

Results BDS lab 7

Andreas De Lille and Cedric De Pauw

Contents

- Q1: Write an algorithm for scouting promising players
 - Approach
 - Results
- Q2: Predict outcome of a game
 - Approach
 - Problems
 - Results

Q1, Promising Players: Approach

- Create a dataframe containing
 - Info: team, position
 - Stats: for each relevant feature
 - total number of events
 - number of successful events
- For each position: determine a score
 - Striker: $\# \text{Successful Pass} + \# \text{shots on target}$
 - Defence: $\# \text{Successful Take On} + \# \text{Tackle} + \# \text{Interception} + \# \text{Successful Clearance} - \# \text{Corner}$
 - Midfield: $\# \text{Successful Pass} - \# \text{Offside Pass} + \# \text{Successful Take On} + \# \text{Interception}$
 - Goalkeeper: $\# \text{Successful Claim} + \# \text{Successful Clearance} + \# \text{Punch} + \# \text{Recovery} + \# \text{Pick Up} + \# \text{Smother}$
- Rank players according to this score

Q1, Promising Players: Results

Strikers: 3 of the top 6 strikers played in the Bundesliga later in their carrier Novakovic Milivoje (2011), Patrick Helmes (currently), Francisco Copado(2007)

Midfielders: 3 of the top 4 played in first leagues
Ivica Banovic (2010), Patrick Paauwe (2010), Marko Lomic(2016)

Defenders: 2 of the top 4 defenders play currently in Bundesliga
Niko Bungert, Neven Subotic

Goalkeepers: 3 of the top 5 played in first leagues
Christofer Heimeroth (currently), Florian Fromolowitz (2011), Tomasz Bobel (2010)

Q2, Predict Outcome: Approach

- Similar to Q1, but now scores for each team
- Machine learning in two steps, (mlib only supports binary classification)
 - 2 classifiers:
 - Draw vs win/lose
 - Win vs lose
 - Get train and test set
 - For each classifier:
 - Adjust labels of trainset
 - Train classifier

Q2, Predict Outcome: Results

Classifier one (draw vs non-draw) : train acc of 75%

Classifier two (win vs lose): train acc of 74 %

Test accuracy of 31%

⇒ test accuracy due to a number of reasons:

1. A total of only 90 samples
2. Hard to predict a draw
3. More features might be needed, e.g. players on the field etc
⇒ this would require even more training data and more analysis time