

PCF & COST SEMANTICS

PCF (Plotkin) small language w/ general recursion

Fixed Points

Def: Let $F: A \rightarrow A$ be a fn and $F(f) = f$.
Then we call f a fixed point of F .

Example (Factorial)

1. operational view

$$f(n) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n=0 \\ n \cdot f(n-1), & \text{if } n>0 \end{cases}$$

2. equational view

$$f = \lambda n. \text{if } (n=0) \ 1, \text{ else } n \cdot f(n-1)$$

Find a solution f to this equation.

($\lambda n. n!$ is a solution).

3. fixed point view

$$F(f) = \lambda n. \text{if } (n=0) \ 1, \text{ else } n \cdot f(n-1)$$

Find a fixed point of F .

($\lambda n. n!$ is the unique fixed point of F)

\Rightarrow PCF features an operation
"fixed point of F "

Types & Expressions (of PCF)

$\text{Typ } \tau ::= \text{nat} \quad \text{nat}$
 $\text{par } (\tau_1, \tau_2) \quad \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2$

$\text{Exp } e ::= x \quad \underline{\text{abstract}} \quad \underline{\text{concrete}}$
 z
 $S(e)$
 $\text{if } z(e; e_0; x.e_1) \quad \text{if } z e \{ z \hookrightarrow e_0$
 $\text{lam } [\tau](x.e) \quad S(x) \hookrightarrow e_1 \}$
 $\text{app } (e_1; e_2)$
 $\text{fix } \{\tau\}(x.e) \quad \text{fix } x:\tau \text{ is } e$

Example $\text{fac} \triangleq \text{fix } f:\text{nat} \rightarrow \text{nat} \text{ is}$
 $\lambda(x:\text{nat}) \text{ if } z x \{ z \hookrightarrow S(z)$
 $S(y) \hookrightarrow x \cdot \text{fix } (y) \}$

Statics

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e:\text{nat} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_0:\tau \quad \Gamma, x:\text{nat} \vdash e_1:\tau}{\Gamma \vdash \text{if } z(e; e_0, x.e_1):\tau}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x:\tau \vdash e:\tau}{\Gamma \vdash \text{fix } \{\tau\}(x.e):\tau}$$

Dynamics

$$\frac{e \mapsto e'}{\text{if } z(e; e_0, x.e_1) \mapsto \text{if } z(e'; e_0, x.e_1)}$$

$$\text{fix } \{\tau\}(x.e) \mapsto \left[\frac{\text{fix } \{\tau\}(x.e)}{x} \right] e$$

Theorem: 1) Progress: If $e:\tau$ then
 either $e \text{ val}$ or $e \mapsto e'$.
 2) Preservation: If $e:\tau$ and
 $e \mapsto e'$ then $e':\tau$.

Evaluation Dynamics (aka Big Step operational Semantics)

(another way of defining the dynamics)

$$\frac{}{z \text{ val}}$$

$$\frac{v \text{ val}}{S(v) \text{ val}}$$

(some things will be easier to prove with 'structural dynamics' eg. type safety
others may be easier with Big Step.)

$$\frac{}{\lambda(x:\tau).e \text{ val}}$$

Judgment: $e \Downarrow v$ "expr e evaluates to v "
(there are no intermed. steps)

Rules

$$\frac{}{z \Downarrow z} \quad \frac{e \Downarrow v}{S(e) \Downarrow S(v)}$$

$$\frac{}{\lambda(x:\tau).e \Downarrow \lambda(x:\tau).e} \quad \frac{e \Downarrow z \quad e_0 \Downarrow v_0}{\text{if } z(e; e_0; x.e_1) \Downarrow v_0}$$

$$\frac{e \Downarrow S(v) \quad [\forall/x]e_1 \Downarrow v_1}{\text{if } z(e; e_0; x.e_1) \Downarrow v_1}$$

$$\frac{[\text{fix } \tau\{x.e\}/x]e \Downarrow v}{\text{fix } \tau\{x.e\} \Downarrow v}$$

$$\frac{e_1 \Downarrow \lambda(x:\tau).e \quad e_2 \Downarrow v_2 \quad [\forall_2/x]e \Downarrow v}{e_1(e_2) \Downarrow v}$$

Example

$\text{fix } \{ \text{nat} \} (\omega \omega) \Downarrow ?$ This is why Eval. Dynamics is not
 $S(\lambda(x:\text{nat})x) \Downarrow ?$ good for proving type safety.

Theorem: $e \Downarrow v$ iff $e \mapsto^* v$ and $v \text{ val}$.

Cost Dynamics

$e \Downarrow^n v$ expr e evaluates to
val v with cost n .

Want: \rightarrow

Theorem $e \Downarrow^n v$ iff $e \mapsto^n v$ and v val.

(Recall: $\frac{}{e \mapsto e}$ $\frac{e \mapsto e' \quad e' \mapsto^n e''}{e \mapsto^{n+1} e''}$)

Rules (revisited w/ cost)

$\frac{}{z \Downarrow^0 z}$ $\frac{e \Downarrow^n v}{s(e) \Downarrow^n s(v)}$ $\left. \begin{array}{l} \end{array} \right\} \text{is this good?}$

$\frac{}{\lambda(x:\tau)e \Downarrow^0 \lambda(x:\tau)e}$

$\frac{e \Downarrow^{n_1} z \quad e_0 \Downarrow^{n_2} v_0}{\text{fix}(e; e_0, x.e) \Downarrow^n v_0}$
($n = n_1 + n_2$)

$\frac{e \Downarrow^{n_1} s(v) \quad [v/x]e_1 \Downarrow^{n_2} v_1}{\text{fix}(e; e_0, x.e) \Downarrow^n v_1 \quad (n = n_1 + n_2 + 1)}$

$\frac{[\text{fix } \{\tau\}(x.e)/x]e \Downarrow^n v}{\text{fix } \{\tau\}(x.e) \Downarrow^{n+1} v}$

$\frac{e_1 \Downarrow^{n_1} \lambda(x:\tau)e \quad e_2 \Downarrow^{n_2} v_2 \quad [v_2/x]e \Downarrow^{n_3} v}{e_1(e_2) \Downarrow^n v}$