EXERCISE 10

Andrea Crotti (#299466), Can Liu (#286105), Sebastian Roidl (#281941) 12 Gennaio 2010

Exercise 10.1[Query optimization]:

1.

```
SELECT gift.name, supplier.name, COUNT(*) FROM gift, supplier, shipment WHERE shipment.gift = gift.gift_id AND shipment.supplier = supplier.supplier_id AND gift.price > 190 AND supplier.place IN (SELECT DISTINCT place.place_id FROM employee, place WHERE employee.place = place.place_id AND employee.nationality = 'German') GROUP BY gift.name, supplier.name We first count how many tuples we could have in total. shipment = gift * employee * supplier = 6000 * 10000 * 500 = 3 * 10^{10} the general join is gift \bowtie supplier \bowtie shipment So\#tuples = 10^{10}
```

a) The selection (IN expression) on supplier.place

We know that there are 50 different nationalities, assuming that they are uniformly distributed we get:

With the line *SELECT DISTINCT place.place_id* we get a selectivity of 1/1000, which are the number of possible disinct places.

Then we filter on the nationality and assuming they are equally distributed we filter 1/50. So the selectivity of the IN expression is: 1/50*1/1000 = 1/50000

b) The selection on gift.price

Prices are equally distributed in the range (11, 210). Choosing gift.price > 190 we get $S = (210 - 190)/(210 - 11) \approx 1/10$

c) The join on shipment.gift and gift.gift id

The general formula for join is 1/max(V(S, y), V(R, y))So in this case 1/6000 (number of distinct possible gifts).

- d) The join on shipment. supplier and supplier.supplier_id selectivity = 1/500
- e) The join on employee.place and place.place_id selectivity = 1/1000 (the number of employees)
- 2. Sketch a query plan for this query that would result in the minimum amount of work given no indices and the selectivity estimates you gave above.

A query plan is a particular way to plan the query.

3. Reccommend a set of indices that will improve the performance of this query at most