

1 Introduction

- Initial Problem (graph of obs data)
- First thoughts (Hypothesis)
- What Methods are viable?

2 Method

- Which Method did I use and why?
- General approach (structure of main.py?)
- What does Lumiradius.py do?

For this approach I used two programs: main.py and lumiradius.py.

- Detailed description of main.py[before lumiradius.py?]

At the core of main.py lies a sequence of nested loops that spans a grid in distance-mass-age. The range of these three variables can be easily adjusted, as can the binning. I used a mass range from $\text{minmass}=5M_{\odot}$ to $\text{maxmass}=50M_{\odot}$, distance from 0 to $\text{maxdistance}=3\text{kpc}$. maxage is a little more complicated: Because the main sequence age (t_{ms}) of a star is a strictly monotonic increasing function of M the following is true: $\text{maxage} = t_{ms}(\text{minmass})$. With a minmass of $5M_{\odot}$ this translates to $\text{maxage}=104\text{Myr}$

The three informations I have about any given star, are its mass, its age and its distance from earth. From these three informations I need to derive its fractional main sequence age (τ) and its apparent magnitude (V) and ultimately the probability density for all stars.

Hurley Pols and Tout published a paper in 2000 in which they approximate the stellar evolution as a function of initial mass (M_{ini}), fractional main sequence age (τ) and metallicity(Z). Now I need to know τ . Using equation 5[citation needed] I know the main sequence age (t_{ms}) and τ then becomes: $\tau = \frac{t}{t_{ms}}$. Since I only include stars on the main sequence, I can safely include the condition: $\tau < 1$ to cut down on computing time. Equation 12 and 13[citation needed] are very powerful equations to compute luminosity and radius for a star on the main sequence.

$$\log \frac{L_{MS}(t)}{L_{ZAMS}} = \alpha_L \tau + \beta_L \tau^\eta + \left(\log \frac{L_{TMS}}{L_{ZAMS}} - \alpha_L - \beta_L \right) \tau^2 - \Delta L (\tau_1^2 - \tau_2^2) \quad (1)$$

$$\log \frac{R_{MS}(t)}{R_{ZAMS}} = \alpha_R \tau + \beta_R \tau^{10} + \gamma \tau^{40} + \left(\log \frac{R_{TMS}}{R_{ZAMS}} - \alpha_R - \beta_R - \gamma \right) \tau^3 - \Delta R (\tau_1^3 - \tau_2^3) \quad (2)$$

[insert dependancies of the variables in those equations]

...insert HRD illustrating lumiradius]

The program does allow for freely changeable metallicities. For my purposes I use $Z=0.02$ for all stars to simulate a metallicity similar to that of our galactic neighborhood.

I run this program for every possible mass-age tuple and save the results in a matrix This way I don't have to call the program for every distance-mass-age

triple.

With this I now know distance, mass, age, fractional main sequence age, luminosity and radius for any given star. I can now use these informations to compute the apparent magnitudes.

$$M_V = V - 5 \cdot \log_{10}(distance) + 5 - Red \quad (3)$$

$$M_{bol} = M_V + BC \quad (4)$$

$$\frac{L}{L_{\odot}} = 0.4 \cdot (4.72 - M_{bol}) \quad (5)$$

Where M_V is the absolute visual magnitude, Red is the reddening as a function of distance, M_{bol} is the absolute bolometric magnitude and BC is the Bolometric Correction. Using equations 3, 4 and 5 I can now compute the apparent visual magnitude V :

$$V = 5 \cdot \log_{10}(distance) - 5 + Red + 4.72 - \frac{L}{L_{\odot} \cdot 0.4} - BC \quad (6)$$

To get an approximation for reddening, I use figure 9 a graphic by AmoresLe-pine2005, I pick three points in the graph: 1:0.9, 2:2.25 and 3:3.273]

–optimization The binning can be freely adjusted. To optimize runtime of my program I conducted a few tests to adjust the binnings in all three dimensions. [graphics of 50-100-100, 100-50-100, 100-100-50 with caption: this is why I chose 1:2:2]

I execute a pair of nested loops in mass and age to get all the data I need from `luminradius.py` and save the results in two dimensional arrays. This way I won't have to call the function unnecessarily often in the core function.

3 results and conclusion

–

4 ending