

# MAT4770: Recap of curriculum

Andreas Slaattelid

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Temperature markets</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1	CAT-futures . . . . .	4
1.2	Call option on CAT-futures . . . . .	5
1.3	HDD/CDD-futures . . . . .	6
<b>2</b>	<b>Esscher transform</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1	Esscher transform . . . . .	8
2.2	Understanding $\varphi(\tilde{\theta})$ : . . . . .	9
2.3	Jump intensity and distribution under $Q^{\tilde{\theta}}$ : . . . . .	11
2.4	Tricks on calculating integrals of CPP's . . . . .	12
2.5	Trick number 2: compensated CPP . . . . .	14
2.6	Trick number 3: using characteristic function of I under Q . . . . .	16
<b>3</b>	<b>Pricing of forwards: Geometric case</b>	<b>17</b>
3.1	Analysis of risk premium . . . . .	20
<b>4</b>	<b>Pricing of forwards Arithmetic case</b>	<b>22</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>HJM-modelling</b>	<b>25</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Black-76 formula</b>	<b>27</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Pricing of options when we have jumps</b>	<b>30</b>
7.1	Fourier Analysis . . . . .	30
7.2	Fourier methods in practice . . . . .	33

The following content is heavily based upon the wonderful lecture notes of Fred Espen Benth in the course MAT4770-Stochastic Modelling in Energy and Commodity Markets. Please check out the course STK4530- Interest Rate Modelling via SPDE's, the course consists of many of the same methodologies.

# 1 Temperature markets

let  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$  and  $B(t)$  a 1-dim BM.  $\mathbf{e}_p$  is the  $p$ -th unit vector.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \\ -\alpha_p & -\alpha_{p-1} & -\alpha_{p-2} & \dots & -\alpha_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The model we will be interested in is:

$$dX(t) = AX(t)dt + \sigma \mathbf{e}_p dB(t)$$

**Proposition 1** *The solution to the above SDE, for  $s \geq t$  is given by:*

$$X(s) = e^{A(s-t)}X(t) + \sigma \int_t^s e^{A(s-u)} \mathbf{e}_p dB(u)$$

**Proof 1** *We start off by the usual way, and apply a "OU/Vasicek"-trick:*

$$\begin{aligned} d(e^{-At}X(t)) &= -Ae^{-At}X(t)dt + e^{-At}dX(t) \\ &= -Ae^{-At}X(t)dt + e^{-At}[AX(t)dt + \sigma \mathbf{e}_p dB(t)] \\ &= \sigma e^{-At} \mathbf{e}_p dB(t) \\ &\Downarrow \\ X(s) &= e^{A(s-t)}X(t) + \sigma \int_t^s e^{A(s-u)} \mathbf{e}_p dB(u) \end{aligned}$$

Let  $b' = (b_0, b_1, \dots, b_q, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^p$ , with  $b_q = 1$  for  $q < p$ , we then define:

**Definition 1 (CARMA(p,q))** The CARMA(p,q) process  $Y(t)$  is defined by:

$$CARMA(p, q) = Y(t) := b'X(t)$$

**Definition 2 (CAR(p))** The CAR(p) process is defined by:

$$CAR(p) = CARMA(p, 0) := Y(t) = e_1'X(t) = X_1(t)$$

We will be interested in CAR(p)-processes, as these will be a part of modelling temperature dynamics.

### 1.1 CAT-futures

We define the CAT-future as follows:

$$F_{CAT}(t, \tau_1, \tau_2) = \mathbb{E}_Q \left[ \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} T(s) ds | \mathcal{F}_t \right]$$

Where:

$$T(s) = \Lambda(s) + e_1'X(s)$$

The temperature follows a deterministic seasonal-function  $\Lambda$  and a CAR(p)-process. We model under  $Q$ , and would therefore need a change of measure:

$$\frac{dQ^\theta}{dP} \Big|_{\mathcal{F}_t} = \exp \left( \int_0^t \theta dB_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \theta^2 ds \right)$$

And from Girsanov, we get:  $dB^\theta(t) = dB(t) - \theta dt$  is a  $Q^\theta$ -BM.

$$X(s) = e^{A(s-t)}X(t) + \sigma \int_t^s e^{A(s-u)} e_p \theta du + \sigma \int_s^t e^{A(s-u)} e_p dB^\theta(u) \quad (Q^\theta)$$

**Proposition 2 (dynamics of CAT-futures)** The CAT-futures has the following dynamics:

$$\begin{aligned} dF_{CAT}(t, \tau_1, \tau_2) &= \Sigma_{CAT}(t, \tau_1, \tau_2) dB^\theta(t) \\ \Sigma_{CAT}(t, \tau_1, \tau_2) &= \sigma e_1' A^{-1} \left( e^{A(\tau_2-t)} - e^{A(\tau_1-t)} \right) e_p \\ &= \sigma e_1' \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} e^{A(s-t)} ds e_p \end{aligned}$$

## 1.2 Call option on CAT-futures

$$C(t, \tau, K, \tau_1, \tau_2) = e^{-r(\tau-t)} \mathbb{E}_Q \left[ (F_{CAT}(\tau, \tau_1, \tau_2) - K)^+ | \mathcal{F}_t \right]$$

We start off by decomposing  $F_{CAT}$ :

$$F_{CAT}(\tau, \tau_1, \tau_2) = F_{CAT}(t, \tau, \tau_1, \tau_2) + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \Sigma_{CAT}(s, \tau_1, \tau_2) dB^\theta(s)$$

Here the first part is  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -measurable and the second part is  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -independent.

Furthermore, we exploit the following:

$$\int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \Sigma_{CAT}(s, \tau_1, \tau_2) dB^\theta(s) \sim \mathcal{N} \left( 0, \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \Sigma^2(s, \tau_1, \tau_2) ds \right)$$

Let  $c_1 = \sqrt{\int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \Sigma^2(s, \tau_1, \tau_2) ds}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_Q \left[ (F_{CAT}(\tau, \tau_1, \tau_2) - K)^+ | \mathcal{F}_t \right] &= \mathbb{E}_Q \left[ \left( x + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \Sigma_{CAT}(s, \tau_1, \tau_2) dB^\theta(s) \right)^+ \right] \Big|_{x=F_{CAT}(t, \tau_1, \tau_2)} \\ &= \mathbb{E}_Q \left[ (x + c_1 Z)^+ \right] \Big|_{x=F_{CAT}(t, \tau_1, \tau_2)} \end{aligned}$$

Now:  $x + c_1 Z > 0 \implies Z > \frac{K-x}{c_1} := -d$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_Q \left[ (x + c_1 Z)^+ \right] \Big|_{x=F_{CAT}(t, \tau_1, \tau_2)} &= \int_{-d}^{\infty} (x - K + c_1 z) \varphi(z) dz \\ &= (x - K) \int_{-d}^{\infty} \varphi(z) dz + c_1 \int_{-d}^{\infty} z \varphi(z) dz \end{aligned}$$

Now we will use the symmetry of the Normal distribution, as well as the fact:  $\varphi'(z) = -z\varphi(z)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} (x - K) \int_{-d}^{\infty} \varphi(z) dz + c_1 \int_{-d}^{\infty} z \varphi(z) dz &= (x - K) \Phi(d) - c_1 \int_{-d}^{\infty} \varphi'(z) dz \\ &= (x - K) \Phi(d) - c_1 [0 - \varphi(-d)] \\ &= (x - K) \Phi(d) + c_1 \varphi(d) \end{aligned}$$

Which finally gives:

$$\begin{aligned} C(t, \tau, K, \tau_1, \tau_2) &= e^{-r(\tau-t)} \left( [F_{CAT}(t, \tau_1, \tau_2) - K] \Phi(d) + \sqrt{\int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \Sigma^2(s, \tau_1, \tau_2) ds} \varphi(d) \right) \\ d &= \frac{F_{CAT}(t, \tau_1, \tau_2) - K}{\sqrt{\int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \Sigma^2(s, \tau_1, \tau_2) ds}} \end{aligned}$$

### 1.3 HDD/CDD-futures

These are contracts traded on CME, these represent demand for heating and cooling, they are defined as:

$$\begin{aligned}CDD(t, \tau_1, \tau_2) &= \max(T(t) - c, 0) \\HDD(t, \tau_1, \tau_2) &= \max(c - T(t), 0)\end{aligned}$$

The price of a forward at time  $t$  with measurement period  $[\tau_1, \tau_2]$  is:

$$F_{CDD}(t, \tau_1, \tau_2) = \mathbb{E}_Q \left[ \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} CDD(s) ds \middle| F_t \right]$$

Meaning that one in general is interested in:  $\mathbb{E}_Q[CDD(s)|\mathcal{F}_t]$ , meaning that one needs a model for the temperature  $T(s)$  and a measure change  $Q \sim P$ .

$$T(s) = \Lambda(s) + e_1' X(s)$$

## 2 Esscher transform

We start off by the following:

$$f(t, \tau) = \mathbb{E}_Q[S(\tau)|\mathcal{F}_t]$$

Where does this relation come from: All tradable assets should be  $Q$ -martingales after discounting. Furthermore futures are free to enter, giving:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{future}(t) = 0 &= e^{-r(\tau-t)} \mathbb{E}_Q[S(\tau) - f(t, \tau)|\mathcal{F}_t] \\ \Downarrow \\ f(t, \tau) &= \mathbb{E}_Q[S(\tau)|\mathcal{F}_t] \end{aligned}$$

We have that power spot is not tradable, hence the completeness of the market is gone, thus giving us many pricing measures, we should choose  $Q$  so that:

1. the spot  $S$ , can be categorized under  $Q$
2. the expected value can be computed.

Our model framework is:

$$\Lambda(t) + X(t) + Y(t) = \begin{cases} \ln(S(t)) & \text{Geometric} \\ S(t) & \text{Arithmetic} \end{cases}$$

With the following dynamics:

$$\begin{aligned} dX(t) &= [\mu - \alpha X(t)]dt + \sigma dB(t) \\ dY(t) &= [\delta - \beta Y(t)]dt + \eta dI(t) \end{aligned}$$

Consider  $X$ : here we change measure by Girsanov: let  $\hat{\theta}$  be a constant, and define:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{Z}(t) &= \exp\left(\hat{\theta}B(t) - \frac{1}{2}\hat{\theta}^2t\right) \\ d\hat{B}_t &= dB(t) - \hat{\theta}dt\end{aligned}$$

Here  $t \mapsto \hat{Z}(t)$  is a  $(P, \mathcal{F})$ -martingale. We get the Radon-nikodym derivative:

$$\left.\frac{dQ^{\hat{\theta}}}{dP}\right|_{\mathcal{F}_t} = \hat{Z}(t)$$

From Girsanov's thm:  $Q^{\hat{\theta}}$  is a probability measure, and  $\hat{B}$  is a  $Q^{\hat{\theta}}$ -BM. We state the dynamics under  $Q^{\hat{\theta}}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}dX(t) &= [\mu - \alpha X(t)]dt + \sigma dB(t) \\ &= [\mu - \alpha X(t)]dt + \sigma[d\hat{B}_t + \hat{\theta}]dt \\ &= [(\mu + \sigma\hat{\theta}) - \alpha X(t)]dt + \sigma d\hat{B}(t)\end{aligned}$$

## 2.1 Esscher transform

Let  $\tilde{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}$ , and define:

$$\tilde{Z}(t) = \exp\left(\tilde{\theta}I(t) - \varphi(\tilde{\theta})t\right)$$

Here:

$$\begin{aligned}I(t) &= \sum_{k=1}^{N_t} J_k \\ \varphi(\tilde{\theta}) &= \ln \mathbb{E}[e^{\tilde{\theta}I(1)}]\end{aligned}$$

We will study  $\varphi(\tilde{\theta})$  a bit further, later on.



In order for  $\tilde{Z}(t)$  to be well defined, we must have that  $\mathbb{E}[e^{\tilde{\theta}I(t)}]$  exist:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[e^{\tilde{\theta}I(t)}] &= \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\tilde{\theta}\sum_{k=1}^{N_t} J_k}\right] \\
&= \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}[e^{\tilde{\theta}\sum_{k=1}^{N_t} J_k} | N_t]\right] \\
&= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathbb{E}[e^{\tilde{\theta}\sum_{k=1}^{N_t} J_k} | N_t = n] P(N_t = n) \\
&= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathbb{E}\left[\prod_{k=1}^n e^{\tilde{\theta}J_k}\right] e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^n}{n!} \\
&= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \left(\prod_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E}[e^{\tilde{\theta}J_k}]\right) e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^n}{n!} \\
&= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \left(\mathbb{E}[e^{\tilde{\theta}J_1}]\right)^n e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^n}{n!} \\
&= e^{-\lambda t} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \frac{(\mathbb{E}[e^{\tilde{\theta}J_1}]\lambda t)^n}{n!} \\
&= e^{-\lambda t} e^{\mathbb{E}[e^{\tilde{\theta}J_1}]\lambda t} \\
&= \exp\left(\lambda t(\mathbb{E}[e^{\tilde{\theta}J_1}] - 1)\right)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we must require  $\mathbb{E}[e^{\tilde{\theta}J_1}] < \infty$

## 2.2 Understanding $\varphi(\tilde{\theta})$ :

We recall that we defined:

$$\varphi(\tilde{\theta}) = \ln \mathbb{E}[e^{\tilde{\theta}I(1)}]$$

From above, we just found out that:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[e^{\tilde{\theta}I(t)}] &= \exp\left(\lambda t(\mathbb{E}[e^{\tilde{\theta}J_1}] - 1)\right) \\
&\Downarrow \\
\mathbb{E}[e^{\tilde{\theta}I(1)}] &= \exp\left(\lambda(\mathbb{E}[e^{\tilde{\theta}J_1}] - 1)\right) \\
&\Downarrow \\
\varphi(\tilde{\theta}) &= \ln \mathbb{E}[e^{\tilde{\theta}I(1)}] = \left(\lambda(\mathbb{E}[e^{\tilde{\theta}J_1}] - 1)\right)
\end{aligned}$$

Now:

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi(\tilde{\theta})t &= \left(\lambda t(\mathbb{E}[e^{\tilde{\theta}J_1}] - 1)\right) = \ln \mathbb{E}[e^{\tilde{\theta}I(t)}] \\
&\Downarrow \\
e^{\varphi(\tilde{\theta})t} &= \mathbb{E}[e^{\tilde{\theta}I(t)}]
\end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 3**  $\tilde{Z}(t) = \exp(\tilde{\theta}I(t) - \varphi(\tilde{\theta})t)$  is a  $(P, \mathcal{F})$ -martingale

**Proof 2** As  $I$  is a Levy-process, it has independent and stationary increments:  $I_t = I_s + (I_t - I_s)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[\tilde{Z}(t)|\mathcal{F}_s] &= \mathbb{E}[e^{\tilde{\theta}I(t) - \varphi(\tilde{\theta})t}|\mathcal{F}_s] \\ &= e^{-\varphi(\tilde{\theta})t} \mathbb{E}[e^{\tilde{\theta}(I_s + (I_t - I_s))}|\mathcal{F}_s] \\ &= e^{-\varphi(\tilde{\theta})t} e^{\tilde{\theta}I(s)} \mathbb{E}[e^{\tilde{\theta}(I(t) - I(s))}] \\ &= e^{-\varphi(\tilde{\theta})t} e^{\tilde{\theta}I(s)} \mathbb{E}[e^{\tilde{\theta}(I(t-s))}] \\ &= e^{-\varphi(\tilde{\theta})t} e^{\tilde{\theta}I(s)} e^{\varphi(\tilde{\theta})(t-s)} \\ &= e^{\tilde{\theta}I(s) - \varphi(\tilde{\theta})s} = \tilde{Z}(s)\end{aligned}$$

We define  $Q^{\tilde{\theta}}$  such that for  $A \in \mathcal{F}_t$ , we get:

$$\begin{aligned}Q^{\tilde{\theta}}(A) &= \mathbb{E}[\tilde{Z}(t)\mathbf{1}_A] \\ \frac{dQ^{\tilde{\theta}}}{dP}\Big|_{\mathcal{F}_t} &= \tilde{Z}(t), \quad Q^{\tilde{\theta}} \sim P\end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 4**  $I(t)$  is a CPP under  $Q^{\tilde{\theta}}$ , meaning that the Esscher transform is structure preserving.

**Proposition 5 (Characteristic function of  $I$  under  $\tilde{Q}$ )**

$$\mathbb{E}_{Q^{\tilde{\theta}}} \left[ e^{ixI(t)} \right] = \exp \left( [\varphi(\tilde{\theta} + ix) - \varphi(\tilde{\theta})]t \right)$$

**Proof 3** This relies all upon Bayes theorem:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_{Q^{\tilde{\theta}}} \left[ e^{ixI(t)} \right] &= \frac{\mathbb{E} \left[ e^{ixI(t)} \frac{dQ}{dP} \Big|_{\mathcal{F}_t} \right]}{\underbrace{\mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{dQ}{dP} \Big|_{\mathcal{F}_t} \right]}_{P\text{-mart}}} = \mathbb{E} \left[ e^{ixI(t)} \tilde{Z}(t) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[ e^{ixI(t)} e^{(\tilde{\theta}I(t) - \varphi(\tilde{\theta})t)} \right] \\ &= e^{-\varphi(\tilde{\theta})t} \mathbb{E} \left[ e^{ixI(t) + \tilde{\theta}I(t)} \right] \\ &= e^{-\varphi(\tilde{\theta})t} \underbrace{\mathbb{E} \left[ e^{(\tilde{\theta} + ix)I(t)} \right]}_{:= e^{\varphi(\tilde{\theta} + ix)t}} \\ &= \exp \left( [\varphi(\tilde{\theta} + ix) - \varphi(\tilde{\theta})]t \right)\end{aligned}$$

### 2.3 Jump intensity and distribution under $Q^{\tilde{\theta}}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi(\tilde{\theta} + ix) - \varphi(\tilde{\theta}) &= \ln \mathbb{E}[e^{\tilde{\theta} + ix} I(1)] - \ln \mathbb{E}[e^{\tilde{\theta} I(1)}] \\
&= \lambda(\mathbb{E}[e^{(\tilde{\theta} + ix)J}] - 1) - \lambda(\mathbb{E}[e^{\tilde{\theta} J}] - 1) \\
&= \lambda \mathbb{E}[e^{(\tilde{\theta} + ix)J}] - \lambda \mathbb{E}[e^{\tilde{\theta} J}] \\
&= \lambda \mathbb{E}[e^{\tilde{\theta} J} e^{ixJ} - e^{\tilde{\theta} J}] \\
&= \lambda \mathbb{E}[e^{\tilde{\theta} J} (e^{ixJ} - 1)] \\
&= \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{ixy} - 1) e^{\tilde{\theta} y} P_J(dy)
\end{aligned}$$

Before we move on, we recall the characteristic function of a CPP with intensity  $\lambda$ , and law  $P_J(dy)$

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{iuI(t)}] = \exp \left( \lambda t \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{iuy} - 1) P_J(dy) \right)$$

We continue:

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{ixy} - 1) e^{\tilde{\theta} y} P_J(dy) &= \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{\tilde{\theta} y} P_J(dy) \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{ixy} - 1) \frac{e^{\tilde{\theta} y} P_J(dy)}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{\tilde{\theta} y} P_J(dy)} \\
&= \lambda \mathbb{E}[e^{\tilde{\theta} J}] \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{ixy} - 1) P_J^{\tilde{\theta}}(dy)
\end{aligned}$$

Where we have defined:

$$P_J^{\tilde{\theta}}(A) = \int_A \frac{e^{\tilde{\theta} y}}{\mathbb{E}[e^{\tilde{\theta} J}]} P_J(dy)$$

In conclusion:  $I(t)$  is a CPP under  $Q^{\tilde{\theta}}$  with intensity  $\lambda_{\tilde{\theta}} = \lambda \mathbb{E}[e^{\tilde{\theta} J}]$  and jump distribution:

$$P_J^{\tilde{\theta}}(dy) = \frac{e^{\tilde{\theta} y} P_J(dy)}{\mathbb{E}[e^{\tilde{\theta} J}]}$$

## 2.4 Tricks on calculating integrals of CPP's

The first one we will look at is:

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \int_t^T e^{-\beta(T-s)} dI(s) \right]$$

Before we start doing things, we recall somethings:

**Proposition 6 (Characteristic function)**

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ e^{i\theta \int_0^t g(s) dI(s)} \right] = \exp \left( \int_0^t \Psi(\theta g(s)) ds \right)$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(x) &= \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{ixy} - 1) P_J(dy) \\ &= \lambda (\mathbb{E}[e^{ixJ}] - 1) \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 7 (Relation between characteristic function and expectation)**

$$\mathbb{E}[X^k] = i^{-k} \phi_X^{(k)}(\theta) \Big|_{\theta=0}$$

Where:

$$\phi_X^{(k)}(\theta) = \frac{d}{d\theta^k} \mathbb{E}[e^{i\theta X}]$$

We will now use this proposition, in combination with the relationship

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \int_t^T e^{-\beta(T-s)} dI(s) \right] = i^{-1} \frac{d}{d\theta} \left[ \mathbb{E}[e^{i\theta \int_t^T e^{-\beta(T-s)} dI(s)}] \right] \Big|_{\theta=0}$$

Furthermore:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[ e^{i\theta \int_t^T e^{-\beta(T-s)} dI(s)} \right] &= \exp \left( \int_t^T \Psi(\theta e^{-\beta(T-s)}) ds \right) \\ &= \exp \left( \int_0^{T-t} \Psi(\theta e^{-\beta s}) ds \right) \end{aligned}$$

We are now ready to take the derivative:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\theta} \exp \left( \int_0^{T-t} \Psi(\theta e^{-\beta s}) ds \right) &= \exp \left( \int_0^{T-t} \Psi(\theta e^{-\beta s}) ds \right) \int_0^{T-t} \frac{d}{d\theta} \Psi(\theta e^{-\beta s}) ds \\ &= \exp \left( \int_0^{T-t} \Psi(\theta e^{-\beta s}) ds \right) \int_0^{T-t} \Psi'(\theta e^{-\beta s}) e^{-\beta s} ds \end{aligned}$$

Here we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi'(x) &= \frac{d}{dx} \lambda (\mathbb{E}[e^{ixJ}] - 1) = \lambda \frac{d}{dx} \mathbb{E}[e^{ixJ}] \\
&= \lambda \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{d}{dx} e^{ixJ} \right] \\
&= \lambda \mathbb{E} [e^{ixJ} iJ] \\
&= \lambda i \mathbb{E}[e^{ixJ} J]
\end{aligned}$$

Evaluating the above at  $\theta = 0$ , gives us:

$$\frac{d}{d\theta} \exp \left( \int_0^{T-t} \Psi(\theta e^{-\beta s}) ds \right) \Big|_{\theta=0} = \exp \left( \int_0^{T-t} \Psi(0) ds \right) \int_0^{T-t} \Psi'(0) e^{-\beta s} ds$$

We have  $\Psi(0) = 0$  and  $\Psi'(0) = \lambda i \mathbb{E}[J]$ , leaving us with:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d\theta} \exp \left( \int_0^{T-t} \Psi(\theta e^{-\beta s}) ds \right) \Big|_{\theta=0} &= \int_0^{T-t} \lambda i \mathbb{E}[J] e^{-\beta s} ds \\
&= \frac{\lambda}{\beta} i \mathbb{E}[J] (1 - e^{-\beta(T-t)})
\end{aligned}$$

And finally we can compute:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \left[ \int_t^T e^{-\beta(T-s)} dI(s) \right] &= i^{-1} \frac{d}{d\theta} \left[ \mathbb{E}[e^{i\theta \int_t^T e^{-\beta(T-s)} dI(s)}] \right] \Big|_{\theta=0} \\
&= \frac{\lambda}{\beta} \mathbb{E}[J] (1 - e^{-\beta(T-t)})
\end{aligned}$$

## 2.5 Trick number 2: compensated CPP

Let's say we still want to compute:

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \int_t^T e^{-\beta(T-s)} dI(s) \right]$$

We can also use martingale-theory to compute this quantity, namely by looking at the compensated CPP, meaning that we look at:

$$\tilde{I}(t) := I(t) - \mathbb{E}[I(t)]$$

We start off by computing:  $\mathbb{E}[I(t)]$

$$\mathbb{E}[I(t)] = i^{-1} \frac{d}{dx} \mathbb{E} \left[ e^{ixI(t)} \right] \Big|_{x=0}$$

We use the same procedure as earlier:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dx} \mathbb{E} \left[ e^{ixI(t)} \right] &= \frac{d}{dx} \exp(\Psi(x)t) \\ &= \exp(\Psi(x)t) \Psi'(x) \\ &= \exp(\Psi(x)t) \lambda i \mathbb{E}[e^{ixJ} J] t \\ &\Downarrow \\ \frac{d}{dx} \mathbb{E} \left[ e^{ixI(t)} \right] \Big|_{x=0} &= \lambda i \mathbb{E}[J] t \end{aligned}$$

This leaves us with:

$$\mathbb{E}[I(t)] = \lambda \mathbb{E}[J] t$$

We have that  $\tilde{I}$  is a Levy-process and a martingale (it can be shown).

We write down the differential form of  $\tilde{I}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} d\tilde{I}(t) &= dI(t) - d(\mathbb{E}[I(t)]) \\ &= dI(t) - d(\lambda \mathbb{E}[J] t) \\ &= dI(t) - \lambda \mathbb{E}[J] dt \end{aligned}$$

We now get:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \left[ \int_t^T e^{-\beta(T-s)} dI(s) \right] &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_t^T e^{-\beta(T-s)} (d\tilde{I}(s) + \lambda \mathbb{E}[J] ds) \right] \\
&= \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_t^T e^{-\beta(T-s)} d\tilde{I}(s) \right] + \lambda \mathbb{E}[J] \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_t^T e^{-\beta(T-s)} ds \right] \\
&= 0 + \lambda \mathbb{E}[J] \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_t^T e^{-\beta(T-s)} ds \right] \\
&= \lambda \mathbb{E}[J] \left( 1 - e^{-\beta(T-t)} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

**Why is the integral w.r.t the martingale zero?**

The intuition comes when we look at simple functions  $g_n \rightarrow g$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_t^T g_n(s) d\tilde{I}(s) &= \sum_i g_n(s_i) [\tilde{I}(s_{i+1}) - \tilde{I}(s_i)] \\
&\Downarrow \\
\mathbb{E} \left[ \int_t^T g_n(s) d\tilde{I}(s) \right] &= \sum_i g_n(s_i) \mathbb{E}[\tilde{I}(s_{i+1}) - \tilde{I}(s_i)] = 0
\end{aligned}$$

## 2.6 Trick number 3: using characteristic function of I under Q

From earlier we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_{Q^{\tilde{\theta}}} \left[ e^{ixI(t)} \right] &= \exp \left( [\varphi(\tilde{\theta} + ix) - \varphi(\tilde{\theta})]t \right) \\ &= \exp \left( \int_0^t [\varphi(\tilde{\theta} + ix) - \varphi(\tilde{\theta})]ds \right)\end{aligned}$$

The key observation here is the following:

$$\mathbb{E}_{Q^{\tilde{\theta}}} \left[ e^{ixaI(t)} \right] = \mathbb{E}_{Q^{\tilde{\theta}}} \left[ e^{ix \int_0^t a dI(s)} \right] = \exp \left( \int_0^t [\varphi(\tilde{\theta} + ixa) - \varphi(\tilde{\theta})]ds \right)$$

Let's say we want to calculate:

$$\mathbb{E}_{Q^{\tilde{\theta}}} \left[ e^{\eta \int_t^\tau e^{-\beta(\tau-s)} dI(s)} \right] = \mathbb{E}_{Q^{\tilde{\theta}}} \left[ e^{i(-i)\eta \int_t^\tau e^{-\beta(\tau-s)} dI(s)} \right]$$

So here  $x = -i$  and  $a = \eta e^{-\beta(\tau-s)}$ , giving us:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_{Q^{\tilde{\theta}}} \left[ e^{i(-i)\eta \int_t^\tau e^{-\beta(\tau-s)} dI(s)} \right] &= \exp \left( \int_t^\tau [\varphi(\tilde{\theta} + i * (-i) * (\eta e^{-\beta(\tau-s)})) - \varphi(\tilde{\theta})]ds \right) \\ &= \exp \left( \int_t^\tau [\varphi(\tilde{\theta} + \eta e^{-\beta(\tau-s)}) - \varphi(\tilde{\theta})]ds \right) \\ &= \exp \left( \int_0^{\tau-t} [\varphi(\tilde{\theta} + \eta e^{-\beta s}) - \varphi(\tilde{\theta})]ds \right)\end{aligned}$$

Now let's say we want to calculate the following:

$$\mathbb{E}_Q \left[ \int_t^T e^{-\alpha(T-s)} dI(s) \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_Q[Y^k] &= i^{-k} \phi_{Q,Y}^{(k)}(\theta) \Big|_{\theta=0} \\ &= i^{-k} \frac{d}{d\theta^k} \mathbb{E}_Q[e^{i\theta Y}] \Big|_{\theta=0}\end{aligned}$$



### 3 Pricing of forwards: Geometric case

Let us assume the geometric spot price model:

$$S(t) = \exp(\Lambda(t) + X(t) + Y(t))$$

furthermore we also assume that we have a pricing measure  $Q \sim P$  defined by:

$$\left. \frac{dQ}{dP} \right|_{\mathcal{F}_t} = \hat{Z}(t) \tilde{Z}(t)$$

Where:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{Z}(t) &= \exp \left( \hat{\theta} B(t) - \frac{1}{2} \hat{\theta}^2 t \right) \\ \tilde{Z}(t) &= \exp \left( \tilde{\theta} I(t) - \varphi(\tilde{\theta}) t \right) \end{aligned}$$

$(\tilde{\theta}, \hat{\theta}) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  are parameters so that  $\varphi(\tilde{\theta})$  is well defined, namely  $\mathbb{E}[e^{\tilde{\theta} J}] < \infty$

**Goal:** find:

$$f(t, \tau) = \mathbb{E}_Q[S(\tau) | \mathcal{F}_t]$$

We start with how  $X$  looks like under  $P$ :

$$X(\tau) = e^{-\alpha(\tau-t)} X(t) + \frac{\mu}{\alpha} \left( 1 - e^{-\alpha(\tau-t)} \right) + \sigma \int_t^\tau e^{-\alpha(\tau-s)} dB(s)$$

Now from Girsanov's thm we have:  $d\hat{B}(t) = dB(t) - \hat{\theta} dt$   $\hat{B}$  is a  $Q^{\hat{\theta}}$ -BM, giving us:

$$\hat{X}(\tau) = e^{-\alpha(\tau-t)} X(t) + \frac{\mu + \sigma \hat{\theta}}{\alpha} \left( 1 - e^{-\alpha(\tau-t)} \right) + \sigma \int_t^\tau e^{-\alpha(\tau-s)} d\hat{B}(s)$$

We also recall how  $Y$  looks like under  $P$ :

$$Y(\tau) = e^{-\beta(\tau-t)} Y(t) + \frac{\delta}{\beta} \left( 1 - e^{-\beta(\tau-t)} \right) + \eta \int_t^\tau e^{-\beta(\tau-s)} dI(s)$$

Under  $P$  we have that  $I$  is a CPP with intensity  $\lambda$  and jump-dist  $P_J(dy)$ , under  $Q^{\tilde{\theta}}$  we have that  $I$  is a CPP with intensity  $\lambda_{\tilde{\theta}} = \lambda \mathbb{E}[e^{\tilde{\theta} J}]$  and jump-distribution:

$$P_J^{\tilde{\theta}}(dy) = \frac{e^{\tilde{\theta} y}}{\mathbb{E}[e^{\tilde{\theta} J}]} P_J(dy)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_Q \left[ e^{X(\tau)+Y(\tau)} \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right] &= \exp \left( e^{-\alpha(\tau-t)} X(t) + e^{-\beta(\tau-t)} Y(t) \right) \\
&\times \exp \left( \frac{\mu + \sigma \hat{\theta}}{\alpha} (1 - e^{-\alpha(\tau-t)}) + \frac{\delta}{\beta} (1 - e^{-\beta(\tau-t)}) \right) \\
&\times \mathbb{E}_Q \left[ e^{\sigma \int_t^\tau e^{-\alpha(\tau-s)} d\hat{B}(s)} e^{\eta \int_t^\tau e^{-\beta(\tau-s)} dI(s)} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

Where  $\hat{B}$  and  $I$  are independent, giving us:

$$\mathbb{E}_Q \left[ e^{\sigma \int_t^\tau e^{-\alpha(\tau-s)} d\hat{B}(s)} e^{\eta \int_t^\tau e^{-\beta(\tau-s)} dI(s)} \right] = \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{Q}} \left[ e^{\sigma \int_t^\tau e^{-\alpha(\tau-s)} d\hat{B}(s)} \right] \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{Q}} \left[ e^{\eta \int_t^\tau e^{-\beta(\tau-s)} dI(s)} \right]$$

We will now focus on how to compute:

$$\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{Q}} \left[ e^{\eta \int_t^\tau e^{-\beta(\tau-s)} dI(s)} \right]$$

Actually from earlier we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{Q}} \left[ e^{i\tilde{\theta}\eta \int_t^\tau e^{-\beta(\tau-s)} dI(s)} \right] &= \exp \left( \int_t^\tau \Psi_{\tilde{Q}}(\tilde{\theta}\eta e^{-\beta(\tau-s)}) ds \right) \\
&= \exp \left( \int_0^{\tau-t} \Psi_{\tilde{Q}}(\tilde{\theta}\eta e^{-\beta s}) ds \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence the one we are looking for is when  $\tilde{\theta} = -i$ , giving us:

$$\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{Q}} \left[ e^{\eta \int_t^\tau e^{-\beta(\tau-s)} dI(s)} \right] = \exp \left( \int_0^{\tau-t} \Psi_{\tilde{Q}}(-i\eta e^{-\beta s}) ds \right)$$

Now for the other expectation, we have:

$$\sigma \int_t^\tau e^{-\alpha(\tau-s)} d\hat{B}(s) \sim \mathcal{N} \left( 0, \frac{\sigma^2}{2\alpha} \left[ 1 - e^{-2\alpha(\tau-t)} \right] \right)$$

This yields:

$$\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{Q}} \left[ e^{\sigma \int_t^\tau e^{-\alpha(\tau-s)} d\hat{B}(s)} \right] = \exp \left( \frac{\sigma^2}{4\alpha} \left[ 1 - e^{-2\alpha(\tau-t)} \right] \right)$$

We can then summarize our findings:

$$\begin{aligned} f(t, \tau) &= \mathbb{E}_Q[S(\tau)|\mathcal{F}_t] \\ &= \exp\left(\Lambda(\tau) + e^{-\alpha(\tau-t)}X(t) + e^{-\beta(\tau-t)}Y(t) + \Theta(\tau-t)\right) \end{aligned}$$

Where:

$$\Theta(x) = \frac{\mu + \sigma\hat{\theta}}{\alpha}(1 - e^{-\alpha x}) + \frac{\delta}{\beta}(1 - e^{-\beta x}) + \frac{\sigma^2}{4\alpha}(1 - e^{-2\alpha x}) + \int_0^x \Psi_{\tilde{Q}}(-i\eta e^{-\beta s})ds$$

From Fred's lecture notes he has:

$$\Theta(x) = \frac{\mu + \sigma\hat{\theta}}{\alpha}(1 - e^{-\alpha x}) + \frac{\delta}{\beta}(1 - e^{-\beta x}) + \frac{\sigma^2}{4\alpha}(1 - e^{-2\alpha x}) + \int_0^x \left[ \varphi(\tilde{\theta} + \eta e^{-\beta s}) - \varphi(\tilde{\theta}) \right] ds$$

The reason for that is that he uses the trick I learned about later on in the semester:

$$\mathbb{E}_Q \left[ e^{ix \int_0^t g(s) dI(s)} \right] = \exp \left( \int_0^t [\varphi(\theta + ixg(s)) - \varphi(\theta)] ds \right)$$

Translating this to our setting:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_Q \left[ e^{\eta \int_t^\tau e^{-\beta(\tau-s)} dI(s)} \right] &= \mathbb{E}_Q \left[ e^{i * (-i) \int_t^\tau \eta e^{-\beta(\tau-s)} dI(s)} \right] \\ &= \exp \left( \int_t^\tau [\varphi(\theta + i * (-i) * \eta e^{-\beta(\tau-s)}) - \varphi(\theta)] ds \right) \\ &= \exp \left( \int_0^{\tau-t} [\varphi(\theta + \eta e^{-\beta s}) - \varphi(\theta)] ds \right) \end{aligned}$$

### 3.1 Analysis of risk premium

The risk premium is defined as:

$$\text{Risk premium} := f(t, \tau) - \mathbb{E}[S(\tau)|\mathcal{F}_t]$$

We note here that we use the ordinary expectation, this corresponds to  $(\hat{\theta}, \tilde{\theta}) = (0, 0)$ . The goal here is to study the sign of this risk premium, we can to this by analyzing:

$$\ln(f(t, \tau)) - \ln(\mathbb{E}[S(\tau)|\mathcal{F}_t])$$

We can look at the logarithm as it's an increasing function, kinda the same approach as one uses in likelihood theory.

$$\begin{aligned} \ln(f(t, \tau)) - \ln(\mathbb{E}[S(\tau)|\mathcal{F}_t]) &= \\ \Lambda(\tau) + e^{-\alpha(\tau-t)}X(t) + e^{-\beta(\tau-t)}Y(t) + \Theta(\tau-t) - \Lambda(\tau) + e^{-\alpha(\tau-t)}X(t) + e^{-\beta(\tau-t)}Y(t) + \Theta(\tau-t)_{(\hat{\theta}, \tilde{\theta})=(0,0)} \\ &= \Theta(\tau-t) - \Theta(\tau-t)_{(\hat{\theta}, \tilde{\theta})=(0,0)} \\ &= \frac{\mu + \sigma\hat{\theta}}{\alpha}(1 - e^{-\alpha(\tau-t)}) + \int_0^{\tau-t} [\varphi(\tilde{\theta} + \eta e^{-\beta s}) - \varphi(\tilde{\theta})] ds - \frac{\mu}{\alpha}(1 - e^{-\alpha(\tau-t)}) - \int_0^{\tau-t} [\varphi(\eta e^{-\beta s})] ds \\ &= \frac{\sigma\hat{\theta}}{\alpha}[1 - e^{-\alpha(\tau-t)}] + \int_0^{\tau-t} [\varphi(\tilde{\theta} + \eta e^{-\beta s}) - \varphi(\tilde{\theta}) - \varphi(\eta e^{-\beta s})] ds \end{aligned}$$

**Summary:**

$$\ln(f(t, \tau)) - \ln(\mathbb{E}[S(\tau)|\mathcal{F}_t]) = \underbrace{\frac{\sigma\hat{\theta}}{\alpha}[1 - e^{-\alpha(\tau-t)}]}_{(a)} + \int_0^{\tau-t} \underbrace{[\varphi(\tilde{\theta} + \eta e^{-\beta s}) - \varphi(\tilde{\theta}) - \varphi(\eta e^{-\beta s})]}_{(b)} ds$$

We start with (a), if  $\hat{\theta} > 0$ , then (a) is positive, if  $\hat{\theta} < 0$ , then (a) is negative. Let's do some algebra on (b):

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\tilde{\theta} + \eta e^{-\beta s}) - \varphi(\tilde{\theta}) - \varphi(\eta e^{-\beta s}) &= \lambda(\mathbb{E}[e^{(\tilde{\theta} + \eta e^{-\beta s})J} - 1]) \\ &\quad - \lambda(\mathbb{E}[e^{\tilde{\theta}J} - 1]) \\ &\quad - \lambda(\mathbb{E}[e^{\eta e^{-\beta s}J} - 1]) \\ &= \lambda + \lambda \underbrace{(\mathbb{E}[e^{\tilde{\theta}J} e^{\eta e^{-\beta s}J} - e^{\tilde{\theta}J} - e^{\eta e^{-\beta s}J}])}_{\xi(\tilde{\theta})} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi(0) &= \lambda \mathbb{E}[e^{\eta e^{-\beta s} J} - e^{\eta e^{-\beta s} J}] = 0 \\
\xi'(\tilde{\theta}) &= \lambda \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{d}{d\theta} e^{(\tilde{\theta} + \eta e^{-\beta s}) J} - J e^{\tilde{\theta} J} \right] \\
&= \lambda \mathbb{E} \left[ J e^{(\tilde{\theta} + \eta e^{-\beta s}) J} - J e^{\tilde{\theta} J} \right] \\
&= \lambda (\mathbb{E}[J e^{\tilde{\theta} J} (e^{\eta e^{-\beta s} J} - 1)])
\end{aligned}$$

We assume  $J > 0$  and  $\eta > 0$ , this gives us that  $\xi'(\theta) > 0$ , meaning that  $\tilde{\theta} \mapsto \xi(\tilde{\theta})$  is increasing. Furthermore  $\xi(0) = 0$ , this makes the analysis of sign easier for (b). As then for  $\tilde{\theta} > 0$  we get (b) positive, and for  $\tilde{\theta} < 0$  we get (b) negative.

- $\hat{\theta} > 0$  and  $\tilde{\theta} > 0$  yields positive risk premium.
- $\hat{\theta} < 0$  and  $\tilde{\theta} < 0$  yields negative risk premium.
- mixed signs means that we must look into the size of parameters. i.e  $\hat{\theta} > 0$  and  $\tilde{\theta} < 0$  for instance.

## 4 Pricing of forwards Arithmetic case

The arithmetic model is given by:

$$S(t) = \Lambda(t) + X(t) + Y(t)$$

We will now model directly under  $Q^{\hat{\theta}}$  and  $Q^{\tilde{\theta}}$ :

$$dX(t) = [(\mu + \sigma\hat{\theta}) - \alpha X(t)]dt + \sigma d\hat{B}(t) \quad (Q^{\hat{\theta}})$$

$$dY(t) = [\delta - \beta Y(t)]dt + \eta dI^{\tilde{\theta}}(t) \quad (Q^{\tilde{\theta}})$$

Where  $I^{\tilde{\theta}}$  is a CPP with intensity  $\lambda_{\tilde{\theta}} = \lambda \mathbb{E}[e^{\tilde{\theta}J}]$  and jump distribution  $P_J^{\tilde{\theta}}$

$$\hat{X}(\tau) = e^{-\alpha(\tau-t)}X(t) + \frac{\mu + \sigma\hat{\theta}}{\alpha} \left(1 - e^{-\alpha(\tau-t)}\right) + \sigma \int_t^\tau e^{-\alpha(\tau-s)} d\hat{B}(s)$$

$$\tilde{Y}(\tau) = e^{-\beta(\tau-t)}Y(t) + \frac{\delta}{\beta} \left(1 - e^{-\beta(\tau-t)}\right) + \eta \int_t^\tau e^{-\beta(\tau-s)} dI^{\tilde{\theta}}(s)$$

We need to calculate:  $\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{Q}}[\hat{X}(\tau)|\mathcal{F}_t]$  and  $\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{Q}}[\tilde{Y}(\tau)|\mathcal{F}_t]$ , the first one is straight forward, as the Ito-integral here has expectation zero:

$$\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{Q}}[\hat{X}(\tau)|\mathcal{F}_t] = e^{-\alpha(\tau-t)}X(t) + \frac{\mu + \sigma\hat{\theta}}{\alpha} \left(1 - e^{-\alpha(\tau-t)}\right)$$

The other one requires some more work, as we need to calculate:

$$\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{Q}}[\eta \int_t^\tau e^{-\beta(\tau-s)} dI^{\tilde{\theta}}(s)]$$

Here we will use trick number two, i.e where we smuggle in the compensated CPP under  $\tilde{Q}$ , which is a martingale, i.e:

$$I^{\tilde{\theta}}(s) - \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{Q}}[I^{\tilde{\theta}}(s)] := M^{\tilde{\theta}}(s)$$

Meaning that we need

$$\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{Q}}[I^{\tilde{\theta}}(s)]$$

$$\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{Q}}[I^{\tilde{\theta}}(s)] = i^{-1} \frac{d}{dx} \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{Q}} \left[ e^{ixI^{\tilde{\theta}}(s)} \right] \Big|_{x=0}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{Q}} \left[ e^{ixI^{\tilde{\theta}}(s)} \right] &= \exp \left( \Psi_{\tilde{Q}}(x)s \right) \\ &\Downarrow \\ \frac{d}{dx} \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{Q}} \left[ e^{ixI^{\tilde{\theta}}(s)} \right] &= \frac{d}{dx} \exp \left( \Psi_{\tilde{Q}}(x)s \right) = \exp \left( \Psi_{\tilde{Q}}(x)s \right) \Psi'_{\tilde{Q}}(x)s \end{aligned}$$

Here:

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{\tilde{Q}}(x) &= \lambda_{\tilde{\theta}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{ixy} - 1) P_J^{\tilde{\theta}}(dy) \\ &\Downarrow \\ \Psi'_{\tilde{Q}}(x) &= \lambda_{\tilde{\theta}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{ixy} i y P_J^{\tilde{\theta}}(dy) = \lambda_{\tilde{\theta}} i \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{ixy} y P_J^{\tilde{\theta}}(dy) \end{aligned}$$

This yields:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dx} \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{Q}} \left[ e^{ixI^{\tilde{\theta}}(s)} \right] \Big|_{x=0} &= \lambda_{\tilde{\theta}} i \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{Q}}[J]s \\ &= \lambda \mathbb{E}[e^{\tilde{\theta}J}] \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{Q}}[J]s \end{aligned}$$

Meaning that:

$$\begin{aligned} M^{\tilde{\theta}}(s) &= I^{\tilde{\theta}}(s) - \lambda \mathbb{E}[e^{\tilde{\theta}J}] \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{Q}}[J]s \\ &\Downarrow \\ dM^{\tilde{\theta}}(s) &= dI^{\tilde{\theta}}(s) - \lambda \mathbb{E}[e^{\tilde{\theta}J}] \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{Q}}[J]ds \end{aligned}$$

Which then again gives us:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{Q}} \left[ \eta \int_t^{\tau} e^{-\beta(\tau-s)} dI^{\tilde{\theta}}(s) \right] &= \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{Q}} \left[ \eta \int_t^{\tau} e^{-\beta(\tau-s)} dM^{\tilde{\theta}}(s) \right] + \eta \lambda \mathbb{E}[e^{\tilde{\theta}J}] \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{Q}}[J] \int_t^{\tau} e^{-\beta(\tau-s)} ds \\ &= \frac{\eta \lambda_{\tilde{\theta}} \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{Q}}[J]}{\beta} \left( 1 - e^{-\beta(\tau-t)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Which the finally gives:

$$\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{Q}}[\tilde{Y}(\tau)|\mathcal{F}_t] = e^{-\beta(\tau-t)} Y(t) + \frac{\delta}{\beta} \left( 1 - e^{-\beta(\tau-t)} \right) + \frac{\eta \lambda_{\tilde{\theta}} \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{Q}}[J]}{\beta} \left( 1 - e^{-\beta(\tau-t)} \right)$$

Also the actual gangster we were going to compute:

$$\begin{aligned}
f(t, \tau) &= \mathbb{E}_Q[S(\tau)|\mathcal{F}_t] \\
&= \Lambda(\tau) + \frac{\mu + \sigma\hat{\theta}}{\alpha} \left(1 - e^{-\alpha(\tau-t)}\right) + \frac{\eta\lambda_{\hat{\theta}}\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{Q}}[J]}{\beta} \left(1 - e^{-\beta(\tau-t)}\right) \\
&\quad + e^{-\alpha(\tau-t)}X(t) + e^{-\beta(\tau-t)}Y(t)
\end{aligned}$$



## 5 HJM-modelling

It turns out that one smart way to model forwards, is to model them directly under  $Q$ , meaning that we state  $f(t, \tau)$  directly:

$$f(t, \tau) = f(0, \tau) \exp \left( \int_0^t a(u, \tau) du + \int_0^t \sigma(u, \tau) dW(u) + \int_0^\tau \eta(u, \tau) dI(u) \right)$$

We look into some technical conditions:

### 1.st set of conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\tau |a(u, \tau)| du &< \infty \quad Q\text{-a.e} \\ \int_0^\tau |\sigma^2(u, \tau)| du &< \infty \quad Q\text{-a.e} \\ \sum_{k=1}^{N_t} |\eta(s_i, \tau)| |J_k| &< \infty \quad \omega\text{-a.e} \end{aligned}$$

### 2nd set of conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_Q[f(t, \tau)] &< \infty \quad t \leq \tau \\ \mathbb{E}_Q[f(t, \tau)] &= f(0, \tau) \exp \left( \int_0^t a(u, \tau) du \right) \mathbb{E}_Q \left[ \exp \left( \int_0^t \sigma(u, \tau) dW(u) \right) \right] \\ &\quad \times \mathbb{E}_Q \left[ \exp \left( \int_0^t \eta(u, \tau) dI(u) \right) \right] \\ &\quad \Downarrow \\ \mathbb{E}_Q \left[ \exp \left( \int_0^t \sigma(u, \tau) dW(u) \right) \right] &< \infty \quad \mathbb{E}_Q \left[ \exp \left( \int_0^t \eta(u, \tau) dI(u) \right) \right] < \infty \end{aligned}$$

### 3rd set of conditions

We need  $f$  to be a  $(Q, \mathcal{F})$ -martingale:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_Q[f(t, \tau) | \mathcal{F}_s] &= f(0, \tau) \exp \left( \int_0^t a(u, \tau) du \right) \exp \left( \int_0^s \sigma(u, \tau) dW(u) \right) \exp \left( \int_0^s \eta(u, \tau) dI(u) \right) \\ &\quad \times \mathbb{E}_Q \left[ \exp \left( \int_s^t \sigma(u, \tau) dW(u) \right) \exp \left( \int_s^t \eta(u, \tau) dI(u) \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

Now  $I$  and  $W$  are independent, meaning that we can split up the expectation into two:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_Q \left[ \exp \left( \int_s^t \sigma(u, \tau) dW(u) \right) \exp \left( \int_s^t \eta(u, \tau) dI(u) \right) \right] &= \mathbb{E}_Q \left[ \exp \left( \int_s^t \sigma(u, \tau) dW(u) \right) \right] \\ &\quad \times \mathbb{E}_Q \left[ \exp \left( \int_s^t \eta(u, \tau) dI(u) \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_Q \left[ \exp \left( \int_s^t \sigma(u, \tau) dW(u) \right) \right] &= \exp \left( \frac{1}{2} \int_s^t \sigma^2(u, \tau) du \right) \\ \mathbb{E}_Q \left[ \exp \left( \int_s^t \eta(u, \tau) dI(u) \right) \right] &= \exp \left( \int_s^t \varphi_Q(\eta(u, \tau)) du \right)\end{aligned}$$

Meaning that we have:

$$\mathbb{E}_Q[f(t, \tau) | \mathcal{F}_s] = f(s, \tau) \exp \left( \int_s^t a(u, \tau) du \right) \exp \left( \frac{1}{2} \int_s^t \sigma^2(u, \tau) du \right) \exp \left( \int_s^t \varphi_Q(\eta(u, \tau)) du \right)$$

Meaning that we must have:

$$\int_s^t a(u, \tau) du = -\frac{1}{2} \int_s^t \sigma^2(u, \tau) du - \int_s^t \varphi_Q(\eta(u, \tau)) du$$

We can take the derivative w.r.t  $t$ , and then we get:

$$a(t, \tau) = -\frac{1}{2} \sigma^2(t, \tau) - \varphi_Q(\eta(t, \tau))$$

## 6 Black-76 formula

We have a forward price given by GBM, with no jumps, and our goal is to price a European-call.

$$df(t, \tau) = f(t, \tau)\sigma(t, \tau)dW_t \quad (Q)$$

**Result 1** *The price of a European call written on a forward is:*

$$C(t, T, K, \tau) = e^{-r(T-t)} \mathbb{E}_Q [f(t, \tau)\Phi(d_1) - K\Phi(d_2)]$$

Where:

$$d_{1,2} = \frac{\ln\left(\frac{f(t, \tau)}{K}\right) \pm \frac{1}{2} \int_t^T \sigma^2(u, \tau) du}{\sqrt{\int_t^T \sigma^2(u, \tau) du}}$$

**Proof 4** *We start off by applying Ito's formula on  $\ln f(t, \tau)$ , thus  $g(t, x) = \ln(x)$ , meaning that  $\partial_x g = 1/x$  and  $\partial_{xx} g = -1/x^2$ ,  $(df(t, \tau))^2 = f^2(t, \tau)\sigma^2(t, \tau)dt$ , leaving us with:*

$$\begin{aligned} d \ln f(t, \tau) &= \frac{1}{f(t, \tau)} df(t, \tau) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{f^2(t, \tau)} f^2(t, \tau) \sigma^2(t, \tau) dt \\ &= \sigma(t, \tau) dW_t - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2(t, \tau) dt \\ &\Downarrow \\ \ln f(T, \tau) &= \ln f(t, \tau) + \int_t^T \sigma(u, \tau) dW_u - \frac{1}{2} \int_t^T \sigma^2(u, \tau) du \end{aligned}$$

$\int_t^T \sigma(u, \tau) dW_u \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \int_t^T \sigma^2(u, \tau) du\right)$ , hence  $\int_t^T \sigma(u, \tau) dW_u \stackrel{d}{=} \sqrt{\int_t^T \sigma^2(u, \tau) du} Z$  where  $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$

Now working with the price of the call:

$$C(t, T, K, \tau) = e^{-r(T-t)} \mathbb{E}_Q [(f(T, \tau) - K)^+ | \mathcal{F}_t]$$

We will simplify a bit:

$$(f(T, \tau) - K)^+ = \left( f(t, \tau) \exp \left( \sqrt{\int_t^T \sigma^2(u, \tau) du} Z - \frac{1}{2} \int_t^T \sigma^2(u, \tau) du \right) - K \right) \mathbb{1}_{\{f(T, \tau) > K\}}$$

We will now work with the set  $\{f(T, \tau) > K\}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
& f(T, \tau) > K \\
& \Downarrow \\
& \exp \left( \sqrt{\int_t^T \sigma^2(u, \tau) du} Z - \frac{1}{2} \int_t^T \sigma^2(u, \tau) du \right) > \frac{K}{f(t, \tau)} \\
& Z > \frac{\ln \left( \frac{K}{f(t, \tau)} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \int_t^T \sigma^2(u, \tau) du}{\sqrt{\int_t^T \sigma^2(u, \tau) du}} := -d_2
\end{aligned}$$

We have  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -independent parts, furthermore we use the freezing lemma leading to:

$$\mathbb{E}_Q [(f(T, \tau) - K)^+] = \int_{-d_2}^{\infty} \left[ x \exp \left( \sqrt{\int_t^T \sigma^2(u, \tau) du} z - \frac{1}{2} \int_t^T \sigma^2(u, \tau) du \right) - K \right] f_{\mathcal{N}(0,1)}(z) dz$$

Before we move on, we will expand the square properly:

$$\begin{aligned}
-\frac{z^2}{2} + \sqrt{\int_t^T \sigma^2(u, \tau) du} z - \frac{1}{2} \int_t^T \sigma^2(u, \tau) du &= -\frac{1}{2} \left( z^2 - 2z \sqrt{\int_t^T \sigma^2(u, \tau) du} + \int_t^T \sigma^2(u, \tau) du \right) \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \left( z - \sqrt{\int_t^T \sigma^2(u, \tau) du} \right)^2
\end{aligned}$$

This leaves us with:

$$\mathbb{E}_Q [(f(T, \tau) - K)^+] = x \int_{-d_2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \left( z - \sqrt{\int_t^T \sigma^2(u, \tau) du} \right)^2} dz - K \int_{-d_2}^{\infty} f(z) dz$$

Let's work with the first integral, first let  $u = z - \sqrt{\int_t^T \sigma^2(u, \tau) du}$ , then  $\frac{du}{dz} = 1$ , and  $z = -d_2$  leads to  $u = -d_2 - \sqrt{\int_t^T \sigma^2(u, \tau) du} := -d'$

Furthermore we exploit the symmetry of the normal-distribution:

$$P(Z > -d) = P(Z \leq d)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_Q [(f(T, \tau) - K)^+] &= x \int_{-d'}^{\infty} f_Z(u) du - K \int_{-d_2}^{\infty} f_Z(z) dz \\
&= f(t, \tau) \Phi(d') - K \Phi(d_2)
\end{aligned}$$

Here we have:

$$d' := d_1 = \frac{\ln \left( \frac{f(t, \tau)}{K} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \int_t^T \sigma^2(u, \tau) du}{\sqrt{\int_t^T \sigma^2(u, \tau) du}}$$

We can then finally conclude on the price:

$$C(t, T, K, \tau) := e^{-r(T-t)} [f(t, \tau) \Phi(d_1) - K \Phi(d_2)]$$

Where:

$$d_{1,2} = \frac{\ln\left(\frac{f(t,\tau)}{K}\right) \pm \frac{1}{2} \int_t^T \sigma^2(u, \tau) du}{\sqrt{\int_t^T \sigma^2(u, \tau) du}}$$

## 7 Pricing of options when we have jumps

We recall from the HJM-framework the following:

$$f(t, \tau) = f(0, \tau) \exp \left( \int_0^t a(u, \tau) du + \int_0^t \sigma(u, \tau) dW_u + \int_0^t \eta(u, \tau) dI(u) \right)$$

$\sigma, \eta$  are deterministic,  $W$  is a  $Q$ -BM and  $I$  is a  $Q$ -CPP. The martingale condition on  $a$ :

$$a(t, \tau) = -\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(t, \tau) - \varphi_Q(\eta(t, \tau))$$

where:

$$\varphi_Q(z) = \lambda_Q(\mathbb{E}_Q[e^{zJ}] - 1)$$

We will simplify the notation a bit:

$$\begin{aligned} f(t, \tau) &= h(t, \tau) \exp \left( \int_0^t \sigma(u, \tau) dW_u + \int_0^t \eta(u, \tau) dI(u) \right) \\ h(t, \tau) &= f(0, \tau) \exp \left( \int_0^t a(u, \tau) du \right) \end{aligned}$$

And from mathematical finance theory, we still have:

$$C(t, T, K, \tau) := e^{-r(T-t)} \mathbb{E}_Q [(f(T, \tau) - K)^+ | \mathcal{F}_t]$$

When we are dealing with jumps, our approach goes out on taking a round with some Fourier analysis:

### 7.1 Fourier Analysis

**Definition 3 (Fourier transform)** Let  $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , then the fourier transform  $\widehat{g}$  of  $g$  is:

$$\widehat{g}(y) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x) e^{-ixy} dx$$

**Definition 4 (Inverse Fourier transform)** If  $\widehat{g} \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , then the inverse Fourier transform is given by:

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{g}(y) e^{ixy} dy$$

Let  $X$  be a r.v, objects we will be interested in are:

$$g(X) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{g}(y) e^{iXy} dy \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E}_Q[g(X) | \mathcal{F}_t] = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{g}(y) \mathbb{E}_Q[e^{iXy} | \mathcal{F}_t] dy$$

Let

$$X := \int_0^T \sigma(u, \tau) dW_u + \int_0^T \eta(u, \tau) dI(u)$$

and  $g(x) := (h(T, \tau)e^x - K)^+$ , an immediate problem here is that  $g \notin L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , as for  $x > \ln(K/h(T, \tau))$  we get that it behaves as an exponential.

We therefor introduce a trick, namely define

$$g_\alpha(x) := e^{-\alpha x} g(x), \quad \alpha > 1$$

Here we see why  $\alpha > 1$ :

$$\begin{aligned} g_\alpha(x) &= e^{-\alpha x} g(x) \\ &= \left( h(T, \tau) e^{(1-\alpha)x} - K e^{-\alpha x} \right) \mathbb{1}(e^x > K/h(T, \tau)) \end{aligned}$$

So  $\alpha > 1 \implies g_\alpha(x) \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$

We also define:

$$\widehat{g}_\alpha(y) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} g_\alpha(x) e^{-ixy} dx$$

**Goal:** calculate  $\widehat{g}(y)$

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{g}_\alpha(y) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} g_\alpha(x) e^{-ixy} dx \\ &= \int_{\ln(\frac{K}{h(T, \tau)})}^{\infty} \left( h(T, \tau) e^{(1-\alpha)x} - K e^{-\alpha x} \right) e^{-ixy} dx \\ &= h(T, \tau) \int_{\ln(\frac{K}{h(T, \tau)})}^{\infty} e^{(1-\alpha-iy)x} dx - K \int_{\ln(\frac{K}{h(T, \tau)})}^{\infty} e^{-(\alpha+iy)x} dx \\ &= \frac{h(T, \tau)}{\alpha - 1 + iy} e^{(1-\alpha-iy) \ln(\frac{K}{h})} - \frac{K}{\alpha + iy} e^{-(\alpha+iy) \ln(K/h)} \\ &= e^{(-\alpha+iy) \ln(K/h)} \left[ c_1 e^{\ln(K/h)} - c_2 \right] \\ &= e^{(-\alpha+iy) \ln(K/h)} \left[ \frac{K}{\alpha - 1 + iy} - \frac{K}{\alpha + iy} \right] \\ &= K e^{(-\alpha+iy) \ln(K/h)} \left[ \frac{1}{\alpha - 1 + iy} - \frac{1}{\alpha + iy} \right] \\ &= \frac{K}{(\alpha - 1 + iy)(\alpha + iy)} e^{-(\alpha+iy) \ln(\frac{K}{h(T, \tau)})} \end{aligned}$$

It can be shown that  $\widehat{g}_\alpha \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$

$$\begin{aligned}
g(x) &:= e^{\alpha x} g_\alpha(x) \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{g}_\alpha(y) e^{(\alpha+iy)x} dy \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{K}{(\alpha-1+iy)(\alpha+iy)} e^{-(\alpha+iy) \ln[(\frac{K}{h(T,\tau)})+x]} dy
\end{aligned}$$

Let's start with calculating the option price:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_Q \left[ (f(T, \tau) - K)^+ \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right] &= \mathbb{E}_Q \left[ (h(T, \tau) e^X - K)^+ \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right] \\
&= \mathbb{E}_Q [g(X) | \mathcal{F}_t]
\end{aligned}$$

Now:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_Q \left[ g(X) \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right] &= \mathbb{E}_Q \left[ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{g}_\alpha(y) e^{(\alpha+iy)X} dy \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{g}_\alpha(y) \mathbb{E}_Q \left[ e^{(\alpha+iy)X} \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right] dy
\end{aligned}$$

Remember  $W$  and  $I$  are independent, so:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_Q \left[ e^{(\alpha+iy)X} \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right] &= \mathbb{E}_Q \left[ e^{(\alpha+iy) \int_0^T \sigma(u, \tau) dW_u} \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right] \times \mathbb{E}_Q \left[ e^{(\alpha+iy) \int_0^T \eta(u, \tau) dI(u)} \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right] \\
&= e^{(\alpha+iy) [\int_0^t \sigma(u, \tau) dW_u + \int_0^t \eta(u, \tau) dI_u]} \times \mathbb{E}_Q \left[ e^{(\alpha+iy) \int_t^T \sigma(u, \tau) dW_u} \right] \mathbb{E}_Q \left[ e^{(\alpha+iy) \int_t^T \eta(u, \tau) dI(u)} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

Here we used the measurability and independent increments of Levy-processes.

Hence:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_Q \left[ e^{(\alpha+iy) \int_t^T \sigma(u, \tau) dW_u} \right] &= e^{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha+iy)^2 \int_t^T \sigma^2(u, \tau) du} \\
\mathbb{E}_Q \left[ e^{(\alpha+iy) \int_t^T \eta(u, \tau) dI(u)} \right] &= e^{\int_t^T \varphi_Q((\alpha+iy)\eta(u, \tau)) du}
\end{aligned}$$

Summarizing our findings:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_Q [g(X) | \mathcal{F}_t] &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{g}_\alpha(y) e^{(\alpha+iy) [\int_0^t \sigma(u, \tau) dW_u + \int_0^t \eta(u, \tau) dI_u]} e^{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha+iy)^2 \int_t^T \sigma^2(u, \tau) du} e^{\int_t^T \varphi_Q((\alpha+iy)\eta(u, \tau)) du} dy \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{g}_\alpha(y) \left( \frac{f(t, \tau)}{f(0, \tau)} \right)^{(\alpha+iy)} e^{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha+iy)^2 \int_t^T \sigma^2(u, \tau) du} e^{\int_t^T \varphi_Q((\alpha+iy)\eta(u, \tau)) du} dy
\end{aligned}$$

Which means that:

$$C(t, T, K, \tau) = e^{-r(T-t)} \mathbb{E}_Q [g(X) | \mathcal{F}_t]$$



## 7.2 Fourier methods in practice

Let's consider a put option:

$$g(x) := \max(K - e^x, 0)$$

We are asked to find the inverse Fourier transform of  $g$ :

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{g}(y) e^{ixy} dy$$

The problem is that  $g \notin L^1(\mathbb{R})$ :

$$g(x) = (K - e^x) \mathbf{1}\{x < \ln(K)\}$$

Consider  $g_\alpha(x) = e^{\alpha x} g(x)$  :

$$\begin{aligned} g_\alpha(x) &= e^{\alpha x} (K - e^x) \mathbf{1}\{x < \ln(K)\} \\ &= (K e^{\alpha x} - e^{(\alpha+1)x}) \mathbf{1}\{x < \ln(K)\} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \end{aligned}$$

In order for us to find  $g(x)$  we need  $\widehat{g}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{g}_\alpha(y) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} g_\alpha(x) e^{-ixy} dx \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\ln(K)} e^{\alpha x} (K - e^x) e^{-ixy} dx \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\ln(K)} (K - e^x) e^{(\alpha-iy)x} dx \\ &= K \int_{-\infty}^{\ln(K)} e^{(\alpha-iy)x} dx - \int_{-\infty}^{\ln(K)} e^{(\alpha-iy+1)x} dx \\ &= \frac{K}{\alpha-iy} [e^{(\alpha-iy)\ln(K)}] - \frac{1}{\alpha-iy+1} [e^{(\alpha-iy+1)\ln(K)}] \end{aligned}$$

We notice that:

$$e^{(\alpha-iy+1)\ln(K)} = e^{(\alpha-iy)\ln(K)} e^{\ln(K)} = e^{(\alpha-iy)\ln(K)} K$$

Leaving us with:

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{g}_\alpha(y) &= \frac{K}{\alpha-iy} e^{(\alpha-iy)\ln(K)} - \frac{K}{\alpha-iy+1} e^{(\alpha-iy)\ln(K)} \\ &= K e^{(\alpha-iy)\ln(K)} \left[ \frac{1}{\alpha-iy} - \frac{1}{\alpha-iy+1} \right] \\ &= \frac{K e^{(\alpha-iy)\ln(K)}}{(\alpha-iy)(\alpha-iy+1)} \end{aligned}$$

Do we have that  $\widehat{g}_\alpha(y) \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ ?:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{K e^{(\alpha-iy) \ln(K)}}{(\alpha-iy)(\alpha-iy+1)} \right| &= \frac{|K e^{\alpha \ln(K)}| |e^{-iy \ln(K)}|}{|(\alpha-iy)| |(\alpha-iy+1)|} \\ &= \frac{K^{\alpha+1} * 1}{\sqrt{\alpha^2+y^2} \sqrt{(\alpha+1)^2+y^2}} \sim \frac{K^{\alpha+1}}{c_2+y^2} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \end{aligned}$$

In this case we have  $g(x) = e^{-\alpha x} g_\alpha(x)$ , this yields:

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) &= e^{-\alpha x} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{g}_\alpha(y) e^{ixy} dy \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{g}_\alpha(y) e^{(iy-\alpha)x} dy \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{K^{\alpha+1}}{(\alpha-iy)(\alpha-iy+1)} e^{(iy-\alpha)x} dy \end{aligned}$$