

## General guidelines

The final project for the lecture *Computational Finance and its implementation in Python with applications to option pricing, Green finance and Climate risk* consists of solving at least two of the following problems, and discussing and presenting the solution in a small oral exam/seminar.

The exposition is supposed to be individual. You are of course very welcome to ask if you need any help. Please note that your solution has not be one hundred percent exact, the most important thing is that you are able to discuss and motivate your results. There will also some possible questions on topics we have seen in the lecture, anyway related to the assignments under discussion.

Students of the GrEnFIn Pilot class aiming to cover the three credits for the module GrEnFIn seminar have to solve and discuss Problem 3.

## Problem 1

This problem follows the lines of what you have already done in Handout 1, Handout 2 and Handout 3. Consider the market with zero interest rate  $\rho = 0$ , and with risky asset  $\bar{S} = (\bar{S}_t)_{t=0,1,\dots,T}$  defined by

$$\bar{S}_t = \log(S_t),$$

where  $S = (S_t)_{t=0,1,\dots,T}$  is the binomial model described in the script, i.e.,

$$S_t = S_0 \cdot Y_1 \cdot \dots \cdot Y_t, \quad t = 1, \dots, T,$$

where  $Y_t$  can take the two values  $d, u$  with  $0 < d < 1 < u$ , for any  $t = 1, \dots, T$ , and  $(Y_t)_{t=1,\dots,T}$  are i.i.d. and such that  $Y_{t+1}$  is independent of  $\mathcal{F}_t$ .

- (a) Find the risk neutral probability  $Q$  of such a market, i.e., the probability

$$q = Q(Y_t = u)$$

such that  $\bar{S}$  is a martingale under  $Q$ . Check that  $0 < q < 1$ .

- (b) Implement such a model in Python, similarly to what has been done in the classes of

`binomialmodel.creationandcalibration`.

In particular, do the following:

- Check that  $\bar{S}$  is indeed a martingale under the measure  $Q$  you found.
  - Print the evolution of the probability  $Q(\bar{S}_j > \bar{S}_0) = Q(S_j > S_0)$ . Compare it with the one we had found under the martingale measure for  $S$ . What do you note?
  - Check the implementation using Monte-Carlo, in particular for a large number of times. Compare it to the one we have seen in the lecture when we considered the market with  $S$ .
- (c) Valuate a call option for some general parameters under this new model.

(d) Consider the model above with  $N$  time steps, for  $N$  large enough, and parameters

$$u = e^{\sigma\sqrt{T/N}}, \quad d = 1/u. \quad (1)$$

Find the dynamics

$$dX_t = \mu(t, X_t)dt + \sigma(t, X_t)dW_t, \quad t \geq 0, \quad (2)$$

of a process  $X$  which is approximated by  $\bar{S}$  under this setting.

Is it possible to compute the analytic value of a call option under these dynamics for  $X$ ? If yes, check that the price obtained under  $\bar{S}$  with parameters (1) for large  $N$  approximates this price.

- (e) Simulate the process in (2) and compute the approximate price of the call with the Monte-Carlo method.
- (f) Find the PDE associated to the SDE (2). Solve it numerically, with a scheme at your pleasure, and use this method in order to approximate the price of a call option.
- (g) Compare all the approaches above with respect to accuracy and time needed to compute the price of the call option.

## Problem 2

You might remember the issue we have noted doing our experiments in the module

`binomialmodel.optionvaluation.KnockoutOptionConvergence:`

if we want to value a down-and-out option via a Binomial model, approximating a Black-Scholes model with interest rate  $r \geq 0$  and volatility  $\sigma > 0$  for a large number of time steps, the price oscillates a lot if the barrier is close to the initial value. However, we have seen that actually the price of the option is approximated very well for some specific numbers of time steps  $N$ .

In the repository you can find the paper *A discrete-time algorithm for pricing double barrier options*, from M. Costabile, that investigates exactly this problem. In particular, Section 3 is devoted to the explanation of a method found by P.P. Boyle and S.H. Lau in 1994 (unfortunately their paper is not available for free) which permits to find the values of  $N$  for which the binomial model better approximate the price of the option. The main intuition is that for these values, *the barrier is close to but just above a layer of horizontal nodes in the tree*.

Your goal here is to:

- present, understand and explain the formula by which these numbers are computed, and the motivation for this formula;
- write a function or method that computes these numbers, up to a given  $N_{\max}$ , for given initial value  $S$  of the underlying, (lower) barrier  $L$ , maturity  $T$ , log-volatility  $\sigma$  and interest rate  $r$ ;
- check that the numbers you compute with the method/function above are indeed the ones that minimize the error. For example, you can do that by running again

`binomialmodel.optionvaluation.KnockoutOptionConvergence`

and looking at the values of  $N$  for which the price is close to the analytic one: these should correspond to the ones given by your method.

- Optional: do the same for the extension to double barrier options in Section 5.

## Problem 3

- (a) Enhance and extend the implementation of the model in `climateRiskAnalysis.systemEvolution` and its tests in the following way:
- Add a method which plots the evolution of the average values of all the firms and a method which plots instead the evolution of one realization of all the firms. Call this method in the test module.
  - Allow for the presence of a stochastic process multiplying  $-C_t$  as an effect of a new carbon tax on firms; this can be given in the constructor of the class or constructed directly in the class.
  - The factors of the model for now are the carbon tax, the possible natural disaster, the effect of green investments and carbon emission on reputation, the cost of green investments and the productivity achieved with carbon emissions. Find at least one more factor to add to the model, which can stand for transition or climate risk, or for the beneficial effects of green investments. You can take inspiration by looking online, for example at the paper *Climate-related risk drivers and their transmission channels* from the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision.
  - Stop the processes representing the values of the firms when they reach zero: in this case, they default.
  - Do some analysis of what you observe in the model playing with the parameters: for example, you might try to find some configurations of the parameters of the model under which a firm (the investments and the emissions of the other firms being fixed) has a trade-off for finding the *euristic* optimal level of emissions, that is, the level that maximizes the average future values for that firm.
- (b) Test the implementation of the model in `optimalEmissions.systemEvolution` in the following way:
- Perform a small analysis of how the optimal level of abatement evolves when the disagreement among experts increases, and motivate this behaviour.
  - Look at how the optimal level of abatement changes when there is one expert, or a group of experts, that the decision maker trusts more than the others: does he/she wants to abate more or less with respect to the situation when all experts are treated in the same way?
  - Repeat this analysis when `ambiguityAversionFunction` is linear and when is concave: what do you observe? Why?