

Master thesis project: Debiasing regularization in learning and system identification algorithms

Supervisors:	Mingzhou Yin, Mohammad Khosravi, Dr. Andrea Iannelli
Professor:	Prof. Roy S. Smith
E-mail:	myin@control.ee.ethz.ch

1 Abstract

Regularized optimization has reported positive results in system identification recently. Such methods aim to avoid overfitting at the cost of inducing bias. In this project, we will investigate debiasing techniques in the context of learning and system identification.

Keywords: regularization, learning, system identification, bias-variance tradeoff

2 Project description

In classical system identification approaches, a particular model structure is postulated and the model parameters are estimated that maximize the adherence to the model. If the model is appropriately designed, this gives the best unbiased estimator.

However, the selection of model structure and complexity is very hard in practice, which leads to overfitting and high variances. Therefore, regularization methods are proposed to overcome this issue by applying a general non-parametric model. In addition to the data adherence objective, another objective, known as the regularizer, is introduced that encodes the prior knowledge on the model (e.g., stability, smoothness, low-complexity). Then, the estimator minimizes a weighted sum of both objectives. Such methods are shown to be effective to avoid overfitting.

In particular, we will investigate two types of common regularizers in linear system identification: the smoothness regularizer with the kernel-based method and the low-complexity regularizer with the atomic norm [3]. These correspond to the smooth function approximation and sparse feature selection in learning respectively.

The cost to pay for this is that bias is also induced by regularization. The appropriate amount of regularization is usually determined by model validation techniques to obtain a sweet point along the bias-variance tradeoff curve. In this project, we will investigate advanced strategies for debiasing regularization in learning and identification algorithms:

- For the kernel-based regularizer, extrapolation techniques from numerical analysis will be explored that compensates the bias by a linear combination of candidate regularized estimators [1].

- For the atomic-norm regularizer, recent results in high-dimensional statistics (e.g., debiased lasso, multi-sample splitting) will be applied to the sparse estimator to improve its bias property [4, 2].

Application: The candidate should be familiar with optimization and have an interest in doing a Master thesis project on the application of data science in dynamical systems. Meanwhile, having background in system identification, machine learning, statistics, and related fields is an asset in this project.

3 Goals

- Understand the idea of regularization and its common paradigms in system identification and learning.
- Study existing literature on nonparametric and sparse estimation.
- Investigate debiasing techniques in numerical analysis and regression.
- Apply the debiasing techniques in linear system identification.
- Gain experience in convex optimization and model validation.
- Implement the debiased regularization algorithms and set up numerical tests to assess their performances.

References

- [1] Francis Bach. On the effectiveness of richardson extrapolation in machine learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2002.02835*, 2020.
- [2] Nicolai Meinshausen, Lukas Meier, and Peter Bühlmann. p-values for high-dimensional regression. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 104(488):1671–1681, Dec 2009.
- [3] Gianluigi Pillonetto, Tianshi Chen, Alessandro Chiuso, Giuseppe De Nicolao, and Lennart Ljung. Regularized linear system identification using atomic, nuclear and kernel-based norms: The role of the stability constraint. *Automatica*, 69:137–149, Jul 2016.
- [4] Cun-Hui Zhang and Stephanie S. Zhang. Confidence intervals for low dimensional parameters in high dimensional linear models. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Statistical Methodology)*, 76(1):217–242, Jul 2013.