

Proof of Lemma 1

$P(\Gamma, e, \tau) = \text{If } \Gamma \vdash e : \tau_1 \text{ and } \Gamma \vdash e : \tau_2$
then $\tau_1 = \tau_2$.

- Case (plus): Then $e = \text{plus}(e_1, e_2)$ and
 $\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \text{num}$ and $\Gamma \vdash e_2 : \text{num}$
and $\tau_1 : \text{num}$

Since $\Gamma \vdash \text{plus}(e_1, e_2) : \tau_2$ by inversion
 $\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \text{num}$ $\Gamma \vdash e_2 : \text{num}$, and $\tau_2 : \text{num}$
Thus $\tau_1 = \tau_2 = \text{num}$ ✓

- case (var): Then $e = x$ and $\Gamma = \Gamma', x : \tau_1$

Since $\Gamma \vdash x : \tau_2$ by inversion $\Gamma = \Gamma', x : \tau_2$
But then $\Gamma', x : \tau_1 = \Gamma', x : \tau_2$ and $\tau_1 = \tau_2$ ✓

Other cases are similar.

Lemma 2 (Substitution) If $\Gamma, x : \tau \vdash e' : \tau'$
and $\Gamma \vdash e : \tau$ then $\Gamma \vdash [e/x]e' : \tau'$

EX: $x : \text{num} \vdash \underbrace{x \leq 5}_{e'} : \text{bool}$, $\vdash \underbrace{6}_{e} : \text{num}$
 $[e/x]e' = 6 \leq 5 : \text{bool}$

Proof: (by rule induction)

Lemma 3 (weakening) If $\Gamma \vdash e : \tau$ and $x \notin \Gamma$
then $\Gamma, x : \tau' \vdash e : \tau$

(The idea is that $x : \tau'$ must be irrelevant to $e : \tau$. Otherwise it would appear in Γ in the judgment $\Gamma \vdash e : \tau$.)

Dynamic Semantics

(What does it mean to run or evaluate a prog.?)

Different Kinds

- operational semantics: How to run a prog
- axiomatic semantics: What can you prove about a program?
- denotational semantics: Describe programs as mathematical functions.

Operational Semantics (our focus)

Today: structural (or small step) operational sym.

Structural Dynamics

transition system (low level, very flexible)

4 judgments: s state s initial
 s final $s \mapsto s'$
(s can step to s')

Iterated transition: $\xrightarrow{\quad}$ (single step) $\xrightarrow{*}$ (many steps)

$$\frac{s \xrightarrow{\quad} s' \quad s' \xrightarrow{*} s''}{s \xrightarrow{*} s''}$$

- States are expressions (well-typed & closed)
 - all states are initial
 - values are final
- ↑
(no free variables)

Values: $e \text{ val}$

$\text{num}[n] : \text{val}$

$\text{bool}[b] : \text{val}$

(Digression) —

Def: e is closed & well-typed if

- $\vdash e : \tau$ for some type τ .

(For this judgment to be valid in an empty context, e cannot have free variables (i.e. e is closed).)

Transitions

$$\frac{n = n_1 + n_2}{\text{plus}(\text{num}[n_1], \text{num}[n_2]) \xrightarrow{\quad} \text{num}[n]}$$

$$\frac{e_1 \xrightarrow{\quad} e_1'}{\text{plus}(e_1, e_2) \xrightarrow{\quad} \text{plus}(e_1', e_2)}$$

$$\frac{e_2 \xrightarrow{\quad} e_2'}{\text{plus}(\text{num}[n], e_2) \xrightarrow{\quad} \text{plus}(\text{num}[n], e_2')}$$

Transition for Let

$$(a) \frac{e_1 \mapsto e_1'}{\text{let } (e_1, x.e_2) \mapsto \text{let } (e_1', x.e_2)}$$

$$(b) \frac{e_1 \text{ val}}{\text{let } (e_1, x.e_2) \mapsto [e_1/x]e_2} \quad (\text{call-by-value})$$

OR, instead of (a) & (b), we could have the rule (c):

$$(c) \frac{}{\text{let } (e_1, x.e_2) \mapsto [e_1/x]e_2} \quad (\text{call-by-name})$$

Transition for If/then/else

$$\frac{e \mapsto e'}{\text{if } (e, e_1, e_2) \mapsto \text{if } (e', e_1, e_2)}$$

$$\frac{}{\text{if } (\text{bool}[\text{true}], e_1, e_2) \mapsto e_1} \quad \frac{}{\text{if } (\text{bool}[\text{false}], e_1, e_2) \mapsto e_2}$$

(Similar transition rules for leg.)

Example

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{let } x=8+2 \text{ in } (x+x)+2 && (\text{call-by-val}) \\ &\mapsto \text{let } x=10 \text{ in } (x+x)+2 \\ &\mapsto (10+10)+2 \mapsto 20+2 \mapsto 22. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{let } x=8+2 \text{ in } (x+x)+2 && (\text{call-by-name}) \\ &\mapsto ((8+2)+(8+2))+2 \\ &\mapsto (10+10)+2 \mapsto 20+2 \mapsto 22 \end{aligned}$$

Lemma: There is no expr e such that
 $e \text{ val}$ and $e \mapsto e'$ for some e' .

Lemma: If $e \mapsto e_1$ and $e \mapsto e_2$ then $e_1 =_\alpha e_2$.

Type Safety

• You don't get stuck in the dynamics

Theorem

1. (progress) If $\bullet \vdash e : \tau$ then either $e \text{ val}$
or $\exists e'. e \mapsto e'$.

2. (preservation)

If $\bullet \vdash e : \tau$ and $e \mapsto e'$, then $\bullet \vdash e' : \tau$

Proof: (progress) Rule induction on $e : \tau$.

Rule (Plus): Then $e = \text{plus}(e_1, e_2)$ $\tau = \text{num}$,
 $e_1 : \text{num}$ $e_2 : \text{num}$.

IH: either $e_1 \text{ val}$ or $\exists e'_1. e_1 \mapsto e'_1$
either $e_2 \text{ val}$ or $\exists e'_2. e_2 \mapsto e'_2$

• Case ($e_1 \text{ val}, e_2 \text{ val}$) Then by canonical forms lemma (which we didn't cover),
 $e_1 = \text{num}[n_1]$ $e_2 = \text{num}[n_2]$ for some n_1, n_2
But then $e \mapsto \text{num}[n_1 + n_2]$.

◦ Case $(e, \text{val}, e_2 \mapsto e_2')$ Then by canonical forms lemma $e_1 = \text{num}[n_1]$ and $e_1 \mapsto \text{plus}(\text{num}[n_1], e_2')$

◦ Case $(e, \mapsto e_1')$ Then $e \mapsto \text{plus}(e_1', e_2)$

We have proved progress for Plus.

We would also have to do the same for each of the other rules.

Homework

1. Add Mult operation

2. Do other cases of progress

3. Do preservation proof.