

Logical Relations & Termination

- STLC "Reducibility"
- System F "Reducibility candidates"

$b ::= \text{True} \mid \text{False}$

$e ::= x \mid \lambda x. e \mid e_1 e_2 \mid b \mid \text{if } e_1 \text{ then } e_2 \text{ else } e_3$

$\tau ::= \text{bool} \mid \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2$

$(\lambda x. e) e' \mapsto e[e'/x]$

if true then  $e_1$  else  $e_2 \mapsto e_1$

if false then  $e_1$  else  $e_2 \mapsto e_2$

closed

$e$  is closed when  $FV(e) = \{\}$

$e$  is well-typed when there is a  $\Gamma$  and  $\tau$  s.t.  
 $\Gamma \vdash e : \tau$  is derivable.

$e$  is terminating when there is an  $e'$  s.t.,  $e \mapsto^* e'$  and  $e' \mapsto$

if  $e$  is closed and well-typed then  $e$  is well-typed

$$\frac{e_1 \mapsto e'_1}{e_1 e_2 \mapsto e'_1 e_2}$$

$$\frac{e_1 \mapsto e'_1}{\text{if}(e_1; e_2; e_3) \mapsto \text{if}(e'_1; e_2; e_3)}$$

$$\frac{}{\Gamma, x : \tau \vdash x : \tau}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau \rightarrow \tau' \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 e_2 : \tau'}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : \tau \vdash e : \tau'}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x. e : \tau \rightarrow \tau'}$$

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \text{true} : \text{bool}}$$

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \text{false} : \text{bool}}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \text{bool} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash e_3 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \text{if } e_1 \text{ then } e_2 \text{ else } e_3 : \tau}$$

To show  $\vdash e : \tau$  is derivable

I if  $\vdash e : \tau$  then there is an  $e'$  s.t.  $e \mapsto^* e' \vdash$

pf by induction on the derivation  $\mathcal{D}$  of  $\vdash e : \tau$

$\mathcal{D} = \vdash e : \tau$  doesn't happen

$\mathcal{D} = \frac{\vdash \text{true} : \text{bool}}{\vdash \text{true} : \tau}$  ;  $\text{true} \mapsto^* \text{true} \vdash$

$\mathcal{D} = \frac{\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{D}_1 \\ \vdash e_1 : \text{bool} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{D}_2 \\ \vdash e_2 : \tau \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{D}_3 \\ \vdash e_3 : \tau \end{array}}{\vdash \text{if}(e_1, e_2, e_3) : \tau}$

IH: for  $i=1,2,3$ , there is some  $e'_i$  s.t.  $e_i \mapsto^* e'_i \vdash$

if  $e_1$  then  $e_2$  else  $e_3 \mapsto^*$  if  $e'_1$  then  $e_2$  else  $e_3$

suppose  $e'_1 = b$  : if  $(e_1, e_2, e_3) \mapsto^* e_j \mapsto^* e'_j$  ( $j=2$  if  $b = \text{true}$  else  $j=3$ )

else  $e'_1 \neq b$  ① if  $(e'_1, e_2, e_3)$  where  $e_j \mapsto^* e'_j \vdash$

$\mapsto$  when  $e'_1 \neq b$

② is because type safety, can't happen

$\mathcal{D} = \frac{\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{D}' \\ \vdash x : \tau \vdash e : \tau' \end{array}}{\vdash \lambda x. e : \tau \rightarrow \tau'}$

$\lambda x. e \mapsto^* \lambda x. e \vdash$

IH is vacuous

$\mathcal{D} = \frac{\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{D}_1 \\ \vdash e_1 : \tau \rightarrow \tau' \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{D}_2 \\ \vdash e_2 : \tau \end{array}}{\vdash e_1 e_2 : \tau'}$

IH: for  $k=1,2$   $e_k \mapsto^* e'_k \vdash$

suppose  $e'_1 = \lambda x. e'$  :  $e_1 e_2 \mapsto^* (\lambda x. e') e_2 \mapsto^* e' [e_2/x]$

we don't know enough about  $e'$ !

Lesson: this proof strategy won't work.

To Show attempt 2

$\vdash$  if  $\vdash e : \tau$  is derivable then there is an  $e'$  s.t.  $e \mapsto^* e'$  and

To Show  $\vdash e : \tau \Rightarrow e \in \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \subseteq \text{Term}$  where  $\llbracket \tau \rrbracket$  is "all programs of type  $\tau$ ", "the meaning of  $\tau$ "

$$\llbracket \text{bool} \rrbracket = \{\text{true}, \text{false}\}^* \quad \text{where for expressions } \phi, \phi^* = \{e \mid \exists e' \in \llbracket \phi \rrbracket e \mapsto^* e'\}$$

properties of expansion:

- if  $\phi \subseteq \text{Term}$   $\phi^* \subseteq \text{Term}$
- $\phi \subseteq \phi^*$
- $\phi^{**} = \phi^*$

$$\llbracket \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \rrbracket = \{e \in \text{Term} \mid \forall e' \in \llbracket \tau_1 \rrbracket, e e' \in \llbracket \tau_2 \rrbracket\}$$

$$\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket = \{\sigma \in \text{Subst} \mid \forall (x : \tau) \in \Gamma \quad x[\sigma] \in \llbracket \tau \rrbracket\}$$

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash e : \tau \rrbracket = \forall \sigma \in \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \quad e[\sigma] \in \llbracket \tau \rrbracket$$

$\Leftarrow$  "fundamental lemma" aka adequacy, soundness.

if  $\Gamma \vdash e : \tau$  is derivable then  $\llbracket \Gamma \vdash e : \tau \rrbracket$  true

Proof is by induction on the given derivation of  $\Gamma \vdash e : \tau$

$$\mathcal{D} = \frac{}{\Gamma, x : \tau \vdash x : \tau} \quad \text{Show } \llbracket \Gamma, x : \tau \vdash x : \tau \rrbracket \text{ is true}$$

suppose  $\sigma \in \llbracket \Gamma, x : \tau \rrbracket$ . show  $x[\sigma] \in \llbracket \tau \rrbracket$

true by definition of  $\llbracket \Gamma, x : \tau \rrbracket$

$$\mathcal{D} = \frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau \rightarrow \tau' \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 e_2 : \tau'} \quad \text{IH: } \llbracket \Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau \rightarrow \tau' \rrbracket \text{ is true and } \llbracket \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau \rrbracket \text{ is true}$$

show:  $\llbracket \Gamma \vdash e_1 e_2 : \tau' \rrbracket$

Suppose  $\sigma \in \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket$  show  $(e_1 e_2)[\sigma] \in \llbracket \tau' \rrbracket = e_1[\sigma] e_2[\sigma]$ , randomly  $e_1[\sigma] \in \llbracket \tau \rightarrow \tau' \rrbracket$  that  $e_2[\sigma] \in \llbracket \tau \rrbracket$

Contyped



$$D = \frac{\Gamma, x:\tau \vdash e:\tau'}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x.e:\tau \rightarrow \tau'}$$

$\pm H: \llbracket \Gamma, x:\tau \vdash e, \tau' \rrbracket$  is true

show  $\llbracket \Gamma \vdash \lambda x.e:\tau \rightarrow \tau' \rrbracket$

Suppose  $\sigma \in \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket$ , show  $(\lambda x.e)\sigma$

$$= \lambda x.(e\sigma)$$

$$\in \llbracket \tau \rightarrow \tau' \rrbracket$$

Suppose  $e_2 \in \llbracket \tau \rrbracket$  show  $(\lambda x.e)\sigma \cdot e_2 \in \llbracket \tau' \rrbracket$

$$\mapsto e[\sigma, e_2/x]$$

$$\sigma, e_2/x \in \llbracket \Gamma, x:\tau \rrbracket$$

$$\subseteq \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \subseteq \text{term}$$

$$\subseteq \text{B (expansion)}: e \mapsto^* e' \text{ and } e' \in \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \text{ then } e \in \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \quad \text{i.e., } \llbracket \tau \rrbracket^* \subseteq \llbracket \tau \rrbracket$$

$$\subseteq \text{if } \vdash e:\tau \text{ is derivable then } e \in \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \text{ and therefore } e \text{ is terminating.}$$

$$\subseteq \text{if } \vdash e:\text{bool} \text{ then there is a boolean } b = \text{True, False st. } e \mapsto^* b$$

$$\llbracket \forall x.\tau \rrbracket = \{ e \in \text{Term} \mid \forall \tau' \in \text{Typ} \quad e\tau' \in \llbracket \tau[\tau'/x] \rrbracket \}$$

↑

this is too circular! the subtree  $\tau[\tau'/x]$  requires you to already be done in order to get started.

this is the nature of impredicative polymorphism

D a reducibility candidate is any set of expressions  $C$  st.  $C^* \subseteq C \subseteq \text{term}$ .

L4 for every  $\tau$  the meaning of  $\tau$  is a reducibility candidate

$\llbracket \tau \rrbracket$

attempt  $\llbracket \forall x.\tau \rrbracket = \{ e \in \text{Term} \mid \forall \tau' \in \text{Typ} \quad e\tau' \in \llbracket \tau[\tau'/x] \rrbracket_{\emptyset} \}$

remember  $\llbracket \alpha \rrbracket_{\emptyset} = \emptyset$

where  $\emptyset$  is a context on level of type variables

N  $\llbracket \text{bool} \rrbracket_{\emptyset}$  is a set of expressions satisfying certain properties

$\emptyset: \text{TypeVar} \rightarrow CR$  where  $CR$  is set of all reducibility candidates

$$\llbracket \emptyset, \alpha \rrbracket(\alpha) = \emptyset$$

$$\llbracket \emptyset, \alpha \rrbracket(\beta) = \emptyset\beta \text{ where } \alpha \neq \beta$$

correct attempt!

$$[\llbracket \forall \alpha, \tau \rrbracket]_{\theta} = \left\{ e \in \text{term} \mid \forall \tau' \in \text{Type}, \forall e \in CR, e \tau' \in [\llbracket \tau \rrbracket]_{\theta, e/\alpha} \right\}$$

$$[\llbracket \Theta \rrbracket] = \{ \theta \in \text{Type} \rightarrow CR \}$$

$$[\llbracket \Theta; \Gamma \rrbracket]_{\theta} = \left\{ \sigma \in \text{Subst} \mid (\forall (x:\tau) \in \Gamma, x[\sigma] \in [\llbracket \tau \rrbracket]_{\theta}) \wedge (\forall \alpha \in \Theta, \alpha[\sigma] \in \text{Type}) \right\}$$

$$\forall \theta \in [\llbracket \Theta \rrbracket], \forall \sigma \in [\llbracket \Theta; \Gamma \rrbracket]_{\theta}$$

$$[\llbracket \Theta; \Gamma \vdash e : \tau \rrbracket] = \forall \theta, \sigma \in [\llbracket \Theta; \Gamma \rrbracket]_{\theta}, e[\sigma] \in [\llbracket \tau \rrbracket]_{\theta} \quad [\llbracket \Theta \vdash \tau : \star \rrbracket] = \forall \theta \in [\llbracket \Theta \rrbracket], [\llbracket \tau \rrbracket]_{\theta} \in CR$$

L5 i)  $\Theta \vdash \tau : \star$  is derivable then  $[\llbracket \Theta \vdash \tau : \star \rrbracket]$  is true

R we are in a style-kinded theory, i.e.,  $\star$  is unique. many-kinded theories could have  $\star_1, \star_2, \dots$

Pf L5  $\mathcal{D} = \frac{\Theta; \Gamma \vdash e : \forall \alpha, \tau \quad \Theta \vdash \tau : \star}{\Theta; \Gamma \vdash e : \tau[\tau/\alpha]} \quad \text{IH: } [\llbracket \Theta; \Gamma \vdash e : \forall \alpha, \tau \rrbracket] \text{ and } [\llbracket \Theta \vdash \tau : \star \rrbracket]$

show  $[\llbracket \Theta; \Gamma \vdash e : \tau[\tau/\alpha] \rrbracket]$

∴ suppose  $\theta \in [\llbracket \Theta \rrbracket]$  and  $\sigma \in [\llbracket \Theta; \Gamma \rrbracket]_{\theta}$

say  $(e\tau')[\sigma] = e[\sigma] \tau'[\sigma] \in [\llbracket \tau[\tau/\alpha] \rrbracket]_{\theta}$

L6  $[\llbracket \tau[\tau/\alpha] \rrbracket]_{\theta} = [\llbracket \tau \rrbracket]_{\theta, [\tau/\alpha]}$

$\mathcal{D} = \frac{\Theta, \alpha; \Gamma \vdash e : \tau}{\Theta; \Gamma \vdash e : \forall \alpha, \tau}$

IH:  $[\llbracket \Theta, \alpha; \Gamma \vdash e : \tau \rrbracket]$  is true

show  $[\llbracket \Theta; \Gamma \vdash \lambda \alpha, e : \forall \alpha, \tau \rrbracket]$  is true

suppose  $\theta \in [\llbracket \Theta \rrbracket]$  and  $\sigma \in [\llbracket \Theta; \Gamma \rrbracket]_{\theta}$

show that  $(\lambda \alpha, e)[\sigma] = \lambda \alpha. e[\sigma] \in [\llbracket \forall \alpha, \tau \rrbracket]_{\theta}$

Suppose  $\tau'$  and  $e \in CR$   $(\lambda \alpha, e[\sigma]) \tau' \mapsto e[\sigma, \tau'/\alpha]$

$\uparrow$   
 $[\llbracket \tau \rrbracket]_{\theta, e/\alpha} \quad \sigma, \tau'/\alpha \in [\llbracket \Theta; \Gamma \rrbracket]$

# R Free theorems FT

in System F<sub>u</sub>  
consider Unit =  $\forall \alpha. \alpha \rightarrow \alpha$

FT  $\exists e: \forall \alpha. \alpha \rightarrow \alpha$  then  $e =_{\beta, \eta} \lambda x. \lambda x. x$

Proof from Q1 (fundamental) we know that  $e \in \llbracket \forall \alpha. \alpha \rightarrow \alpha \rrbracket_{\mathcal{E}}$ , say  $e^* \in CR$