May 13, 2018

Abstract

1 Maximum performance of the kmeans kernel

The kernel of the kmeans algorithm is in the kmeans_clustering function. The function is split into three main parts:

- Initialization (happens once)
- In the main loop:
 - Calculating membership to existing clusters for each point.
 - Update clusters.

We can safely ignore initialization for our performance consideration which leaves the main loop.

1.1 The Main Loop

We can split the main loop again into three somewhat distinct parts.

- In a parallel loop:
 - Find the nearest cluster for each point.
 - Collect partial updates on the position of clusters.
- Reduce partial information and update positions of clusters

For our analysis we assume 5 clusters and 34 features which matches the default of kmeans and our input datasets.

The only significant contributors here are the loops to find the nearest cluster and updating the cluster information. For this reason we will look only at these inner loops and not at the rest of the main loop.

1.1.1 Main loop:Finding the nearest cluster

Listing 1: Inlined representation of find_nearest_point

The kernel here is: dist += (pt1[j]-pt2[j]) * (pt1[j]-pt2[j]).

We get 8 1 byte of memory bandwith and three floating point operations. Memory bandwith consists of 2 loads for each iteration. Operations are two additions and one multiplication. 2 3

- Read: pt1[j]
- Read: pt2[j]
- (Ignored) Store of intermediate result

Immediatly obvious from the snippet above we get at least nclusters * nfeatures iterations. With one call per iteration of the main loop this gives us:

```
iterations = n * nclusters * n features = n * 170
```

Even for out smallest data sample this already gives us 17000 iterations and will dominate the computational demands for any reasonably large size of n.

¹Actually 12. But we can ignore the store of dist as it will be held in a register.

²Treating subtraction as addition.

³While there are two subtractions in the code gcc will eliminate one through common subexpression elimination.

If we stop here our Arithmethic Intensity is 3 FP operations per 8 byte. Giving an AI of $\frac{0.375Ops}{Byte}$ 4

1.1.2 Main Loop:Updating cluster information.

```
Listing 2: Updating (partial) cluster information
```

With iterations = n * n features = 34 this loops is not as essential as finding the nearest cluster. However it still contributes a noteworthy amount of computational demands.

In particular for each iteration here we have two loads (8 Byte) and one addition. 5

1.1.3 Reduction loop one

Listing 3: Reduction pt1

```
/* let the main thread perform the array reduction */
for (i=0; i<nclusters; i++) {
    for (j=0; j<nthreads; j++) {
        new_centers_len[i] += partial_new_centers_len[j][i];
        partial_new_centers_len[j][i] = 0.0;
    for (k=0; k<nfeatures; k++) {
            new_centers[i][k] += partial_new_centers[j][i][k];
            partial_new_centers[j][i][k] = 0.0;
    }
}
```

The amount of iterations is static in regards to the number of items we work on. It is given by iterations = clusters*threads*features. In our case when using all cares we get iterations = 5*80*34 = 13600. Which however is neglible for nontrivial datasets so we don't include it in our arithmetic intensity computation.

1.1.4 Reduction loop two

Listing 4: Reduction pt2

⁴Although in practice caches will usually hold both pt1 and the clusters

⁵Although it is fair to assume that partial_new_centers[tid][index][j] will be cached.

}

With at most clusters * features = 5 * 34 = 170 = iterations this loop has no significant impact on overalls performance. For this reason we will ignore it.

1.2 kmeans: Roofline Model

Table 1 gives us the AI for the inner main loop based on it's two essential parts according to the roofline model. It is however important to point out this does assume NO caching. In practice most of the memory pressure will be absorbed by the cache.

According to the roofline model this gives us a demand of

$$\frac{1280GFlops}{0,31Flop/Byte} = 4129Gb/s$$

on the memory system for 80 Threads.

Table 1: Arithmethic Intensity according to the Roofline Model

	Find nearest Cluster	Update cluster information	Total
Iterations	n * 170	n*34	-
Memory (Byte)	8 * 170	12 * 34	1768
Operations (Flop)	3 * 170	1 * 34	544
Arithmetic Intensity (Flop/Byte)	0,375	0,08	0,31

1.3 kmeans: Maximum Performance

When considering the maximum performance of the given code there are multiple things to consider:

- The kernel does not use SIMD instructions. This immediatly brings down maximum performance by a factor of 4!
- The kernel is not balanced. There is actually only a single relevant multiplication in the inner loop! Which can happen in parallel to the additions.

This means performance is limited by the addition ALU primarily.

The kernel in find_nearest_point is able to execute 1,5 Instructions per cycle instead of the maximum of 2. We could take into account the limiting factor in the smaller loop Listing 2 which is limited purely by addition. However this would bring down flops/cycle only slightly to 1,41 so for simplicity we will use 1.5 for further analysis.

Maximum FP performance under these considerations is

1280GFlops * 0.25(no SIMD) * 0.75(imbalance) = 240GFlops

.

Which gives us for the demand on the memory subsystem for 80 Threads:

$$\frac{240GFlops}{0,31Flop/Byte} = 774GB/s$$

However since many of the inputs to the kernel can be cached this is not representative from the actualy demands as our measurements show.