

$Q = Q_0 + Q_1 + \dots + Q_n \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ notice its semi-orthogonal since $Q^T Q = I_n$

Notice $\Rightarrow A = Q_j C_j = Q Q_1 \dots Q_j \dots Q_n \dots Q_n^T = Q_j^T$
Let $R = [r_1 \dots r_n] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ \Rightarrow

$A = QR = Q \begin{bmatrix} q_1^T & \dots & q_n^T \\ 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ notice its

upper-triangular

Full QR Decomposition

Consider $\text{Full QR Decomposition}$ $A = [a_1 \dots a_n] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ($m \geq n$), i.e. $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{R}^m$ are linearly independent

Apply QR decomposition to obtain:
ONB $\{q_1, \dots, q_n\} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ for $\text{Col}(A)$

Semi-orthogonal $Q = [q_1 \dots q_n] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ and upper-triangular $R_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ where $A = Q_1 R_1$

Compute basis extension to obtain remaining $q_{n+1}, \dots, q_m \in \mathbb{R}^m$ where $\{q_1, \dots, q_m\}$ is ONB for \mathbb{R}^m

Notice $\{q_{n+1}, \dots, q_m\}$ is ONB for $\text{Col}(A)^\perp = \ker(A^T)$

Let $Q_2 = [q_{n+1} \dots q_m] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times (m-n)}$ let $Q = [Q_1 \ Q_2] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$

Then $\text{Full QR decomposition}$ is

$A = QR = [Q_1 \ Q_2] \begin{bmatrix} R_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ $R_1 = Q_1^T R_1$

Q is orthogonal, i.e. $Q^{-1} = Q^T$ so its a basis transformation

$\text{proj}_{\text{Col}(A)} Q_1 Q_1^T$ $\text{proj}_{\text{Col}(A)^\perp} Q_2 Q_2^T$ are orthogonal projections onto $\text{Col}(A)$ $\text{Col}(A)^\perp = \ker(A^T)$ respectively

Notice: $Q Q^T = I_m$ $Q_1 Q_1^T + Q_2 Q_2^T = I_m$

Generalizable to $\mathbb{C} \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ by changing transpose to conjugate-transpose

Lines and hyperplanes in $\mathbb{E}^n (= \mathbb{R}^n)$

Consider standard Euclidean space $\mathbb{E}^n (= \mathbb{R}^n)$ with standard basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with standard origin $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$

A line $L = \text{span}\{c\}$ is characterized by direction $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ($c \neq 0$) and offset from origin $c \in L$

It is customary that: n is a unit vector, i.e. $\|n\| = \| \hat{n} \| = 1$

$c \in L$ is closest point to origin, i.e. $c \perp n$

If $c \perp n \Rightarrow \perp$ not vector-subspace of \mathbb{R}^n i.e. $0 \notin L$ i.e. L doesn't go through the origin L is affine-subspace of \mathbb{R}^n

If $c \perp n$ i.e. $L = \text{span}\{c\} \Rightarrow L$ is vector-subspace of \mathbb{R}^n i.e. $0 \in L$ i.e. L goes through the origin L has $\dim(L) = 1$ and orthonormal basis (ONB) $\{\hat{n}\}$

A hyperplane $P = (\mathbb{R}^n)^{\perp} = \{x + c \mid x \in \mathbb{R}^n, x \perp n\}$ is characterized by normal $n \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \neq 0$) and offset from origin $c \in P$

It represents an $(n-1)$ -dimensional slice of the n -dimensional space

It is customary that: n is a unit vector, i.e. $\|n\| = \| \hat{n} \| = 1$

$c \in P$ is closest point to origin, i.e. $c \perp n$

With those $\Rightarrow P = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x \cdot n = c \cdot n\}$

If $c \cdot n = 0 \Rightarrow P = \{0\}$ not vector-subspace of \mathbb{R}^n i.e. $0 \notin P$ i.e. P doesn't go through the origin P is affine-subspace of \mathbb{R}^n

If $c \cdot n \neq 0$ i.e. $P = (\mathbb{R}^n)^{\perp} \Rightarrow P$ is vector-subspace of \mathbb{R}^n

i.e. $0 \in P$ i.e. P goes through the origin P has $\dim(P) = n-1$

Notice $L = \text{span}\{n\}$ and $P = (\mathbb{R}^n)^{\perp}$ are orthogonal complements, so:

$\text{proj}_L = \hat{n} \hat{n}^T$ is orthogonal projection onto L (along P) $\text{proj}_P = \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^n} - \text{proj}_L = I_n - \hat{n} \hat{n}^T$ is orthogonal projection onto P (along L)

$L = \text{im}(\text{proj}_L) = \ker(\text{proj}_P)$ and $P = \ker(\text{proj}_L) = \text{im}(\text{proj}_P)$

$\mathbb{R}^n = \text{span}\{(\text{proj}_L)^{\perp}\}$ i.e. all vectors $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ uniquely decomposed into $v = v_L + v_P$

Householder Maps: reflections

Two points $x, y \in \mathbb{E}^n$ are reflections w.r.t hyperplane $P = (\mathbb{R}^n)^{\perp} + c$ if:

1) The translation $\vec{xy} = y - x$ is parallel to normal n i.e. $\vec{xy} \propto n$

2) Midpoint $M = 1/2(x+y) \in P$ lies on P i.e. $m \cdot n = c \cdot n$

Suppose $P = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x \cdot n = c \cdot n\}$ instead of $x \cdot n = 0$ goes through the origin with normal $n \in \mathbb{R}^n$

Householder matrix $H_u = I_n - 2uu^T$ is reflection w.r.t. hyperplane P_u

Recall: let $u = \frac{n}{\|n\|}$

$\text{proj}_{L_u} = uu^T$ and $\text{proj}_{P_u} = I_n - uu^T \Rightarrow$

$H_u = \text{proj}_{P_u} - \text{proj}_{L_u}$

Visualize as preserving component in P_u then flipping component in L_u

H_u is involutory, orthogonal and symmetric, i.e. $H_u = H_u^{-1} = H_u^T$

Modified Gram-Schmidt

Go check Classical GM first, as this is just an alternative computational method

Let $P_1, \dots, P_{j-1} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ orthogonal \Rightarrow be projector onto hyperplane $(\mathbb{R}^m)^{\perp}$ i.e. orthogonal complement of line $\mathbb{R}q_j$

Notice: $P_{j+1} = I_m - Q_j Q_j^T = \left(I_m - \sum_{i=1}^j Q_i Q_i^T \right) = \prod_{i=1}^j P_i \perp Q_i$

Re-state: $u_{j+1} = \left(I_m - \sum_{i=1}^j Q_i Q_i^T \right) u_{j+1}$

$u_{j+1} = \left(\prod_{i=1}^j P_i \perp Q_i \right) u_{j+1} = \left(P_{j+1} \perp Q_j \right) u_{j+1}$

Projectors $P_1, Q_1, \dots, P_{j-1}, P_j$ are iteratively applied to u_{j+1} removing its components along Q_1 then along Q_2 and so on...

Let $Q_j = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{j-1} P_i \perp Q_i \right) u_{j+1}$ let $R_j = R_{j-1} Q_{j-1}^T$

Then $\text{Full QR decomposition}$ is

$A = QR = [Q_1 \ Q_2] \begin{bmatrix} R_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ $R_1 = Q_1^T R_1$

Q is orthogonal, i.e. $Q^{-1} = Q^T$ so its a basis transformation

$\text{proj}_{\text{Col}(A)} Q_1 Q_1^T$ $\text{proj}_{\text{Col}(A)^\perp} Q_2 Q_2^T$ are orthogonal projections onto $\text{Col}(A)$ $\text{Col}(A)^\perp = \ker(A^T)$ respectively

Notice: $Q Q^T = I_m$ $Q_1 Q_1^T + Q_2 Q_2^T = I_m$

Generalizable to $\mathbb{C} \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ by changing transpose to conjugate-transpose

Lines and hyperplanes in $\mathbb{E}^n (= \mathbb{R}^n)$

Consider standard Euclidean space $\mathbb{E}^n (= \mathbb{R}^n)$ with standard basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with standard origin $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$

A line $L = \text{span}\{c\}$ is characterized by direction $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ($c \neq 0$) and offset from origin $c \in L$

It is customary that: n is a unit vector, i.e. $\|n\| = \| \hat{n} \| = 1$

$c \in L$ is closest point to origin, i.e. $c \perp n$

If $c \perp n \Rightarrow \perp$ not vector-subspace of \mathbb{R}^n i.e. $0 \notin L$ i.e. L doesn't go through the origin L is affine-subspace of \mathbb{R}^n

If $c \perp n$ i.e. $L = \text{span}\{c\} \Rightarrow L$ is vector-subspace of \mathbb{R}^n i.e. $0 \in L$ i.e. L goes through the origin L has $\dim(L) = 1$ and orthonormal basis (ONB) $\{\hat{n}\}$

A hyperplane $P = (\mathbb{R}^n)^{\perp} = \{x + c \mid x \in \mathbb{R}^n, x \perp n\}$ is characterized by normal $n \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \neq 0$) and offset from origin $c \in P$

It represents an $(n-1)$ -dimensional slice of the n -dimensional space

It is customary that: n is a unit vector, i.e. $\|n\| = \| \hat{n} \| = 1$

$c \in P$ is closest point to origin, i.e. $c \perp n$

With those $\Rightarrow P = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x \cdot n = c \cdot n\}$

If $c \cdot n = 0 \Rightarrow P = \{0\}$ not vector-subspace of \mathbb{R}^n i.e. $0 \notin P$ i.e. P doesn't go through the origin P is affine-subspace of \mathbb{R}^n

If $c \cdot n \neq 0$ i.e. $P = (\mathbb{R}^n)^{\perp} \Rightarrow P$ is vector-subspace of \mathbb{R}^n

i.e. $0 \in P$ i.e. P goes through the origin P has $\dim(P) = n-1$

Notice $L = \text{span}\{n\}$ and $P = (\mathbb{R}^n)^{\perp}$ are orthogonal complements, so:

$\text{proj}_L = \hat{n} \hat{n}^T$ is orthogonal projection onto L (along P) $\text{proj}_P = \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^n} - \text{proj}_L = I_n - \hat{n} \hat{n}^T$ is orthogonal projection onto P (along L)

$L = \text{im}(\text{proj}_L) = \ker(\text{proj}_P)$ and $P = \ker(\text{proj}_L) = \text{im}(\text{proj}_P)$

$\mathbb{R}^n = \text{span}\{(\text{proj}_L)^{\perp}\}$ i.e. all vectors $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ uniquely decomposed into $v = v_L + v_P$

Householder Maps: reflections

Two points $x, y \in \mathbb{E}^n$ are reflections w.r.t hyperplane $P = (\mathbb{R}^n)^{\perp} + c$ if:

1) The translation $\vec{xy} = y - x$ is parallel to normal n i.e. $\vec{xy} \propto n$

2) Midpoint $M = 1/2(x+y) \in P$ lies on P i.e. $m \cdot n = c \cdot n$

Suppose $P = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x \cdot n = c \cdot n\}$ instead of $x \cdot n = 0$ goes through the origin with normal $n \in \mathbb{R}^n$

Householder matrix $H_u = I_n - 2uu^T$ is reflection w.r.t. hyperplane P_u

Recall: let $u = \frac{n}{\|n\|}$

$\partial_k^{n_1 \dots n_{k-1} n_{k+1} \dots n_m} f = \partial_k \partial_{k+1} \dots \partial_{k+n_k-1} f = f_{j_1 \dots j_{n_k}} = f_{j_1 \dots j_{n_k}}$

Its an N th order partial derivative where $N = \sum_k n_k$

$\nabla f = [\partial_1 f, \dots, \partial_n f]^T$ is gradient of $f = \nabla f(x) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}$

$\nabla^T f = (\nabla f)^T$ is transpose of ∇f i.e. $\nabla^T f$ is row vector

$\partial_k f(x) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x+\epsilon e_k) - f(x)}{\epsilon}$ is directional-derivative of f

It is rate-of-change in direction u where $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is unit-vector

$\partial_u f(x) = \nabla f(x) \cdot u = \nabla f(x) \|u\| \cos(\theta) \Rightarrow \partial_u f(x)$ is maximized when $\cos(\theta) = 1$

when u and $\nabla f(x)$ are parallel \Rightarrow hence $\nabla f(x)$ is direction of max. rate-of-change

f has local minimum at x_{loc} if there's radius $r > 0$ s.t. $\forall x \in B(r, x_{\text{loc}})$ we have $f(x_{\text{loc}}) \leq f(x)$

f has global minimum x_{glob} if $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have $f(x_{\text{glob}}) \leq f(x)$

A local minimum satisfies optimality conditions: $\nabla f(x) = 0$ if, for $n=1$ its $f'(x) = 0$

$\nabla^2 f(x)$ is positive-definite, e.g. for $n=1$ its $f''(x) > 0$

$H(f) = \nabla^2 f = J(f \nabla f)^T$ is Hessian $\Rightarrow H(f)_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}$

Interpret $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ as m functions $F_i: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (one per output-component)

$J(f) = [\nabla^T F_1, \dots, \nabla^T F_m]$ is Jacobian $\Rightarrow J(f)_{ij} = \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial x_j}$

Using functions Φ_1, \dots, Φ_n let $\Phi(f_1, \dots, f_n)$ be formula defining some function

Then $\Phi(O(g_1), \dots, O(g_n))$ is the class of functions $\{\Phi(f_1, \dots, f_n) : f_1 \in O(g_1), \dots, f_n \in O(g_n)\}$

i.e. $\Phi(O(1), \dots, O(1)) = O(1)$

$\Phi(O(1), \dots, O(1)) = O(1)$ means $\{f \in O(1) : f \in O(1)\} \subseteq O(1)$

Special-case: $f = \Phi(O(g_1), \dots, O(g_n))$ means $\Phi(O(g_1), \dots, O(g_n))$

i.e. $\Phi(O(1), \dots, O(1)) = O(1)$ means $\{f \in O(1) : f \in O(1)\} \subseteq O(1)$

$\Phi(O(1), \dots, O(1)) = O(1)$ means $\{f \in O(1) : f \in O(1)\} \subseteq O(1)$

$\Phi(O(1), \dots, O(1)) = O(1)$ means $\{f \in O(1) : f \in O(1)\} \subseteq O(1)$

$\Phi(O(1), \dots, O(1)) = O(1)$ means $\{f \in O(1) : f \in O(1)\} \subseteq O(1)$

$\Phi(O(1), \dots, O(1)) = O(1)$ means $\{f \in O(1) : f \in O(1)\} \subseteq O(1)$

$\Phi(O(1), \dots, O(1)) = O(1)$ means $\{f \in O(1) : f \in O(1)\} \subseteq O(1)$

$\Phi(O(1), \dots, O(1)) = O(1)$ means $\{f \in O(1) : f \in O(1)\} \subseteq O(1)$

$\Phi(O(1), \dots, O(1)) = O(1)$ means $\{f \in O(1) : f \in O(1)\} \subseteq O(1)$

$\Phi(O(1), \dots, O(1)) = O(1)$ means $\{f \in O(1) : f \in O(1)\} \subseteq O(1)$

$\Phi(O(1), \dots, O(1)) = O(1)$ means $\{f \in O(1) : f \in O(1)\} \subseteq O(1)$

$\Phi(O(1), \dots, O(1)) = O(1)$ means $\{f \in O(1) : f \in O(1)\} \subseteq O(1)$

$\Phi(O(1), \dots, O(1)) = O(1)$ means $\{f \in O(1) : f \in O(1)\} \subseteq O(1)$

$\Phi(O(1), \dots, O(1)) = O(1)$ means $\{f \in O(1) : f \in O(1)\} \subseteq O(1)$

$\Phi(O(1), \dots, O(1)) = O(1)$ means $\{f \in O(1) : f \in O(1)\} \subseteq O(1)$

$\Phi(O(1), \dots, O(1)) = O(1)$ means $\{f \in O(1) : f \in O(1)\} \subseteq O(1)$

$\Phi(O(1), \dots, O(1)) = O(1)$ means $\{f \in O(1) : f \in O(1)\} \subseteq O(1)$

$\Phi(O(1), \dots, O(1)) = O(1)$ means $\{f \in O(1) : f \in O(1)\} \subseteq O(1)$

$\Phi(O(1), \dots, O(1)) = O(1)$ means $\{f \in O(1) : f \in O(1)\} \subseteq O(1)$

$\Phi(O(1), \dots, O(1)) = O(1)$ means $\{f \in O(1) : f \in O(1)\} \subseteq O(1)$

$\Phi(O(1), \dots, O(1)) = O(1)$ means $\{f \in O(1) : f \in O(1)\} \subseteq O(1)$

$\Phi(O(1), \dots, O(1)) = O(1)$ means $\{f \in O(1) : f \in O(1)\} \subseteq O(1)$

$\Phi(O(1), \dots, O(1)) = O(1)$ means $\{f \in O(1) : f \in O(1)\} \subseteq O(1)$

$\Phi(O(1), \dots, O(1)) = O(1)$ means $\{f \in O(1) : f \in O(1)\} \subseteq O(1)$

$\Phi(O(1), \dots, O(1)) = O(1)$ means $\{f \in O(1) : f \in O(1)\} \subseteq O(1)$

$\Phi(O(1), \dots, O(1)) = O(1)$ means $\{f \in O(1) : f \in O(1)\} \subseteq O(1)$

$\Phi(O(1), \dots, O(1)) = O(1)$ means $\{f \in O(1) : f \in O(1)\} \subseteq O(1)$

$\Phi(O(1), \dots, O(1)) = O(1)$ means $\{f \in O(1) : f \in O(1)\} \subseteq O(1)$

$\Phi(O(1), \dots, O(1)) = O(1)$ means $\{f \in O(1) : f \in O(1)\} \subseteq O(1)$

$\Phi(O(1), \dots, O(1)) = O(1)$ means $\{f \in O(1) : f \in O(1)\} \subseteq O(1)$

$\Phi(O(1), \dots, O(1)) = O(1)$ means $\{f \in O(1) : f \in O(1)\} \subseteq O(1)$

f is backwards stable if $\forall x \in X \exists \epsilon \in X$ s.t. $f(x) = f(x)$ and $\frac{\|x - \epsilon\|}{\|x\|} = O(\epsilon^{\text{mach}})$

i.e. exactly the right answer to nearly the right question, a subset of stability

$\Phi, \Theta, \otimes, \otimes$ inner-product, back-substitution w/ triangular systems, are backwards stable

if backwards stable f and f has condition number $\kappa(x)$ then relative error $\frac{\|f(x) - f(x)\|}{\|f(x)\|} = O(\kappa(x) \epsilon^{\text{mach}})$

Accuracy, stability, backwards stability are non-independent for fin-dim X, Y

Big-O meaning for numerical analysis In complexity analysis $f(n) = O(g(n))$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$

But in numerical analysis $f(n) = O(g(n))$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ i.e. $\limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|f(x) - f(x)\|}{\|f(x)\|} / \frac{\|g(x)\|}{\|g(x)\|} < \infty$

f has local minimum at x_{loc} if there's radius $r > 0$ s.t. $\forall x \in B(r, x_{\text{loc}})$ we have $f(x_{\text{loc}}) \leq f(x)$

f has global minimum x_{glob} if $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have $f(x_{\text{glob}}) \leq f(x)$

A local minimum satisfies optimality conditions: $\nabla f(x) = 0$ if, for $n=1$ its $f'(x) = 0$

$\nabla^2 f(x)$ is positive-definite, e.g. for $n=1$ its $f''(x) > 0$

$H(f) = \nabla^2 f = J(f \nabla f)^T$ is Hessian $\Rightarrow H(f)_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}$

Interpret $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ as m functions $F_i: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (one per output-component)