


# Linear Models

## Lecture 4



# Outline

1. Linear models overview
2. Linear Regression under the hood
3. Regularization in Linear regression
4. Model validation and evaluation

# Previous lecture recap



- Dataset, observation, feature, design matrix, target
- i.i.d. property
- Model, prediction, loss/quality function
- Parameter, Hyperparameter

# Linear Models

---


girafe  
ai

01

# Linear models



- Regression models



Estimated  
(or predicted)  
Y value for  
observation i

Estimate of  
the regression  
intercept

Estimate of the  
regression slope


Value of X for  
observation i

$$\hat{Y}_i = b_0 + b_1 X_i$$

# Linear models




- Regression models
- Classification models





- Regression models
- Classification models
- Unsupervised models (e.g. PCA analysis):



# Linear models



- Regression models
- Classification models
- Unsupervised models (e.g. PCA analysis)
- Building block of other models (ensembles, NNs, etc.):



# Linear Regression

---

girafe  
ai

02

# Linear regression



Linear regression problem statement:

- Dataset  $\mathcal{L} = \{\mathbf{x}_i, y_i\}_{i=1}^N$ , where  $\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $y_i \in \mathbb{R}$ .

# Linear regression



Linear regression problem statement:

- Dataset  $\mathcal{L} = \{\mathbf{x}_i, y_i\}_{i=1}^N$ , where  $\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $y_i \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- The model is linear:

$$\hat{y} = w_0 + \sum_{k=1}^p x_k \cdot w_k = //\mathbf{x} = [1, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p]// = \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{w}$$



# Linear regression

Linear regression problem statement:

- Dataset  $\mathcal{L} = \{\mathbf{x}_i, y_i\}_{i=1}^N$ , where  $\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $y_i \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- The model is linear:

$$\hat{y} = w_0 + \sum_{k=1}^p x_k \cdot w_k = //\mathbf{x} = [1, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p]// = \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{w}$$

where  $\mathbf{w} = (w_0, w_1, \dots, w_n)$ ,  $w_0$  is bias term.



# Linear regression

Linear regression problem statement:

- Dataset  $\mathcal{L} = \{\mathbf{x}_i, y_i\}_{i=1}^N$ , where  $\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $y_i \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- The model is linear:

$$\hat{y} = w_0 + \sum_{k=1}^p x_k \cdot w_k = // \mathbf{x} = [1, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p] // = \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{w}$$

where  $\mathbf{w} = (w_0, w_1, \dots, w_n)$   $w_0$  is bias term.

we added an additional column of 1's to the design matrix to simplify the formulas



# Linear regression

Linear regression problem statement:

- Dataset  $\mathcal{L} = \{\mathbf{x}_i, y_i\}_{i=1}^N$ , where  $\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $y_i \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- The model is linear:

$$\hat{y} = w_0 + \sum_{k=1}^p x_k \cdot w_k = //\mathbf{x} = [1, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p]// = \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{w}$$

where  $\mathbf{w} = (w_0, w_1, \dots, w_n)$ ,  $w_0$  is bias term.

- Least squares method (MSE minimization) provides a solution:

$$\hat{\mathbf{w}} = \arg \min_{\mathbf{w}} \|Y - \hat{Y}\|_2^2 = \arg \min_{\mathbf{w}} \|Y - X\mathbf{w}\|_2^2$$



# Analytical solution

Denote quadratic loss function:

$$Q(\mathbf{w}) = (Y - X\mathbf{w})^T (Y - X\mathbf{w}) = \|Y - X\mathbf{w}\|_2^2,$$

where  $X = [\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n]$ ,  $\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^p$   $Y = [y_1, \dots, y_n]$ ,  $y_i \in \mathbb{R}$ .

To find optimal solution let's equal to zero the derivative of the equation above:

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_{\mathbf{w}} Q(\mathbf{w}) &= \nabla_{\mathbf{w}} [Y^T Y - Y^T X \mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}^T X^T Y + \mathbf{w}^T X^T X \mathbf{w}] = \\ &= 0 - X^T Y - X^T Y + (X^T X + X^T X) \mathbf{w} = 0\end{aligned}$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{w}} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y$$

what if this matrix is singular?

# Analytical solution



$$\hat{\mathbf{w}} = \boxed{(X^T X)^{-1}} X^T Y$$

what if this matrix is singular?





# Unstable solution

In case of multicollinear features the matrix  $X^T X$  is almost singular .

It leads to unstable solution:

```
w_true
```

```
array([ 2.68647887, -0.52184084, -1.12776533])
```

```
w_star = np.linalg.inv(X.T.dot(X)).dot(X.T).dot(Y)  
w_star
```

```
array([ 2.68027723, -186.0552577 , 184.41701118])
```




# Unstable solution

In case of multicollinear features the matrix  $X^T X$  is almost singular .

It leads to unstable solution:

```
w_true  
array([ 2.68647887, -0.52184084, -1.12776533])  
  
w_star = np.linalg.inv(X.T.dot(X)).dot(X.T).dot(Y)  
w_star  
array([ 2.68027723, -186.0552577 , 184.41701118])
```



corresponding features are almost collinear



# Unstable solution

In case of multicollinear features the matrix  $X^T X$  is almost singular .

It leads to unstable solution:

```
w_true  
array([ 2.68647887, -0.52184084, -1.12776533])  
  
w_star = np.linalg.inv(X.T.dot(X)).dot(X.T).dot(Y)  
w_star  
array([ 2.68027723, -186.0552577 , 184.41701118])
```

the coefficients are huge and sum up to almost 0

# Regularization

---

girafe  
ai

03

# Regularization



To make the matrix nonsingular, we can add a diagonal matrix:

$$\hat{\mathbf{w}} = (X^T X + \lambda I)^{-1} X^T Y,$$

# Regularization



To make the matrix nonsingular, we can add a diagonal matrix:

$$\hat{\mathbf{w}} = (X^T X + \lambda I)^{-1} X^T Y,$$

where  $I = \text{diag}[1_1, \dots, 1_p]$ .



# Regularization

To make the matrix nonsingular, we can add a diagonal matrix:

$$\hat{\mathbf{w}} = (X^T X + \lambda I)^{-1} X^T Y,$$

where  $I = \text{diag}[1_1, \dots, 1_p]$ .

Actually, it's a solution for the following loss function:

$$Q(\mathbf{w}) = \|Y - X\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \lambda^2 \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2$$

exercise: derive it by yourself



# Loss functions in regression

$$MSE(\mathbf{y}, \hat{\mathbf{y}}) = \frac{1}{N} \|\mathbf{y} - \hat{\mathbf{y}}\|_2^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2$$

$$MAE(\mathbf{y}, \hat{\mathbf{y}}) = \frac{1}{N} \|\mathbf{y} - \hat{\mathbf{y}}\|_1 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i |y_i - \hat{y}_i|$$





# Different norms

Once more: loss functions:

$$MSE = \frac{1}{n} \|\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{w} - \mathbf{y}\|_2^2$$

only works for Gauss-Markov theorem

$$MAE = \frac{1}{n} \|\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{w} - \mathbf{y}\|_1$$

Regularization terms:


- $L_2 \quad \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2$

- $L_1 \quad \|\mathbf{w}\|_1$

# What's the difference?




- MSE ( $L_2$ )
  - delivers BLUE according to Gauss-Markov theorem
  - differentiable
  - sensitive to noise
- MAE ( $L_1$ )
  - non-differentiable
    - not a problem
  - much more prone to noise



# What's the difference?





- L2 regularization
  - constraints weights
  - delivers more stable solution
  - differentiable
- L1 regularization
  - non-differentiable
  - not a problem
  - selects features



# What's the difference?



$\ell^1$  induces sparse solutions for least squares



by @itayevron

# Loss functions in regression



Other functions to measure the quality in regression:

- $R^2$  score
- MAPE
- SMAPE
- ...

# Model validation and evaluation

---

girafe  
ai

04



# Supervised learning problem statement

Let's denote:


- Training set  $\mathcal{L} = \{\mathbf{x}_i, y_i\}_{i=1}^n$ , where
  - $(\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^p, y \in \mathbb{R})$  for regression
  - $\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^p, y_i \in \{+1, -1\}$  for binary classification

Model  $f(\mathbf{x})$  predicts some value for every object

Loss function  $Q(\mathbf{x}, y, f)$  that should be minimized




# Overfitting vs. underfitting




**Under-fitting**

(too simple to  
explain the  
variance)



**Appropriate-fitting**




**Over-fitting**

(forcefitting -- too  
good to be true)



# Overfitting vs. underfitting





# Overfitting vs. underfitting

- We can control overfitting / underfitting by altering model's capacity (ability to fit a wide variety of functions):
- select appropriate hypothesis space
- learning algorithm's effective capacity may be less than the representational capacity of the model family



# Evaluating the quality

Dataset

Training


Testing

Holdout Method

Image credit: Joseph Nelson [@josephofiowa](https://twitter.com/josephofiowa)



# Evaluating the quality



Is it good enough?

Image credit: Joseph Nelson [@josephofiowa](https://twitter.com/josephofiowa)



# Evaluating the quality






Image credit: Joseph Nelson [@josephofiowa](#)



# Evaluating the quality




Data Permitting:



Joseph Nelson @josephofiowa

Image credit: Joseph Nelson [@josephofiowa](https://twitter.com/josephofiowa)

# Cross-validation



# Outro



- Linear models are simple yet quite effective models
- Regularization incorporates some prior assumptions/additional constraints
- Trust your validation



# Revise



1. Linear models overview
2. Linear Regression under the hood
3. Regularization in Linear regression
4. Model validation and evaluation

# Thanks for attention!

Questions?



**girafe**  
**ai**

