WoT Protocol Bindings

Overview of Issues and Next Work Items

Ege Korkan, Siemens AG @egekorkan

Goal of Protocol Bindings

What is everyone expecting from Protocol Bindings?

Issue 6 and Issue 3

My view: How does an interaction minus forms translate to forms

Interest Overview

The first issue was created to collect the interest in protocols

- Different protocols to support
- Different use cases of the pub-sub pattern

Are these lists still relevant?

Aiming only vanilla MQTT, CoAP and HTTP

Different types of Issues

- Payload
- Concrete Protocol
- Pseudo-protocol
- Others (mostly editorial)

Payload Types

- XML conversion = done
- CBOR = Not done, Issue 8
- Other conversions?
 - EXI
 - text/plain

We need more Experimentation on XML conversion

Concrete Protocol

- MQTT, describing connection to broker, Issue 14
- MQTT Binding Vocabulary: Issues 46, 72, 74, 78
- CoAP Multicasting: Issue 43
- CoAP Method Name: number, word etc.: Issue 47
- CoAP payload size for blockwise transfers:
 Issue 49
- OPC-UA: Issue 42

Pseudo-Protocol

- Interacting with interaction results: Issue 15
- Defining Webhook: Issue 40
- Web Thing Protocol from Mozilla Proposal
 - CoAP over WS
- Arena Webhub → websockets, sse

Pseudo-Protocol

Issue 2

Mapping read, write to protocols but there is also a mention of updating and deleting. Why these were not taken into consideration? For example:

"Update task:" is mapped to "PUT (on handle resource)"

"Cancel task" is mapped to "DELETE (on handle resource)"

Other Issues

- Reviews from Michael Lagally: Issue 21
 - Seems to have still relevant issues
- (Easy) Meaning of Platform: Issue 53
- (Easy) Read/WriteXproperties: Issue 59
- (Easy-ish) Restructuring introduction: Issue 62
- (Easy) Restructucturing Vocabulary Tables:
 Issue 73

Next Work Items

Publishing or not?

Will be published since the current working draft has old TDs

Next Work Items

Ege: I will do editorial Issues, MQTT Binding I need help with OPC-UA, CoAP and CBOR

We need discussion on behavior description

For CoAP and CBOR, we should Get the opinion of the experts in IETF meeting in Singapore