sum of skyscraper sheaves: $S = \bigoplus_{P \in X} (i_P)_* S_P$, where $i_P : P \hookrightarrow X$ is the inclusion of P in X . Therefore we find

$$H^0(S) = H^0\left(\bigoplus_{P \in X} (i_P)_* S_P\right) = \bigoplus_{P \in X} S_P$$

as desired. \square

Definition 6.6. Given a divisor D , define $J(D) := H^1(D)^\vee$.

From the above proposition it follows that $J(D)$ is the space of linear functionals $H^1(D) \rightarrow k$ which vanish on $R(D)$ and $k(X)$. Hence it follows that $J(D) \subset J(D')$ if and only if $D' \leq D$, and we can consider the direct system

$$\{ J(D) \mid D \in \text{Div}_X \}$$

over the directed set $\{ \text{Div}_X, \preceq \}$, where we set $D \preceq D' \iff D' \leq D$. Then we define J to be direct limit of the $J(D)$'s with respect to the above direct system which, since we are taking the limit simply over the inclusion maps, coincides with the union over all divisors $D \in \text{Div}_X$ of the sets $J(D)$.

Remark 6.7. Notice that J has a natural structure of vector space over $k(X)$: if $\alpha \in J(D)$ and $f \in H^0(E)$ then the product $f \cdot \alpha$ belongs to $J(D - E) \subset J$.

We can give a very strict bound on the dimension of J which will be essential to prove Serre duality.

Proposition 6.8. *The dimension of J as a vector space over $k(X)$ is at most 1.*

Proof. Suppose α and β are two elements of J which are linearly independent over $k(X)$ and notice that we can always find a divisor D of degree d such that $\alpha, \beta \in J(D)$. Further, observe that for any divisor E of degree e and functions $f, g \in H^0(E)$ we have

$$f\alpha \in J(D - E) \quad \text{and} \quad g\beta \in J(D - E).$$

The assumption that α and β are linearly independent implies that the map

$$\varphi : H^0(E) \times H^0(E) \rightarrow J(D - E) \quad (f, g) \mapsto f\alpha + g\beta$$

is an injection, therefore looking at the dimensions of the source and the target we deduce that

$$h^1(D - E) \geq 2h^0(E)$$

and using Theorem 6.1 (Cheap Riemann Roch) we can rewrite the above inequality as

$$h^0(D - E) - (d - e) + g - 1 \geq 2(h^1(E) + e - g + 1) \geq 2(e - g + 1).$$

In order to get the desired contradiction is now sufficient to notice that for any choice of $e = \deg(E) > d$ we have $h^0(D - E) = 0$ and thus we get

$$3g - 3 - d \geq e,$$

clearly absurd due to the arbitrariness of e . □

It is now finally the time to define the pairing that will give us Serre duality.

Definition 6.9. Let A denote the space of Abelian differentials on X , and define the map

$$\langle \bullet, \bullet \rangle : R \times A \rightarrow k, \quad \langle r, \omega \rangle := \sum_{P \in X} \text{Res}_P(r_P \cdot \omega)$$

where we take as the definition of residue the one given in Chapter 7 of [6], which is purely algebraic.

6. APPENDIX B: SERRE DUALITY AND RIEMANN-ROCH

Lemma 6.10. *The map $\langle \bullet, \bullet \rangle$ has the following properties:*

- (i) $r \in R(D), \omega \in H^0(K - D) \implies \langle r, \omega \rangle = 0$
- (ii) $r \in k(X) \implies \langle r, \omega \rangle = 0$
- (iii) $f \in k(X) \implies \langle fr, \omega \rangle = \langle r, f\omega \rangle$

Proof.

- (i) Any repartition $r \in R(D)$ has singularities bounded by D and any form in $H^0(K - D)$ vanishes on D with enough multiplicity, so their product is a regular form with no poles.
- (ii) An immediate application of the Residue Theorem.
- (iii) Follows directly from the definition: both $\langle fr, \omega \rangle$ and $\langle r, f\omega \rangle$ are equal to

$$\sum_{P \in X} \text{Res}_P(f \cdot r_P \cdot \omega).$$

□

As a consequence of the above lemma combined with Proposition 6.5 we obtain a well-defined pairing

$$\langle \bullet, \bullet \rangle : H^1(D) \otimes H^0(K - D) \rightarrow k$$

and hence for every divisor D we can define linear maps

$$\theta_D : H^0(K - D) \rightarrow J(D), \quad \omega \mapsto \langle \bullet, \omega \rangle$$

which, since $A = \bigcup_D H^0(K - D)$, can be put together in a unique map $\theta : A \rightarrow J$. We are almost there, but before we can face the proof of Serre duality we need another easy fact.

Lemma 6.11. *Let $\omega \in A$ be an abelian differential. We have*

$$\theta(\omega) \in J(D) \implies \omega \in H^0(K - D).$$

Proof. Suppose $\omega \notin H^0(K - D)$. Then there is a point $P \in X$ such that

$$v_P(\omega) < v_P(D),$$

therefore – if π is a uniformizer at P – the repartition r defined by

$$r_Q = 0 \quad \forall Q \neq P, \quad r_P = \frac{1}{\pi^{v_P(\omega)+1}}$$

belongs to $R(D)$. Moreover we notice that $v_P(r_P \cdot \omega) = -1$, hence we find

$$\langle r, \omega \rangle = \sum_{Q \in X} \text{Res}_Q(r_Q \cdot \omega) = \text{Res}_P(r_P \cdot \omega) \neq 0.$$

This is a contradiction: by hypothesis $\theta(\omega) \in J(D)$ so we should have $\langle r, \omega \rangle = 0$. \square

We are finally ready to prove Serre duality, which, after our preparation, is actually a triviality.

Serre Duality Theorem. *The pairing $\langle \bullet, \bullet \rangle$ is a duality and for every divisor D we have*

$$H^0(K - D) \cong H^1(D)^\vee$$

Proof. The linear map $\theta_D : H^0(K - D) \rightarrow H^1(D)^\vee$ is injective. Indeed if $\theta(\omega) = 0$ then it belongs to $J(E)$ for every divisor E , so from Lemma 6.11 it follows that $\omega \in H^0(K - E)$ and the arbitrariness of E forces $\omega = 0$.

For surjectivity notice that the space of Abelian differentials A is 1-dimensional over $k(X)$ and thus Proposition 6.8 forces $\theta : A \rightarrow J$ to be surjective. Therefore another application of Lemma 6.11 ensures that $\theta_D : H^0(K - D) \rightarrow H^1(D)^\vee$ is surjective, too. \square

6.3 Duality between α and δ

The objective of this section is to show that, for every divisor $D \in X_d$, the maps

$$\delta : H^0(D_D) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{O}_X) \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha : H^0(J) \rightarrow H^0(K_D)$$

are dual to each other. To start, consider the short exact sequence of invertible sheaves

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(D) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_D(D) \rightarrow 0$$

6. APPENDIX B: SERRE DUALITY AND RIEMANN-ROCH

and apply the cohomology functor to obtain the long exact sequence of finite dimensional vector spaces

$$0 \rightarrow k \rightarrow H^0(D) \rightarrow H^0(D_D) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^1(\mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow H^1(D) \rightarrow 0. \quad (6.1)$$

Now let $D' = K - D$ be the residual of D , consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow D' \rightarrow K \rightarrow K_D \rightarrow 0$$

and apply the cohomology functor to get the exact sequence of vector spaces

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(D') \rightarrow H^0(K) \rightarrow H^0(K_D) \xrightarrow{\alpha} H^1(D') \rightarrow H^0(D) \rightarrow 0.$$

Serre duality ensures that the four outer terms of the dual sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(K)^\vee \rightarrow H^1(D')^\vee \rightarrow H^0(K_D)^\vee \xrightarrow{\alpha^\vee} H^0(K)^\vee \rightarrow H^0(D')^\vee \rightarrow 0.$$

are isomorphic to the corresponding terms of 6.1. Hence, exploiting the functoriality of the duality pairings θ , we get a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & H^0(\mathcal{O}_X) & \longrightarrow & H^0(D) & \longrightarrow & H^0(D_D) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & H^1(\mathcal{O}_X) & \longrightarrow & H^1(D) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \theta & & \downarrow \theta & & \downarrow \vartheta & & \downarrow \theta & & \downarrow \theta & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & H^1(K)^\vee & \longrightarrow & H^1(D')^\vee & \longrightarrow & H^0(K_D)^\vee & \xrightarrow{\alpha^\vee} & H^0(K)^\vee & \longrightarrow & H^0(D')^\vee & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array} \quad (6.2)$$

and invoking the Five Lemma we obtain the dashed isomorphism ϑ , which we use to define the perfect pairing

$$\langle \bullet, \bullet \rangle : H^0(D_D) \otimes H^0(K_D) \rightarrow k$$

so that the desired duality between α and δ is a consequence of the commutativity of the above diagram. In other words for every $v \in H^0(D_D)$ and $\omega \in H^0(K_D)$ we have the formula

$$\langle \delta v, \omega \rangle = \langle v, \alpha \omega \rangle \quad (6.3)$$

Bibliography

- [1] S.L. KLEIMAN. **The Picard scheme**. 2005. Preprint, <http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0504020>. 19, 26
- [2] W. FULTON AND R. LAZARSFELD. **On the connectedness of degeneracy loci and special divisors**. *Acta Mathematica*, **Vol 146**(1):271 – 283, June 1981. 58
- [3] S. BOSCH, W. LÜTKEBOHMERT, AND M. RAYNAUD. *Néron Models*. Springer, 1990. 21
- [4] D. MUMFORD. *Abelian Varieties*. Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay, 1974. 58, 59
- [5] E. ARBARELLO, M. CORNALBA, P.A. GRIFFITHS, AND J. HARRIS. *Geometry of Algebraic Curves*, **Vol 1**. Springer, 1985. 4, 68
- [6] J.P. SERRE. *Algebraic Groups and Class Fields*. Springer, 1988. 72, 75
- [7] R. HARTSHORNE. *Algebraic Geometry*. Springer, 1977. 28, 63, 72, 73
- [8] J.A. EAGON AND D.G. NORTHCOTT. **Ideals defined by matrices and a certain complex associated with them**. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A*, **Vol 269**(1337):188–204, September 1962. 57
- [9] THE STACKS PROJECT AUTHORS. *Stacks Project*. <http://stacks.math.columbia.edu>, 2014. 19, 61
- [10] H. FITTING. **Die Determinantenideale eines Moduls**. *Jahresbericht der Deutschen Mathematiker-Vereinigung*, (46):195–228, 1936. 35