```
1.1
```

doubling)

```
1. ((((a plus) b) f) x) + (((x times) y) * z)
2. ((3 4) + 5) + 6
3. 2^(2^(2^2))
1.2 Proving that function composition is associative follows as a very
simple application of the definition:
Let f :: c -> d, g :: b -> c, h :: a -> b, x :: a. One can write:
((f . g) . h) x = (f . g) (h x) = f (g (h x)) = f ((g . h) x) = (f . (g . h) x) = 
h)) x
1.3 Let as ++ bs = concat [as, bs], then:
++ IS associative since for all as, bs, cs :: [a] we have that (as ++ bs)
++ cs == concat [as, bs] ++ cs == concat [concat [as, bs], cs] == concat
[as, bs, cs] == concat [as, concat [bs, cs]] == as ++ concat [bs, cs] ==
as ++ (bs ++ cs).
++ is NOT commutative since [1] ++ [2] == [1, 2] whereas [2] ++ [1] ==
[2, 1].
++ HAS GOT the trivial unit / identity element e = []. One can easily see
that x ++ e == concat [x, e] == concat [x, []] == x == concat [[], x] ==
concat [e, x] == e ++ x.
++ DOESN'T have a zero element. To see this start from the equation z ++
x == z and substitute in x = 2, thus z ++ x == concat [z, 2] == z. But
the lenght of a list can not decrease under concatenation, so there is no
(Note: as a result, (The set of all lists of a given type, ++) is a
monoid)
1.4
double :: Integer -> Integer
double x = 2 * x
Then:
map double [3, 7, 4, 2] == [6, 14, 8, 4]
map (double . double) [3, 7, 4, 2] == [12, 28, 16, 8]
map double [] = []
Also let sum :: [Integer] -> Integer, then:
sum . map double == double . sum, by distributivity of multiplication
over addition (adding then summing up versus summing up and then
```

sum . map sum == sum . concat, by associativity of addition (adding up the elements of each list and then summing over the results versus concatenating all lists and then summing up all the numbers from the resulting list)

sum . sort == sum, by commutativity of addition (sorting the numbers
first doesn't change the outcome of adding up all the numbers)

\_\_\_\_\_\_

We can check that these are indeed correct implementations of not by running the following test and seeing that it outputs True, meaning that our implemeareions were all correct:

```
filter (\x -> x) [f x /= Prelude.not x | f <- [Main.not, not', not'', not''', not'''], x <- [False, True]] == []
```

\_\_\_\_\_\_

## 2.2

Let nr(f) denote the number of different haskell functions of type f. Then, nr satisfies the following:

- i. nr(Bool) = 2, obvious from definition
- ii. nr((f, g)) = nr(f) \* nr(g), clear from cardinality of cartesian products (Note: this can be further extended for arbitrarily sized tuples).
- iii.  $nr(f \rightarrow g) = nr(g) \ ^nr(f)$ , clear from the number of functions one can mathematically define with domain the set of all f and codomain the set of all g

These being said:

- 1.  $nr(Bool \rightarrow Bool) = nr(Bool) ^ nr(Bool) = 2 ^ 2 = 4$
- 2.  $nr(Bool \rightarrow Bool \rightarrow Bool) = nr(Bool \rightarrow Bool) ^ <math>nr(Bool) = 4 ^ 2 = 16$
- 3.  $nr(Bool \rightarrow Bool \rightarrow Bool \rightarrow Bool) = nr(Bool \rightarrow Bool \rightarrow Bool) ^ nr(Bool) = 16 ^ 2 = 256$
- 4.  $nr((Bool, Bool)) = nr(Bool) ^ 2 = 2 ^ 2 = 4$
- 5.  $nr((Bool, Bool) -> Bool) = nr(Bool) ^ nr((Bool, Bool)) = 2 ^ 4 = 16$

```
6. nr((Bool, Bool, Bool)) = nr(Bool) ^ 3 = 2 ^ 3 = 8
7. nr((Bool, Bool, Bool) -> Bool) = nr(Bool) ^ nr((Bool, Bool, Bool)) = 2
^ 8 = 256
8. nr((Bool -> Bool) -> Bool) = nr(Bool) ^ nr(Bool -> Bool) = 2 ^ 4 = 16
9. nr((Bool -> Bool -> Bool) -> Bool) = nr(Bool) ^ nr(Bool -> Bool -> Bool) = 2 ^ 16 = 65536
10. nr(((Bool -> Bool) -> Bool) -> Bool) = nr(Bool) ^ nr((Bool -> Bool) -> Bool) = 2 ^ 16 = 65536
```

Now, for listing all functions of type (Bool  $\rightarrow$  Bool)  $\rightarrow$  Bool I am going to use this additional construct:

f nr x = nr .&. bit xIndex /= 0 where xIndex = fromEnum (x False) + fromEnum (x True) \* 2 -- encodes function x as a binary number ranging from 0 to 3 and nr as a binary number ranging from 0 to 15 and checks for the x-th bit in nr

Now, the requested functions are f 0, f 1, f 2,  $\dots$ , f 15.