AARHUS UNIVERSITY
SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

MACHINE LEARNING

LESSON 5: Training II

CARSTEN EIE FRIGAARD

SPRING 2019



L05: Training II

Agenda

- Important: your feedback (positive as well as negative)...
- Course modification i): *more OPTIONAL* exercices
- Course modification ii): revision to 'Microlearning'
  (only one lecure session, beginning of class)
- Installing `keras` is slow/buggy, see WIKI..
- L04 Training I: Training a linear regression model
  OPTIONAL: Exercise: `L04/linear_regression_1.ipynb`
  OPTIONAL: Exercise: `L04/linear_regression_2.ipynb`
- L05 Training II: **Training and model concepts**
  Exercise: `L05/gradient_descent.ipynb`
  Exercise: `L05/capacity_under_overfitting.ipynb`
  Exercise: `L05/generalization_error.ipynb`
  OPTIONAL: Exercise: `L05/train_test_split.ipynb`

RESUMÉ: Metrics

Precision, recall and accuracy, F_1-score, and confusion matrix

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text{precision,} \quad & p &= \frac{TP}{TP+FP} \\
\text{recall (or sensitivity),} \quad & r &= \frac{TP}{TP+FN} \\
\text{accuracy,} \quad & a &= \frac{TP+TN}{TP+TN+FP+FN} \\
F_1\text{-score,} \quad & F_1 &= \frac{2pr}{p+r}
\end{aligned}
$$

Confusion Matrix, binary-class data,

$\mathbf{M}_{\text{confusion}} =$

	actual true	actual false
predicted true	TP	FP
predicted false	FN	TN



RESUMÉ: Cross-covariance Matrix

Data matrix for a two-dimensional feature space

$$\mathbf{X} = \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{cc} \lambda_1 & \lambda_2 \end{array} \\ \begin{bmatrix} x_1^{(1)} & x_2^{(1)} \\ x_1^{(2)} & x_2^{(2)} \\ \vdots & \\ x_1^{(n)} & x_2^{(n)} \end{bmatrix} \end{array}$$

Cross-covariance matrix, for the two-dimensional feature space

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}(\mathbf{X}) = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma(\lambda_1, \lambda_1) & \sigma(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \\ \sigma(\lambda_2, \lambda_1) & \sigma(\lambda_2, \lambda_2) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma(\lambda_1)^2 & \sigma(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \\ \sigma(\lambda_2, \lambda_1) & \sigma(\lambda_2)^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

with $$\sigma(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\mathbf{x}_1^{(i)} - \mu_{\lambda_1})(\mathbf{x}_2^{(i)} - \mu_{\lambda_2})$$



Example: **X**; a 100 x 2 matrix, see fig..

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}(\mathbf{X}) = \begin{bmatrix} 5.76 & -4.52 \\ -4.52 & 8.00 \end{bmatrix}$$

- $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ is real and symmetric,
- diagonal: the (auto)-variance of a feature, $\sigma(\lambda_j)^2$
- Pearson's r: cross-correlation via cross-covar,
- similar dimension as Confusion matrix,
- python implementation: see `L02/Extra/covariance_matrix_demo.ipynb`.

RESUMÉ: Training a Linear Regressor

Minimizing the Linear Regression: The `argmin` concept

Our linear regression cost function was

$$J(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{y}; \mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{2} \left|\left|\mathbf{X}\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{y}\right|\right|_2^2$$



and training amounts to finding a value of $\mathbf{w}$, that minimizes J. This is denoted as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{w}^* &= \text{argmin}_{\mathbf{w}} \, J(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{y}; \mathbf{w}) \\ &= \text{argmin}_{\mathbf{w}} \, \frac{1}{2} \left|\left|\mathbf{X}\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{y}\right|\right|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

and by minima, we naturally hope for

- the global minumum

thought for non-linear models this cannot be guarantied, hitting some

- local minimum

RESUMÉ: `L04/linear_regression_1.ipynb`

Training: The Closed-form Linear-Least-Squares Solution

To solve for $\mathbf{w}^*$ in closed form, we find the gradient of J with respect to $\mathbf{w}$

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{w}} J = \left[\frac{\partial J}{\partial w_1}, \frac{\partial J}{\partial w_2}, \ldots, \frac{\partial J}{\partial w_m}\right]^\top$$

Taking the partial deriverty $\partial/\partial_{\mathbf{w}}$ of the J via the gradient (nabla) operator

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\mathbf{w}} J(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{y}; \mathbf{w}) &= \mathbf{X}^\top (\mathbf{X}\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{y}) \ = \ 0 \\ 0 &= \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X}\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{y} \end{aligned}$$

with a small amount of matrix algebra, this gives the *normal equation*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{w}^* &= \operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbf{w}} \tfrac{1}{2} ||\mathbf{X}\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{y}||_2^2 \\ &= \left(\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{y} \end{aligned}$$

Numerical Solution: Gradient Descent (GD)

The GD Algorithm

First, find the deriverty of J, via $\nabla_{\mathbf{w}} J$, that after some matrix algebra gives

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{w}} J(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{2}{m} \mathbf{X}^{\top} (\mathbf{X}\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{y})$$

Then move along in the *opposite* direction of this gradient, taking a step of size η



$$\mathbf{w}^{(step\ N+1)} = \mathbf{w}^{(step\ N)} - \eta \nabla_{\mathbf{w}} J(\mathbf{w})$$

The SG-algo in python code

```
for iteration in range(n_iterations):
    gradients=2/m*X_b.T.dot(X_b.dot(theta)-y)
    theta=theta - eta * gradients
```

NOTE: The `X_b` is a `X` with a all-1 column prepended, and using the contant factor $2/m$ instead of just $1/2$ and with $\mathbf{w} = \boldsymbol{\theta}$

Learning rate, η

And understanding Scikit-learn's `SGDRegressor`

Scikit-learn η updating schemes:

constant, adaptive, invscaling, optimal



The `SGDRegressor` in Scikit-learn has a *hyperparameter* for this:

```
learning_rate:  string, optional
'invscaling':[default], eta=eta0/pow(t,power_t)
```

Learning rate, η

And understanding Scikit-learn's `SGDRegressor`

The `SGDRegressor` constructor in Scikit-learn

```
class sklearn.linear_model.SGDRegressor(
  loss    ='squared_loss', penalty      ='l2',
  alpha   =0.0001,         l1_ratio     =0.15,
  tol     =None,           shuffle      =True,
  verbose =0,              epsilon      =0.1,
  eta0    =0.01,           power_t      =0.25,
  n_iter_no_change=5,      warm_start   =False,
  fit_intercept   =True,   max_iter     =None,
  average         =False,  n_iter       =None
  random_state    =None,   learning_rate='invscaling',
  early_stopping =False,   validation_fraction=0.1
)
```

Important for now...(hyperparam search in L07)

- `loss`, `penalty` (our MSE and $\mathcal{L}_2$ norm),
- `eta0`, `learning_rate`,
- `shuffle`, `early_stopping`,
- and perhaps `random_state`.

Stochastic Gradient Descent (SGD) Method

Exercise: `gradient_descent.ipynb`

The problem with the GD Algorithm, it takes $\mathbf{X}$ as input, the complete dataset

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{w}} J(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{2}{m} \mathbf{X}^\top (\mathbf{X}\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{y})$$

That's a big mouthful to matrix transpose and multiply!

Introducing a per-data-sample, or stochastic, method

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{w}} J(\mathbf{w})^{(i)} = \frac{2}{m} \mathbf{x}^{(i)\top} \left(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{y}\right)$$

```
for epoch in range(n_epochs):
  for i in range(m):
    .
    .
    .
    grads = 2*xi.T.dot(xi.dot(theta)-yi)
    eta   = ...
    theta = ...
```



NOTE: Notice the use of `epoch` in SGD, that is different from `iteration` in GD.

Model capacity and under/overfitting

Exercise: `capacity_under_overfitting.ipynb`

Dummy and Paradox classifier:
  capacity fixed ~ 0, cannot generalize at all!
Linear regression for a polynomial model:
  capacity $\sim$ degree of polynomial, x^n

Polynomial linear reg. fit for underlying model: `cos(x)`



- underfitting: capacity of model too low,
- overfitting: capacity to high.

Generalization Error

Exercise: `generalization_error.ipynb`

RMSE-capacity plot for lin. reg. with polynomial features (capacity = degree of poly)





Inspecting the plots from [HOML] and [DL], extracting the concepts

- training/generalization error,
- generalization gab,
- underfit/overfit zone,
- optimal capacity (best-model, early stop).