

# Binary Search Trees

---

Dr. Anirban Ghosh

**School of Computing**  
**University of North Florida**



# Maps

## Definition

A **record** is a key-value pair:  $(k, v)$

A **map** is an abstract data type for maintaining a set of records

- No two records can have the same key
- However, two records can have same values though
- Association of keys to values define a **mapping**:  $f(\text{key}) = \text{value}$

## Examples of maps

- UNF maintains a map of (N#, student information) records
- A social media company maintains a map of (email address, user account information) records
- An assembler maintains a symbol table (a map) of (opcode, hex) records
- A text-editor maintains a map of (color, RGB representation) records

# How to implement a map?

## Common map operations

- **Insert** a record  $(k, v)$
- **Retrieve** a record having key  $k$
- **Delete** a record having key  $k$

## Approach 1: maintain a sorted list of records

- **Insertion.** will take  $O(n)$  time for figuring out the correct spot for the incoming record; then  $O(n)$  time for shifting items to the right to accommodate the new record; total time taken is  $O(n) + O(n) = O(n)$
- **Retrieval.** will take  $O(\log n)$  time using binary search
- **Deletion.** will take  $O(\log n)$  time to locate it using a binary search; then then  $O(n)$  time for left shifting items to fill the empty spot; total time taken  $O(\log n) + O(n) = O(n)$

# How to implement a map?

## Common map operations

- **Insert** a record  $(k, v)$
- **Retrieve** a record having key  $k$
- **Delete** a record having key  $k$

## Approach 2: maintain an unsorted list of records

- **Insertion.** will take  $O(1)$  time (add the new record at the end)
- **Retrieval.** will take  $O(n)$  time using a linear search; may need to search the whole list in the worst-case
- **Deletion.** will take  $O(n)$  time to locate it using a linear search; then  $O(n)$  time for left shifting items to fill the empty spot; total time taken  $O(n) + O(n) = O(n)$

## Common map operations

- **Insert** a record  $(k, v)$
- **Retrieve** a record having key  $k$
- **Delete** a record having key  $k$


- ☞ To accomplish the above three tasks in  $O(\log n)$  time each
- ☞ **Balanced** binary search trees is the solution; stay tuned ...

# The map ADT


```
public interface MapADT<K,V> {  
    boolean put(K key, V value); // adds a new record with key 'key' and value 'value'  
    V remove(K key); // removes the record having key 'key'  
    V get(K key); // return the value part of the record whose key is 'key'  
    V updateValue(K key, V newValue); // updates the value part of the record whose key is 'key' with a new value  
    int size(); // returns the number of records stored in the map  
    void clear(); //Removes all records from the map  
}
```

# What is a Binary Search Tree?

- It is a **binary tree** where every node contains a **<key, value>** pair (a **record**); keys must be **comparable** but the values don't need to be
- Moreover, for every node  $p$  in the tree, the following 2 properties hold
  - 1 Keys stored in the left subtree of  $p$  are  $<$  the key stored at  $p$
  - 2 Keys stored in the right subtree of  $p$  are  $>$  the key stored at  $p$



# What is a Binary Search Tree?




## Use

BSTs can be used to implement **maps** and are commonly used for fast searching (typically need far less comparisons than lists)



## An important property of BSTs

An inorder traversal of a BST always gives the sorted sequence based on the keys



### Inorder traversal

35, **Caroline**; 45, **Pat**; 46, **Ann**; 50, **Tom**; 52, **Jennifer**; 54, **Peter**; 55, **Eric**; 56, **Melissa**


Let's say you need to look for the record that has the key  $k$ ; how will you do this?

### Algorithm


- Start at the root
- If the root's key is  $k$ , then search is successful
- If  $k < \text{root's key}$ , search recursively (or iteratively) in the left subtree of the root
- Otherwise, search recursively (or iteratively) in the right subtree of the root
- If we have reached a null link, no record exists in the tree with key  $k$

To save space in the figures, we will write only the record keys inside the nodes and avoid the corresponding values

## Example




## Example: search for 28



Found!

## Example: search for 68



Not found; a null link is reached (the left link of 76)

$O(h)$ , where  $h$  is the height of the BST under consideration, since, for searching, we need to traverse a path whose length is  $h$  in the worst-case


### How to insert a record into a BST having key $k$ ?

#### Algorithm

- Start at the root
- If the root is `null`, replace empty tree with a new tree with the new record as the root, and signal **SUCCESS**
- If  $k$  equals root's key, signal **FAILURE** since a record with key  $k$  already exists
- If  $k <$  root's key, insert recursively (or, iteratively) in the left subtree of the root
- Otherwise, insert recursively (or, iteratively) in the right subtree of the root



## Example: insert 68




$O(h)$ , where  $h$  is the height of the BST under consideration, since, for inserting a new record, we need to traverse a path whose length is  $h$  in the worst-case

### How to delete the record from a BST having key $k$ ?

#### Idea


- Traverse through the tree to find the record having key  $k$
- If the record cannot be found, no action is needed
- Now assume that we have found the record that has key  $k$  at node  $r$ 
  - 1  $r$  is a leaf node (no child)
  - 2  $r$  has exactly one child (either left or right)
  - 3  $r$  has two children (both left and right)

## Case 1: $r$ is a leaf node, delete 29




Easy! just delete it right away

## Case 1: $r$ is a leaf node, delete 29




## Case 2: $r$ has one child, delete 97




Make the parent of  $r$  point to the only child of  $r$  instead of  $r$

## Case 2: $r$ has one child, delete 97




### Case 3: $r$ has two children, delete 88



Replace the record at  $r$  with the record at the inorder predecessor  $p$  of  $n$ ; then delete  $p$  using Case 1 or 2, depending on the number of children of  $p$ . Note that the inorder predecessor is either a leaf node or has a left child only (no right child).




### Case 3: $r$ has two children, delete 88




Replace the record at  $r$  with the record at the inorder predecessor  $p$  of  $n$ ; then delete  $p$  using Case 1 or 2, depending on the number of children of  $p$ . Note that the inorder predecessor is either a leaf node or has a left child only (no right child).

### Case 3: $r$ has two children, delete 88



Replace the record at  $r$  with the record at the inorder predecessor  $p$  of  $n$ ; then delete  $p$  using Case 1 or 2, depending on the number of children of  $p$ . Note that the inorder predecessor is either a leaf node or has a left child only (no right child).

## Finding the inorder predecessor in Case 3




The inorder predecessor  $p$  of node  $r$  is either a leaf node (left figure) or has a left child but no right child (right figure). Takes  $O(h)$  time to find  $p$ , where  $h$  is the height of the tree. The yellow triangles represent subtrees (some of them could be empty).

## Time complexity of deletion


- It takes  $O(h)$  time for locating the record to be deleted, where  $h$  is the height of the BST
- Case 1 takes  $O(1)$  time to delete a record
- Case 2 takes  $O(1)$  time to delete a record
- Case 3 takes  $O(h)$  time to delete a record since we need to locate the inorder predecessor  $p$  of node  $r$  in  $O(h)$  time and then delete  $p$  in  $O(1)$  time using Case 1 or 2
- So, a single deletion takes  $O(h)$  time in the worst-case

See the class `TreeMapBST`

## BSTs are not unique



Insertion sequence: 20, 10, 30



Insertion sequence: 10, 20, 30



***Their structures really depend  
on the insertion sequence of records***

# An application

## Problem


Given a text, find out the unique words in it along with their counts. We also need to output the distinct words with their count.

## A solution

- Use a BST  $T$  where the key-type is `String` and the value-type is `Integer`
- For every word  $w$  in the text, first check if a node exists in  $T$ , where the stored key is  $w$ 
  - If such a node does not exist, insert a new node in  $T$  with key  $w$  and value 1
  - If such a node exists in  $T$ , increment the stored value (essentially a counter) by 1

See the class `WordCounter`

## Worst case scenario: skewed binary trees



In this case,  $k_1 < k_2 < k_3 < \dots < k_n$

So, in the worst-case,  $h = n - 1 = O(n)$

Therefore, searching, insertion, deletion take  $O(h) = O(n)$  time each!

This is as bad as using singly linked-lists!




Do something so that  $h$  remains bounded  
We aim for  $h = O(\log n)$

*A solution. use **Red-Black** trees*

**Red-Black** trees are never skewed or close to being skewed unlike the plain binary search trees we just talked about 👍

## Rotation on BSTs




Rotations help in **reducing** height of BSTs; this means faster operations on BSTs


A single rotation can be done in  $O(1)$  time

Can be applied to any type of **self-balancing** BST (red-black, AVL, etc.)

## An example of right rotation



Height: 5




Height: 4

Note that the inorder traversal sequence remains the same!

# Definition

A **red-black** tree is a self-balancing BST that maintains the following invariants:

- 1 Every node is either **red** or **black**
- 2 The root is **black**
- 3 If a node is **red**, then both its children are **black** (The **null** references of the leaves are **black**)
- 4 The number of **black** nodes in any path from the root to a leaf is the same



It can be shown mathematically (out of scope) that  
for red-black trees,

$$h = O(\log n)$$