

### Exercise 1

Consider a continuous, adapted stochastic process  $X = (X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  with dynamics

$$dX_t = rX_t dt + \sigma X_t dW_t, \quad t \geq 0,$$

where  $r, \sigma > 0$  and  $W = (W_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is a Brownian motion. Suppose you want to price a down-and-out call option with underlying  $X$ , maturity  $T > 0$ , strike  $K$  and lower barrier  $B_u$ .

We have seen that the dynamics of  $X = (X_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$  can be approximated by  $N$  time steps of a Binomial model with parameters

$$u = e^{\sigma\sqrt{T/N}}, \quad d = 1/u, \quad \rho = e^{r\sqrt{T/N}},$$

for  $N$  large enough.

In a similar way as in

```
binomialmodel.optionvaluation.americanOptionPriceConvergence,
```

check if the valuation of a down-and-out option performed with the code you wrote to solve Exercise 1 of Handout 1, or the one you can find in

```
binomialmodel.optionvaluation.knockOutOption,
```

approximates well the analytic one for large  $N$ .

Also compare this approximation with the one obtained by using the Monte-Carlo discretization and simulation of  $X$ , that we test in

```
binomialmodel.optionValuation.knockoutOptionTest,
```

both in terms of time and accuracy.

### Exercise 2

Consider the market where you have zero interest rate  $\rho = 0$ , and where the risky asset  $\bar{S} = (\bar{S}_t)_{t=0,1,\dots,T}$  is defined by

$$\bar{S}_t = \log(S_t),$$

where  $S = (S_t)_{t=0,1,\dots,T}$  is the binomial model described in the script, i.e.,

$$S_t = S_0 \cdot Y_1 \cdot \dots \cdot Y_t, \quad t = 1, \dots, T,$$

where  $Y_t$  can take the two values  $d, u$  with  $0 < d < 1 < u$ , for any  $t = 1, \dots, T$ , and  $(Y_t)_{t=1,\dots,T}$  are i.i.d. and such that  $Y_{t+1}$  is independent of  $\mathcal{F}_t$ .

In Exercise 2 of Handout 1 you had to find the risk neutral probability  $Q$  of such a market, i.e., the probability

$$q = Q(Y_t = u)$$

such that  $\bar{S}$  is a martingale under  $Q$ .

Implement now such a model in Python, similarly to what has been done in the classes of

```
binomialmodel.creationandcalibration.
```

If you like, you can just focus on the `binomialModelSmart` implementation. In particular, do the following:

- Check that  $\bar{S}$  is indeed a martingale under the measure  $Q$  you found.
- Print the evolution of the probability  $Q(\bar{S}_j > \bar{S}_0) = Q(S_j > S_0)$ . Compare it with the one we had found under the martingale measure for  $S$ . What do you note?