Basic identities of matrix/vector ops	<u>j</u> j	Vector norms (beyond euclidean)	Determinant of square-diagonals =>	If all else fails, try to find row/column with MOST zeros	Hf associated to same eigenvalue Δ then <b>eigenspace</b>	$  \sigma_1,, \sigma_p  $ are singular values of $\underline{A}$ ].	Variance (Bessel's correction) of $\alpha_1,, \alpha_m$ is
$(A+B)^T = A^T + B^T   (AB)^T = B^T A^T   (A^{-1})^T = (A^T)^{-1}  $	*Notice: $Q_j c_j = \sum_{i=1}^{J} (\mathbf{q}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_{j+1}) \mathbf{q}_i = \sum_{i=1}^{J} \operatorname{proj}_{\mathbf{q}_i} (\mathbf{a}_{j+1})$ , so	<b>vector norms</b> are such that: $  x   = 0 \iff x = 0$ ,	$\left  \begin{array}{c}  \operatorname{diag}(a_1,, a_n)  = \prod_i a_i \\  \operatorname{triangular matrices}) \end{array} \right $	Perform minimal EROs/ECOs to get that row/column to be all-but-one zeros	$E_{\lambda}$ has spanning-set $\{\mathbf{x}_{\lambda_i}, \dots\}$	(Positive) singular values are (positive) square-roots	$Var_{\mathbf{w}} = \frac{1}{m-1} \sum_{j} \alpha_{j}^{2} = \frac{1}{m-1} \mathbf{w}^{T} \left( \sum_{j} \mathbf{r}_{i}^{T} \mathbf{r}_{j} \right) \mathbf{w}$
$(AB)^{-1} = B^{-1}A^{-1}$	rewrite as	$\frac{ \lambda x  =  \lambda    x  }{  x + y   \le   x   +   y  }$		Don't forget to keep track of sign-flipping &	$x_1,, x_n$ are linearly independent $\Rightarrow$ apply Gram-Schmidt $q_{\lambda_i}, \leftarrow x_{\lambda_i},$	of eigenvalues of $\underline{AA^T}$ or $\underline{A^TA}$ i.e. $\sigma_1^2,, \sigma_D^2$ are eigenvalues of $\underline{AA^T}$ or $\underline{A^TA}$	$= \frac{1}{m-1} \mathbf{w}^{T} \mathbf{A}^{T} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{w}$
For $\underline{A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}}$ , $\underline{A_{ij}}$ is the $\underline{i}$ -th <b>ROW</b> then $\underline{j}$ -th <b>COLUMN</b>	j j	$\ell_p$ norms: $\ \mathbf{x}\ _p = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n  \mathbf{x}_i ^p\right)^{1/p}$	The (column) rank of AJ is number of linearly	scaling-factors  -Do Laplace expansion along that row/column =>	*Then $\{\mathbf{q}_{\lambda_i},\}$ is orthonormal basis (ONB) of $E_{\lambda_i}$		First (principal) axis defined =>
$(A^{T})_{ij} = A_{ji} \left[ (AB)_{ij} = A_{i\star} \cdot B_{\star j} = \sum_{i} A_{ik} B_{kj} \right]$	$\mathbf{u}_{j+1} = \mathbf{a}_{j+1} - \sum_{i=1} (\mathbf{q}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_{j+1}) \mathbf{q}_i = \mathbf{a}_{j+1} - \sum_{i=1} \operatorname{proj}_{\mathbf{q}_i} (\mathbf{a}_{j+1})$	$p=1$ : $\ \mathbf{x}\ _1 = \sum_{i=1}^n  \mathbf{x}_i $	independent columns, i.e. rk(A) tl.e. its the number of pivots in row-echelon-form	notice all-but-one minor matrix determinants go to	$Q = \langle \mathbf{q}_1, \dots, \mathbf{q}_n \rangle$ is an ONB of $\mathbb{R}^n \Longrightarrow Q = [\mathbf{q}_1   \dots   \mathbf{q}_n]$ is	Let r = rk(A), then number of strictly positive singular	$\mathbf{w}_{(1)} = \operatorname{argmax}_{\ \mathbf{w}\ =1} \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{w}$
R	$a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{R}^m \mid \underline{m \ge n}$	$-\frac{p=2}{\ \mathbf{x}\ _2} = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i^2} = \sqrt{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x}}$	I.e. its the dimension of the column-space	Representing EROs/ECOs as transfor-	orthogonal matrix i.e. Q <sup>-1</sup> = Q <sup>7</sup>	values is r	= arg max $\ \mathbf{w}\  = 1 (m-1) \text{Var}_{\mathbf{w}} = \mathbf{v}_1$ i.e. $\mathbf{w}_{(1)}$ the direction that maximizes variance $\text{Var}_{\mathbf{w}}$
$(Ax)_i = A_{i*} \cdot x = \sum_j A_{ij} x_j   x^T y = y^T x = x \cdot y = \sum_i x_i y_i  $	$U_n = \text{span}\{a_1,, a_n\}$ We apply Gram-Schmidt to build <b>ONB</b>	$\frac{p = \infty}{\ \mathbf{x}\ _{\infty}} = \lim_{p \to \infty} \ \mathbf{x}\ _{p} = \max_{1 \le i \le n}  \mathbf{x}_{i} $	rk(A) = dim(C(A)) I.e. its the dimension of the image-space	mation matrices	$q_1,, q_n$ are still eigenvectors of $\underline{A} = A = \underline{Q} \underline{D} \underline{Q}^T$	ti.e. $\sigma_1 \ge \cdots \ge \sigma_r > 0$ and $\sigma_{r+1} = \cdots = \sigma_p = 0$	i.e. maximizes variance of <b>projections on line Rw</b> (1)
$X' AX = \sum_{i} \sum_{j} A_{ij} X_{i} X_{j}$ $Xe_{k}' = [0] [X] [0]$	$(\mathbf{q}_1,, \mathbf{q}_n) \in \mathbb{R}^m   \text{for } U_n \in \mathbb{R}^m  $	Any two norms in $\mathbb{R}^n$ are equivalent, meaning there	$rk(A) = dim(im(f_A))$ of linear map $f_A(x) = Ax$	For A ∈ R <sup>m×n</sup>   suppose a sequence of:	(spectral decomposition)  A = ODO T can be interpreted as scaling in direction of	$+A = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \sigma_i \mathbf{u}_i \mathbf{v}_i^I$	σ <sub>1</sub> <b>u</b> <sub>1</sub> ,,σ <sub>r</sub> <b>u</b> <sub>r</sub>  (columns of <u>US</u> ) are <b>principal</b>
$\mathbf{e}_{R}\mathbf{x}^{T} = [0^{T}; \dots; \mathbf{x}^{T}; \dots; 0^{T}]$	$j=1 \Rightarrow u_1 = a_1$ and $q_1 = \hat{u}_1$ , i.e. start of iteration	exist $r>0$ ; $s>0$   such that: $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, r \ x\ _{a} \le \ x\ _{b} \le s \ x\ _{a}$	The (row) rank of AJis number of linearly independent	<b>EROs</b> transform $A \rightsquigarrow_{EROs} A' \implies$ there is matrix $R$ s.t.	its eigenvectors:	SVD is similar to spectral decomposition, except it	components/scores of A
Scalar-multiplication + addition distributes over:	$ \mathbf{u}_1  =  \mathbf{u}_2  $	X   <sub>∞</sub> ≤   X   <sub>2</sub> ≤   X   <sub>1</sub>	rows The row/column ranks are always the same, hence	ECOs transform A → ECOs A' => there is matrix CJs.t.	1.Perform a succession of reflections/planar rotations	always exists	Recall: $A = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \sigma_i \mathbf{u}_i \mathbf{v}_i^T$ with $\sigma_1 \ge \cdots \ge \sigma_r > 0$ , so that
column-blocks $\Rightarrow$ $\lambda A + B = \lambda [A_1     A_C] + [B_1     B_C] = [\lambda A_1 + B_1     \lambda A_C + B_C]$	For exams: compute $\mathbf{u}_{j+1} = \mathbf{a}_{j+1} - Q_j \mathbf{c}_j$	-Equivalence of $\ell_1$ , $\ell_2$ and $\ell_{\infty} \Rightarrow   \mathbf{x}  _2 \le \sqrt{n}   \mathbf{x}  _{\infty}$	$rk(A) = dim(C(A)) = dim(R(A)) = dim(C(A^T)) = rk(A^T)$	AC = A'	to change coordinate-system 2.Apply scaling by λ <sub>i</sub>   to each dimension <b>q</b> <sub>i</sub>	If $\underline{n \le m}$ then work with $\underline{A^T A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}}$ .	relates principal axes and principal components
row-blocks =>	1. Gather $Q_j = [\mathbf{q}_1 \mid \mid \mathbf{q}_j] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times j}$	<u>  x  ₁ ≤√n  x  ₂</u>	A_Jis full-rank iff rk(A) = min(m, n), i.e. its as linearly independent as possible	+Both transform A → EROS+ECOS A' => there are matrices R, C   s.t. RAC = A'	3.Undo those reflections/planar rotations	Obtain eigenvalues $\sigma_1^2 \ge \cdots \ge \sigma_n^2 \ge 0$ of $\underline{A^T A}$ Obtain <b>orthonormal</b> eigenvectors $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n \in \mathbb{R}^n$ of	-Data compression: If σ <sub>1</sub> ≫ σ <sub>2</sub> Ithen compress AI by projecting in direction of principal component =>
$\lambda A + B = \lambda [A_1; \dots; A_r] + [B_1; \dots; B_r] = [\lambda A_1 + B_1; \dots; \lambda A_r + B_r]$	2. Compute $\mathbf{c}_j = [\mathbf{q}_1 \cdot \mathbf{a}_{j+1}, \dots, \mathbf{q}_j \cdot \mathbf{a}_{j+1}]^T \in \mathbb{R}^j$	Induce metric $\underline{d(x, y)} =   y - x  $ has additional properties:	Independent as possible	matrices R, C s.t. RAC = A	Extension to C <sup>n</sup>	$\underline{A^T A}$ (apply <b>normalization</b> e.g. <b>Gram-Schmidt</b> !!!! to	$A \approx \sigma_1 \mathbf{u}_1 \mathbf{v}_1^T$
Matrix-multiplication distributes over: $  column-blocks \Rightarrow AB = A[B_1     B_D] = [AB_1     AB_D]  $	3. Compute $Q_j c_j \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and subtract from $a_{j+1}$	Translation invariance: $d(x+w,y+w)=d(x,y)$	Two matrices $\underline{\mathbf{A}}, \tilde{\mathbf{A}} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ are <b>equivalent</b> if there exist	FORWARD: to compute these transformation	Standard inner product: $\langle x, y \rangle = x^{\dagger} y = \sum_{i} \overline{x_{i}} y_{i}$	eigenspaces E <sub>O;</sub> \$	
	Properties: dot-product & norm	Scaling: $d(\lambda x, \lambda y) =  \lambda  d(x, y)$	two invertible matrices $\underline{P \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}}$ and $\underline{Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}}$ such that $\underline{A} = \underline{P} \underline{A} \underline{Q}^{-1}$	matrices: Start with [I <sub>m</sub>   A   I <sub>n</sub> ] i.e. A Jand identity matrices	Conjugate-symmetric: $\langle x, y \rangle = \overline{\langle y, x \rangle}$	$V = [v_1     v_n] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is orthogonal so $V^T = V^{-1}$	Consider positive (semi-)definite A∈ R <sup>n×n</sup>
	$x^{T}y = y^{T}x = x \cdot y = \sum x_{i}y_{i}   x \cdot y =   a     b   \cos x\hat{y} $	Matrix norms  Matrix norms are such that: $  A   = 0 \iff A = 0$	Two matrices $\mathbf{A}, \tilde{\mathbf{A}} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ are <b>similar</b> if there exists an	For every <b>ERO</b> on <u>A</u> J, do the same to <b>LHS</b> (i.e. I <sub>m</sub> )	Standard (induced) norm: $  x   = \sqrt{\langle x, y \rangle} = \sqrt{x^{\dagger} y}$	r=rk(A)=no. of strictly +ve σ <sub>i</sub>	Cholesky Decomposition is A = LL <sup>T</sup> where L is
$AB = [A_1     A_p][B_1;; B_p] = \sum_{i=1}^{p} A_i B_i$	$i$ $x \cdot y = y \cdot x \mid x \cdot (y + z) = x \cdot y + x \cdot z \mid \alpha x \cdot y = \alpha(x \cdot y) \mid$	λA  =  λ    A    ,   A+B   ≤   A   +   B	invertible matrix $\underline{P} \in J \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ such that $\underline{A} = \underline{P} \underline{A} \underline{P}^{-1}$	For every <b>ECO</b> on $\underline{A}$ , do the same to <b>RHS</b> (i.e. $\overline{I_n}$ ) Once done, you should get $[I_m \mid A \mid I_n] \rightsquigarrow [R \mid \overline{A} \mid C]$	•We can diagonalise real matrices in CJwhich lets us diagonalise more matrices than before	Let $\mathbf{u}_i = \frac{1}{\sigma_i} A \mathbf{v}_i$ then $\underline{\mathbf{u}_1,, \mathbf{u}_r \in \mathbb{R}^m}$ are orthonormal	lower-triangular For positive semi-definite => always exists, but
e.g. for $A = [a_1     a_n]$ , $B = [b_1;; b_n] \Rightarrow AB = \sum_i a_i b_i$	$x \cdot x =   x  ^2 = 0 \iff x = 0$	Matrices $\mathbb{F}^{m \times \hat{n}}$ are a vector space so <b>matrix norms</b> are vector norms, all results apply	Similar matrices are equivalent, with Q=P  A lis diagonalisable iff A lis similar to some diagonal	with RAC = A'	Least Square Method	(therefore linearly independent)  The orthogonal compliment of span{u <sub>1</sub> ,,u <sub>r</sub> } =>	non-unique
	for $\underline{x \neq 0}$ , we have $\underline{x \cdot y = x \cdot z} \Longrightarrow x \cdot (y - z) = 0$	+Sub-multiplicative matrix norm (assumed by default)	matrix D	If the commence of FDOs and FCOs war D. D. Land	If we are solving Ax = b and b ∉ C(A) i.e. no solution,	$  span(\mathbf{u}_1,, \mathbf{u}_r) ^{\perp} = span(\mathbf{u}_{r+1},, \mathbf{u}_m) $	For positive-definite => always uniquely exists s.t.
	x·y ≤  x   y    (Cauchy-Schwartz inequality)	is also such that    AB    ≤    A       B	<b>Properties of determinants</b>	If the sequences of <b>EROs</b> and <b>ECOs</b> were $\underbrace{R_1,,R_{\lambda}}_{l}$ and $C_1,,C_{\mu}$   respectively	then Least Square Method is: Finding xjwhich minimizes   Ax-b   <sub>2</sub>	*Solve for unit-vector u <sub>r+1</sub>   s.t. it is orthogonal to	diagonals of LJare positive
idempotent)	$\ u+v\ ^2 + \ u-v\ ^2 = 2\ u\ ^2 + 2\ v\ ^2$ (parallelogram law) $\ u+v\  \le \ u\  + \ v\ $ (triangle inequality)	*Common matrix norms, for some $\underline{\mathbf{A}} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ }: $\ \mathbf{A}\ _1 = \max_j \ \mathbf{A}_{*j}\ _1$	Consider $\underline{A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}}$ , then $A_{ij}' \in \mathbb{R}^{(n-1) \times (n-1)}$ the	$R = R_{\lambda} \cdots R_{1}$ and $C = C_{1} \cdots C_{\mu}$ so	Recall for $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ we have unique decomposition	u <sub>1</sub> ,,u <sub>r</sub>	Finding a Cholesky Decomposition:
A square matrix $P$ such that $P^2 = P$ is called a	$u \perp v \iff   u+v  ^2 =   u  ^2 +   v  ^2$ (pythagorean	$\ \mathbf{A}\ _{2} = \sigma_{1}(\mathbf{A})$ i.e. largest singular value of $\mathbf{A}$	(i,j) minor matrix of A <sub>J</sub> obtained by deleting i th row	$(R_{\lambda} \cdots R_1)A(C_1 \cdots C_{\mu}) = A'$	for any $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{b}_i + \mathbf{b}_k$	*Then solve for unit-vector $\mathbf{u}_{r+2}$  s.t. it is orthogonal to $\mathbf{u}_1,, \mathbf{u}_{r+1}$	Compute <u>LL<sup>T</sup></u> and solve <u>A=LL<sup>T</sup></u> by matching terms For square roots always pick positive
It is called an <b>orthogonal projection matrix</b> if	theorem) $\ c\ ^2 = \ a\ ^2 + \ b\ ^2 - 2\ a\  \ b\  \cos b\hat{a}   (law of cosines)$	(sauare-root of largest eigenvalue of ATA or AAT)	and $j$   th column from $A$   Then we define determinant of $A$ , i.e. $det(A) =  A $ , as	$R^{-1} = R_1^{-1} \cdots R_{\lambda}^{-1}$ and $C^{-1} = C_{\mu}^{-1} \cdots C_1^{-1}$ where	where $\frac{\mathbf{b}_i \in C(A)}{\mathbf{b}_k}$ and $\frac{\mathbf{b}_k \in \ker(A^T)}{\mathbf{b}_k}$	*And so on	If there is exact solution then positive-definite
P = P = P   (conjugate-transpose)	Transformation matrix & linear maps	$\ \mathbf{A}\ _{\infty} = \max_{i} \ \mathbf{A}_{i\star}\ _{1}$ note that $\ \mathbf{A}\ _{1} = \ \mathbf{A}^{T}\ _{\infty}$	II	$R_i^{-1}, C_i^{-1}$ are inverse EROs/ECOs respectively	$ \frac{\ \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\ _{2} \text{ is minimized}}{\ \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}_{i}\ _{2}} = 0 \iff \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}_{i} $	$U = [\mathbf{u}_1   \dots   \mathbf{u}_m] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ is orthogonal so $U^T = U^{-1}$	If there are <b>free variables</b> at the end, then <b>positive</b>
Because π: V → V I is a <b>linear map</b> , its <b>image space</b>	For linear map $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ , ordered bases		$-\det(A) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^{i+k} A_{ik} \det(A_{ik}')$ i.e. expansion along		$A^T A \mathbf{x} = A^T \mathbf{b}$ is the <b>normal equation</b> which gives	$S = \operatorname{diag}_{m \times n}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)$ AND DONE!!!	i.e. the decomposition is a solution-set
$U = im(\pi)$ and null space $W = ker(\pi)$ are subspaces of $V$	$\langle \mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_n \rangle \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\langle \mathbf{c}_1, \dots, \mathbf{c}_m \rangle \in \mathbb{R}^m$	Frobenius norm: $\ \mathbf{A}\ _F = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n  \mathbf{A}_{ij} ^2}$	i <b>th row</b> *(for any i)	<b>BACKWARD:</b> once $R_1,, R_{\lambda}$ and $C_1,, C_{\mu}$ for which	solution to least square problem:	If $\underline{m < n}$ then let $\underline{B} = A^T$ apply above method to $\underline{B} = A^T = USV^T$	parameterized on free variables
	$A = \mathbf{F}_{CB} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ is the <b>transformation-matrix</b> of $f$ w.r.t to bases $B$ and $C$	A matrix norm    ·    on $\mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ is <b>consistent</b> with the	$\det(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{k+j} A_{kj} \det(A_{kj}'), \text{ i.e. expansion along}$	RAC = A' are <b>known</b> , starting with $[I_m \mid A \mid I_n]$	$\ \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\ _2$ is minimized $\iff \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}_i \iff \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{b}$	A = B <sup>T</sup> = VS <sup>T</sup> U <sup>T</sup>	[1 1 1] e.g. $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = LL^T$ where $L = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ , $c \in [0, 1]$
$W = \text{im}(\pi^*) = \text{ker}(\pi)$ and $U = \text{ker}(\pi^*) = \text{im}(\pi)$ i.e. they	$f(\mathbf{b}_j) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_{ij} c_i$ $\rightarrow$ each $\mathbf{b}_j$   basis gets mapped to a	vector norms $\ \cdot\ _a$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\ \cdot\ _b$ on $\mathbb{R}^m$ if	k=1 j   th column (for any j	For $\underline{i=1 \rightarrow \lambda}$ perform $\underline{R_i}$ on $\underline{A}$ perform $\underline{R_{\lambda-i+1}}$ on <b>LHS</b>	Linear Regression	Tricks: Computing orthonormal	1 1 2 1 c $\sqrt{1-c^2}$
swapped ≰∏jis a projection <b>along Wjonto</b> U	linear combination of $\Sigma_i$ $a_i$ $c_i$ bases	for all $\underline{\mathbf{A}} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ and $\underline{\mathbf{x}} \in \mathbb{R}^n \Longrightarrow \ \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}\ _b \le \ \mathbf{A}\  \ \mathbf{x}\ _a$	When det(A) = 0   we call A Ja singular matrix	(i.e. I <sub>m</sub> )	Let $y = f(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} s_j f_j(t)$ be a mathematical model,	vector-set extensions You have orthonormal vectors $\mathbf{u}_1,, \mathbf{u}_r \in \mathbb{R}^m$   ⇒ need	
*π*   is a projection along U   onto W	If $f^{-1}$ exists (i.e. its bijective and $m = n$ ) then	-If $a = b$ , $\ \cdot\ $ is <b>compatible</b> with $\ \cdot\ _a$ -Frobenius norm is <b>consistent</b> with $\ell_2$   norm $\Rightarrow$	Common determinants	For $j=1 \rightarrow \mu$ perform $C_j$ on $\underline{A}$ , perform $C_{\mu-j+1}^{-1}$ on	where $f_{\underline{j}}$ are <b>basis functions</b> and $s_{\underline{j}}$ are <b>parameters</b>	to <b>extend</b> to <b>orthonormal</b> vectors $\mathbf{u}_1,, \mathbf{u}_r \in \mathbb{R}^m$	If <u>A = LL<sup>T</sup></u> you can use <u>forward/backward substitution</u> to <b>solve equations</b>
	$(\mathbf{F}_{CB})^{-1} = \mathbf{F}^{-1}_{BC}$ (where $\mathbf{F}^{-1}_{BC}$ is the	Av   <sub>2</sub> ≤   A   <sub>F</sub>   v   <sub>2</sub>	For <u>n = 1</u> ] det(A) = A <sub>11</sub>   For <u>n = 2</u> ], det(A) = A <sub>11</sub> A <sub>22</sub> -A <sub>12</sub> A <sub>21</sub>	RHS (i.e. I <sub>n</sub> )	Let $(t_i, y_i)$ $1 \le i \le m, m \gg n$ be a set of <b>observations</b> , and $t, y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ are vectors representing those	Special case => two 3D vectors => use cross-product =>	For $Ax = b$   $\Rightarrow$ let $y = L^T x$
V]can be decomposed as V = U ⊕ W   meaning every vector x ∈ V   can be uniquely written as x = u + w	transformation-matrix of $\underline{f^{-1}}$	For a vector norm $\ \cdot\ $ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ , the <b>subordinate</b>	$-\det(\mathbf{I}_n) = 1$	You should get $[I_m \mid A \mid I_n] \rightsquigarrow [R^{-1} \mid A' \mid C^{-1}]$ with	observations	$a \times b \perp a, b$	Solve Ly = b by forward substitution to <b>find</b> y
$\star u \in U$ and $u = \pi(x)$	The transformation matrix of the identity map is called	matrix norm $\ \cdot\ $ on $\mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ is $\ \mathbf{A}\  = \max\{\ \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}\  : \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \ \mathbf{x}\  = 1\}$	-Multi-linearity in columns/rows: if	N=K A C	$f_j(\mathbf{t}) = [f_j(\mathbf{t}_1), \dots, f_j(\mathbf{t}_m)]^T$ is transformed vector	Extension via standard basis $I_m = [e_1     e_m]$ using	Solve $L^T x = y$ by backward substitution to <b>find</b> $x$
<u>w∈w</u> Janu <u>w−x−n(x)=(ny−n)(x)=n−(x)</u>	change-in-basis matrix	$ \begin{aligned} &\ \mathbf{A}\  = \max\{\ \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}\  : \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \ \mathbf{x}\  = 1\} \\ &= \max\{\frac{\ \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}\ }{\ \mathbf{x}\ } : \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbf{x} \neq 0\} \end{aligned} $	$A = [a_1   \dots   a_j   \dots   a_n] = [a_1   \dots   \lambda x_j + \mu y_j   \dots   a_n] $ then	You can mix-and-match the <b>forward/backward</b> modes	$A = [f_1(t)   f_n(t)] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ is a matrix of columns	(tweaked) GS:	For $n=3$ $\Rightarrow L = \begin{bmatrix} l_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ l_{21} & l_{22} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$
An <b>orthogonal projection</b> further satisfies <u>U L W</u> i.e. the <b>image</b> and <b>kernel</b> of π <sub>1</sub> are <b>orthogonal</b>	The identity matrix $\underline{I}_m$ represents $id_{\mathbb{R}^m}$ w.r.t. the standard basis $\underline{E}_m = (e_1,, e_m) \Rightarrow \overline{i.e.} \underline{I}_m = \underline{I}_{EE}$	$= \max \left\{ \frac{1}{\ \mathbf{x}\ } : \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}, \ \mathbf{x}\  \right\}$ $= \max \left\{ \ \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}\  : \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^n, \ \mathbf{x}\  \le 1 \right\}$	$\det(A) = \lambda \det\left( [a_1   \dots   x_j   \dots   a_n] \right)$	ti.e. inverse operations in inverse order for one, and operations in normal order for the other	$z = [s_1,, s_n]^T$ is vector of parameters	Choose candidate vector: just work through  e <sub>1</sub> ,,e <sub>m</sub>   sequentially starting from e <sub>1</sub>   >> denote	
	If $B = \langle \mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_m \rangle$ is a basis of $\mathbb{R}^m$ , then	Vector norms are compatible with their subordinate	+ $\mu$ det $([a_1     y_j     a_n])$ +And the exact same linearity property for <b>rows</b>	e.g. you can do $[I_m \mid A \mid I_n] \rightsquigarrow [R^{-1} \mid A' \mid C]$ to get	Then we get equation Az = y   >> minimizing   Az - y   <sub>2</sub>   is the solution to Linear Regression	the current candidate $e_k$ Orthogonalize: Starting from $j = r$ going to $j = m$ with	[ l <sub>11</sub> l <sub>11</sub> l <sub>21</sub> l <sub>11</sub> l <sub>31</sub> ]
i.e. $U^{\perp} = W$ , $W^{\perp} = U$ (because finite-dimensional	$I_{EB} = [b_1     b_m]$ is the transformation matrix from B	matrix norms	-Immediately leads to: $ A  =  A^T $ , $ \lambda A  = \lambda^n  A $ , and	$AC = R^{-1}A'$ => useful for LU factorization	So applying LSM to Az = y is precisely what Linear	Orthogonalize: Starting from j = r   going to j = m   with each iteration ⇒ with current orthonormal vectors	$LL^T = \begin{bmatrix} l_{11}l_{21} & l_{21}^2 + l_{22}^2 & l_{21}l_{31} + l_{22}l_{32} \\ l_{21}l_{21} & l_{22}l_{22} \end{bmatrix}$
vectorspaces)	to $\underline{\mathbf{E}}$ $\mathbf{I}_{BE} = (\mathbf{I}_{EB})^{-1}$ , so $\Rightarrow \mathbf{F}_{CB} = \mathbf{I}_{CE} \mathbf{F}_{EE} \mathbf{I}_{EB}$	For $p = 1, 2, \infty$ matrix norm $\ \cdot\ _p$ is subordinate to the vector norm $\ \cdot\ _p$ (and thus compatible with)	$ AB  =  BA  =  A  B   (for any B \in \mathbb{R}^{\overline{N} \times \overline{N}})$	Eigen-values/vectors	Regression is -We can use normal equations for this =>	u <sub>1</sub> ,,u <sub>i</sub>	[l <sub>11</sub> l <sub>31</sub> l <sub>21</sub> l <sub>31</sub> *l <sub>22</sub> l <sub>32</sub> l <sub>31</sub> *l <sub>32</sub> *l <sub>33</sub> ]
so we have $\pi(x) \cdot y = \pi(x) \cdot \pi(y) = x \cdot \pi(y)$ or equivalently, $\pi(x) \cdot (y - \pi(y)) = (x - \pi(x)) \cdot \pi(y) = 0$	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	+Alternating: if any two columns of Alare equal (or any two rows of Alare equal), then  A  = 0   (its singular)	Consider $\underline{A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}}$ , non-zero $\underline{x \in \mathbb{C}^n}$ is an <b>eigenvector</b> with <b>eigenvalue</b> $\underline{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}}$ for $\underline{A}$ if $\underline{Ax = \lambda x}$	$\ A\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{y}\ _2$ is minimized $\iff A^T A \mathbf{z} = A^T \mathbf{y}$	Compute	Forward/backward substitution Forward substitution: for lower-triangular
	Dot-product uniquely determines a vector w.r.t. to	Properties of matrices  Consider $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$	Immediately from this (and multi-linearity) => if	If $Ax = \lambda x$ then $A(kx) = \lambda (kx)$ for $k \neq 0$ , i.e. $kx$ is also an eigenvector	Solution to <b>normal equations</b> unique <b>iff</b> Alis full-rank,	$\mathbf{w}_{j+1} = \mathbf{e}_k - \sum_{i=1}^{J} (\mathbf{e}_k \cdot \mathbf{u}_i) \mathbf{u}_i = \mathbf{e}_k - \sum_{i=1}^{J} (\mathbf{u}_i)_k \mathbf{u}_i$	[81,1 0 ]
By Cauchy–Schwarz inequality we have $\ \pi(x)\  \le \ x\ \ $ The <b>orthogonal projection onto the line</b> containing	basis If a <sub>i</sub> = x · b <sub>i</sub> ; x = ∑ <sub>i</sub> a <sub>i</sub> b <sub>i</sub>  , we call <u>a</u> jthe	If Ax = x   for all x   then A = I	columns (or rows) are linearly-dependent (some are linear combinations of others) then  A  = 0	-Alhas at most nidistinct eigenvalues	i.e. it has linearly-independent columns	= e <sub>k</sub> - U <sub>j</sub> c <sub>j</sub>	L =   : ·.
vector $\underline{u}$ jis $\underline{\text{proj}}_{\underline{u}} = \hat{u}\hat{u}^T$ i.e. $\underline{\text{proj}}_{\underline{u}}(v) = \frac{\underline{u} \cdot v}{\underline{u} \cdot \underline{u}} u$ ; $\hat{u} = \frac{\underline{u}}{\ \underline{u}\ }$	coordinate-vector of x w.r.t. to B	For square <u>AJ</u> , the <b>trace of</b> <u>AJ</u> is the <b>sum if its diagonals</b> , i.e. tr(A)	-Stated in other terms $\Rightarrow$ rk(A) < n $\iff$  A  = 0  <=>	•The set of all eigenvectors associated with eigenvalue	Positive (semi-)definite matrices	-Where $U_j = [\mathbf{u}_1 \mid \dots \mid \mathbf{u}_j]$ and $\mathbf{c}_j = [(\mathbf{u}_1)_k, \dots, (\mathbf{u}_j)_k]^T$	$\left[\ell_{n,1} \dots \ell_{n,n}\right]$ +For $\underline{Lx} = b$ , just <b>solve</b> the first row
A special case of $\pi(x) \cdot (y - \pi(y)) = 0$   is $u \cdot (v - \text{proj}_{u} v) = 0$	Rank-nullity theorem: dim(im(f)) + dim(ker(f)) = rk(A) + dim(ker(A)) = n		$RREF(A) \neq I_{R} \iff  A  = 0   (reduced row-echelon-form)$	$\lambda$ is called <b>eigenspace</b> $E_{\lambda}$ of $A$	Consider symmetric $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$   i.e. $A = A^T$	-NOTE: $\mathbf{e}_k \cdot \mathbf{u}_i = (\mathbf{u}_i)_k$ i.e. $k$ th component of $\mathbf{u}_i$ -If $\mathbf{w}_{j+1} = 0$ then $\mathbf{e}_k \in \text{span}\{\mathbf{u}_1,, \mathbf{u}_j\} => \text{discard}$	$\ell_{1,1} \times_1 = b_1 \implies x_1 = \frac{b_1}{\ell_{1,1}}$ and substitute down
since proj <sub>u</sub> (u) = u	f is injective/monomorphism iff ker(f) = {0} iff A is	A] is symmetric <b>iff</b> $\underline{A} = \underline{A}^T$ A] is Hermitian, iff $\underline{A} = \underline{A}^{\dagger}$ i.e. its equal to its conjugate-transpose	$\iff$ $ A  = 0$ (column-space) For more equivalence to the above, see invertible	$E_{\lambda} = \ker(A - \lambda I)$ The <b>geometric multiplicity</b> of $\lambda$ is	AJis positive-definite <b>iff</b> x <sup>T</sup> Ax > 0 for all x ≠ 0 AJis positive-definite <b>iff</b> all its eigenvalues are <b>strictly</b>	w <sub>j+1</sub> choose next candidate e <sub>k+1</sub> try this step	Thon selve the second row
If $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a $k$ -dimensional subspace with orthonormal basis (ONB) $(\mathbf{u}_{1},, \mathbf{u}_{k}) \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$	full-rank Orthogonality concepts	AA <sup>T</sup> and A <sup>T</sup> A are symmetric (and positive	matrix theorem	$\dim(E_{\lambda}) = \dim(\ker(A - \lambda I))$	positive	again	$f_0$ + $x_1$ + $f_0$ $g$ $x_0$ = $f_0$ $g$
	u ⊥ v ⇔ u · v = 0 l i.e. u   and v   are orthogonal	semi-definite)	Interaction with EROs/ECOs: Swapping rows/columns flips the sign	The <b>spectrum</b> $Sp(A) = \{\lambda_1,, \lambda_n\}$ of $\underline{A}$ Jis the set of all	•AJis positive-definite => all its diagonals are strictly positive	Normalize: w <sub>j+1</sub> ≠ 0 so compute unit vector	substitute down
Orthogonal projection onto $U_I$ is $\pi_U = UU^T$	u_and v_are orthonormal <b>iff</b> u ⊥ v,    u    = 1 =    v	For real matrices, Hermitian/symmetric are equivalent conditions	-Scaling a row/column by λ≠0] will scale the	eigenvalues of AJ The characteristic polynomial of AJ is	$A_{ji}$ positive-definite => $\max(A_{ij}, A_{jj}) >  A_{ij} $	$\frac{\mathbf{u}_{j+1} = \hat{\mathbf{w}}_{j+1}}{\mathbf{Repeat:}}$ keep repeating the above steps, now with	and so on until all x <sub>i</sub> jare solved
<del> </del>	$A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is orthogonal <b>iff</b> $A^{-1} = A^T$ + Columns of $A = [a_1     a_n]$   are orthonormal basis	Every eigenvalue λ; of <b>Hermitian</b> matrices is real	determinant by $\underline{\lambda}$ (by multi-linearity)  Remember to scale by $\underline{\lambda}^{-1}$ to maintain equality, i.e.	$P(\lambda) =  A - \lambda I  = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i \lambda^i$	i.e. strictly larger coefficient on the diagonals	new orthonormal vectors u <sub>1</sub> ,,u <sub>j+1</sub>	Backward substitution: for upper-triangular
If $\langle \mathbf{u}_1,, \mathbf{u}_k \rangle$ is <b>not orthonormal</b> , then "normalizing	(ONB) $C = \langle \mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n \rangle \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , so $A = \mathbf{I}_{EC}$ is	geometric multiplicity of $\lambda_i$ = geometric multiplicity of $\lambda_i$	$\det(A) = \lambda^{-1} \det([a_1   \dots   \lambda a_i   \dots   a_n])$	$a_0 =  A  \int a_{n-1} = (-1)^{n-1} \operatorname{tr}(A) \int a_n = (-1)^n \int a_n = (-1)^n$ $\lambda \in C$ is eigenvalue of A jiff $\lambda$ is a root of $P(\lambda)$	*AJis positive-definite => all upper-left submatrices are also positive-definite	SVD Application: Principal Compo-	$\begin{bmatrix} u_{1,1} & \dots & u_{1,n} \\ u_{=} & \ddots & \vdots \end{bmatrix}$
factor" $(\mathbf{U}^T \mathbf{U})^{-1}$ is added $\Rightarrow \pi_U = \mathbf{U}(\mathbf{U}^T \mathbf{U})^{-1} \mathbf{U}^T$	change-in-basis matrix Orthogonal transformations preserve	eigenvectors x <sub>1</sub> ,x <sub>2</sub> associated to distinct	Invariant under addition of rows/columns	-The algebraic multiplicity of λ is the number of	*Sylvester's criterion: Alis positive-definite iff all	nent Analysis (PCA)	0 u <sub>n,n</sub> ]
*For line subspaces U = span{u}, we have	lengths/angles/distances $\Rightarrow   Ax  _2 =   x  _2$ , $AxAy = xy$	eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$ are <b>orthogonal</b> , i.e. $x_1 \perp x_2$	Link to invertable matrices $\Rightarrow  A^{-1}  =  A ^{-1}$ which means A is invertible $\iff  A  \neq 0$ , i.e. singular	times it is repeated as root of $P(\lambda)$ 1] segmetric multiplicity of $\lambda$	upper-left submatrices have strictly positive determinant	Assume $A_{uncentered} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ represent $\underline{m}$ samples of $n$ H dimensional data (with $m \ge n$ )	For <u>Ux = b</u> , just <b>solve</b> the last row
	*Therefore can be seen as a succession of reflections	A   is triangular <b>iff</b> all entries above ( <i>lower-triangular</i> ) or	matrices are not invertible	=1]≤ geometric multiplicity of \( \) ≤ algebraic multiplicity of \( \)		Data centering: subtract mean of each column from	$u_{n,n} \times_n = b_n \implies x_n = \frac{b_n}{u_{n,n}}$ and substitute up
Gram-Schmidt (GS) to gen. ONB from	and planar rotations det(A) = 1 or det(A) = -1 , and all eigenvalues of A are	below (upper-triangular) the main diagonal are zero	For block-matrices:	Let $\lambda_1,, \lambda_n \in C$ be (potentially non-distinct)	AJis positive semi-definite <b>iff</b> x <sup>T</sup> Ax≥0 for all x <sub>J</sub> AJis positive semi-definite <b>iff</b> all its eigenvalues are	that column's elements Let the <b>resulting matrix</b> be $\underline{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ , who's <b>columns</b>	Then solve the second-to-last row
lin. ind. vectors  Gram-Schmidt is iterative projection => we use	s.t. [\lambda =1]	<b>Determinant</b> $\Rightarrow$ $ A  = \prod_i a_{ii}$ i.e. the product of diagonal elements	$\det \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} = \det(A) \det(B) = \det \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$	eigenvalues of $\underline{A}$ , with $\underline{x}_1,, \underline{x}_n \in \mathbb{C}^n$ their	non-negative	have mean zero	$u_{n-1,n-1} \times_{n-1} + u_{n-1,n} \times_n = b_{n-1}$ $b_{n-1} - u_{n-1,n-1} \times_{n-1}$ and substitute up
current j dim subspace, to get next (j+1) dim	$A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ is semi-orthogonal iff $A^T A = I$ or $AA^T = I$		$\det \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} = \det(A) \det(D - CA^{-1} B)$ if Alor D are	eigenvectors   tr(A) = $\sum_i \lambda_i$   and det(A) = $\prod_i \lambda_{ij}$	•A]is positive semi-definite => all its diagonals are non-negative	PCA is done on centered data-matrices like $\underline{A}$ ! SVD exists i.e. $\underline{A} = USV^T$ and $\underline{r} = rk(A)$	$\Rightarrow x_{n-1} = \frac{b_{n-1} - u_{n-1,n-1} \times n_{n-1}}{u_{n-1,n}}$ and substitute up
subspace Assume orthonormal basis (ONB) $(\mathbf{q}_1,, \mathbf{q}_j) \in \mathbb{R}^m$	If <u>n &gt; m</u> then <b>all</b> <u>m</u> <b>prows</b> are orthonormal vectors If <u>m &gt; n</u> then <b>all</b> <u>n</u> <b>jcolumns</b> are orthonormal vectors	<u>A</u> Jis diagonal <b>iff</b> $A_{ij} = 0, i \neq j$ i.e. if all off-diagonal	= det(D) det(A-BD <sup>-1</sup> C)	-A∣is diagonalisable <b>iff</b> there exist a basis of ℝ <sup>n</sup>	$A$ is positive semi-definite $\Rightarrow$ max $(A_{ii}, A_{jj}) \ge  A_{ij} $	Let $A = [\mathbf{r}_1;; \mathbf{r}_m]$ be rows $\mathbf{r}_1,, \mathbf{r}_m \in \mathbb{R}^n \Rightarrow \mathbf{each}$	and so on until all x <sub>i</sub> are solved
for i Ldim subspace (), c pm	$U \perp V \subset \mathbb{R}^n \iff \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0$ for all $\mathbf{u} \in U, \mathbf{v} \in V$ , i.e. they are	entries are zero Written as	= det(D) det(A - BD - ' C) invertible, respectively	consisting of $x_1,, x_n$ A is diagonalisable iff $r_i = g_i$ , where	i.e. no coefficient larger than on the diagonals	row corresponds to a sample	Thin QR Decomposition w/ Gram-
	orthogonal subspaces Orthogonal compliment of $\underline{U} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is the subspace	$\frac{\operatorname{diag}_{m \times n}(\mathbf{a}) = \operatorname{diag}_{m \times n}(a_1, \dots, a_p), p = \min(m, n)}{m} \text{ where}$	Sylvester's determinant theorem:	$r_i = \text{geometric multiplicity of } \lambda_i$ and	<ul> <li>AJis positive semi-definite =&gt; all upper-left submatrices are also positive semi-definite</li> </ul>	Let $A = [c_1     c_n]$ be columns $c_1,, c_n \in \mathbb{R}^m$ $\Rightarrow$ each column corresponds to one dimension of the data	Schmidt (GS)
	$U^{\perp} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \mid \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^{n} : x \perp y \}$	$\mathbf{a} = [a_1,, a_p]^T \in \mathbb{R}^p$ diagonal entries of $\underline{\mathbf{A}}$	det (I <sub>m</sub> +AB) = det (I <sub>n</sub> +BA) Matrix determinant lemma:	$g_i$ = geometric multiplicity of $\lambda_i$	AJis positive semi-definite => it has a Cholesky	Let $X_1,, X_n$   be random variables where each $X_i$	Consider <b>full-rank</b> $A = [a_1     a_n] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}   (\underline{m \ge n})$ , i.e.
*P <sub>j</sub> = Q <sub>j</sub> Q <sub>j</sub> <sup>T</sup> is orthogonal projection <b>onto</b> U <sub>j</sub>	$= \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^n :   x   \le   x + y   \right\}$	For $\underline{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ $Ax = \operatorname{diag}_{m \times n} (a_1,, a_p) [x_1 x_n]^T$ [if	$-\det(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{u}\mathbf{v}^T) = (1 + \mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{u}) \det(\mathbf{A})$	Eigenvalues of $\underline{A}^{k}$ are $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$	Decomposition	corresponds to column ci	$\mathbf{a}_1,, \mathbf{a}_n \in \mathbb{R}^m$ are linearly independent $\uparrow \text{Apply } \underline{GS} \mathbf{q}_1,, \mathbf{q}_n \leftarrow GS(\mathbf{a}_1,, \mathbf{a}_n) \mid \text{to build ONB}$
	$\frac{\mathbb{R}^n = U \oplus U^{\perp}}{U \perp V \iff U^{\perp} = V}$ and vice-versa	$= [a_1 x_1 \dots a_p x_p \ 0 \dots 0]^T \in \mathbb{R}^m$ $= [a_1 x_1 \dots a_p x_p \ 0 \dots 0]^T \in \mathbb{R}^m$ $p = m_1 \text{those } tail\text{-zeros don't exist})$	$-\det(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{U}\mathbf{V}^T) = \det(\mathbf{I}_m + \mathbf{V}^T\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{U})\det(\mathbf{A})$	Let $P = [\mathbf{x}_1   \dots   \mathbf{x}_n]$ , then $AP = [\lambda_1 \mathbf{x}_1   \dots   \lambda_n \mathbf{x}_n] = [\mathbf{x}_1   \dots   \mathbf{x}_n] \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) = PD$	For any $\underline{M \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}} \mid \underline{MM^T}$ and $\underline{M^TM}$ are symmetric and	i.e. random vector $X = [X_1,, X_n]^T$ models the data	$\langle \mathbf{q}_1, \dots, \mathbf{q}_n \rangle \in \mathbb{R}^m \text{ for C(A)}$
$\left(U_{j}\right)^{\perp}$ (orthogonal compliment)	$Y \subseteq X \implies X^{\perp} \subseteq Y^{\perp}$ and $X \cap X^{\perp} = \{0\}$	$diag_{m \times n}(\mathbf{a}) + diag_{m \times n}(\mathbf{b}) = diag_{m \times n}(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b})$	$\det (\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{U} \mathbf{W} \mathbf{V}^T) = \det (\mathbf{W}^{-1} + \mathbf{V}^T \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{U}) \det (\mathbf{W}) \det (\mathbf{A})$	$P = [N_1 A_1] \dots [N_n A_n] = [A_1] \dots [A_n] \text{ unag}(N_1, \dots, N_n) = PD$ $= \text{ if } P^{-1} \text{ exists then}$	positive semi-definite	r <sub>1</sub> ,,r <sub>m</sub>	For exams: more efficient to compute as
Uniquely decompose next $U_j \not\ni a_{j+1} = v_{j+1} + u_{j+1}$	Any x ∈ R <sup>n</sup> can be uniquely decomposed into	Consider $\operatorname{diag}_{n \times k}(c_1, \dots, c_q), q = \min(n, k)$ , then		-A=PDP-1 i.e. A is diagonalisable	Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) & Singular Values	Co-variance matrix of $\underline{X}$ is $Cov(A) = \frac{1}{m-1} A^T A = $	$\frac{\mathbf{u}_{j+1} = \mathbf{a}_{j+1} - Q_j \mathbf{c}_j}{\mathbf{w}_{j+1}}$
$*v_{j+1} = P_j(a_{j+1}) \in U_j$ => discard it!!	$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_i + \mathbf{x}_k$ , where $\mathbf{x}_i \in U$ and $\mathbf{x}_k \in U^{\perp}$	$\operatorname{diag}_{m \times n}(a_1, \dots, a_p)\operatorname{diag}_{n \times k}(c_1, \dots, c_q)$	Tricks for computing determinant  If block-triangular matrix then apply	$P = I_{EB}$ is <b>change-in-basis</b> matrix for basis $B = (\mathbf{x}_1,, \mathbf{x}_n)$ of eigenvectors	Singular Value Decomposition of $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ is any	$(A^TA)_{ij} = (A^TA)_{ji} = Cov(X_i, X_j)$	1. Gather $Q_j = [\mathbf{q}_1 \mid \dots \mid \mathbf{q}_j] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times j}$ all-at-once
$*\mathbf{u}_{j+1} = P_{\perp j} \left( \mathbf{a}_{j+1} \right) \in \left( U_j \right)^{\perp} \Longrightarrow \text{we're after this!!}$	For matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ and for row-space $R(A)$ , column-space $C(A)$ and null space $C(A)$	= diag <sub>m×k</sub> ( $a_1c_1,, a_rc_r, 0,, 0$ ) = diag(s)	$\det \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} = \det(A) \det(B)$	-If A = F <sub>EE</sub>   is transformation-matrix of linear map f	decomposition of the form $A = USV^T$ where Orthogonal $U = [\mathbf{u}_1   \dots   \mathbf{u}_m] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ and		2. Compute $c_j = [\mathbf{q}_1 \cdot \mathbf{a}_{j+1}, \dots, \mathbf{q}_j \cdot \mathbf{a}_{j+1}]^T \in \mathbb{R}^j$
Let $q_{j+1} = \hat{\mathbf{u}}_{j+1} = \mathbf{v}$ we have <b>next ONB</b> $(q_1,, q_{j+1})$	$R(A)^{\perp} = ker(A)$ and $C(A)^{\perp} = ker(A^{\top})$	Where $r = \min(p, q) = \min(m, n, k)$ , and $s \in \mathbb{R}^S$ , $s = \min(m, k)$			Forthogonal $U = [\mathbf{u}_1   \dots   \mathbf{u}_m] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $V = [\mathbf{v}_1   \dots   \mathbf{v}_n] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$	v <sub>1</sub> ,, v <sub>r</sub> (columns of <u>V</u> ) are <b>principal axes</b> of <u>A</u> ]	all-at-once 3. Compute $Q_j c_j \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and subtract from $a_{j+1}$
for U <sub>j+1</sub> => start next iteration	-Any $\underline{b} \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ can be uniquely decomposed into $\underline{*b} = \underline{b}_{i} * \underline{b}_{k}$ , where $\underline{b}_{i} \in C(A)$ and $\underline{b}_{k} \in ker(A^{T})$	Inverse of square-diagonals =>	If close to triangular matrix apply EROs/ECOs to get it there, then its just product of diagonals	Spectral theorem: if $\underline{A}$ Jis Hermitian then $\underline{P}^{-1}$ exists: $\exists$ If $\mathbf{x}_i$ , $\mathbf{x}_i$ Jassociated to different eigenvalues then	$S = \operatorname{diag}_{m \times n}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_p)$ where $p = \min(m, n)$ and	Let $\underline{\mathbf{w}} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be some unit-vector $\Rightarrow$ let $\alpha_j = \mathbf{r}_j \cdot \mathbf{w}$ be the	all-at-once
$*\mathbf{u}_{j+1} = (\mathbf{I}_m - Q_j Q_j^T) \mathbf{a}_{j+1} = \mathbf{a}_{j+1} - Q_j \mathbf{c}_j$ where	$*b = b_i * b_k$ , where $b_i \in C(A)$ and $b_k \in ker(A^*)$ $*b = b_i * b_k$ , where $b_i \in R(A)$ and $b_k \in ker(A)$	$\frac{\operatorname{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_n)^{-1} = \operatorname{diag}(a_1^{-1}, \dots, a_n^{-1})}{\operatorname{cannot} \ be \ zero \ (\mathit{division} \ \mathit{by} \ zero \ \mathit{undefined})} \ i.e. \ diagonals$	If Cholesky/LU/QR is possible and cheap then do it,	$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_i & \mathbf{x}_j \\ \mathbf{x}_i & \mathbf{x}_j \end{bmatrix}$	$\sigma_1 \ge \cdots \ge \sigma_p \ge 0$	projection/coordinate of sample rj onto wj	-Can now rewrite $\mathbf{a}_j = \sum_{i=1}^{j} (\mathbf{q}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j) \mathbf{q}_i = \mathbf{Q}_j \mathbf{c}_j$
$\mathbf{c}_{i} = [\mathbf{q}_{1} \cdot \mathbf{a}_{i+1}, \dots, \mathbf{q}_{j} \cdot \mathbf{a}_{j+1}]^{T}$		reamou de zero (uivision dy zero undefined)	then apply  AB  =  A  B				
1 100 7 100			i .			l .	

Choose $Q = Q_n = [\mathbf{q}_1 \mid \mid \mathbf{q}_n] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ notice its	*proj <sub>Lu</sub> = uu <sup>T</sup> and proj <sub>Pu</sub> = I <sub>n</sub> -uu <sup>T</sup> =>	$\begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial^n R^{*\cdots*n_1} f}{\partial x_i^n R \dots \partial x_i^{n_1}} &= \partial^n_{i_R} \dots \partial^n_{i_1} f &= f^{(n_1, \dots, n_R)}_{i_1 \dots i_R} \end{vmatrix}$	•, o, o, o, inner-product, back-substitution w/ triangular systems, are backwards stable	$fl(\lambda A) = \lambda A + E;  E _{ij} \le  \lambda A _{ij} \in mach$	Stability depends on growth-factor $\rho = \frac{\max_{i,j}  u_{i,j} }{ u_{i,j} }$	Rayleigh quotient for <u>Hermitian</u> $A = A^{\dagger}$ is	Similar to to Gram-Schmidt (but different inner-product)
semi-orthogonal since Q <sup>T</sup> Q = I <sub>n</sub>	H <sub>u</sub> = proj <sub>Pu</sub> - proj <sub>Lu</sub>	i <sub>k</sub> i <sub>1</sub>	If <b>backwards stable</b> $\tilde{f}$ and $f$ has condition number	$\begin{aligned} & fl(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B}) = (\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B}) + \mathcal{E};  \mathcal{E} _{ij} \leq  \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B} _{ij} \in_{\text{mach}} \\ & + fl(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}) = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{B} + \mathcal{E};  \mathcal{E} _{ij} \leq n \in_{\text{mach}} ( \mathbf{A}  \mathbf{B}  )_{ij} + O(\in_{\text{mach}}^{2}) \end{aligned}$	max <sub>i,j</sub>   a <sub>i,j</sub>	$R_A(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\mathbf{x}^{\dagger} A \mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x}^{\dagger} \mathbf{x}}$	$  (p^{(0)},, p^{(n-1)})  $ and $(r^{(0)},, r^{(n-1)})  $ are bases for
*Notice $\Rightarrow$ $\mathbf{a}_j = Q_j \mathbf{c}_j = \mathbf{Q}[\mathbf{q}_1 \cdot \mathbf{a}_j,, \mathbf{q}_j \cdot \mathbf{a}_j, 0,, 0]^T = \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{r}_j$ *Let $R = [\mathbf{r}_1 \mid \mid \mathbf{r}_n] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \mid \Rightarrow$	*Visualize as preserving component in Pu then flipping component in Lu	Its an $\underline{N}$ -th order partial derivative where $\underline{N} = \sum_{k} n_{k}$ $\nabla f = [\partial_{1} f,, \partial_{n} f]^{T}$ is gradient of $\underline{f}$ => $(\nabla f)_{i} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{i}}$	$ \underline{\kappa(x)} $ then relative error $ \underline{\ \tilde{f}(x)-f(x)\ }  = O(\kappa(x)\varepsilon_{mach})$	(AB)=AB+E, [E][j] Shemach ([A[[B]])[j]+O(emach )	⇒ for partial pivoting $ρ ≤ 2^{m-1}$ $  U   = O(ρ  A  )  ⇒ \tilde{L}\tilde{U} = \tilde{P}A + δA , \frac{  δA  }{  A  } = O(ρε_{machine})$	Eigenvectors are stationary points of RA	QR Algorithm to find Schur decomposi-
$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{q}_1^T \mathbf{a}_1 & \dots & \mathbf{q}_1^T \mathbf{a}_n \end{bmatrix}$	-Н <sub>и</sub> is involutory, orthogonal and symmetric, i.e.		Accuracy, stability, backwards stability are	Taylor series about $\underline{a} \in \mathbb{R}$ is	$\frac{\ U\  = O(\rho \ A\ )}{\ A\ } \Rightarrow \underline{LU = PA + \delta A},  \frac{\ A\ }{\ A\ } = O(\rho \epsilon_{\text{machine}})$ $\Rightarrow \text{ only backwards stable if } \rho = O(1)$	$R_A(x)$ is closest to being like eigenvalue of $x$ , i.e. $R_A(x) = \operatorname{argmin}   Ax - \alpha x  _2$	tion A = QUQ <sup>†</sup>
A = QR = Q	$H_{\boldsymbol{u}} = H_{\boldsymbol{u}}^{-1} = H_{\boldsymbol{u}}^{T}$	$ \underline{\nabla^T f} = (\nabla f)^T $ is $\underline{\text{transpose}}$ of $\underline{\nabla f}$ , i.e. $\underline{\nabla^T f}$ is $\underline{\text{row vector}}$	norm-independent for fin-dim X, Y	$f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{f^{(k)}(a)}{k!} (x-a)^k + O((x-a)^{n+1})$ as $x \to a$	- Only backwards stable if p=0(1)	$R_A(x) - R_A(v) = O(  x - v  ^2)$ as $x \to v$ j where $v$ j is	Any $\underline{A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}}$ has <b>Schur decomposition</b> $\underline{A} = QUQ^{\dagger}$
upper-triangular	Modified Gram-Schmidt	$D_{\mathbf{u}} f(\mathbf{x}) = \lim_{\delta \to 0} \frac{f(\mathbf{x} + \delta \mathbf{u}) - f(\mathbf{x})}{\delta}$ is	Big-O meaning for numerical analysis in complexity analysis $f(n) = O(g(n))   as n \to \infty$	Need $\underline{a=0} = f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{f^{(k)}(0)}{k!} x^{k} + O(x^{n+1})$ as	Full pivoting is PAQ = LU finds largest entry in bottom-right submatrix	eigenvector	Q is unitary, i.e. $Q^{\dagger} = Q^{-1}$ and upper-triangular $U$
Full OR Decomposition	Go check <u>Classical GM</u> first, as this is just an alternative computation method	directional-derivative of $\underline{f}$	But in numerical analysis $f(\varepsilon) = O(g(\varepsilon))$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$ , i.e. $\limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0}   f(\varepsilon)   /   g(\varepsilon)   < \infty$	x → 0	Makes it <b>pivot</b> with row/column swaps before	Power iteration: define sequence $\frac{b^{(k+1)}}{  \mathbf{a}\mathbf{b}(k)  } = \frac{A\mathbf{b}^{(k)}}{  \mathbf{a}\mathbf{b}(k)  }$	Diagonal of <u>U</u> J contains <b>eigenvalues</b> of <u>A</u> J
+Consider <b>full-rank</b> $A = [a_1     a_n] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}   (\underline{m \ge n}),$	Let $P_{\perp} \mathbf{q}_j = \mathbf{I}_m - \mathbf{q}_j \mathbf{q}_j^T$ be <b>projector</b> onto hyperplane	It is rate-of-change in direction <u>u</u> , where <u>u</u> ∈ R <sup>n</sup> is unit-vector	i.e. ∃C,δ>0  s.t. <u>∀c.</u> ], we have	te.g. $(1+\epsilon)^p = \sum_{k=0}^n {p \choose k} \epsilon^k + O(\epsilon^{n+1})$	very expensive O(m <sup>3</sup> ) search-ops, partial pivoting	Power iteration: define sequence D. Ab(k)	Algorithm 1 Basic QR iteration
i.e. a <sub>1</sub> ,,a <sub>n</sub> ∈ R <sup>m</sup> are linearly independent Apply QR decomposition to obtain:	$(Rq_j)^{\perp}$ , i.e. orthogonal compliment of line $Rq_j$	$\frac{D_{\mathbf{u}}f(\mathbf{x}) = \nabla f(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{u} = \ \nabla f(\mathbf{x})\  \ \mathbf{u}\  \cos(\theta)\  \Rightarrow D_{\mathbf{u}}f(\mathbf{x})\  \\ \text{maximized when } \cos\theta = 1$	$\begin{array}{c} 0 < \ \varepsilon\  < \delta \implies \ f(\varepsilon)\  \le C \ g(\varepsilon)\  \\ O(g) \text{ is set of functions} \end{array}$	$e.g.(1+\epsilon)^{p} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{p!}{k!(p-k)!} \epsilon^{k} + O(\epsilon^{n+1}) $ as $\epsilon \to 0$	only needs $O(m^2)$	with initial $b^{(0)}$ s.t. $\ b^{(0)}\  = 1$	1: <b>for</b> $k = 1, 2, 3,$ <b>do</b>
ONB $(\mathbf{q}_1, \dots, \mathbf{q}_n) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ for $C(A)$	-Notice: $P_{\perp j} = I_m - Q_j Q_j^T = \prod_{i=1}^{j} (I_m - \mathbf{q}_i \mathbf{q}_i^T) = \prod_{i=1}^{j} P_{\perp} \mathbf{q}_i$	i.e. when $x$ , $u$ are parallel $\Rightarrow$ hence $\nabla f(x)$ is direction	$\frac{ g(g) }{\{f: \limsup_{\epsilon \to 0}   f(\epsilon)   /   g(\epsilon)   < \infty\}}$	Elementary Matrices	Metric spaces & limits	Assume <b>dominant</b> $\lambda_1; x_1$ exist for $\underline{A}$ and that $\text{proj}_{x_1} (b^{(0)}) * 0$	2: $A^{(k-1)} = Q^{(k-1)}R^{(k-1)}$ 3: $A^{(k)} = R^{(k-1)}Q^{(k-1)}$
Seni-ordiogonal Q1 = [q11  qn1   e.k		of max. rate-of-change		Identity $I_n = [e_1   \dots   e_n] = [e_1; \dots; e_n]$ has	Metrics obey these axioms $d(x, x) = 0 \mid x \neq y \implies d(x, y) > 0 \mid d(x, y) = d(y, x) \mid$	Under above assumptions.	4: end for
*Compute basis extension to obtain remaining	-Re-state: $\mathbf{u}_{j+1} = (\mathbf{I}_m - Q_j Q_j^T) \mathbf{a}_{j+1} = \mathbf{v}_{j+1}$	$f$ has <b>local minimum</b> at $x_{loc}$ if there's radius $r > 0$ js.t.	Smallness partial order $O(g_1) \leq O(g_2)$ defined by set-inclusion $O(g_1) \subseteq O(g_2)$	elementary vectors e <sub>1</sub> ,,e <sub>n</sub> for rows/columns  Row/column switching: permutation matrix P <sub>ii</sub>	$d(x,z) \le d(x,y) + d(y,z)$	$\mu_{k} = R_{A} \left( \mathbf{b}^{(k)} \right) = \frac{\mathbf{b}^{(k)} + \mathbf{A} \mathbf{b}^{(k)}}{\mathbf{b}^{(k)} + \mathbf{b}^{(k)}}$ converges to <b>dominant</b>	For $\underline{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ leach iteration $\underline{A}^{(k)} = \underline{Q}^{(k)} \underline{R}^{(k)}$ produces
$\mathbf{q}_{n+1}, \dots, \mathbf{q}_m \in \mathbb{R}^m$ where $\langle \mathbf{q}_1, \dots, \mathbf{q}_m \rangle$ is <b>ONB</b> for $\mathbb{R}^m$	$\mathbf{u}_{j+1} = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{j} P_{\perp \mathbf{q}_{i}}\right) \mathbf{a}_{j+1} = \left(P_{\perp \mathbf{q}_{j}} \cdots P_{\perp \mathbf{q}_{1}}\right) \mathbf{a}_{j+1}$	$\forall \mathbf{x} \in B[r; \mathbf{x}_{loc}]$ we have $f(\mathbf{x}_{loc}) \leq f(\mathbf{x})$	i.e. as $\underline{\epsilon} \to 0$ , $g_1(\underline{\epsilon})$ goes to zero <b>faster</b> than $g_2(\underline{\epsilon})$ Roughly same hierarchy as complexity analysis but	obtained by switching ei and ej in In (same for	For metric spaces, mix-and-match these	B(w) B(w)	orthogonal $Q^{(k)^T} = Q^{(k)^{-1}}$
	Projectors P <sub>1 q1</sub> ,,P <sub>1 qj</sub> are iteratively applied to	$f$ has <b>global minimum</b> $x_{glob}$ if $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have $f(x_{glob}) \le f(x)$	flipped (some don't fit the pattern)	rows/columns) †Applying P <sub>ij</sub>   <b>from left</b> will swap rows, <b>from right</b> will	infinite/finite limit definitions: $ \frac{1}{\lim_{X\to+\infty} f(x)=+\infty} \iff \forall r \in \mathbb{R}, \exists N \in \mathbb{N}, \forall x > N: f(x) > r $	$\frac{h_1}{(b_k)}$ converges to some <b>dominant</b> $x_1$ jassociated with	$A^{(k+1)} = R^{(k)}Q^{(k)} = (Q^{(k)}^TQ^{(k)})R^{(k)}Q^{(k)}$ means
Let $Q_2 = [\mathbf{q}_{n+1}   \dots   \mathbf{q}_m] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times (m-n)}$ , let $Q = [Q_1   Q_2] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ , let $R = [R_1; 0_{m-n}] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$	$a_{j+1}$ removing its components along $q_1$ then along $q_2$ and so on	A local minimum satisfies optimality conditions:	e.g. $\dots$ , $O(\varepsilon^3) < O(\varepsilon^2) < O(\varepsilon) < O(1)$	swap columns		$\lambda_1 \Rightarrow   Ab^{(k)}  $ converges to $ \lambda_1 $	$= Q(k)^{T} A(k) Q(k)$
	Let $\mathbf{u}_{k}^{(j)} = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{j} P_{\perp} \mathbf{q}_{i}\right) \mathbf{a}_{k}$ , i.e. $\mathbf{a}_{k}$ without its	$\forall f(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ e.g. for $\underline{n} = 1$ its $f'(\mathbf{x}) = 0$	Maximum: $O(\max( g_1 ,  g_2 )) = O(g_2) \iff O(g_1) \leq O(g_2)$	$P_{ij} = P_{ij}^T = P_{ij}^{-1}$ , i.e. applying twice will <b>undo</b> it	$\lim_{X\to p} f(x) = L \iff \begin{cases} \forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0, \forall x \in X : \\ 0 < d_X(x,p) < \delta \implies d_Y(f(x),L) < \varepsilon \end{cases}$	If $\operatorname{proj}_{X_1}(b^{(0)}) = 0$ then $(b_k); (\mu_k)$ converge to second	$A^{(k+1)}$ is <b>similar</b> to $A^{(k)}$ Setting $A^{(0)} = A$ we get $A^{(k)} = (\tilde{Q}^{(k)})^T A \tilde{Q}^{(k)}$ where
$A = QR = [Q_1   Q_2] \begin{bmatrix} R_1 \\ 0_{m-n} \end{bmatrix} = Q_1 R_1$	components along $\mathbf{q}_1, \dots, \mathbf{q}_j$	$\nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x})$ is positive-definite, e.g. for $\underline{n} = 1$ its $\underline{f''(\mathbf{x})} > 0$	e.g. $O(\max(\epsilon^k, \epsilon)) = O(\epsilon)$	<b>Row/column scaling</b> : $D_i(\lambda)$ obtained by scaling $e_i$ by $\lambda$ in $I_n$ (same for rows/columns)	Cauchy sequences, i.e. $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists N \in \mathbb{N}, \forall m, n \ge N : d(a_m, a_n) < \varepsilon$ , converge in	dominant $\lambda_2$ ; $x_2$ instead If no dominant $\lambda$ ] (i.e. multiple eigenvalues of	$\tilde{Q}(k) = Q(0) \dots Q(k-1)$
$Q$ is <b>orthogonal</b> , i.e. $Q^{-1} = Q^T$ so its a basis	Notice: $\mathbf{u}_j = \mathbf{u}_j^{(j-1)}$ , thus $\mathbf{q}_j = \hat{\mathbf{u}}_j = \mathbf{u}_j^{(j-1)}/r_{jj}$ where	$\mathbf{H}(f) = \nabla^2 f = \mathbf{J}(\nabla f)^T$ is <b>Hessian</b> $\Rightarrow$ $\mathbf{H}(f)_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \mathbf{x}_i \partial \mathbf{x}_i}$	Using functions $f_1,, f_n$   let $\Phi(f_1,, f_n)$   be formula	Applying $P_{ij}$   from left will scale rows, from right will	You can manipulate matrix limits much like in real	maximum [λ] ∫ then ⟨b <sub>R</sub> ⟩   will converge to linear combination of their corresponding	Under certain conditions QR algorithm converges to Schur decomposition
transformation		Interpret $F: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ as $m$ functions $F_i: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$	defining some function	scale columns	analysis, e.g. $\lim_{n\to\infty} (A^n B + C) = (\lim_{n\to\infty} A^n) B + C$	eigenvectors	
$-\operatorname{proj}_{C(A)} = Q_1 Q_1^T$ , $\operatorname{proj}_{C(A)\perp} = Q_2 Q_2^T$ are	$r_{jj} = \left\  \mathbf{u}_{j}^{(j-1)} \right\ $ -Iterative step:	(one per output-component)	Then $\Phi(O(g_1),, O(g_n))$ is the class of functions $\left[\Phi(f_1,, f_n) : f_1 \in O(g_1),, f_n \in O(g_n)\right]$	$D_i(\lambda) = \text{diag}(1,, \lambda,, 1)$ so all <b>diagonal</b> properties apply, e.g. $D_i(\lambda)^{-1} = D_i(\lambda^{-1})$	Turn metric limit $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = L$ into real limit	Slow convergence if <b>dominant</b> λ <sub>1</sub> ] not "very dominant"	We can apply shift $\mu^{(k)}$ at iteration $k$ ] $\Rightarrow A^{(k)} - \mu^{(k)} = O^{(k)} R^{(k)}$ : $A^{(k+1)} = R^{(k)} O^{(k)} + \mu^{(k)} I$
orthogonal projections onto $C(A) \downarrow C(A)^{\perp} = \ker(A^{\top})$ respectively	$\begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{u}_k^{(j)} = \left( \mathbf{P}_{\perp \mathbf{q}_j} \right) \mathbf{u}_k^{(j-1)} = \mathbf{u}_k^{(j-1)} - \left( \mathbf{q}_j \cdot \mathbf{u}_k^{(j-1)} \right) \mathbf{q}_j \end{vmatrix}$	$\underline{\mathbf{J}(F)} = \left[ \nabla^T F_1; \dots; \nabla^T F_m \right] \text{ is } \mathbf{Jacobian} \Rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{J}(F)_{ij}} = \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial \mathbf{x}_j}$	e.g. $\epsilon^{O(1)} = \{\epsilon^{f(\epsilon)} : f \in O(1)\}$	Row addition: $L_{ij}(\lambda) = L_{ij}(\lambda) = I_{ij}(\lambda)$ performs	$\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, L) = 0$ to leverage real analysis	$\ \mathbf{b}^{(k)} - \alpha_k \mathbf{x}_1\  = O\left(\left\ \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\right\ ^k\right) $ for phase factor	If <b>shifts</b> are good eigenvalue estimates then
Notice: $QQ^T = I_m = Q_1Q_1^T + Q_2Q_2^T$	$R \left( \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{4} \right) / R R \left( \frac{3}{2} R \right) / \frac{3}{4}$ -i.e. each <b>iteration</b> j of MGS computes $P_{\perp} \mathbf{q}_{i}$ (and	Conditioning	General case:	$R_i \leftarrow R_i + \lambda R_j$ when applying from left	Bounded monotone sequences converge in R Sandwich theorem for limits in R  > pick easy	$\alpha_k \in \{-1, 1\}$   it may alternate if $\lambda_1 < 0$	last column of $\tilde{Q}^{(k)}$ converges quickly to an
•Generalizable to <u>A ∈ C<sup>m×n</sup></u> by changing transpose to conjugate-transpose	projections under it) in one go	A <b>problem</b> is some $\underline{f}: X \to Y$ where $\underline{X}, Y$ are normed vector-spaces	$  \frac{\Phi_1(O(f_1),, O(f_m)) = \Phi_2(O(g_1),, O(g_n))}{\Phi_1(O(f_1),, O(f_m)) \subseteq \Phi_2(O(g_1),, O(g_n))}   \text{means}$	$\lambda e_i e_i^T$ is zeros except for $\lambda$ in $(i,j)$ th entry	upper/lower bounds	(λ <sub>1</sub> ) <sup>k</sup> c <sub>1</sub> . †, (α)	eigenvector   Estimate μ <sup>(k)</sup>   with Rayleigh quotient =>
Lines and hyperplanes in En(-Dn)	At <b>start</b> of iteration $j \in 1n$ we have ONB	A problem <i>instance</i> is f with fixed input x ∈ X, shortened to <i>just</i> "problem" (with x ∈ X, implied)	e.g. $e^{O(1)} = O(k^{\epsilon})$ means $\{e^{f(\epsilon)} : f \in O(1)\} \subseteq O(k^{\epsilon})$	$L_{ij}(\lambda)^{-1} = L_{ij}(-\lambda)$ both triangular matrices	$\frac{\lim_{n\to\infty} r^n = 0 \iff  r  < 1}{\lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{i=0}^n ar^i = \frac{a}{1-r} \iff  r  < 1}$	10(1-1-11)	$\mu^{(k)} = (A_k)_{mm} = (\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_m^{(k)})^T A \tilde{\mathbf{q}}_m^{(k)} \text{ where } \tilde{\mathbf{q}}_m^{(k)} \text{ is } \underline{m}  \text{ th}$
	$\mathbf{q}_1, \dots, \mathbf{q}_{j-1} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and residual $\mathbf{u}_j^{(j-1)}, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n^{(j-1)} \in \mathbb{R}^m$	$\delta x$ is small perturbation of $x_j \Rightarrow \delta f = f(x + \delta x) - f(x)$	not necessarily true   Special case: $f = \Phi(O(g_1),, O(g_n))$   means	LU factorization w/ Gaussian elimina-	Iterative Techniques	b(k); x <sub>1</sub> are normalized	column of $ ilde{Q}^{(k)}$
with standard basis $(e_1,, e_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with standard origin $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$	-Compute $r_{jj} = \left\  \frac{\mathbf{u}_{j}^{(j-1)}}{\mathbf{u}_{j}^{j}} \right\  \Rightarrow \mathbf{q}_{j} = \frac{\mathbf{u}_{j}^{(j-1)}}{r_{jj}} / r_{jj}$	A problem (instance) is: <b>Well-conditioned</b> if all <b>small</b> $\delta x$ lead to <b>small</b> $\delta f$ , i.e.	$f \in \Phi(O(g_1), \dots, O(g_n))$	Recall: you can represent EROs and ECOs as	Systems of Equations	$(A-\sigma I)$ has eigenvalues $\lambda - \sigma$	
	-For each $k \in (j+1)n$ compute $r_{jk} = \mathbf{q}_j \cdot \mathbf{u}_k^{(j-1)} = $	if K is small (e.g. 1] 10] 10 <sup>2</sup>	e.g. $(\varepsilon+1)^2 = \varepsilon^2 + O(\varepsilon)$ means	transformation matrices R, C respectively	Let $A, R, G \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ where $G^{-1}$ exists $\Longrightarrow$ splitting	$\Rightarrow$ power-iteration on $(A-\sigma I)$ has $\frac{\lambda_2-\sigma}{\lambda_1-\sigma}$	
A line $L = \mathbb{R} n + c$ is characterized by direction $n \in \mathbb{R}^n$ $(n \neq 0)$ and offset from origin $c \in L$	$\frac{\mathbf{u}_{k}^{(j)} = \mathbf{u}_{k}^{(j-1)} - r_{jk}\mathbf{q}_{j}}{\mathbf{q}_{j}}$	-Ill-conditioned if some small $\delta x$ lead to large $\delta f$ , i.e.	$  \underline{\epsilon} \mapsto (\epsilon + 1)^2 \in \{\epsilon^2 + f(\epsilon) : f \in O(\epsilon)\} $ not necessarily true	LU J factorization => finds A = LU J where L, U J are lower/upper triangular respectively	A=G+RJhelps iteration Ax=bJrewritten as x=Mx+cJwhere	Eigenvector guess => estimated eigenvalue	
It is a section of the second	-Next ONB $(\mathbf{q}_1,, \mathbf{q}_j)$ and next residual $\mathbf{u}_{i+1}^{(j)},, \mathbf{u}_n^{(j)}$	if <u>K</u> jis <b>large</b> (e.g. 10 <sup>6</sup> ), 10 <sup>16</sup> )	Let $f_1 = O(g_1)$ , $f_2 = O(g_2)$ and let $k \neq 0$ be a constant	Naive Gaussian Elimination performs	$  M = -G^{-1}R; c = -G^{-1}b  $ Define $f(x) = Mx + c$ and sequence	Inverse (power-)iteration: perform power iteration on	
-c∈L is <b>closest point to origin</b> , i.e. c⊥n	-NOTE: for $j=1$ => $\mathbf{q}_1,, \mathbf{q}_{j-1} = \emptyset$   i.e. none yet	Absolute condition number $cond(x) = \hat{\kappa}(x) = \hat{\kappa}$ of $\underline{f}$ at $\underline{x}$ :	$ f_1 f_2 = O(g_1 g_2)   f \cdot O(g) = O(fg)   O( k  \cdot g) = O(g) $ $ f_1 + f_2 = O(\max( g_1 ,  g_2 )) $	$[I_m \mid A \mid I_n] \rightsquigarrow [R^{-1} \mid U \mid I_n]$ to get $AI_n = R^{-1} U$ using	$\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} = f(\mathbf{x}^{(k)}) = M\mathbf{x}^{(k)} + \mathbf{c}$ with starting point $\mathbf{x}^{(0)}$	$(A-\sigma I)^{-1}$ to get $\lambda_{1,\sigma}$ closest to $\sigma$	
·If c≠λn]⇒ L]not vector-subspace of ℝ <sup>n</sup> ] †i.e. 0∉L¦i.e. L]doesn't go through the origin	By <b>end</b> of iteration $j = n$ , we have <b>ONB</b>	$\hat{\kappa} = \lim_{\delta \to 0} \sup_{\ \delta x\  \le \delta} \frac{\ \delta f\ }{\ \delta x\ }$	$\Rightarrow$ if $g_1 = g = g_2$ then $f_1 * f_2 = O(g)$	only row addition    R <sup>-1</sup>  , i.e. inverse EROs in reversed order, is	Limit of $(x_R)$ is fixed point of $f \Rightarrow$ unique fixed point of $f$ is solution to $Ax = b$	$(A-\sigma I)^{-1}$ has eigenvalues $(\lambda-\sigma)^{-1}$ so power iteration will yield largest $(\lambda_{1,\sigma}-\sigma)^{-1}$	
LJis affine-subspace of Rn	$(\mathbf{q}_1, \dots, \mathbf{q}_n) \in \mathbb{R}^m$	=> for $\underline{\text{most problems}}$ simplified to $\hat{\kappa} = \sup_{\delta X} \frac{\ \delta f\ }{\ \delta x\ }$	Floating-point numbers Consider base/radix β≥2   (typically 2)   and precision	lower-triangular so L=R <sup>-1</sup>	If   -   is consistent norm and   M   < 1   then (x <sub>k</sub> )	i.e. will yield smallest $\lambda_{1,\sigma} - \sigma$ i.e. will yield $\lambda_{1,\sigma}$	
•If c= λn, i.e. L= Rn  => L   is vector-subspace of R <sup>n</sup> +i.e. 0∈ L , i.e. L   goes through the origin	$-A = [a_1     a_n] = [q_1     q_n]$ $r_{11} r_{1n}$ $r_{1n} = QR$	If $\underline{Jacobian} \ \underline{J}_f(x)$ exists then $\hat{\kappa} = \ \underline{J}_f(x)\ $ where $\underline{Matrix norm} \ \ -\ $ induced by $\underline{Matrix} \ norms \ $	t≥1](24]or 53]for IEEE single/double precisions)	Algorithm 1 Gaussian elimination	converges for any x(0) (because Cauchy-completeness)	closest to g	
Ljhas dim(L) = 1 and orthonormal basis (ONB) {n̂}	0 r <sub>nn</sub> corresponds to thin QR decomposition	Relative condition number $\kappa(x) = \kappa  of f  at x_j is$	Floating-point numbers are discrete subset $F = \{ (-1)^S (m/\beta^t) \beta^e \mid 1 \le m \le \beta^t, s \in \mathbb{B}, m, e \in \mathbb{Z} \} $	2: <b>for</b> $k = 1$ to $m - 1$ <b>do</b>	-We want to find   M   < 1 and easy to compute M; c   -Stopping criterion usually the relative residual	$\ \mathbf{b}^{(k)} - \alpha_k \mathbf{x}_{1,\sigma}\  = O\left(\left\ \frac{\lambda_{1,\sigma} - \sigma}{\lambda_{2,\sigma} - \sigma}\right\ ^{R}\right) \text{ where } \mathbf{x}_{1,\sigma}$	
A hyperplane $P = (Rn)^{\perp} + c = \{x + c \mid x \in R^n, x \perp n\}$ is	Where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ is full-rank, $\mathbb{Q} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ is	$\kappa = \lim_{\delta \to 0} \sup_{\ \delta x\  \le \delta} \left( \frac{\ \delta f\ }{\ f(x)\ } / \frac{\ \delta x\ }{\ x\ } \right)$	sjis sign-bit, m/β <sup>t</sup>   is mantissa, ejis exponent (8]-bit	3: <b>for</b> $j = k + 1$ to $m$ <b>do</b> 4: $\ell_{j,k} = u_{j,k}/u_{k,k}$	b-Ax <sup>(k)</sup>	corresponds to $\lambda_{1,\sigma}$ and $\lambda_{2,\sigma}$ is 2nd-closest to $\sigma$	
={x∈R"   x·n=c·n}	semi-orthogonal, and R∈R <sup>n×n</sup> is upper-triangular	=> for most problems simplified to	for single, 11 bit for double) Equivalently, can restrict to $\beta^{t-1} \le m \le \beta^t - 1$ for unique	5: $u_{j,k:m} = u_{j,k:m} - \ell_{j,k}u_{k,k:m}$ 6: end for		-Efficiently compute eigenvectors for known eigenvalues σ	
origin c∈P	Classical vs. Modified Gram-Schmidt These algorithms both compute thin	$\kappa = \sup_{\delta x} \left( \frac{\ \delta f\ }{\ f(x)\ } / \frac{\ \delta x\ }{\ x\ } \right)$	mjand ej	7: end for	Assume Afs diagonal is non-zero (w.l.o.g.	Eigenvalue guess ⇒ estimated eigenvector	
It represents an (n-1) dimensional slice of the	thin QR decomposition	T <sub>c</sub> (x)	F∈R] is idealized (ignores over/underflow), so is countably infinite and self-similar (i.e. F = βF)	The <b>pivot element</b> is simply diagonal entry $u_{kk}^{(k-1)}$	permute/change basis if isn't) then $A = D + L + U$ ; where D is diagonal of $A$ , $L$ , $U$ are strict lower/upper triangular	Algorithm 3 Inverse iteration 1: for $k = 1, 2, 3,$ do	
It is customary that:  In is a unit vector, i.e.   n   =   n   = 1		If <u>Jacobian</u> $J_f(x)$ exists then $\kappa = \frac{\ x\ _f \ x\ _f}{\ f(x)\ _f \ x\ _f}$ More important than $\hat{\kappa}$ for numerical analysis	For all $x \in \mathbb{R}$   there exists $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}$   s.t.	fails if $u_{kk}^{(k-1)} \approx 0$	parts of Al	2: $\hat{x}^{(k)} = (A - \sigma I)^{-1}x^{(k-1)}$ 3: $x^{(k)} = \hat{x}^{(k)}/\max(\hat{x}^{(k)})$	
-c∈P]is closest point to origin, i.e. c=λn	1: for $j = 1$ to $n$ do 3: end for	Matrix condition number Cond(A) = K(A) =   A     A^{-1}	$ x-fl(x)  \le \epsilon_{mach}  x $ Equivalently $fl(x) = x(1+\delta),  \delta  \le \epsilon_{mach}$	$+\underline{\tilde{L}\tilde{U}}=A+\delta A$ , $\underline{\ \tilde{L}\ \cdot\ \tilde{U}\ }=O\left(\epsilon_{\text{mach}}\right)$ only <b>backwards</b>	Jacobi Method:	4: $\lambda^{(k)} = (x^{(k)})^T A x^{(k)}$	
$= With those \Rightarrow P = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x \cdot \mathbf{n} = \lambda\}$	2: $uj = aj$ 4: for $j = 1$ to $n$ do 3: for $j = 1$ to $j - 1$ do 5: $r_{ij} =   u_j  _2$	=> comes up so often that has its own name  A ∈ € <sup>m×m</sup>   is well-conditioned if κ(A) is small,	Machine epsilon $\epsilon_{\text{machine}} = \epsilon_{\text{mach}} = \frac{1}{2} \beta^{1-t}$	stable if   L   ·   U   ≈   A	$\frac{G = D; R = L + U}{  c   } \Rightarrow \frac{M = -D^{-1}(L + U); c = D^{-1}b  }{  c    c    }$	5: end for	
·If <u>c·n≠0</u>  => <u>P</u>   <b>not</b> vector-subspace of <u>R</u> <sup>n</sup>   +i.e. <u>0∉P</u>  , i.e. <u>P</u>  doesn't go through the origin	4: $r_{ij} = q_i^* a_j$ 6: $q_j = u_j / r_{jj}$ 5: $u_j = u_j - r_{ij} q_i$ 7: for $k = j + 1$ to $n$ do 6: end for 8: $r_{ij} = q_i^* u_i$	ill-conditioned if large	is maximum relative gap between FPs Half the gap between 1 and next largest FP	Work required: $\sim \frac{2}{3} m^3$ flops $\sim O(m^3)$	$\frac{\mathbf{x}_{i}}{\mathbf{x}_{i}} = \frac{\mathbf{x}_{i}}{\mathbf{x}_{i}} \left( \mathbf{v}_{i} - \mathbf{z}_{j \neq i}  \mathbf{x}_{ij}  \mathbf{x}_{j} \right) - \mathbf{x}_{i}$ only needs	Can reduce matrix inversion $O(m^3)$ to $O(m^2)$ by pre-factorization	
$-P$ is affine-subspace of $\mathbb{R}^n$ $+ \mathbb{I} \cdot \mathbb{C} \cdot \mathbb{n} = 0$ , i.e. $P = (\mathbb{R}^n)^{\perp} = P$ is vector-subspace of	7: $r_{ij} =   u_i  _2$ 9: $u_k = u_k - r_{ik}q_i$	$\frac{\kappa(\mathbf{A}) = \kappa(\mathbf{A}^{-1})}{\kappa(\mathbf{A}) = \kappa(\mathbf{A})} \underbrace{\ \kappa(\mathbf{A}) = \kappa(\mathbf{A})\ }_{\mathbf{A}} \underbrace{\ \cdot\ _{\mathbf{A}} = \ \cdot\ _{\mathbf{A}}}_{\mathbf{A}} \implies \kappa(\mathbf{A}) = \frac{\sigma_{\mathbf{A}}}{\sigma_{\mathbf{B}}}$	Hair the gap between 1 and next largest FP $2^{-24} \approx 5.96 \times 10^{-8}$ and $2^{-53} \approx 10^{-16}$ for single/double	Solving $\underline{Ax = LUx}$ Jis $\frac{2}{3}m^3$ flops (back substitution is $O(m^2)$ )	$ \mathbf{b}_i; \mathbf{x}^{(k)}; A_{i\star}  \Rightarrow \text{row-wise parallelization}$	Nonlinear Systems of Equations	
R <sup>n</sup>	8: $q_j = u_j/r_{jj}$ 10: end for 9: end for 11: end for	For $\underline{\mathbf{A}} \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ the problem $f_{\mathbf{A}}(x) = \mathbf{A}x$ has		NOTE: Householder triangularisation requires $\sim \frac{4}{3} m^3$	Gauss-Seidel (G-S) Method:	Recall that $\nabla f(\mathbf{x})$ is direction of <b>max.</b> rate-of-change $\nabla f(\mathbf{x})$	
	Computes at j th step: -Classical GS => j th column of Q and the j th column	$\kappa = \ \mathbf{A}\  \frac{\ \mathbf{x}\ }{\ \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}\ } \implies \text{if } \underline{\mathbf{A}}^{-1} \text{ exists then } \underline{\kappa \leq \text{Cond}(\mathbf{A})}$	FP arithmetic: let ∗,⊕ be real and floating counterparts of arithmetic operation		$G = D + L; R = U => M = -(D + L)^{-1} U; c = (D + L)^{-1} b$	<u>Idea:</u> Search for stationary point by <b>gradient descent</b> : $\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} = \mathbf{x}^{(k)} - \alpha \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{(k)})$ for step length $\underline{\alpha}$	
		$\ \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}\ $ If $\ \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}\ $ problem of finding $\mathbf{x}$ Igiven $\mathbf{b}$ is just	For $x, y \in F$ we have	Partial pivoting computes $PA = LU$ where $P$ is a permutation matrix $\Rightarrow PP^T = I$ i.e. its orthogonal	$\frac{\mathbf{x}_{i}^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{A_{ii}} \left( \mathbf{b}_{i} - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} A_{ij} \mathbf{x}_{j}^{(k+1)} - \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} A_{ij} \mathbf{x}_{j}^{(k)} \right)}{\left( (1 - 1)^{i} \right)}$		
Notice <u>L</u> = <b>Rn</b> Jand P = ( <b>Rn</b> ) in are orthogonal compliments, so:	-Modified GS ⇒ j th column of Q Jand the j th row of RJ	If $\underline{\mathbf{A}} = b$ . Problem of finding x given $\underline{b}$ is just $f_{\underline{\mathbf{A}}-1}(b) = \underline{\mathbf{A}}^{-1}b$ $\Rightarrow \kappa = \ \underline{\mathbf{A}}^{-1}\  \frac{\ \underline{b}\ }{\ \underline{x}\ } \le Cond(\underline{\mathbf{A}})$	$x \circledast y = fl(x * y) = (x * y)(1 * \epsilon),  \delta  \le \epsilon_{mach}$ Holds for <b>any</b> arithmetic operation $\circledast = \bullet, \bullet, \bullet, \bullet$	For each column il finds largest entry and row-swaps	Computing $\mathbf{x}_{i}^{(k+1)}$ needs $\mathbf{b}_{i}$ ; $\mathbf{x}^{(k)}$ ; $\mathbf{A}_{i\star}$ and $\mathbf{x}_{j}^{(k+1)}$ for	If <u>AJ</u> is <u>positive</u> -definite, solving <u>Ax = b</u> and $\min_{\mathbf{X}} f(\mathbf{X}) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{X}^T A \mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{b}$ are equivalent	
0 1 2	Both have <b>flop (floating-point operation)</b> count of $O(2mn^2)$	For $\underline{\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{C}^m}$ , the problem $f_{\underline{\mathbf{b}}}(A) = A^{-1}\underline{\mathbf{b}}$ (i.e. finding $\underline{\mathbf{x}}$ _1 in	Complex floats implemented pairs of real floats, so	to make it new pivot => Pj	j < i   ⇒ lower storage requirements	$ \frac{\min_{\mathbf{X}} f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}^t A \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^t \mathbf{b}}{\text{Get iterative methods } \mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} = \mathbf{x}^{(k)} - \alpha^{(k)} \mathbf{p}^{(k)}} \text{ for } $	
*proj <sub>P</sub> = id <sub>R</sub> n -proj <sub>L</sub> = I <sub>n</sub> - n̂n̂ <sup>T</sup> is orthogonal projection <b>onto</b> PJ*( <b>along</b> LJ)	NOTE: Householder method has $2(mn^2 - n^3/3)$ flop	$\underline{Ax = b}$ has $\underline{\kappa} =   A     A^{-1}   = \text{Cond}(A)$	above applies to complex ops as-well  Caveat: $\epsilon_{\text{mach}} = \frac{1}{2} \beta^{1-t}$ must be scaled by factors		Successive over-relaxation (SOR):	step length $\underline{\alpha}^{(k)}$ and directions $\underline{p}^{(k)}$	
·L=im(proj <sub>L</sub> )=ker(proj <sub>P</sub> ) and	count, but better numerical properties	Stability Given a problem $f: X \to Y$ an algorithm for $f$ is	on the order of $2^{3/2}$ , $2^{5/2}$ for $\emptyset$ , $\emptyset$ respectively	Result is $L_{m-1}P_{m-1}L_2P_2L_1P_1A=U$ , where	$\frac{G = \omega^{-1} D + L; R = (1 - \omega^{-1}) D + U}{M = -(\omega^{-1} D + L)^{-1} ((1 - \omega^{-1}) D + U); c = -(\omega^{-1} D + L)^{-1} b}$	Conjugate gradient (CG) method: if $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$	
P=ker(proj <sub>L</sub> )=im(proj <sub>P</sub> )	Recall: Q <sup>†</sup> Q=I <sub>n</sub> => check for loss of orthogonality	$\tilde{f}: X \to Y$	(v. a. av.)	$\frac{L_{m-1}P_{m-1} \dots L_2P_2L_1P_1 = L'_{m-1} \dots L'_1P_{m-1} \dots P_1}{\text{Setting } L = (L'_{m-1} \dots L'_1)^{-1} \mid P = P_{m-1} \dots P_1 \mid \text{gives}}$	$M = -(\omega^{-1}D + L)^{-1}((1 - \omega^{-1})D + U); \mathbf{c} = -(\omega^{-1}D + L)^{-1}\mathbf{b}$ $\downarrow_{\mathbf{v}}(k+1) = \frac{\omega}{A_{ij}} \left( \mathbf{b}_{i} - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} A_{ij} \mathbf{x}_{j}^{(k+1)} - \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} A_{ij} \mathbf{x}_{j}^{(k)} \right) \Big _{\text{for}}$	symmetric then $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_{\mathbf{A}} = \mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v}$ is an inner-product	
	with $\ \mathbf{I}_n - Q^{\dagger} Q\  = \text{loss}$ Classical GS => $\ \mathbf{I}_n - Q^{\dagger} Q\  \approx \text{Cond}(A)^2 \in_{\text{mach}}$	Input $\underline{x \in X}$ is first rounded to $\underline{fl(x)}$ i.e. $\underline{\tilde{f}(x)} = \underline{\tilde{f}(fl(x))}$ Absolute error $\Rightarrow \ \tilde{f}(x) - f(x)\ $	$\approx (x_1 + \dots + x_n) + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \left( \sum_{j=i}^n \delta_j \right)^{i,  \delta_j  \le \epsilon_{\text{mach}}}$	PA=LU	$ + \mathbf{x}_{i}^{(k+1)} = \overline{A_{ii}} \left( \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{v}_{i} - Z_{j=1} & A_{ij} & \mathbf{x}_{j} \\ + (1 - \omega) \mathbf{x}_{i}^{(k)} \end{array} \right) $ for	GC chooses p(k) that are conjugate w.r.t. Al i.e.	
Householder Maps: reflections	-Modified GS $\Rightarrow \  \mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{I}}} - \mathbf{Q}^{\dagger} \mathbf{Q} \  \approx \text{Cond}(\mathbf{A}) \in \text{mach} \ $	relative error $\Rightarrow \frac{\  \tilde{f}(x) - f(x) \ }{\  f(x) \ }$	$(x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n) \approx (x_1 \times \cdots \times x_n)(1 + \varepsilon), \varepsilon \le 1.06(n-1)\varepsilon_{\text{mach}}$ $f((\sum x_i y_i) = \sum x_i y_i (1 + \varepsilon_i))$ where	Algorithm 2 Gaussian elimination with partial pivoting 1: $U = A, L = I, P = I$	relaxation factor $\omega > 1$	$(\mathbf{p}^{(i)}, \mathbf{p}^{(j)})_A = 0$ for $i \neq j$	
Two points <b>x</b> , <b>y</b> ∈ E <sup>n</sup> are <b>reflections</b> w.r.t hyperplane	NOTE: Householder method has $\ \mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{Q}^{\dagger}\mathbf{Q}\  \approx \epsilon_{\text{mach}}$		$1+\epsilon_i = (1+\delta_i) \times (1+\eta_i) \cdots (1+\eta_n)$ and $ \delta_j ,  \eta_i  \le \epsilon_{mach}$	2: for $k = 1$ to $m - 1$ do 3: $i = \operatorname{argmax}  u_{i,k} $		And chooses $\underline{\alpha}^{(k)}$ s.t. <b>residuals</b> $\underline{r}^{(k)} = -\nabla f(\underline{x}^{(k)}) = b - A\underline{x}^{(k)}$ are orthogonal	
P=(Rn) <sup>±</sup> +c if: 1. The translation xv=v-x lis parallel to normal n. i.e.	Multivariate Calculus	$\underline{f}$ is accurate if $\underline{\forall x \in X}$ . $\underline{\frac{\ \widehat{f}(x) - f(x)\ }{\ f(x)\ }} = O\left(\varepsilon_{\text{mach}}\right)$	$1+\epsilon_i \approx 1+\delta_i + (\eta_i + \dots + \eta_n)$	4: $u_{k,k:m} \stackrel{i \ge k}{\leftrightarrow} u_{i,k:m}$	If A Jis strictly row diagonally dominant then  Jacobi/Gauss-Seidel methods converge; A Jis strictly	$ k=0  \Rightarrow \mathbf{p}^{(0)} = -\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{(0)}) = \mathbf{r}^{(0)}$	
xy=λn	Consider $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ :  When clear write $\underline{i}$ th component of input as $\underline{i}$ instead	$\tilde{f}$ is <b>stable</b> if $\forall x \in X$ , $\exists \tilde{x} \in X$ s.t. $\ \tilde{f}(x) - f(\tilde{x})\ $ and $\ \tilde{f}(x) - f(\tilde{x}$	$ fl(x^Ty)-x^Ty  \le \sum  x_iy_i  \epsilon_i $ Assuming $n\epsilon_{\text{mach}} \le 0.1 =>$	5: $\ell_{k,1:k-1} \leftrightarrow \ell_{i,1:k-1}$ 6: $p_{k,:} \leftrightarrow p_{i,:}$	row diagonally dominant if $ A_{ij}  > \sum_{j \neq i}  A_{ij} $	$ \underline{k \ge 1}  \Rightarrow \mathbf{p}^{(k)} = \mathbf{r}^{(k)} - \sum_{i < k} \frac{\langle \mathbf{p}^{(i)}, \mathbf{r}^{(k)} \rangle_{\mathbf{A}}}{\langle \mathbf{p}^{(i)}, \mathbf{p}^{(i)} \rangle_{\mathbf{A}}} \mathbf{p}^{(i)}$	
2 Midpoint $m = 1/2(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}) \in P$ lies on $P$ i.e. $\underline{m \cdot \mathbf{n}} = \mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{n}$ •Suppose $P_{\mathbf{u}} = (\mathbf{R}\mathbf{u})^{\perp}$ goes through the origin with unit	of xi	$\frac{\ \tilde{f}(x)-f(\tilde{x})\ }{\ f(\tilde{x})\ } = O\left(\varepsilon_{\text{mach}}\right) \text{ and } \frac{\ \tilde{x}-x\ }{\ x\ } = O\left(\varepsilon_{\text{mach}}\right)$ †i.e. nearly the right answer to nearly the right question	$ fl(x^Ty)-x^Ty  \le \phi(n)\epsilon_{mach} x ^T y $ , where $ x _i =  x_i $	7: <b>for</b> $j = k + 1$ to $m$ <b>do</b> 8: $\ell_{j,k} = u_{j,k}/u_{k,k}$	If A j is positive-definite then G-S and SOR $(\omega \in (0, 2))$ converge	(b) (b) I	
	of $x_i$   -Level curve w.r.t. to $c \in R$ Jis all points s.t. $f(x) = c$   -Projecting level curves onto $R^n$   gives $f$   $f$	outer-product is stable	is vector and φ(n) is small function of n  Summing a series is more stable if terms	9: $u_{j,k;m} = u_{j,k;m} - \ell_{j,k}u_{k,k;m}$ 10: <b>end for</b>	Eigenvalue Problems	$= \operatorname{argmin}_{\alpha} f(\mathbf{x}^{(k)} + \alpha^{(k)} \mathbf{p}^{(k)}) = \frac{\mathbf{p}^{(k)} \cdot \mathbf{r}^{(k)}}{(\mathbf{p}^{(k)}, \mathbf{p}^{(k)})_A}$	
Householder matrix $H_{u} = I_{n} - 2uu^{T}$ is reflection w.r.t. hyperplane $P_{u}$	contour-map	$\tilde{f}$ is backwards stable if $\forall x \in X$ , $\exists \tilde{x} \in X$ s.t. $\tilde{f}(x) = f(\tilde{x})$	added in order of increasing magnitude	11: end for	If A Jis diagonalizable then eigen-decomposition is	Without rounding errors, CG converges in ≤nj	
Recall: let Lu = Ru	$n_k$ th order partial derivative w.r.t $i_k$ of, of $n_1$ th	and $\frac{\ \bar{x}-x\ }{\ x\ } = O(\epsilon_{\text{mach}})$	For <b>FP matrices</b> , let $ M _{ij} =  M_{ij} $ i.e. matrix $ M $ of	Work required: $\sim \frac{2}{3} m^3$ flops $\sim O(m^3)$ ; results in $L_{ij} \le 1$	Dominant $\lambda_1$ ; $x_1$ are such that $ \lambda_1 $ is strictly largest	iterations	
	order partial derivative w.r.t i of f is:	i.e. exactly the right answer to nearly the right question, a subset of stability	absolute values of M	so <u>  L   = O(1)</u>	for which Ax = \lambda X		
		*					I and the second