Feedback: Singh Trinh dt222cc

As a developer would the model help you and why/why not?

- I believe that the model is helpful. It's clear, consistent and understandable so you will get a good idea of the projects content.

Do you think a domain expert (for example the Secretary) would understand the model why/why not?

- The secretary should be able to understand the model, he/she should be able to deduct what kind of responsibilities he/she have (BoatRegister & Calendar).

- Have you considered what kind of connection Secretary have with Berth? Like how can he/she know what berths is available? Does the BoatRegister have access to berths or is it perhaps through boats. In that case only the boats "with" a berth is registered in the BoatRegister.

What are the strong points of the model, what do you think is really good and why?

1. As mention before, the model is pretty detailed and each association has a description that describes the associations well.

2. Multiplicity is optional but included (note: missing for Event-EventDescription).

What are the weaknesses of the model, what do you think should be changed and why?

1. I'm not so sure about the position the description is on (above/under), it took a few moments of thinking to understand it. In this model it's counter-clockwise which is perhaps the correct way but for me, a tiny bit confusing as I tend to think in clockwise direction. In my case i didn't include them but later on I added them when i simplified my model more and yUML didnt really manage them so well :(

Do you think the model has passed the grade 2 (passing grade) criteria?

- The model contains the requirements that was needed for the grade so there should be no problems passing.

References

1. Larman C., Applying UML and Patterns 3rd Ed, 2005, ISBN: 0131489062