4. Optimization for functions of several variables I: Leas Squares & Machine Learning The Frichet differential is a linear function, t. of f:R"->R at a point XER" $\lim_{y \to x} \frac{|f(y) - f(x)| - T(x - y)|}{|y - x||} = 0$ you approx. I by n $df(x)(z) \stackrel{\text{Def}}{=} T(z)$ a lin. function (locally in x!)

(x)

Linear function in the limit above of Fredhet diff: Mean ing (Notation

T1. If all $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}f$ are continuous at x then f is Frichet diffable and x and $df(x)(z) = \nabla f(x) \cdot z \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ Furthermore if all $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}f$ are cont. at xthen 2^{nd} Friedret diff is a quadratic function (form)

with matrix $a_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(x)$ (Hesse of f).

Analogy
$$d=1$$

$$f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$f'$$

$$d > 1 \quad (high dim)$$

$$f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad \text{several vars.}$$

$$\nabla f = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_d}\right)$$

$$H_f = \left(\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}\right)_{i,j=1,d}$$

$$\text{Notation II}$$

$$\nabla^2 f$$

§4.1. Optimization for functions of several variables

For functions of a single van (d=1)If $f'(x^*) = 0$ and $f''(x^*) > 0 \Rightarrow x^*$ min $f'(x^*) = 0$ and $f''(x^*) < 0 \Rightarrow x^*$ max

How to remember this: + wink about the nimplest function(s) $f(x) = x^2$ for $f(x) = -x^2$) $f''(x) = 2,70 \qquad (or <math>f''(x) = 2 < 0$)

TT2. (FERMAT) $f: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $Foliffable m'x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$, If x^* is a local minimax then $\nabla f(x^*) = 0$. (F-diff-able = Fréchet - ...) (Optionization = find minima/maxima) The FERMAT approach to optimiz: compute f', find x^* s.t. $f'(x^*)=0$ Then, compute (establish) sign of $f''(x^*)$ $\stackrel{?}{\geq}$ 0 There exist critical points $(f'=0 \text{ or } \nabla f=0)$ Which are neither minina nor maxima. $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1^2 - x_2^2$ $\frac{1}{f(x)} = x^3$ ((0,0) saddle point)

Positivity for functions of several vaniables?

Def: A quadratic function (form) Q:R">R (with matrix A = (aij)) is positive definite if Q(x)>0 +x \in \mathbb{R}^d \{O_R d\} negative def Q(x)<0 -(1-indefinite if Q(x1/70, Q(x1/<0 also we say that Q is Q(X) 70 positive semi def if negative semi dif Q(x) < 0 TT3(SYLVESTER) Crit. for pon/neg def if A = (aij) is the matrix of QThen $a_{11} = a_{11} = a_{12} = a_{12} = a_{13} = a_{14} = a_{15} = a_{1$ =7 Q is possidif. $a_{11} < 0$, $\begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{vmatrix} = 0$. $(-1)^d \begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & \cdots \\ a_{2d} \end{vmatrix} = 0$ =7 Q is neg def (signs alternate) · otherwise outerion is not effective.

f: Rd -> R twice F-diff-able in +* T4. if $\nabla f(x^*) = D_{\mathbb{R}^d}$ and $\Rightarrow x^*$ min $H_f(x^*) = \nabla^2 f(x^*)$ is possible $\Rightarrow x^*$ max. § 4.2. The Least Squares Method (GAUSS) 1801 Piazzi was following the asteroid
(ERES which diss up. behind the sum

where will

we find it

we find it

we find it

we find it

went

went

went

went

went

went

Method. uring the Least Squares Method. Idea

"dosest" for the whole

data set

minimize area

of squares ("square of squares ("squared Formal Statement X X1 Xi Xm

y y1 ... yi ... ym a set of data (measurement) Given • a model f(x) = ax + bG = a parametrized family of functions Goal: Find a*, b* such that a*x+b*

is the best fit for the given data. This is an Optimization Problem! $E(a,b) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} (y_i - (ax_i + b))^2 - min$ [2ast squares]minimize w.l.t. a, b! Rh. E is "quadralic" => VE pos. def So you only have to find a, b* such that $\nabla E(a^*, b^*) = 0 \iff \begin{cases} \frac{\partial E}{\partial a}(a^*, b^*) = 0 \\ \frac{\partial E}{\partial b}(a^*, b^*) = 0 \end{cases}$ [D. Popa, p. 190-1] $\begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (y_i - ax_i - b) x_i = 0 \\ \sum_{i=1}^{m} (u_i - ax_i - b) = 0 \end{cases}$ (explicitly solvable) $-\begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - ax_i - b) = 0 \\ p = a^*x + b^* \end{cases}$ Regression like

§ 4.3. Decp Learning Problem Example of Classification Want: train computer to "learn" frontier betw. blue & red artificial Neural Network 1 neuron in my case) Out put Layer Hidden Layers (active neurons) input Layer has an activation function lach active neuron (x = input of neuron) $(\phi(x) = output)$ $\phi(x) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-x}}$ ϕ ($\sum w_{ij} \times_{j} + b_{i}$) = output of neuron"i"

j has

input from neurous on previous layer connected neurons weights $y_{out} = F(x_{IN})$ entire NN = function connecting in to DUT

Xin = (Xin) Xzin) in om example 111: coordinates of a point on the map "Classification" OUT Jour = number E [0,1] 0 = you are in the red zone 1 = -11 - blue zone given the labeled data set Training The NN (x^i, y^i) i = 1, m points $labels \in \{0,1\}$ in to out function of NN > Apply Least Squares to $E(w,b) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} (y^{i} - F(x^{i}))^{2} \rightarrow \min$ parameters = weights w and branes b HOW to minimize E? GRADIENT DESCENT! (Algorithm) for $W = (\underline{w}, \underline{b})$ (GD) $W_{n+1} = W_n - s \nabla E(W_n)$