

CS4450 1700 Lecture 13 Notes, n.

CS4450 Lecture 28 Notes,  
Page 1

Andrew  
Kraill

10-24-2018

## BNF (Backus-Naur Form)

BNF grammars correspond almost perfectly to data declarations in Haskell.

Given a BNF grammar, we can use it to show that certain strings are in the language (and others are not!)

Ex: show  $(12.()) \in \langle LON \rangle$

You want to go until there is nothing but terminal symbols.

$$\begin{aligned}\langle LON \rangle &\Rightarrow (\langle \text{number} \rangle, \langle LON \rangle) \\ &\Rightarrow (\langle \text{number} \rangle, ()) \\ &\Rightarrow (12, ())\end{aligned}$$

## BNF derivations

General Rule: String  $s \in \langle L \rangle$  if and only if there is a sequence of production steps:  $\langle L \rangle \Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow s$

Note that there may be more than one such sequence.

BNF for programming language syntax: The  $\lambda$ -calculus

Example question: "Is the following Scheme  $\lambda$ -calculus code in  $\langle \text{expr} \rangle$ ?"

Processing Languages with Effects

CS4450 Lecture 28 Notes,  
Page 2

Andrew  
Kraill  
10-24-2018

Some strings don't parse. How do you represent syntax errors and parsing in Haskell?

An interpreter is a function.

`interp :: AbstractSyntax → "Computation of Values"`

We can use ~~the~~ Maybe to handle syntax error.

Maybe is a monad.

The big fact is that any programming language fits in this scheme, where the notion that "Computation of Values" is a monad is true.

~~The~~ ~~language~~ We can write an interpreter in Haskell for a language ~~we~~ we wrote.

An error is a "side effect".

How do we handle this error side effect?  
We add a value for errors.

We want to change the definition of `interp` to incorporate the error as a value in the language.

Note: In this example, the fact that errors may happen is now reflected in the type signature.



CS4450 Lecture 28 Notes,  
Page 3

Andrew  
Krall  
10-24-2018

This method is functional, but we want accurate error handling AND easy-to-read code.

We can use "do notation" in Haskell to accomplish ~~this~~ this.

Please check the slides on Professor Harrison's website to view examples of interp and do notation.

### Alternative formulation of "do"

$\text{do } v \leftarrow x$        $\xrightarrow{\text{"bind"}}$   
 $e$       can also  $x \gg = \lambda v \rightarrow e$   
          be written  
          as

In fact, Haskell itself translates the "do"s into "bind"s at compile time.

"return" is an overloaded symbol meaning "Just".

Slides online have more code examples of throwing an error.

Throw is defined as,

~~throw condition~~  
~~then Nothing~~  
~~else Just goodval~~

$\text{throw} :: \text{Bool} \rightarrow a \rightarrow \text{Maybe } a$   
 $\text{throw condition goodval} = \text{if condition}$   
                                 $\text{then Nothing}$   
                                 $\text{else Just goodval}$



CS 4450 Lecture 28 Notes

Page 4

Andrew  
Krall

10-24-2018

In the Interp v5.0 example, Just and Nothing don't occur in the code.

Bind and return are overloaded.

There are a whole bunch of monads in Haskell.

All example code is on Harrison's website!

Side effects in an impure language are ~~type~~ ~~types~~ not always expressed in the types, whereas in Haskell they are generally expressed in the types.