Sprint Retrospective

Team 16

Software Engineering II

Process

Macro statistics

Stories

Committed: 4

Done: 3

Story points

Committed: 18

Done: **15**

Time spent

Spent: **51h 35m** Planned: **36h 45m**

Process

Detailed statistics

Average (hours per task): 1.6

Standard deviation: 4.46

Total task estimation error ratio: 0.71

ID Story	No. of tasks	Points	Tot. hours est.	Tot. hours spent
OQ-7	7	8	8h	10h 25m
OQ-8	6	5	5h 45m	8h 55m
OQ-3	4	2	5h	3h 15m
OQ-2	5	3	6h	6h
-	10	-	12h	23h

Quality Unit testing

Total hours estimated: 6h 45m

Total hours spent: 6h 25m

Nr. of automated unit tests: 16

Unit test (server): 63.78%

Assessment

- 1. No, we didn't complete one story (As an officer i want to notify when i serve another customer) due to communication issues, bad stories' estimation and wrong tasks' assignment.
- 2. The errors were caused by our inexperience, low knowledge about the system and the used tools and maybe also for a bad estimation of the speed of work of others members (someone can be faster than another).
- 3. We've **learnt** how to **better assign tasks**, how to **organize our work** and that we should **communicate more often**, by means of "daily scrum meeting".
- 4. In the next sprint we are going to use a shared document in which we will write in details about the design and implementation choices (ex. used API and format message).
 Also, we are going to do more code reviews in order to notice earlier issues in the code.
- **5.** We are **proud of the progress** that we all made during the sprint: learnt new technologies and scrum methodology.