

CH table

Programming	Logic
programs	proofs
types	propositions
functions	implications
product type	conjunctions
sum types	disjunction
simply typed λ C	intuitionistic logic
STLC	(GND)
polymorphism	forall, 2nd order logic
control-flow	classical
combinator calculus	Hilbert \leftarrow More axioms, less inference rules
dependent types	FOC

LL

$\tau_1 \cdot \tau_2$	$\tau_1 \otimes \tau_2$	$\tau_1 \oplus \tau_2$	$\tau_1 \otimes \tau_2$	Top	one	1	Zero	0
with multiplication <u>and</u>		plus	par	T				
	addition and/or		multiplication or					

program error

simplified
λC when
you erase
the program

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Axiom, id} \\ \frac{}{\Gamma, \tau \vdash \tau} \\ \frac{\Gamma \vdash \tau \rightarrow \tau' \quad \Gamma \vdash \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \tau'} \quad \text{app (elim impl)} \rightarrow E \\ \frac{\Gamma, \tau \vdash \tau'}{\Gamma \vdash \tau \rightarrow \tau'} \quad \text{(intro impl)} \rightarrow I \end{array}$$

Linear Logic

LL is a resource-sensitive logic

$\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n \vdash \tau'_1, \tau'_2, \dots, \tau'_n$
if all τ_1, \dots, τ_n are true then one τ'_1, \dots, τ'_n is true
at least

Δ is a list in which comma
signs separation

Γ is a list in which comma
signs conjunction

R "is" and on the left of " \vdash " and or on the right

Admissible structural rules

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \tau'}{\Gamma, \tau \vdash \tau'} \text{weakening left} \quad \frac{\Gamma, \tau, \tau' \vdash \tau'}{\Gamma, \tau \vdash \tau'} \text{contraction left}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash \tau, \Delta} \text{weakening right} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \tau, \tau, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash \tau, \Delta} \text{contraction right}$$

Gentzen Sequent Calculus

Linear Logic starts by rejecting the

$$\frac{\Gamma, \tau_1 \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma, \tau_1, \tau_2 \vdash \Delta} \text{ } \delta L_1$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \tau_2 \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma, \tau_1, \tau_2 \vdash \Delta} \text{ } \delta L_2$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \tau_1 \vdash \Delta \quad \Gamma, \tau_2 \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash \tau_1, \tau_2, \Delta} \text{ } \delta R$$

$$\frac{}{\tau \vdash \tau} \text{ } Ax$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \tau_1, \tau_2 \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma, \tau_1 \otimes \tau_2 \vdash \Delta} \text{ } \otimes L$$

$$\frac{\Gamma_1 \vdash \tau_1, \Delta \quad \Gamma_2 \vdash \tau_2, \Delta_2}{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \vdash \tau_1 \otimes \tau_2, \Delta_1, \Delta_2} \text{ } \otimes R$$

contraction for \otimes

weakening
means
more
explore

δ is "with"
 \otimes is "this"

the is "I will make
both"

with δ "I could
make either
but I will
only make
one"

Intro II
Downen
p22

Linear Logic R rules make, L rules use

$\frac{}{z \rightarrow z}$ mix

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash z, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash z \oplus z_2, \Delta} \oplus R_1$$

$$\frac{\Gamma_1, z_1 \vdash \Delta \quad \Gamma_2, z_2 \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma_1, z_1 \oplus z_2 \vdash \Delta} \oplus L$$

cut connects make and use, connect!

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash z, \Delta \quad \Gamma', z \vdash \Delta'}{\Gamma, \Gamma' \vdash \Delta, \Delta'} \text{cut}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash z, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash z \oplus z_2, \Delta} \oplus R_2$$

\underline{R} = an elin is a combination of elin & phs

\underline{R} disjunction after has a coming of resources, or it doesn't

$$\frac{\Gamma_1, z_1 \vdash \Delta \quad \Gamma_2, z_2 \vdash \Delta_2}{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, z_1, z_2 \vdash \Delta_1, \Delta_2} \wp L$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash z_1, z_2}{\Gamma \vdash z, \wp z_2, \Delta} \wp R$$

binaries : $\oplus, \otimes, \wp, \wp$

unaries : Top, 1, Bottom, 0

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash T, \Delta} TR$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma, 1 \vdash \Delta} 1L$$

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \perp} \perp L$$

$$\frac{}{\Gamma, 0 \vdash \Delta} 0L$$

empty TL

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash 1} 1R$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash \perp, \Delta} \perp R$$

empty $2R$

Mix

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \Delta \quad \Gamma' \vdash \Delta'}{\Gamma, \Gamma' \vdash \Delta, \Delta'} \text{Mix}$$

Intro to Down

some super tree

$$\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \text{beef, bun} \vdash \text{hamburger} \\ \text{beef, bun, potato, oil} \vdash \text{burger} \otimes \text{fries} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{beef, oil} \vdash \text{cfs} \\ \text{beef, oil, potato, bun} \vdash \text{cfs} \otimes \text{potato sandwich} \end{array}$$

$$2 \ll \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{is not if because you can't squander resources}$$

'sop of the day' a name

$$\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \text{tomato, cream} \vdash \text{tom soup} \\ \text{tomato, cream} \vdash \text{tomato} \otimes \text{ch Noodle Soup} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \text{chicken, noodle} \vdash \text{ch. noodle Soup} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \text{strawberries, banana} \vdash \text{fruit salad} \\ \text{strawb, banana} \vdash \text{fruit salad} \otimes \text{smoothie} \end{array}$$

names:

$$\text{Mach} = \text{burger} \otimes \text{fries}$$

$$\text{sop} = \text{tom soup} \otimes \text{chx Noodle}$$

$$\text{dessert} = \text{fruit salad} \otimes \text{smoothie}$$

$$\text{lunch} = \text{mach} \otimes \text{sop} \otimes \text{dessert}$$

$$\text{ingred} = \text{beef, bun, potato, oil, tomato, cream, strawberry}$$

$$\text{ingred}' = \text{chicken, noodle, } \dots$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \text{ingred} \vdash \text{lunch} \end{array} \otimes R^2 \quad \begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \text{ingred}' \vdash \text{lunch} \end{array} \otimes R^2$$

are two perfectly good restaurant programs depending on what ingredients happen to be available.

independent processes in parallel.

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{ingred} \vdash \text{lunch, lunch} \\ \text{ingred, ingred} \vdash \text{lunch, lunch} \\ \text{ingred, ingred} \vdash \text{lunch} \otimes \text{lunch} \end{array}$$

Scope is resources

$$(\neg \mathcal{Z}_1 \mathcal{Z}_2)^\perp$$

negation is defined individually on rules

$$= \mathcal{Z}_1^\perp \oplus \mathcal{Z}_2^\perp$$

demonstrated but switches between additive and multiplicative

$$(\mathcal{Z}_1 \oplus \mathcal{Z}_2)^\perp$$

$$(\mathcal{Z}_1 \otimes \mathcal{Z}_2)^\perp = \mathcal{Z}_1^\perp \wp \mathcal{Z}_2^\perp$$

$$= \mathcal{Z}_1^\perp \wp \mathcal{Z}_2^\perp$$

$$(\mathcal{Z}_1 \wp \mathcal{Z}_2)^\perp = \mathcal{Z}_1^\perp \otimes \mathcal{Z}_2^\perp$$

$$\top^\perp = 0$$

$$\perp^\perp = 1$$

$$0^\perp = \top$$

$$1^\perp = \perp$$

! \mathcal{Z} "of course" means copyable!
nonlinear

$$\overline{\top} \quad \mathcal{Z} = (\mathcal{Z}^\perp)^\perp$$

pf by induction

I duality

if $\Gamma \vdash \Delta$ is derivable

then $\Delta^\perp \vdash \Gamma^\perp$ is too

$$\frac{\Gamma, \mathcal{Z} \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash \mathcal{Z}^\perp, \Delta} \text{invL}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathcal{Z}, \Delta}{\Gamma, \mathcal{Z}^\perp \vdash \Delta} \text{invR}$$

$$\frac{}{\vdash \mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{Z}^\perp} \text{id}$$

$$\frac{\vdash \mathcal{Z}, \Delta \quad \vdash \mathcal{Z}^\perp, \Delta'}{\vdash \Delta, \Delta'} \text{cut}$$

Clear Implication

$$\frac{\frac{}{\mathcal{Z} \vdash \mathcal{Z}} \text{id}}{\vdash \mathcal{Z} \multimap \mathcal{Z}} \multimap R$$

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{}{\mathcal{Z} \vdash \mathcal{Z}} \text{id}}{\mathcal{Z} \vdash \mathcal{Z}^\perp} \text{Inv}}{\mathcal{Z} \wp \mathcal{Z}^\perp} \wp R$$

$$\mathcal{Z} \multimap \mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}^\perp \wp \mathcal{Z}$$

Linear Logic

Linear Lambda Calculus (λ + resource management)

$\lambda x:\tau. \langle x, x \rangle ; \tau \rightarrow \tau \otimes \tau$ has to be ruled out because it copies,
 so we rule out par &
 "of course" we also rule out \perp because its arbitrary.
 return types must be like "exactly one"

$$\tau ::= \tau_1 \mid \tau_1 \otimes \tau_2 \mid \tau_1 \oplus \tau_2 \mid \tau_1 \boxtimes \tau_2$$

\vdash
 exactly one thing.
 therefore, no par and no \perp

typing judgment
 $\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e : \tau$
 nonlinear linear

convention Δ is unordered list of linear resource scopes
 Γ is list of nonlinear resource scopes
 just FVs, no restrictions
 assign the correct resource (type) to the correct expression

$$\frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e : \tau \quad \Gamma; \Delta', x:\tau \vdash e' : \tau'}{\Gamma; \Delta, \Delta' \vdash \text{let}(e'; x.e) : \tau} \text{ cut}$$

$$\frac{}{\Gamma; x:\tau \vdash x : \tau} \text{Id}^{\text{lin}}$$

$$\frac{}{\Gamma; x:\tau \vdash \bullet : \tau} \text{Id}^{\text{Nlin}}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e : \tau}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash \text{!}e : \tau \otimes \tau} \text{!} \otimes_L$$

$$\frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e : \tau_1 \oplus \tau_2 \quad \Gamma; \Delta', x:\tau_1 \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \quad \Gamma; \Delta', x:\tau_2 \vdash e_2 : \tau_2}{\Gamma; \Delta, \Delta' \vdash \text{case } e \text{ of } \begin{matrix} l.x \Rightarrow e_1 \\ r.x \Rightarrow e_2 \end{matrix} : \tau} \text{case}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e : \tau}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash \text{!}e : \tau \otimes \tau} \text{!} \otimes_R$$

\otimes tensor product
 Δ_1 and Δ_2 are disjoint 2 variables, not reusable at resource

$$\frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e : \tau_1 \boxtimes \tau_2}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e \bullet : \tau_1} \text{!} \boxtimes_L$$

$$\frac{\Gamma; \Delta_1 \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \quad \Gamma; \Delta_2 \vdash e_2 : \tau_2}{\Gamma; \Delta_1, \Delta_2 \vdash \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle : \tau_1 \boxtimes \tau_2} \text{!} \boxtimes$$

$$\frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e : \tau_1 \boxtimes \tau_2}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash \text{!}e : \tau_2} \text{!} \boxtimes_R$$

$$\frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e : \tau_1 \boxtimes \tau_2 \quad \Gamma; \Delta', x:\tau_1, y:\tau_2 \vdash e' : \tau'}{\Gamma; \Delta, \Delta' \vdash \text{core } e \text{ of } \langle x, y \rangle \Rightarrow e' : \tau'} \text{!} \boxtimes$$

$$\frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \quad \Gamma; \Delta \vdash e_2 : \tau_2}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash \{ l \Rightarrow e_1, r \Rightarrow e_2 \} : \tau_1 \boxtimes \tau_2}$$

Δ should share vars both copies so that it gets consumed.
 here a Δ is copied syntactically but not duplicated semantically
 but there is also some splitting

$$\frac{\Gamma; \Delta, x:\tau \vdash e:\tau'}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash \lambda(x:\tau).e:\tau \rightarrow \tau'} \text{I} \rightarrow$$

$$\frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e:\tau \rightarrow \tau' \quad \Gamma; \Delta' \vdash e':\tau}{\Gamma; \Delta, \Delta' \vdash e \ e':\tau'} \text{E} \rightarrow$$

$$\frac{\Gamma; \bullet \vdash e:\tau}{\Gamma; \bullet \vdash \text{many } e:\tau} \text{I}!$$

$$\frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e:\tau \quad \Gamma; x:\tau; \Delta' \vdash e':\tau'}{\Gamma; x:\tau; \Delta, \Delta' \vdash \text{case of many } x \Rightarrow e \Rightarrow e':\tau} \text{I}!$$

constants

$$\frac{}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash \{\}:\tau}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e:\tau}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash \text{case } e \text{ of } \{\}:\tau} \text{absurd,}$$

$$\frac{}{\Gamma; \bullet \vdash \langle \rangle:\tau}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e:\tau \quad \Gamma; \Delta' \vdash e':\tau}{\Gamma; \Delta, \Delta' \vdash \text{case } e \text{ of } \langle \rangle \Rightarrow \tau} \text{und}$$

DYNAMICS mostly CBV

$$V ::= \langle \rangle \mid \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle \mid l.v \mid r.v \text{ map } V$$

$$\mid \lambda(x:\tau).e \mid \{l \Rightarrow e_1, r \Rightarrow e_2\} \mid \{\}$$

$$\text{let}(e; x.v) \mapsto e[\frac{v}{x}]$$

$$(\lambda x.e) \ v \mapsto e[\frac{v}{x}]$$

$$\{l \Rightarrow e_1, r \Rightarrow e_2\} \ d \mapsto e_1$$

$$\text{case } l.v \text{ of } \{l.x \Rightarrow e_1, r.y \Rightarrow e_2\} \mapsto e_1[\frac{v}{x}]$$

$$\text{case } \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle \text{ of } \langle x, y \rangle \Rightarrow e \mapsto e[\frac{v_1}{x}, \frac{v_2}{y}]$$

$$\text{case } \langle \rangle \text{ of } \langle \rangle \Rightarrow e \mapsto e$$

$$\text{many } V \text{ of } x \Rightarrow e \mapsto e[\frac{V}{x}]$$

Substitution

$$\text{then } \Gamma; \Delta, \Delta' \vdash e[e_x/\tau] : \tau'$$

NonLinear:

$$\text{if } \Gamma; \bullet \vdash e:\tau \text{ and } \Gamma; x:\tau; \Delta' \vdash e':\tau' \text{ then } \Gamma; \Delta' \vdash e[e_x/\tau] : \tau'$$