

## The $\lambda$ -calculus (Church-1930's)

- Syntax of expressions of the  $\lambda$ -calculus

$$e ::= x \mid e_1 e_2 \mid \lambda x. e$$

Or we could specify the syntax using trees:

$$e ::= x \mid \text{app}(e_1, e_2) \mid \text{lam}(x. e)$$

- Semantics ( $\lambda$ -calculus "laws")

$$(\alpha) \quad \lambda x. e =_\alpha \lambda y. ([y/x]e) \quad (y \notin FV(e))$$

$$(\beta) \quad (\lambda x. e) e' =_\beta [e'/x]e$$

$$(\eta) \quad \lambda x. (ex) =_\eta e \quad (x \notin FV(e))$$

- Dynamic Semantics of the  $\lambda$ -calculus

$$(\lambda x. e) e' \mapsto e[e'/x]$$

(call  
by  
name)

what about expressions like  $((\lambda x. \lambda y. x) 1) 2$ ?

$$\frac{e_1 \mapsto e_1'}{e_1 e_2 \mapsto e_1' e_2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{so } (\lambda x. \lambda y. x) 1 \mapsto \lambda y. 1 \\ & ((\lambda x. \lambda y. x) 1) 2 \mapsto (\lambda y. 1) 2 \end{aligned}$$

## Evaluation Contexts

$$E \in \text{EvalCtx} ::= \square \mid Ee$$

$$\frac{e \mapsto e'}{E[e] \mapsto E[e']}$$

$$\square[e] = e$$

$$(Ee')[e] = (E[e])e'$$

### Call-by-Value

$$(\lambda x.e)v \mapsto e[v/x] \quad \text{where } v \text{ is a value}$$

Q: what is a value? A: Everything that's not an application.

$$V \in \text{Value} ::= x \mid \lambda x.e \quad (\text{But sometimes it's defined by } \text{Value} ::= \lambda x.e)$$

How do we find all the "redexes" (reducible components)?

$$E \in \text{EvalCtx} ::= \square \mid Ec \mid VE$$

Inference rule:

$$\frac{e \mapsto e'}{E[e] \mapsto E[e']}$$

(If the 1<sup>st</sup> component is already a value  $V$ , work on the 2<sup>nd</sup> component.)

## Reduction Rules

$$\overline{x \text{ val}}$$

$$\overline{\lambda x.e \text{ val}}$$

$$\overline{e' \text{ val}}$$

$$(\lambda x.e)e' \mapsto e[e'/x]$$

$$\frac{e_1 \mapsto e_1'}{e_1.e_2 \mapsto e_1'.e_2}$$

$$\frac{e_1 \text{ val} \quad e_2 \mapsto e_2'}{e_1.e_2 \mapsto e_1.e_2'}$$

These rules define a call-by-name semantics that's equivalent to that given by ~~it~~ above.

If/then/else (How to encode ite, tt, ff in  $\lambda$ -cal)

if  $e$  then  $e_1$  else  $e_2 = (e e_1) e_2$

True :=  $\lambda x \lambda y. x$

False :=  $\lambda x \lambda y. y$

(The "main" op  
on Booleans  
is if/then/else.)

Homework Encode not in  $\lambda$ -calc.

EX Encoding Sets

Define  $e \in e'$  by  $e' e$

$e_1 \cup e_2 := \lambda x. \text{or}(e_1, x)(e_2 x)$

$e_1 \cap e_2 := \lambda x. \text{and}(e_1, x)(e_2 x)$

## Russell's Paradox

$$\text{let } R = \{ e : \text{set} \mid e \notin e \}$$

Then  $R \in R$  has no ans T/F.

$$\text{In } \lambda\text{-calc, } R = \lambda x. \text{not}(xx)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } RR &\mapsto (\text{not}(xx)) [R/x] = \text{not } RR \\ &\mapsto \text{not not } RR \\ &\mapsto \dots \mapsto \text{not } \dots \text{not } RR \\ &\mapsto \dots \end{aligned}$$

So  $\lambda$  and app adds a new "feature" to our language that we didn't intend.  
i.e. looping forever.

$$\text{let } \Omega = (\lambda x. xx)(\lambda x. xx)$$

$$\text{Then } \Omega \mapsto \Omega.$$

So what if, instead of negation of self-app, like we had in Russell's, we introduce

$$Yf = (\lambda x. f(xx)) (\lambda x. f(xx))$$

$$Yf \mapsto f(Yf).$$

This is called the Y-combinator.

The Y-combinator lets us introduce recursive functions into our language.

A recursive function is a fixed point.

EX: (times)

$$\text{times} = \lambda x \lambda y. \text{ if } x=0 \text{ then } 0 \\ \text{ else } y + (\text{times } (x-1) y)$$

It would seem we can't do this directly in  $\lambda$ -calc because times calls itself.

However, we can do

$$\text{timesish} := \lambda \text{next}. \lambda x. \lambda y. \text{ if } x=0 \text{ then } 0 \\ \text{ else } y + (\text{next } x-1 y)$$

idea: the 1<sup>st</sup> argument "next" says how to take a step.

Define:

$$\text{times} = Y \text{ timesish}$$

... then times is a fixed point of timesish; i.e.

$$\text{timesish } (Y \text{ timesish}) = Y \text{ timesish}$$

## Simply Typed Lambda Calculus

$$\tau \in \text{Type} ::= \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \mid \alpha$$

$$\frac{}{\Gamma, x:\tau \vdash x:\tau}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e:\tau' \rightarrow \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash e':\tau'}{\Gamma \vdash ee':\tau}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x:\tau \vdash e:\tau'}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x. e:\tau \rightarrow \tau'}$$

## Theorem (termination)

If  $\Gamma \vdash e:\tau$  then there is an  $e'$  such that  $e \rightarrow^* e' \nrightarrow$