

[2018/06/03]

①

## Jan Hoffman (lecture 1)

What is a prog. lang?

Today: progs. are mathematical objects.

How to define a PL?

1. Static semantics: what are (valid) progs?
2. Dynamic semantics: How to run progs?

Lang E

expr  $e ::= x$

num[n]

bool[true]

bool[false]

if( $e, e_2, e_3$ )

let( $e, x, e_2$ )

plus( $e_1, e_2$ )    less( $e_1, e_2$ )

### Static Semantics

◦ Option: progs = all expressions

Not ideal. Progs that don't

make sense should be excluded. (like '5 + true')

Observation: expressions come in 2 types: numbers & booleans

↪ type system

Ex:  $(1+2)+8$  is valid (why?)

$1+2$  is a valid exp of type num.

$8$  is "

"

↪ need induction.

Notation: write  $\vdash (1+2)+8 : \text{num}$

In general:  $\vdash e : \tau$

we call this  $\rightarrow$  a judgment.

We often call things judgments and then say what these judgments mean using inductive definitions.

EX: trees

1.  $\text{emp}$  is a tree
2. if  $n$  is a num &  $t_1, t_2$  are trees, then  $\text{node}(n, t_1, t_2)$  is a tree.

Judgment:  $t : \text{tree}$

could define this by saying the set of trees is the smallest set closed under rules 1 & 2

In PL we (instead) use inference rules.

Inference Rules

for defining judgments inductively

$$\frac{J_1 \dots J_n}{J} \quad \begin{array}{l} \leftarrow \text{premises} \\ \leftarrow \text{conclusion} \end{array}$$

EX:

$$\frac{}{\text{emp} : \text{tree}} \quad \frac{n : \text{num} \quad t_1 : \text{tree} \quad t_2 : \text{tree}}{\text{node}(n, t_1, t_2) : \text{tree}}$$

## Derivations

[2018/06/03]

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{}{z : \text{num}} \quad (z^1) \quad \frac{}{s} \quad \frac{}{\text{emp} : \text{tree}} \quad (1) \quad \frac{}{\text{emp} : \text{tree}} \quad (1) \\
 \hline
 \frac{S(z) : \text{num} \quad \text{emp} : \text{tree} \quad \text{emp} : \text{tree}}{\text{node}(s(z), \text{emp}, \text{emp}) : \text{tree}} \quad (2)
 \end{array}$$

## Type Rules

$\vdash \text{num}[n] : \text{num}$

$\vdash \text{bool}[b] : \text{bool}$

What about variables?

$\vdash x : ?$

We need a context to keep track of the types of all variables.

$\Gamma \vdash \text{num}[n] : \text{num}$

$\Gamma \vdash \text{bool}[b] : \text{bool}$

$\Gamma, x : \tau \vdash x : \tau$

$\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \quad \Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash e_2 : \tau_2$

$\Gamma \vdash \text{let}(e_1; x.e_2) : \tau_2$

(Assume  $x$  does not appear in  $\Gamma$ )

$\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \text{num}$

$\Gamma \vdash e_2 : \text{num}$

$\Gamma \vdash \text{Plus}(e_1; e_2) : \text{num}$

$\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \text{num}$

$\Gamma \vdash e_2 : \text{num}$

$\Gamma \vdash e_1 \leq e_2 : \text{bool}$

$\Gamma \vdash e : \text{bool}$

$\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau$

$\Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau$

$\Gamma \vdash \text{if}(e, e_1, e_2) : \tau$

[2018/06/03]

Type DerivationEx: Judgment  $\bullet \vdash \text{let } x=5 \text{ in } x \leq 6 : \text{bool}$ 

We can derive this judgment using the type rules, as follows:

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{}{\bullet \vdash 5 : \text{num}} \text{ (num)} \quad \frac{x : \text{num} \vdash x : \text{num} \quad x : \text{num} \vdash 6 : \text{num}}{x : \text{num} \vdash x \leq 6 : \text{bool}} \\
 \hline
 \bullet \vdash \text{let } x=5 \text{ in } x \leq 6 : \text{bool} \quad \text{(let)}
 \end{array}$$

Lemma For every expr  $e$  and every context  $\Gamma$  there is at most one type  $\tau$  st.  $\Gamma \vdash e : \tau$ .

(Proof: later)

Rule Induction

To prove  $P(n)$  holds for all  $n : \text{num}$ , we prove

1.  $P(0)$   
 2.  $\text{If } P(n) \text{ then } P(S(n))$

Then, since  $\mathbb{N}$  is the smallest set closed under the two rules:

$$\frac{}{0 : \text{num}} \quad \frac{n : \text{num}}{S(n) : \text{num}}$$

it follows that  $\mathbb{N} \subseteq \{n \mid P(n)\}$ .

[2018/06/02]

Rule Induction

To show  $P(a)$ , we show for every rule

$$\frac{a_1 \dots \text{and } a_j}{a_j} \text{ that}$$

$$P(a_1) \wedge \dots \wedge P(a_n) \text{ implies } P(a)$$

Inversion Principle

Show: if  $\Gamma \vdash e : \tau_1$ ,  $\Gamma \vdash e : \tau_2$  then  $\tau_1 = \tau_2$

By induction on  $\Gamma \vdash e : \tau$

Case "Var rule"

then  $e = x$  and  $\Gamma = \Gamma, x : \tau_1$

Example: Inversion for  $\text{Plus}(e_1, e_2)$

Lemma If  $\Gamma \vdash e_1 + e_2 : \tau$  then  $\tau = \text{num}$   
And  $\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \text{num}$  and  $\Gamma \vdash e_2 : \text{num}$ .