



Politecnico di Milano, A.A. 2015/2016

Software Engineering 2: My Taxi Service
Project Plan Document

Belotti Nicola 793419
Chioso Emanuele 791621
Colombo Andrea 853381

February 1, 2016

Contents

| | | |
|----------|------------------------------------|----------|
| 1 | Introduction | 2 |
| 2 | Function points | 3 |
| 2.1 | Internal Logic files | 3 |
| 2.2 | External interface files | 4 |
| 2.3 | External inputs | 4 |
| 2.4 | External outputs | 4 |
| 2.5 | External Inquiry | 5 |
| 2.6 | Summary | 5 |
| 2.7 | Source lines of code | 5 |
| 3 | Cocomo | 6 |
| 3.1 | Effort estimation | 6 |
| 3.2 | Time estimation | 6 |
| 3.3 | Conclusion | 6 |
| 4 | Tasks | 7 |
| 5 | Risks | 8 |
| 6 | Appendix | 9 |

1 Introduction

2 Function points

The Function Point estimation approach is based on the amount of functionalities in a software and their complexity. We will now provide a detailed description on the function points related to our application.

There are five estimators to take in account:

- Internal logic files
- External interface files
- External inputs
- External outputs
- External inquiries

This table defines the weights values that we've to use to perform the FP value.

| Table 3. UFP Complexity Weights | | | |
|--|--------------------------|----------------|-------------|
| Function Type | Complexity-Weight | | |
| | Low | Average | High |
| Internal Logical Files | 7 | 10 | 15 |
| External Interfaces Files | 5 | 7 | 10 |
| External Inputs | 3 | 4 | 6 |
| External Outputs | 4 | 5 | 7 |
| External Inquiries | 3 | 4 | 6 |

Figure 1: Weights for all the different estimators

We will now proceed to identify all the elements and assign a value to them

2.1 Internal Logic files

An internal logic files is simply a data structure used in the application. The ILFs of our system are the following:

- User
- Passenger
- TaxiDriver

- Request
- Ride

User, passenger and taxi drivers can be assumed to be simple data structure. Request and ride are a little more complex so we'll treat them as average complexity.

2.2 External interface files

External Interface Files are a homogeneous set of data used by the application but generated by other applications.

The only EIF of our system is the interface of a map service we use for navigation. It can be considered as average complexity.

2.3 External inputs

External Inputs are operations to elaborate data coming from the external environment. The EIs of our system are:

- Registration
- Login
- Simple request
- Taxi reservation
- Driver availability

Login, request, reservation and driver availability are inputs with low complexity. Registration is more complex (average complexity) since it involves a bigger amount of data and components.

2.4 External outputs

External Output is an elementary operation that generates data for the external environment. The EOs of our system are:

- Notifications to users
- Notifications to taxi drivers

Both of this outputs can be considered of low complexity.

2.5 External Inquiry

External Inquiry is as an operation that involves input and output, without elaboration of data. The EQs of the system are:

- Taxi driver ride request
- Ride sharing

Ride request to taxi driver is simple (low complexity). On the other hand, ride sharing requires a lot of operations since it can be considered of high complexity.

2.6 Summary

Internal logic files score: 3 low complexity + 2 average complexity: $3 * 7 + 2 * 10 = 41$

External onterface files score: 1 medium complexity: 7

External inputs score: 4 low complexity + 1 average complexity: $4 * 3 + 1 * 4 = 16$

External outputs score: 2 low complexity: 4

External inquiries: 1 low complexity + 1 high complexity: $1 * 3 + 1 * 6 = 9$

The total is of $41 + 7 + 16 + 4 + 9 = 77$

2.7 Source lines of code

The conversion multiplicator from function points to SLOC is 53 for the Java language: hence we have $77 * 53 = 4081$ lines of code.

3 Cocomo

We use cocomo model to provide an approximate cost estimation on the project and the time needed to develop the software.

3.1 Effort estimation

COCOMO formula for calculating the effort is $ffort = 2.94 * EAF * KSLOC^E$
Where:

- KSLOC is kilo source lines of code calculated in the previous section.
- EAF: effort adjustment factor derived from cost drivers
- E: exponent derived from scale drivers
- 2.94 a parameter

We consider our project with all nominal Cost Drivers and Scale Drivers, thus EAF will be equal to 1 and E will be equal to 1.0997. So $ffort = 2.94 * 1 * 4.081^{1.0997} = 15.3709809$ person-months

3.2 Time estimation

The duration of the project is calculated with the formula: $Duration = 3.67 * ffort^E$ Where:

- ffort is the effort calculated in the previous point.
- E: exponent derived from scale drivers
- 3.67 a parameter

So $Duration = 3.67 * 15.3709809^{0.3179} = 3.60379248$ months

3.3 Conclusion

Now the we have effort (expressed in person-months) and duration (expressed in months) we can calculate the number of people necessary to develop the software $N = \frac{ffort}{duration} = \frac{15.3709809}{3.60379248} = 4.26522365 \approx 5people$. I decided to roundup to 5 to maintain a relatively large margin in case of problems.

4 Tasks

5 Risks

In this section we will analyze risks that may occur during and after the development.

5.1 Project Risks

Requirements Change: Requirements may change during the project life-cycle. For example new features may be requested by the customer. (Marginal)

Lack of Skill: Cruise skill may be not high enough and could delay the delivery. (Negligible)

5.2 Technical Risks

Bugs in the code: There may be some bugs in the code that haven't been identified. (Marginal)

Integration Test Failure: After the implementation of the components, they may not pass the integration phase. (Critical)

Downtime: System might go down for any reason, for example excessive load and hardware failure. (Critical)

Data Loss: Data might be lost due to hardware failure and bugs. (Critical)

5.3 Business Risks

Market risk: People could not be interested in using our app, preferring traditional method.

Competitors: Idea could not be innovative, for example during the development another company might release a similar software.

Lack of fundings: Fundings might not be enough to develop the project.

6 Appendix