In the care of an image, we have a \$0 array, but instead of considering this finite scenario, we will look at IR3 or in other words "an infinite image". Almost the same calculations will be involved, but with more habels in the finite case.

Assume we have a function on 183, f:183->18.
It could be the output of a mural methods assigns to the initial image, its feature map. So, c demotes the channel feature map.

Consider the yore

12(1R3,1RC):={F:1R3->1RC([R3 dx 11F(x)])22006

of equare integrabell functions. On it, we can define a translation operator  $T: L^2 - > L^2$ ,

 $(\mathcal{T}_{t})(x) = f(x-t)$ 

This is in other words, a representation of

(12°,+) -) GL( (2°(12°,(12°)).

We will next look at an anzote of how the operation of a newral network could hook like:

(Ikt)(X):= \ dy K(X,y) f(y)

IK: 12(R3, cim) -> 12(R3, cout), K.R.X.R3.

Where cim, cout can represent the input
and output feature maps of the neural
network.

First symmetry (or equivariance) is trambational. "If our The ratisfies this, then

$$T_{k}(T_{t}t) = T_{t}(T_{k}t)$$
, If  $t \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ .

In other reads, if the input is translated, then the feature maps translate by the rame factors.

 $I_{R}(T_{t}+) = \int dy K(x,y) f(y-t)$  $=\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}d\hat{y}\,K(x,\hat{y}tt)\,f(\hat{y})$  $= \left( \frac{1}{t} \left( \frac{1}{h} t \right) \left( \frac{1}{h} \right) \right) = \left( \frac{1}{h} t \right) \left( \frac{1}{h} t \right) \left( \frac{1}{h} t \right)$ = \int\_{183} dy K(x-t, y) f(y) The two are actually equal (=) K(x-t, y)= K(x, y+t), (+)  $y \in \mathbb{R}^3$ . It we change is to y-t=>K(x,y)=K(x+1y+1) So, the bornel has a potial weight sharing, the weights at (x, y) are the rame weights at (x-t, y-t). "It we choose t = y = > K(x,y) = K(x-y,0) => the hurnel depends only on x-y. So,

K: 1R3-> 1Rcin x coul. If we there monlinearities that suppose they are local, meaning (Tf)(X):=Tx(HX) A different function at each XER? If wee require nymentry: [[ (Tt)(x)=(Tt(Tt)(x) Hence, (T(t)(X)= TX(T+(x)  $= \sqrt{(f(x-t))} = (L^{f}(\Delta t))(x) = (\Delta t)(x-t)$  $= \Delta^{X-+}(f(X-+))$  $C= \nabla \nabla \chi = \nabla \chi + = \nabla \nabla \chi = \nabla_0 := \nabla \cdot \text{Thirdox},$ 

the manlinearity is a constant, independent of the prosition x.

Let's have a book at another vencial quotion in connectional networks i.e. local max poelines (LMP).

IMP: 22 (R3, IRC) -> L2(IR3, IRC), t +> max f(y), where Rx is a region gerx representation is performed.

Symmetry pagain, requires:  $(LMP(T_t t))(X) = (T_t(LMP_t))(X)$ (=) mare (T<sub>t</sub>+)(y) = mare f(y-t)=more f(y)

yerx  $= (T_{\epsilon}(LMPf))(X) = (LMPf)(X-t) = pmake f(y)$   $= (T_{\epsilon}(LMPf))(X) = (LMPf)(X-t) = pmake f(y)$ (=) Rx-t = Rx-t (=) the prooling windows are shored (have the name rise in the control at CNN, v.).