## Mathematical Logic – Proofs by Resolution (recap)

$$P \to Q \equiv \neg P \lor Q$$
$$\neg (P \to Q) \equiv P \land \neg Q$$

- P ∧ Q → R processed as a whole
- or process separately P, Q and  $\neg R$
- why?

## The method:

- negate the statement (why?)
- (1) convert to prenex form
  - move quantifiers as prefix
  - convert to skolem form
    - remove quatifiers and replace with functions
  - convert to clausal form = conj NF =  $(... \lor ...) \land (... \lor ...)$  ...
- (2) unifications and substitutions
- resolve by (predicates) resolution
- (4) resolution for propositions (explanation)
  - examples
- (5) ex 37, example of predicates resolution
  - Prolog computation
  - example, the English succession
  - Prolog execution of above

fact 
$$B$$
.  $\{B\}$   
definite clause  $B \leftarrow A_1, ..., A_n$ .  $\{\neg A_1, ..., \neg A_n, B\}$   
goal  $\leftarrow A_1, ..., A_n$ .  $\{\neg A_1, ..., \neg A_n\}$