#### OPTIMAL CONTROL VIA DYNAMIC PROGRAMMING

### **Viscosity Solutions**

Andrea Scalenghe

Tesi magistrale



### NON DIFFERENTIABILITY

add plot Let us consider the calculus of variation problem:

$$\inf_{x \in Lip([0,1];[-1,1])} \int_t^{t_1} 1 + \frac{1}{4} (\dot{x}(s))^2 \, ds,$$

then the H-J equations are

$$\dot{x}^*(s) = 2p^*(s), \ \dot{p}^*(s) = 0,$$

which define the value function

$$V(t,x) = \begin{cases} 1-t & x \le t \\ 1-t & x \ge t, \end{cases}$$

### VANISHING VISCOSITY

Let

$$\begin{cases} u_t + H(u, Du) = 0, & \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, +\infty) \\ u = g, & \mathbb{R}^n \times \{t = 0\}. \end{cases}$$
 (1)

We perturbate by second order derivative the equation

$$u_t^{\epsilon} + H(u^{\epsilon}, Du^{\epsilon}) - \epsilon \Delta u^{\epsilon} = 0,$$

which happens to have a solution<sup>[1]</sup>. If Ascoli-Arzelà's hypotheses are satisfied<sup>[2]</sup> we take the limit  $u \stackrel{j \to +\infty}{\longleftarrow} u^{\epsilon_j}$  as a candidate solution.

We lack information about its derivatives.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>[1]</sup>Galerkin's Method, Evans section 7.1.2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>[2]</sup>Easiest applications have a uniform Lipschitz bound. Barles-Perthame procedure has a wide range of applications.

### **VANISHING VISCOSITY**

Then we take v smooth and  $(t_0, x_0)$  s.t. u - v has a local maximum and there it nullifies. It implies

$$(u^{\epsilon}-v)(x_{\epsilon_j},t_{\epsilon_j})\geq (u^{\epsilon}-v)(x,t),$$

for (x,t) close to  $(x_0,t_0)$  and  $(x_{\epsilon_j},t_{\epsilon_j}) \xrightarrow{j\to +\infty} (x_0,t_0)^{[3]}$ . Since  $u_{\epsilon_j}-v$  is maximized at  $(x_{\epsilon_i},t_{\epsilon_i})$ 

$$u_t^{\epsilon_j}(x_{\epsilon_j},t_{\epsilon_j}) = v(x_{\epsilon_j},t_{\epsilon_j}), Du^{\epsilon_j}(x_{\epsilon_j},t_{\epsilon_j}) = Dv(x_{\epsilon_j},t_{\epsilon_j}), -\Delta u^{\epsilon_j}(x_{\epsilon_j},t_{\epsilon_j}) \geq -\Delta v(x_{\epsilon_j},t_{\epsilon_j}).$$

Letting  $j \to +\infty$  we get

$$v_t(x_0, t_0) + H(Dv(x_0, t_0), x_0) \le 0$$
 (2)

<sup>[3]</sup>Because of local uniform convergence.

## **VISCOSITY SOLUTION**

#### Definition

A viscosity solution of 1 is a function u bounded and uniformly continuous on  $\mathbb{R}^n \times [0,T]$  for all T>0 such that for all  $v \in C^{+\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n \times (0,+\infty))$ :

$$v_t(x,t) + H(Dv(x,t),x) \leq 0$$

for all  $(x, t) \in \arg \max\{u - v\}$  and:

$$v_t(x,t) + H(Dv(x,t),x) \geq 0$$

for all  $(x,t) \in \arg\min\{u-v\}$ . Furthermore,  $u \equiv g$  for t=0.

### ABSTRACT DYNAMIC PROGRAMMING

Let  $\Sigma$  be a closed subset of a Banach space and  $\mathcal C$  a collection of functions on  $\Sigma$ , closed under addition,  $\mathcal T_{tt}\phi=\phi$  and

$$\mathcal{T}_{tr}\phi \leq \mathcal{T}_{ts}\psi \text{ if } \phi \leq \mathcal{T}_{rs}\psi$$

$$\mathcal{T}_{tr}\phi \geq \mathcal{T}_{ts}\psi \text{ if } \phi \geq \mathcal{T}_{rs}\psi.$$
(3)

Provided that  $\mathcal{T}_{rt}:\mathcal{C}\to\mathcal{C}$  implies the semigroup property and 3 is equivalent to monotonicity.

The semigroup property will mimic the dynamic programming principle.

### ABSTRACT DYNAMIC PROGRAMMING

Let  $\Sigma = \overline{O} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{M}(\Sigma)$ , and

$$\mathcal{T}_{t,r;u}\psi(x) = \int_t^{\tau \wedge r} L(s,x(s),u(s)), ds + g(\tau,x(\tau))\chi_{\tau < r} + \psi(x(r))\chi_{\tau \geq r},$$

and  $\mathcal{T}_{tr}\psi=\inf_{u\in\mathcal{U}(t,x)}\mathcal{T}_{t,r;u}\psi$ . Under the usual assumption on the running and terminal costs  $\mathcal{T}_{tr}\psi\in\mathcal{C}$ , then the programming principle reads

$$\mathcal{T}_{tt_1}\psi(x)=\mathcal{T}_{tr}\left(\mathcal{T}_{rt_1}\psi\right)(x).$$

### **ABSTRACT DYNAMIC PROGRAMMING**

Let us define  $V(t,x)=(\mathcal{T}_{tt_1}\psi)(x)$ . Then

$$-\frac{1}{h}\left[\mathcal{T}_{tt+h}V(t+h,\cdot)(x)-V(t,x)\right]=0.$$

We ask for  $\{\mathcal{G}_t\}_{t\in[t_0,t_1]}$  functions on  $\Sigma$  such that:

$$\lim_{h\to 0}\frac{1}{h}\left[\mathcal{T}_{tt+h}V(t+h,\cdot)(x)-V(t,x)\right]=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}w(t,x)-(\mathcal{G}_tw(t,\cdot))(x), \quad (4)$$

for all  $w \in \mathcal{D}^{[4]}$ . Then the dynamic programming equation reads

$$-\frac{\partial}{\partial t}V(t,x)+(\mathcal{G}_tV(t,\cdot))(x)=0,\ (t,x)\in Q. \tag{5}$$

<sup>[4]</sup>Continuity assumptions are made on  $\mathcal{D}$ .

## **VISCOSITY SOLUTIONS**

#### Definition

Let  $W \in C([t_0, t_1] \times \Sigma)$ . W is a viscosity subsolution of 5 in Q if for every  $w \in \mathcal{D}$ :

$$-\frac{\partial}{\partial t}w\left(\bar{t},\bar{x}\right)+\left(\mathcal{G}_{\bar{t}}w\left(\bar{t},\cdot\right)\right)\left(\bar{x}\right)\leq0,\tag{6}$$

at every  $(\bar{t}, \bar{x}) \in \arg\max_{(t, x) \in Q} \{(W - w)(t, x)\}$ , and  $W(\bar{t}, \bar{x}) = w(\bar{t}, \bar{x})$ . W is a viscosity supersolution of 5 in Q if for every  $w \in \mathcal{D}$ :

$$-\frac{\partial}{\partial t}w\left(\overline{t},\overline{x}\right)+\left(\mathcal{G}_{\overline{t}}w\left(\overline{t},\cdot\right)\right)\left(\overline{x}\right)\geq0,\tag{7}$$

 $\text{at every } (\bar{t}, \overline{x}) \in \arg\min_{(t, x) \in \mathcal{Q}} \{ (W - w)(t, x) \} \text{, and } W \left(\bar{t}, \overline{x}\right) = w \left(\bar{t}, \overline{x}\right).$ 

W is a viscosity solution if it is a subsolution and a supersolution.

### STRANDARD APPROACH

Historically the notion of viscosity solution was introduced for partial differential equations, that is when  $\mathcal{G}_t$  is a partial differential operator.

The definition of viscosity solution for

$$-\frac{\partial}{\partial t}W(t,x)+F(t,x,D_xW(t,x),D_x^2W(t,x),W(t,x))=0,$$
 (8)

is the same we gave with  $\mathcal{G}_t$ , a part from the space of test functions:

$$w \in C^{\infty}(Q)$$
.

#### Theorem

Let all the previous assumptions and  $W \in C_p(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \cap \mathcal{M}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$  and  $\mathcal{D} \subset C^{1,2}(\mathbb{Q})$ . Then the solution concepts coincide.

### VALUE FUNCTION AS VISCOSITY SOLUTION

We now prove that it is a viscosity solution of the dynamic programming equation under two sets of assumptions.

#### Theorem

Let U be a bounded space of control and  $f \in C(\overline{Q} \times U)$  such that  $f(t,x,v) \leq K(1+|x|)$ . The for every  $w \in C^1(Q) \cap \mathcal{M}(\overline{Q})$ 

$$\lim_{h\to 0} \frac{1}{h} \left[ (\mathcal{T}_{tt+h} w(t+h,\cdot))(x) - w(t,x) \right] = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} w(t,x) - H(t,x,D_x w(t,x)),$$
(9)

for all  $(t,x) \in \overline{Q}$ .

# PROOF IDEA

## VALUE FUNCTION AS VISCOSITY SOLUTION

The previous result holds under quite stringent hypotheses. We can relax those assumptions by asking for the existence of an optimal control.

#### Theorem

If for each  $(t,x) \in Q$  there exists a  $u^* \in \mathcal{U}(t,x)$  be an optimal control, then a continuous value function is a viscosity solution of its dynamic programming equation.

## **UNIQUENESS OF SOLUTION**

Let us consider

$$-\frac{\partial}{\partial t}V(t,x) + H(t,x,D_xV(t,x)) = 0, (t,x) \in Q.^{[5]}$$
 (10)

#### Theorem

Let W and V viscosity subsolution and supersolution of 10 in Q, respectively. If Q is unbounded we assume W, V to be bounded and uniformly continuous on its closure. Then

$$\sup_{\overline{Q}}[W-V] = \sup_{\partial^*Q}[W-V].$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>[5]</sup>H(t,x,p)-H(s,y,p') $\leq h(t-s+x-y)+h(t-s)p+Kx-yp+Kp-p', |H_p| \leq K, |H_t|+|H_x| \leq K'(1+|p|).$ 

# PROOF IDEA

#### **CONTINUITY OF SOLUTION**

We recall that

$$|f(t, x, v) - f(t, y, v)| \le K_{\rho}|x - y|, \ \forall \ |v| \le \rho.$$
 (11)

#### Theorem

Let a bounded control space U,  $Q = [t_0, t_1) \times \mathbb{R}^n$ . Assume that  $f, L, \psi$  are bounded, f satisfies 11 and  $L, \psi$  uniformly continuous. Then the value function V is bounded and uniformly continuous.

#### Corollary

Under the previous assumptions, the value function is the unique viscosity solution of the dynamic programming equation with fixed terminal conditions

## PONTRYAGIN'S PRINCIPLE

#### Definition

Let  $W \in C(\overline{Q})$  and  $(t,x) \in Q$ . The set of *superdifferentials*  $D^+W(t,x)$  of W at (t,x) is the collection of all  $(q,p) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$  such that there exists some  $w \in C^1(Q)$  for which:

$$(q,p) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}w(t,x), D_xw(t,x)\right), \tag{12}$$

and  $(t,x) \in \arg\max\left\{(W-W)(s,y)\,|\, (s,y) \in \overline{Q}\right\}$ .

The set of subdifferentials  $D^-W(t,x)$  of W at (t,x) as the collection of all  $(q,p) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$  such that there exists some  $w \in C^1(Q)$  for which:

$$(q,p) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}w(t,x), D_xw(t,x)\right), \tag{13}$$

and  $(t,x) \in \operatorname{arg\,min} \{(W-W)(s,y) \mid (s,y) \in \overline{Q}\}$ .

## PONTRYAGIN'S PRINCIPLE

We recall the definition of the adjoint variable for a state variable x defined by the flow f, a control u, a terminal condition  $\psi$ , a Lagrangian L and a Hamiltonian H:

$$\dot{p}_j^*(s) = -\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} f_i(s, x^*(s), u^*(s)) p_i(s) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} L(s, x^*, u^*), \quad (14)$$

And also:

$$p(s) \cdot f(s, x^*(s), u^*(s)) + L(s, x^*(s), u^*(s)) = -H(s, x^*(s), u^*(s), p^*(s)),$$
(15)

With:

$$p^*(t_1) = D\psi(x^*(t_1)). \tag{16}$$

## PONTRYAGIN'S PRINCIPLE

#### Theorem

Let  $u^*(\cdot)$  be an optimal control at (t,x) which is right continuous at each  $[t,t_1)$ , and  $p^*(s)$  defined by 14, 15 and ??. Then for each  $s \in [t,t_1)$ 

$$\left(H(s, x^*(s), p^*(s)), p^*(s)\right) \in D^+V(s, x^*(s)).$$
 (17)

# PROOF IDEA