

# QFT for Cosmology, Achim Kempf, Lecture 23

Note Title

Plan: Unruh effect  $\rightarrow$  Hawking effect

Unruh effect in 1+1 dimensions


The metric: In inertial, cartesian coords  $x^\mu$ :  $g_{\mu\nu}(x) = \eta_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 1, 0 \\ 0, -1 \end{pmatrix}$

Consider an observer's trajectory  $x^\mu(\tau)$

and use the observer's proper time  $\tau$  as the parameter.

□ Velocity

$$\dot{x}^\mu(\tau) := \frac{dx^\mu(\tau)}{d\tau}$$



Proposition:  $\eta_{\mu\nu} \dot{x}^\mu(\tau) \dot{x}^\nu(\tau) = 1 \quad \forall \tau$

Proof: At any point in time,  $\tau$ , in rest frame:  $\bar{x}^\mu(\tau) = (\tau, 0)$

$$\Rightarrow \dot{\bar{x}}^\mu(\tau) = (1, 0)$$

$$\Rightarrow \eta_{\mu\nu} \dot{\bar{x}}^\mu(\tau) \dot{\bar{x}}^\nu(\tau) = 1 \quad \text{which is a scalar}$$

$\Rightarrow \eta_{\mu\nu} \dot{x}^\mu(\tau) \dot{x}^\nu(\tau) = 1 \quad \text{in all cd systems for all } \tau.$

□ Acceleration:  $\ddot{x}^\mu(\tau) := \frac{d\dot{x}^\mu(\tau)}{d\tau}$

Proposition:  $\ddot{x}_\mu(\tau) \dot{x}^\mu(\tau) = 0 \quad \forall \tau$

Proof:  $0 = \frac{d}{d\tau} (\dot{x}_\mu(\tau) \dot{x}^\mu(\tau)) = 2 \ddot{x}_\mu(\tau) \dot{x}^\mu(\tau)$  "proper acceleration"

$$a(\tau) := \frac{d^2 \dot{x}^\mu(\tau)}{d\tau^2}$$

$$\downarrow$$

$\Rightarrow$  In rest frame:  $\dot{\bar{x}}^\mu(\tau) = (1, 0)$  and  $\ddot{\bar{x}}^\mu(\tau) = (0, a(\tau))$

$\Rightarrow$  In every frame:  $\ddot{x}_\mu(\tau) \dot{x}^\mu(\tau) = -a^2(\tau)$

Special case of uniform acceleration:  $a(\tau) = a \quad \forall \tau$

Proposition: A trajectory of uniform acceleration  $a$  is given by:

$$x^r(\tau) = (t(\tau), x(\tau)) = \left( \frac{1}{a} \sinh(a\tau), \frac{1}{a} \cosh(a\tau) \right)$$

Proof:  $\dot{x}_r(\tau) = (\cosh(a\tau), \sinh(a\tau))$

is obeying  $\dot{x}_r \dot{x}^r = 1 \quad \checkmark$


$\Rightarrow \tau$  really is the proper time

and, crucially:

$$\ddot{x}_r(\tau) = (a \sinh(a\tau), a \cosh(a\tau)) \text{ obeying } \dot{x}_r \ddot{x}^r = -a^2 \quad \checkmark$$

This trajectory also obeys:

$$x_r(\tau) x^r(\tau) = x^0(\tau)^2 - x^1(\tau)^2 = -\frac{1}{a^2}$$



i.e., it is a hyperbola of deceleration followed by acceleration.

Notice: Our uniformly accelerated traveler has horizons:

- can't influence events below the line  $x^0 = -x^1$ , i.e., with  $x^0 + x^1 \leq 0$
  - can't be influenced by events above the line  $x^0 = x^1$ , i.e., with  $x^0 - x^1 \geq 0$
- } (A)

## Inertial light cone coordinate system:

The inertial cartesian coordinates are fine to describe particle motion.

For wave equations, often light cone coordinates have advantages. (Esp. in 1+1D):

$$\tilde{x}^\mu(x^0, x^1) := (u(x^0, x^1), v(x^0, x^1))$$

$$\text{with: } \begin{aligned} u(x^0, x^1) &:= x^0 - x^1 \\ v(x^0, x^1) &:= x^0 + x^1 \end{aligned} \quad \} (B)$$

The metric: In inertial, cartesian cds  $x^\mu$ :  $g_{\mu\nu}(x) = \eta_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 1, 0 \\ 0, -1 \end{pmatrix}$

In inertial light cone cds  $\tilde{x}^\mu$ :  $g_{\mu\nu}(\tilde{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0, 1/2 \\ 1/2, 0 \end{pmatrix}$   
 i.e.:  $ds^2 = du dv$

Exercise: Check this, using  $g_{\mu\nu}(\tilde{x}) = \frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial \tilde{x}^\alpha} \frac{\partial x^\nu}{\partial \tilde{x}^\beta} g_{\alpha\beta}(x)$ .

## The trajectory above in inertial light cone cds:


$$\tilde{x}(\tau) = (u(\tau), v(\tau))$$

$$\text{with } u(\tau) = t(\tau) - x(\tau) = -\frac{1}{a} e^{-a\tau}$$

$$v(\tau) = t(\tau) + x(\tau) = \frac{1}{a} e^{a\tau}$$

Notice: From (A) & (B): the traveller

- can't influence events  $(u, v)$  with  $v \leq 0$
- can't be influenced by events  $(u, v)$  with  $u \geq 0$



A coordinate system that is comoving with the traveler

We want a coordinate system  $\xi'$  so that our traveler's trajectory is:

$$\xi'(\tau) = (\tau, 0)$$

But this fixes the new cds only on the trajectory!

Q: How to continue the new cds to elsewhere?

A: We can require (in 1+1 dimensions) that the light cones are still at  $45^\circ$ , i.e., that

$$g_{\mu\nu}(\xi) = f(\xi) \begin{pmatrix} 1, 0 \\ 0, -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\text{i.e. } ds^2 = f(\xi) (d\xi^0)^2 - d\xi^1)^2, \text{i.e., } \underbrace{ds^2 = 0}_{\substack{\text{condition for} \\ \text{light-like tangent.}}} \Rightarrow d\xi^1 = \pm d\xi^0$$

Proposition:

Under the change of coordinates

$$\begin{aligned} x^0(\xi) &= \tilde{a}' e^{a\xi^0} \sinh(a\xi^0) \\ x^1(\xi) &= \tilde{a}' e^{a\xi^0} \cosh(a\xi^0) \end{aligned} \quad \left. \right\}^{(T)}$$

we have that the trajectory  $\xi'(\tau) = (\tau, 0)$

is indeed the trajectory of our traveler:

$$x^0(\tau) = (\tilde{a}' \sinh(a\tau), \tilde{a}' \cosh(a\tau))$$

And in addition: The Minkowski metric  $g_{\mu\nu}(x) = g_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 1, 0 \\ 0, -1 \end{pmatrix}$   
reads in the  $\xi$  coordinates:


$$g_{\mu\nu}(\xi) = e^{2a\xi^0} \begin{pmatrix} 1, 0 \\ 0, -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$\Rightarrow$  In this cds,  
light travels  
still at  $45^\circ$ .

In (T), why did we map the new cds to the old:  $\xi^\mu \rightarrow x^\mu$ ?

There is no inverse  $x^\mu \rightarrow \xi^\mu$ !

Why? Because all of  $(\xi^0, \xi^1) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  maps only on to the Rindler wedge  $x^1 > |x^0|$



From (T):

For each  $\xi^1$ , obtain a hyperbola within the Rindler wedge.

Together they cover exactly only the Rindler wedge.

We knew that the traveler has horizons.

His comoving cds  $\xi^\mu$  reaches only as far as to his horizons.

## Accelerated light cone coordinates.

In  $\xi^\mu$  cds, light still travels at  $45^\circ$ .

$\Rightarrow$  It will be useful for wave equations to introduce **accelerated light cone coordinates**:

$$\tilde{\xi}^\mu(\xi) = (\tilde{\xi}^0(\xi), \tilde{\xi}^1(\xi)) = (\bar{u}(\xi), \bar{v}(\xi))$$

$$\text{where: } \bar{u}(\xi) = \xi^0 - \xi^1$$

$$\bar{v}(\xi) = \xi^0 + \xi^1$$

In the cds  $\tilde{\xi}^\mu$  we have:

$$g_{\mu\nu}(\tilde{\xi}) = e^{a(\bar{v} - \bar{u})} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

i.e.:  $ds^2 = e^{a(\bar{v} - \bar{u})} d\bar{u} d\bar{v}$

Remark: We can also directly map the accelerated light cone cds  $\hat{\xi} = (\bar{u}, \bar{v})$  into the inertial light cone coordinates  $\tilde{x} = (u, v)$ : (Exercise: show this)

Important later!  $\rightarrow$

$$u(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) = -\frac{1}{\alpha} e^{-\alpha \bar{u}}$$

$$v(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) = \frac{1}{\alpha} e^{\alpha \bar{v}}$$

Summary:

Coordinate system

$$x = (x^0, x')$$

Form of the metric

$$g_{\mu\nu}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\tilde{x} = (u, v)$$

$$g_{\mu\nu}(\tilde{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{\alpha} \\ \frac{1}{\alpha} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

These cds cover only the Rindler wedge

$$\begin{cases} \xi = (\xi^0, \xi^1) \\ \bar{\xi} = (\bar{u}, \bar{v}) \end{cases}$$

$$g_{\mu\nu}(\xi) = e^{2\alpha \xi^1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$g_{\mu\nu}(\bar{\xi}) = e^{\alpha(\bar{v}-\bar{u})} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{\alpha} \\ \frac{1}{\alpha} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Observation: These metrics are pairwise conformally related:

$$g_{\mu\nu}(x) \rightarrow \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}(x) := \Omega^2(x) g_{\mu\nu}(x)$$

Proposition: In 2 dimensions, the K.G. action is invariant:

$$S_g[\phi] = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} g^{\mu\nu} \phi_{,\mu} \phi_{,\nu} \sqrt{|g|} d^2x$$

$$= S_{\bar{g}}[\phi]$$

Proof:

$$\text{We have } g^{\mu\nu}(x) \rightarrow \bar{g}^{\mu\nu}(x) = \Omega^{-2}(x) g^{\mu\nu}(x)$$

$$\text{and } \sqrt{|g|} \rightarrow \sqrt{|\bar{g}|} = \Omega^2(x) \sqrt{|g|} \text{ in 2 dimensions.}$$

✓

## ⇒ The Klein-Gordon action

$$\begin{aligned}
 S[\phi] &= \frac{1}{2} \int g^{\alpha\beta} \phi_{,\alpha} \phi_{,\beta} \sqrt{|g|} d^4x \quad \text{general cds} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\partial_x^\alpha \phi)^2 - (\partial_x^\beta \phi)^2 dx^0 dx^1 \quad \text{inertial cartesian cds} \\
 &= 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\partial_u \phi)(\partial_v \phi) du dv \quad \text{inertial light cone cds}
 \end{aligned}$$

On Rindler Wedge: (easy to see because of conformal invariance)

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_{Rw}[\phi] &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\partial_{\tilde{z}} \phi)^2 - (\partial_{\tilde{\zeta}} \phi)^2 d\tilde{z}^0 d\tilde{\zeta}^1 \quad \text{accelerated cartesian cds} \\
 &= 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\partial_u \phi)(\partial_v \phi) du dv \quad \text{accelerated light cone cds}
 \end{aligned}$$

↓ because massive action is not conformal

Remark: A massive field would have a different equation motion in accelerated frames.

i.e.: accelerated observer can find out he's accelerating using masses.

## The Klein-Gordon equations:

In inertial light cone coordinates:

$$\frac{\delta S'}{\delta \phi} = \partial_u \frac{\delta S}{\delta \partial_u \phi} + \partial_v \frac{\delta S}{\delta \partial_v \phi} \quad \text{i.e. } \partial_u \partial_v \phi(u, v) = 0$$

Easily solved:  $\phi(u, v) = A(u) + B(v)$ , with  $A, B$  arbitrary functions.

$$\text{For example: } \phi(u, v) = e^{-i\omega u} = e^{-i\omega(t-x)} = e^{i\omega(x^0 - x^1)}$$

is a right-moving positive frequency solution.

The usual Minkowski space quantum field solution  $\hat{\phi}(x^0, x')$  can be written this way:

$$\hat{\phi}(u, v) = \int_0^\infty \frac{dk}{2\pi} \frac{1}{2\omega} \left( \underbrace{e^{-i\omega u} \frac{a_k}{a_k} + e^{i\omega u} \frac{a_k^*}{a_k^*}}_{\text{right movers}} + \underbrace{e^{-i\omega v} \frac{a_k}{a_k} + e^{i\omega v} \frac{a_k^*}{a_k^*}}_{\text{left movers}} \right) \text{ and } \omega = |k|$$

The Klein-Gordon equations in the accelerated frame:

In accelerated light cone coordinates: (covering only the Rindler wedge)

$$\frac{\delta S_{\text{Rindler}}}{\delta \phi} = \partial_{\bar{u}} \frac{\delta S_{\text{Rindler}}}{\delta \partial_{\bar{u}} \phi} + \partial_{\bar{v}} \frac{\delta S_{\text{Rindler}}}{\delta \partial_{\bar{v}} \phi} \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \partial_{\bar{u}} \partial_{\bar{v}} \phi(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) = 0$$

Easily solved:  $\phi(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) = A(\bar{u}) + B(\bar{v})$ , with  $A, B$  arbitrary functions.

For example:  $\phi(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) = e^{-i\omega \bar{u}} = e^{-i\omega(\bar{v} - \bar{u})}$

is a right-moving positive frequency solution.

In the accelerated frame, the quantum field in the Rindler wedge is:


$$\hat{\phi}(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) = \int_0^\infty \frac{dk}{2\pi} \frac{1}{2\omega} \left( \underbrace{e^{-i\omega \bar{u}} b_k + e^{i\omega \bar{u}} b_k^\dagger}_{\text{right movers}} + \underbrace{e^{-i\omega \bar{v}} b_{-k}^\dagger + e^{i\omega \bar{v}} b_{-k}}_{\text{left movers}} \right) \text{ and } \omega = |k|$$

Notice: hermiticity conditions, K.G. eqn and CCRs obeyed.

For the inertial observer, the vacuum state obeys:  $a_k |0_{\text{in}}\rangle = 0$

But for the accelerated observer, the vacuum state obeys:  $b_k |0_{\text{R}}\rangle = 0$

We will assume that the state of the system is  $|\psi_{\text{in}}\rangle = |0_{\text{in}}\rangle$ .



Will acceleration melt ice?

We arrived at a typical situation:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\phi}(u, v) &= \int_0^\infty \frac{dk}{12\pi} \frac{1}{12w} \left( e^{-i\omega u} a_k + e^{i\omega u} a_k^* + e^{-i\omega v} a_{-k} + e^{i\omega v} a_{-k}^* \right) \\ &= \int_0^\infty \frac{dk}{12\pi} \frac{1}{12w} \left( e^{-i\bar{\omega} u} b_k + e^{i\bar{\omega} u} b_k^* + e^{-i\bar{\omega} v} b_{-k} + e^{i\bar{\omega} v} b_{-k}^* \right)\end{aligned}\quad (\text{A})$$

There must exist a Bogoliubov transformation linking the  $a_k, a_k^*$  and  $b_k, b_k^*$ !

Observation:

The left and right movers won't mix.


→ For simplicity we'll consider only the right movers.

Observation:

Among right movers all frequencies may mix:

$$b_{\Omega} = \int_0^\infty d\omega (\alpha_{\Omega\omega} \alpha_\omega^* - \beta_{\Omega\omega} \beta_\omega^*) \text{ with } \omega = k \quad (\text{B})$$

Intuition: To the traveller, any monochromatic wave sounds like a chirp.



Exercise: Check that  $[a_k, a_{k'}^*] = \delta(k-k')$  and  $[b_k, b_{k'}^*] = \delta(k-k')$  imply:

$$\int_0^\infty d\omega (\alpha_{\Omega\omega} \alpha_{\Omega'\omega}^* - \beta_{\Omega\omega} \beta_{\Omega'\omega}^*) = \delta(\Omega - \Omega') \quad (\text{C})$$

Calculation of  $\alpha_{\Omega w}$  and  $\beta_{\Omega w}$ : (lengthy, for more details, see Mukhanov & Winship text.)

□ Substitute (B) into (A) and collect coefficients of  $\alpha_w$

⇒

$$\bar{\omega}^{1/2} e^{-i\omega u} = \int_0^\infty \frac{d\Omega'}{\sqrt{\Omega'}} \left( \alpha_{\Omega' w} e^{-i\Omega' \bar{u}} - \beta_{\Omega' w}^* e^{i\Omega' \bar{u}} \right)$$

□ Act with  $\int_{-\infty}^\infty d\bar{u} e^{\pm i\Omega' \bar{u}}$  on the equation

and then use that  $\int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{i(\Omega - \Omega') \bar{u}} d\bar{u} = 2\pi \delta(\Omega - \Omega')$ .

⇒

$$\begin{aligned} +\text{case: } \alpha_{\Omega w} \\ -\text{case: } \beta_{\Omega w} \end{aligned} \left\{ \right. = \pm \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{\mp i\omega u + i\Omega' \bar{u}} d\bar{u}$$

Recall:

$$u(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) = -\frac{1}{a} e^{-a\bar{u}}$$

(encoding the chirping)

and, therefore:  $\frac{du}{d\bar{u}} = e^{-a\bar{u}} \Rightarrow d\bar{u} = (-au)^{-1} du$

⇒

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{\Omega w} \\ \beta_{\Omega w} \end{aligned} \left\{ = \pm \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{\mp i\omega u + i\Omega' \bar{u}} d\bar{u} = \pm \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{\mp i\omega u} (-au)^{-i\frac{\Omega}{a} - 1} du$$

□ Now, using  $\Gamma(r) = \int_0^\infty s^{r-1} e^{-s} ds$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{\Omega w} \\ \beta_{\Omega w} \end{aligned} \left\{ = \pm \frac{1}{2\pi a} \sqrt{\frac{\Omega}{w}} e^{\pm \frac{\pi\Omega}{2a}} e^{i\left(\frac{\Omega}{a} \ln \frac{w}{a}\right)} \Gamma\left(-\frac{i\Omega}{a}\right)$$

!

$$\underline{\text{Observation:}} \Rightarrow |\beta_{\omega\Omega}|^2 = e^{\frac{2\pi\Omega}{\alpha}} |\omega_{\Omega\omega}|^2 \quad (\text{D})$$

So far acceleration  $a \rightarrow 0$  we have  $|\beta_{\omega\Omega}| \rightarrow 0$ ,  
i.e. then no particles observed in travelers frame.

How many particles does an accelerated observer see if  $a \neq 0$ ?

$$\langle \psi_{\omega} | \hat{N}_{\Omega} | \psi_{\omega} \rangle = \langle 0_{\omega} | \hat{N}_{\Omega} | 0_{\omega} \rangle$$

$$= \langle 0_{\omega} | \hat{b}_{\Omega}^+ \hat{b}_{\Omega} | 0_{\omega} \rangle$$

$$= \langle 0_{\omega} | \left( \int_0^{\infty} \omega_{\omega\Omega}^* \hat{a}_{\omega}^+ - \beta_{\omega\Omega}^* \hat{a}_{\omega} \, d\omega \right) \left( \int_0^{\infty} \omega_{\omega'\Omega}^* \hat{a}_{\omega'}^+ - \beta_{\omega'\Omega}^* \hat{a}_{\omega'} \, d\omega' \right) | 0_{\omega} \rangle$$

$$= \int_0^{\infty} d\omega |\beta_{\omega\Omega}|^2$$

Using (C) and (D)  $\Rightarrow$

$$\langle \psi_{\omega} | \hat{N}_{\Omega} | \psi_{\omega} \rangle = \int_0^{\infty} d\omega |\beta_{\omega\Omega}|^2 = \frac{1}{e^{\frac{2\pi\Omega}{\alpha}} - 1} \delta(\Omega - \Omega) \quad \text{↑ Divergent}$$

Observation:

With infrared cutoff through (accelerating) box of size  $V$  we have discrete  $k$ , discrete  $\Omega(k)$  and  $\delta(\Omega - \Omega')$  in (C) becomes  $V \delta_{\Omega, \Omega'}$ .

Then:

$$\langle \psi_{\omega} | \hat{N}_{\Omega} | \psi_{\omega} \rangle = \int_0^{\infty} d\omega |\beta_{\omega\Omega}|^2 = \frac{1}{e^{\frac{2\pi\Omega}{\alpha}} - 1} V \delta_{\Omega, \Omega}$$

⇒ Number density:

$$\bar{n}_a := \frac{1}{V} \langle \hat{q}_a | \hat{N}_a | \hat{q}_a \rangle = \frac{1}{e^{\frac{2\pi\alpha}{a}} - 1}$$

Compare: If a harmonic oscillator of energy levels  $E_n = \hbar\omega(n + \frac{1}{2})$  is exposed to a heat bath of temperature  $T$ , then its expected excitation number is

$$\bar{n} = \frac{1}{e^{\frac{\hbar\omega}{kT}} - 1}$$

⇒ The traveler's mode oscillators are excited as if exposed to a heat bath of the Unruh temperature:

$$T = \frac{a}{2\pi}$$

Observation:

□ Could the quantum field be in the state  $|0_R\rangle$ ?

□ We'd expect that then inertial observers would see particles!

□ But  $|0_R\rangle$  is not a physically implementable state, even in principle! Why?

□  $|0_R\rangle$  is a state with regions of diverging energy density!

Why? If  $\hat{\phi}$  is in state  $|0_R\rangle$  then, in accelerated cds, energy density is constant throughout that cds.

But this cds piles up at the horizons!

Recall:  $T_{\mu\nu} = (\partial_\mu \phi)(\partial_\nu \phi) - g_{\mu\nu} (\partial_\mu \phi)(\partial^\mu \phi)$

$\Rightarrow$  Need to study terms of the form  $\langle O_R | (\partial \phi)^2 | O_R \rangle$ .

Calculate:  $\underbrace{\langle O_R | (\partial_u \phi)^2 | O_R \rangle}_{\text{enters } \langle O_R | T_{\mu\nu}(u, v) | O_R \rangle}$   $= \langle O_R | \underbrace{(\frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial u})^2 (\partial_{\bar{u}} \phi)^2}_{\text{calculation of}} | O_R \rangle$   
 inertial observer!

Recall:  $u(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) = -\frac{1}{\alpha} e^{-\alpha \bar{u}}$

$\Rightarrow \frac{du}{d\bar{u}} = -\alpha u \Rightarrow$

$$= \frac{1}{(\alpha u)^2} \langle O_R | (\partial_{\bar{u}} \phi)^2 | O_R \rangle$$

same b/c calculated exact same way from (A)

$$= \frac{1}{(\alpha u)^2} \langle O_R | (\partial_u \phi)^2 | O_R \rangle$$

Finite after renormalization.


But:  $u' \rightarrow \infty$  at the traveler's horizon!

$\Rightarrow$  In states  $|4\rangle = |O_R\rangle$ , or  $|4\rangle = b_n^+ |O_R\rangle$  etc.,

$\langle 4 | T_{\mu\nu}(u, v) | 4 \rangle \rightarrow \infty$  as  $u \rightarrow 0$  (future horizon)

and similarly also for  $v \rightarrow 0$ .

If  $\phi$  is in state  $|\theta_R\rangle$ :



$$\langle \psi | T_{\rho u}(u, v) | \psi \rangle \rightarrow \infty$$

