



# Practical Network Defense

*Master's degree in Cybersecurity 2024-25*

## Network traffic regulation with firewalls

*Angelo Spognardi*

*[spognardi@di.uniroma1.it](mailto:spognardi@di.uniroma1.it)*

*Dipartimento di Informatica  
Sapienza Università di Roma*



# Today's agenda


- Traffic regulation
  - Packet filtering
- Filter rules
- Stateful firewall
- Other types of firewall
  - Application-level filtering
  - Circuit-level gateway



# Traffic regulation


# Regulate traffic: routers and firewalls

- A router is a device that connects two networks
- A firewall is a device that besides acting as a router, also contains (and implements) rules to determine whether packets are allowed to travel from one network to another
  - Router also can perform some form of screening (packet filter)



# Why firewalls?

- Restricts access from the outside
  - Internet = millions of people together → bad things happen
- Prevents attackers from getting too close
- Restricts people from leaving





# Secure traffic regulation

- To attain a certain level of network security, you can:
  - Regulate which traffic is allowed (sources, destinations, services, ...)
  - Protect the traffic by encryption
  - Monitor the traffic for “bad behaviour”
  - Monitor the hosts for “bad behaviour”
- The choice will depend on the security policy to be fulfilled (particularly the CIA targets).



# Firewall Design & Architecture Issues

- Least privilege
- Defense in depth
- Choke point
- Weakest links
- Fail-safe stance
- Universal participation
- Diversity of defense
- Simplicity




# Host based packet filters

- Kind of firewall that disciplines the traffic in/out a single host
- It specifies the packets that can be received and sent
  - Ex: iptables, windows firewall and all the so called “personal firewalls”
- Vendor products generally work per-app: each installed application has a known policy that has to obey



# Screening router (ACL-based)






# Network Access Control Lists

- List the rights for accessing/using networks
  - Extensively used in switches, routers and firewalls
- Usually distinguish between incoming and outgoing traffic, per interface/port
  - Ex: lists of IP addresses that can send packets to an interface/port
- Stateless: every packet is treated independently, without any knowledge of what has come before

# Dual-homed host





# Bastion host

- Hardened computer used to deal with all traffic coming to a protected network from outside
  - Hardening is the task of reducing or removing vulnerabilities in a computer system:
    - Shutting down unused or dangerous services
    - Strengthening access controls on vital files
    - Removing unnecessary accounts and permissions
    - Using “stricter” configurations for vulnerable components, such as DNS, sendmail, FTP, Apache, Tomcat, etc.
- Specially suitable for use as Application Proxy Gateways



# What is a DMZ


- DMZ (demilitarized zone)
  - Computer host or small network inserted as a “neutral zone” between a company’s private network and the outside public network
  - Network construct that provides secure segregation of networks that host services for users, visitors, or partners
- DMZ use has become a necessary method of providing a multilayered, **defense-in-depth** approach to security
- Reduce and regulate the access to internal (private) components of the IT system




# Defense in depth

- A security approach in which IT systems are protected using **multiple overlapping** systems
  - Add redundancy to the defensive measures
  - Aim to remove the single point of failure
  - Find the right balance between complexity and multiplicity of defense measures
- In order to compromise the system, an attacker has to find multiple vulnerabilities, in different components

# DMZ as a screened Host




# Screened Subnet Using Two Routers/Firewalls






# DMZ to segment the network




# Security in depth: split DMZ




# A simple plan for network security

- Use a firewall to filter ingoing and outgoing traffic between “your” network (or individual PC) and the Internet



# Assumptions

1. You have security policy stating what is allowed and not allowed.
2. You can identify the “good” and the “bad” traffic by its IP-address, TCP port numbers, etc, ...
3. The firewall itself is immune to penetration.
  - A question of assurance – needs for a trusted system, secure OS etc.





# Packet filters (stateless firewall)

- Drop packets based on their source or destination addresses or port numbers or flags
- No context, only contents
- Can operate on
  - incoming interface
  - outgoing interface
  - both
- Check packets with fake IP addresses:
  - from outside (“ingress filtering”)
  - from inside (“egress filtering”)



# Packet filters operating layers



# Three-step process

1. Know your policy
2. Translate the policy in a formal language
  - E.g.: logical expression on packet fields
3. Rewrite the policy in terms of the firewall syntax

General mechanism:

- Rules are checked from top to bottom
- The first matching rule is applied
- One implicit rule is assumed if no rule matches
  - Block/Allow everything

action	ourhost	port	theirhost	port	comment
block	*	*	*	*	<i>default</i>

# Example

- Policy:
  - allow inbound email (SMTP, port 25) only to our-gateway machine: **Mailgw**
  - refuse all traffic from a known spamming site: **demon**
- Possible rules:

action	ourhost	port	theirhost	port	comment
block	*	*	demon	*	<i>don't trust spammers</i>
allow	Mailgw	25	*	*	<i>connection to our SMTP</i>



## Example, continued


- Add the policy:
  - any inside host can send mail to the outside

action	ourhost	port	theirhost	port	comment
allow	*	*	*	25	<i>connection to their SMTP</i>

- Very bad: we can not control the type of traffic originated from port 25 and coming from the outside
- Then: rules have to specify the direction of the traffic

# How to check the direction of TCP?

- Consider the TCP flags



# Example with traffic direction

- We distinguish the replies to our SMTP connection considering the ACK flag


action	src	port	dest	port	flags	comment
allow	{our hosts}	*	*	25		<i>connection to their SMTP</i>
allow	*	25	*	*	ACK	<i>their replies</i>
block	*	*	*	*		<i>default</i>

- Very easy case...




# Filter rules for network firewalls

# More complex network topology




- Policy:
  - Internal Net 1 is a DMZ and only hosts Mail GW
  - Very limited connections between Mail GW and Internet (only partner servers)
  - Limited connections allowed between Mail GW and net 2 and net 3
  - Anything can pass between net 2 and net 3
  - Outgoing requests only between net 2 or net 3 and the link to the Internet

# Requirements




- We cannot only consider where packets have to go (destination → **egress filtering**)
  - Open access to net 2 only allowed for traffic with source address in net 3
  - No way to avoid fake source addresses (*address spoofing*) from outside
- We need to define rules based on **from where packets are arriving**, (source → **ingress filtering**)

# Interface towards Internet



Action	IPsrc	srcport	IPdst	dstport	flags
block	"Net 1"	*	*	*	
block	"Net 2"	*	*	*	
block	"Net 3"	*	*	*	
allow	*	*	GW	25	
allow	*	*	"Net 2"	*	ACK
allow	*	*	"Net 3"	*	ACK


# Interface on net 1



Action	IPsrc	srcport	IPdst	dstport	flags
allow	GW	*	"partners"	25	
allow	GW	*	"Net 2"	*	ACK
allow	GW	*	"Net 3"	*	ACK
block	GW	*	"Net 2"	*	
block	GW	*	"Net 3"	*	
allow	GW	*	*	*	



# Interface on net 2 (net 3 is similar)



action	IPsrc	srcport	IPdst	dstport	flags
allow	"Net 2"	*	*	*	
block	*	*	*	*	

# Problems with Packet Filters


- Only a small number of parameters
  - it is (unfortunately) easy to specify filtering rules which are too specific or too general
- Payload of TCP packet is not inspected
  - No protection against attacks based on upper-layer vulnerabilities
- Limited logging ability (restricted to the few parameters used by the filter)
- No authentication facilities
- Susceptible to attacks based on vulnerabilities in various implementations of TCP and/or IP

# Filter rules, 1

- Example: Filtering in- and outgoing SMTP traffic. Try rules:

Rule	In/out	IPsrc	IPdst	Proto	dstport	Action
A	Inward	External	Internal	TCP	25	Allow
B	Outward	Internal	External	TCP	>1023	Allow
C	Outward	Internal	External	TCP	25	Allow
D	Inward	External	Internal	TCP	>1023	Allow
E	*	*	*	*	*	Block

- Scenario:





## Filter rules, 2

- Example: Filtering in- and outgoing SMTP traffic. Try rules:

Rule	In/out	IPsrc	IPdst	Proto	dstport	Action
A	Inward	External	Internal	TCP	25	Allow
B	Outward	Internal	External	TCP	>1023	Allow
C	Outward	Internal	External	TCP	25	Allow
D	Inward	External	Internal	TCP	>1023	Allow
E	*	*	*	*	*	Block

- Scenario:

Packet	In/out	IPsrc	IPdst	Proto	dstport	Action
1	Inward	193.170.3.4	173.18.1.1	TCP	25	Allow (A)
2	Outward	173.18.1.1	193.170.3.4	TCP	1234	Allow (B)


- Conclusion: This looks OK!

# Filter rules, 3

- Example: Filtering in- and outgoing SMTP traffic. Try rules:

Rule	In/out	IPsrc	IPdst	Proto	dstport	Action
A	Inward	External	Internal	TCP	25	Allow
B	Outward	Internal	External	TCP	>1023	Allow
C	Outward	Internal	External	TCP	25	Allow
D	Inward	External	Internal	TCP	>1023	Allow
E	*	*	*	*	*	Block

- Scenario 2:





# Filter rules, 4

- Example: Filtering in- and outgoing SMTP traffic. Try rules:

Rule	In/out	IPsrc	IPdst	Proto	dstport	Action
A	Inward	External	Internal	TCP	25	Allow
B	Outward	Internal	External	TCP	>1023	Allow
C	Outward	Internal	External	TCP	25	Allow
D	Inward	External	Internal	TCP	>1023	Allow
E	*	*	*	*	*	Block

- Scenario 2:

Packet	In/out	IPsrc	IPdst	Proto	dstport	Action
3	Outward	173.18.1.2	193.170.3.5	TCP	25	Allow (C)
4	Inward	193.170.3.5	173.18.1.2	TCP	1357	Allow (D)


- Conclusion: This also looks OK!

# Filter rules, 5

- Example: Filtering in- and outgoing SMTP traffic. Try rules:

Rule	In/out	IPsrc	IPdst	Proto	dstport	Action
A	Inward	External	Internal	TCP	25	Allow
B	Outward	Internal	External	TCP	>1023	Allow
C	Outward	Internal	External	TCP	25	Allow
D	Inward	External	Internal	TCP	>1023	Allow
E	*	*	*	*	*	Block

- Scenario 3:



# Filter rules, 6

- Example: Filtering in- and outgoing SMTP traffic. Try rules:

Rule	In/out	IPsrc	IPdst	Proto	dstport	Action
A	Inward	External	Internal	TCP	25	Allow
B	Outward	Internal	External	TCP	>1023	Allow
C	Outward	Internal	External	TCP	25	Allow
D	Inward	External	Internal	TCP	>1023	Allow
E	*	*	*	*	*	Block

- Scenario 3:

Packet	In/out	IPsrc	IPdst	Proto	dstport	Action
5	Inward	12.1.2.3	173.18.3.4	TCP	8080	Allow (D)
6	Outward	173.18.3.4	12.1.2.3	TCP	5150	Allow (B)

- Conclusion: Oh, dear! That doesn't look good at all!
- Rules allow all connections where both ends use ports >1023.



# Filter rules, 7

- Filtering in- and outgoing SMTP traffic. Include **srcport** in rules:

Rule	In/out	Ipsrc	IPdst	Proto	<b>srcport</b>	dstport	Action
A	Inward	External	Internal	TCP	<b>&gt;1023</b>	25	Allow
B	Outward	Internal	External	TCP	<b>25</b>	>1023	Allow
C	Outward	Internal	External	TCP	<b>&gt;1023</b>	25	Allow
D	Inward	External	Internal	TCP	<b>25</b>	>1023	Allow
E	*	*	*	*	*		Block

- Scenario 3:

Packet	In/out	Ipsrc	IPdst	Proto	srcport	dstport	Action
5	Inw.	12.1.2.3	173.18.3.4	TCP	5150	8080	<b>Deny (E)</b>
6	Outw.	173.18.3.4	12.1.2.3	TCP	8080	5150	<b>Deny (E)</b>


- Conclusion: This looks OK again!
- Check for yourselves that packets 1, 2, 3, 4 are treated OK.

# Filter rules, 8

- Filtering in- and outgoing SMTP traffic. Include **srcport** in rules:

Rule	In/out	IPsrc	IPdst	Proto	<b>srcport</b>	dstport	Action
A	In	External	Internal	TCP	<b>&gt;1023</b>	25	Allow
B	Out	Internal	External	TCP	<b>25</b>	>1023	Allow
C	Out	Internal	External	TCP	<b>&gt;1023</b>	25	Allow
D	In	External	Internal	TCP	<b>25</b>	>1023	Allow
E	*	*	*	*	*		Block

- Scenario 4:





# Filter rules, 9

- Filtering in- and outgoing SMTP traffic. Include **srcport** in rules:

Rule	In/out	IPsrc	IPdst	Proto	<b>srcport</b>	dstport	Action
A	Inward	External	Internal	TCP	<b>&gt;1023</b>	25	Allow
B	Outward	Internal	External	TCP	<b>25</b>	>1023	Allow
C	Outward	Internal	External	TCP	<b>&gt;1023</b>	25	Allow
D	Inward	External	Internal	TCP	<b>25</b>	>1023	Allow
E	*	*	*	*	*		Block


- Scenario 4:

Packet	In/out	IPsrc	IPdst	Proto	srcport	dstport	Action
7	Inw.	12.1.2.3	173.18.3.4	TCP	25	8080	Allow (D)
8	Outw.	173.18.3.4	12.1.2.3	TCP	8080	25	Allow (C)

- Conclusion: This looks bad again!
- Need yet more information (e.g. Flags) to get desired effect: Rules B and D must require ACK flag to be set in order to accept packet.

# IP fragmentation

## IP Fragmentation and Reassembly (Example)



**Length** - The size of the fragmented datagram


**ID** - The ID of the datagram being fragmented

**Fragflag** - Indicates whether there are more incoming fragments

**Offset** - Details the order the fragments should be placed in during reassembly

<https://www.incapsula.com/ddos/attack-glossary/ip-fragmentation-attack-teardrop.html>


## Normal fragmentation



## Abnormal fragmentation

# Incoming TCP connections with IP frag

- Firewall blocks any incoming TCP connection
- ACK packet is allowed for outgoing packets
- Internal host reassembles a packet with the SYN bit set because two fragment offsets are chosen in order to set the SYN bit
- Attacks
  - SYN scan
  - Create TCP connection
  - SYN flood - DoS





# Stateful firewalls




# Stateful packet inspection


- Stateful Inspection Firewalls (or Dynamic Packet Filters) can keep track of established connections
- Can drop packets based on their source or destination IP addresses, port numbers and possibly TCP flags
  - Solve one major problem of simple packet filters, since they can check that incoming traffic for a high-numbered port is a genuine response to a previous outgoing request to set up a connection

# Stateful firewall

- Considered layers



- Connection tracking







# Connection tracking

## Considered TCP States

- Setting up connection:
  - client calls from (high-numbered) port to port for application on server
  - server replies to (high-numbered) port on client
  - connection is considered established when the server gives correct SYN/ACK response.
- Closing connection:
  - both parties have to close the connection by sending a TCP packet with FIN flag set before connection is considered closed



# Stateful firewall example






# Other types of firewalls

# Application-Level filtering (proxy-like)

- Deal with the details of services
- Need a separate special-purpose mechanism for each application
  - Example: mail filters, FTP, HTTP proxy
  - Big overhead, but can log and audit all activity
- Can support user-to-gateway authentication
  - Log into the proxy server with username and password
  - Example: Microsoft ISA, SQUID





# Host based firewalls

- A firewall on each individual host to protect that one machine
- Selectively enable specific services and ports that will be used to send and receive traffic
  - Ex: it's unlikely that an employee would need remote SSH access to her laptop
- A host-based firewall plays a big part in:
  - reducing what is accessible to an outside attacker
  - protecting the other elements of the IT system if one of the component (ex, a process) is compromised



# Application-level firewall pro and cons

- + Logging capacity
- + Intelligent filtering
- + User-level authentication
- + Protection from wrong implementations
- - Can introduce lag
- - Application-specific
- - Not always transparent




# Circuit-level gateways (or generic proxy)

- Also known as a TCP relay
  - Able to deal with several protocols
- SOCKS (v5.0: Internet RFC1928) is the de facto standard
  - It also works with UDP
  - WinSock for Windows
- SOCKS performs at Layer 5 of the OSI model
  - The Session Layer above transport layer
    - TOR (the onion routing): socks-like interface
- The client connect to a proxy that relays its connections in a protocol-independent manner
- Provide user-authentication
- Usually no content filtering

# TCP relay

- Splices and relays TCP connections
  - Does not examine the contents of TCP segments
  - Can use ACL (like packet filtering, i.e. dst IP/dst port)
  - Less control than application-level gateway
- Client applications must be adapted for SOCKS
  - “Universal” interface to circuit-level gateways
- Example: `ssh -D 12345 <remote_host>`
  - More on this when talking about tunneling





# Common firewall weaknesses

- No content inspection causes the problems
  - Software weakness (e.g. buffer overflow, and SQL injection exploits)
  - Protocol weakness (WEP in 802.11)
- No defense against
  - Denial of service
  - Insider attacks
- Firewall failure has to be prevented
  - Firewall cluster for redundancy



# NG-Firewalls

- Next Generation firewalls try to include additional features
- Not only traffic filtering, but also:
  - Intrusion Detection System
  - VPN gateway
  - Deep Packet Inspection
  - Traffic shaping



# Summary!



# Summary

- Traffic regulation: routers and firewall
  - Decide the packets that can pass through the node
- Firewall architectures: where they go in the network?
  - Network segmentation and DMZ
- Types of firewalls:
  - Host firewall, stateless, stateful, application-gateway, circuit-gateway
- Stateless firewall weaknesses
  - No state, IP fragmentation



# That's all for today

- Questions?
- See you next lecture!
- Resources:
  - “Building internet firewalls”, Elizabeth D. Zwicky, Simon Cooper, D. Brent Chapman, O'Reilly 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. (old but still very useful for educational purposes)
    - [https://docstore.mik.ua/orelly/networking\\_2ndEd/fire/index.htm](https://docstore.mik.ua/orelly/networking_2ndEd/fire/index.htm)
  - “Firewalls and Internet security: repelling the wily hacker”, William R. Cheswick, Steven M. Bellovin, Aviel D. Rubin, Addison-Wesley 2<sup>nd</sup> ed.