

Basic identities of matrix/vector ops

$$(A^{-1})^T = (A^T)^{-1}$$
$$(AB)^T = B^T A^T$$
$$(A^T)^T = A$$
$$(A^{-1})^T = (A^T)^{-1}$$

For $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, A_{ij} is the i th **ROW** then j th **COLUMN**

$$(A^T)_{ij} = A_{ji}$$
$$(AB)_{ij} = \sum_k A_{ik} B_{kj}$$
$$(A^T A)_{ij} = \sum_k A_{ki} A_{kj} = \sum_k A_{kj} A_{ki} = (A A^T)_{ji}$$

Scalar-multiplication: \cdot distributes over: **column-blocks** \Rightarrow $(A \cdot B)_{ij} = A_{ij} \cdot B_{ij}$

$$A \cdot B = [A_{11} \dots A_{1n} \mid A_{21} \dots A_{2n} \mid \dots \mid A_{m1} \dots A_{mn}]$$
$$B = [B_{11} \dots B_{1n} \mid B_{21} \dots B_{2n} \mid \dots \mid B_{m1} \dots B_{mn}]$$

row-blocks \Rightarrow $(A \cdot B)_{ij} = \sum_k A_{ik} B_{kj}$

$$A \cdot B = [A_{11} \dots A_{1n} \mid A_{21} \dots A_{2n} \mid \dots \mid A_{m1} \dots A_{mn}]$$
$$B = [B_{11} \dots B_{1n} \mid B_{21} \dots B_{2n} \mid \dots \mid B_{m1} \dots B_{mn}]$$

outer-product sum \Rightarrow $(A \cdot B)_{ij} = \sum_k A_{ik} B_{kj}$

$$A \cdot B = [A_{11} \dots A_{1n} \mid A_{21} \dots A_{2n} \mid \dots \mid A_{m1} \dots A_{mn}]$$
$$B = [B_{11} \dots B_{1n} \mid B_{21} \dots B_{2n} \mid \dots \mid B_{m1} \dots B_{mn}]$$

Projection: definition & properties

A **projection** $\Pi: V \rightarrow V$ is an endomorphism such that $\Pi^2 = \Pi$. i.e. it leaves its image unchanged (its idempotent)

A square matrix P such that $P^2 = P$ is called a **projection matrix**

It is called an **orthogonal projection matrix** if $P^T = P$ (conjugate-transpose)

Eigenvalues of a **projection matrix** must be 0 or 1

Because $\Pi: V \rightarrow V$ is a linear map, its image space $U = \text{im}(\Pi)$ and null space $W = \text{ker}(\Pi)$ are subspaces of V

Π is the identity operator on U

The linear map $\Pi|_W = 0$ is also a projection with $W = \text{im}(\Pi^\perp) = \text{ker}(\Pi)$ and $\text{ker}(\Pi^\perp) = \text{im}(\Pi)$. i.e. they are swapped

Π^\perp is a projection along U onto W

Π^\perp is a projection along W onto U

Π is the identity operator on U

Π can be decomposed as $V = U \oplus W$ meaning every vector $v \in V$ can be uniquely written as $x \in U, y \in W$ and $u = x + y$

$u \in U$ and $u = x$

$u \in W$ and $u = x - y$

An **orthogonal projection** further satisfies $U \perp W$

i.e. the image and kernel of Π are **orthogonal subspaces**

in fact they are each other's **orthogonal complements**, i.e. $U^\perp = W, W^\perp = U$ because finite-dimensional vector spaces

so we have $\Pi(x) \cdot \Pi(y) = \Pi(x \cdot y)$ or equivalently, $\Pi(x) \cdot (y - \Pi(y)) = \Pi(x) \cdot \Pi(y) = 0$

By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we have $\|\Pi(x)\| \leq \|x\|$

The **orthogonal projection** onto the line containing vector u is $\text{proj}_u(u) = \frac{u \cdot u}{u \cdot u} \cdot \frac{u}{\|u\|}$

A special case of $\Pi(x) = \frac{u \cdot x}{u \cdot u} u$ is $\Pi(x) = \frac{u \cdot x}{u \cdot u} u$

If $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is a k -dimensional subspace with **orthogonal basis** (ONB) $\{u_1, \dots, u_k\} \in \mathbb{R}^m$

Let $U = [u_1 \dots u_k] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times k}$ matrix

Orthogonal projection onto U is $\Pi_U = U U^T$

Can be rewritten as $\Pi_U(v) = \sum_{i=1}^k (v \cdot u_i) u_i$

If $\{u_1, \dots, u_k\}$ is **not orthogonal**, then "normalizing factor" $(U^T U)^{-1}$ is added $\Rightarrow \Pi_U = U (U^T U)^{-1} U^T$

For **line subspaces** $U = \text{span}(u)$ we have $(U^T U)^{-1} = (u^T u)^{-1} = 1/(u \cdot u)$

Gram-Schmidt (GS) to gen. ONB from lin. ind. vectors

Gram-Schmidt is **iterative** projection \Rightarrow we use **current j -dim subspace**, to get **next $(j+1)$ -dim subspace**

Assume **orthogonal basis** (ONB) $\{q_1, \dots, q_j\} \in \mathbb{R}^m$

For j -dim subspace $U_j \subset \mathbb{R}^m$

$$Q_j = [q_1 \dots q_j] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times j}$$
$$P_j = Q_j Q_j^T$$
$$P_{j+1} = I_m - Q_j Q_j^T$$

is orthogonal projection **onto** $(U_j)^\perp$ (orthogonal complement)

Uniquely decompose next $u_{j+1} \in U_{j+1} = v_j + u_{j+1}$

$$u_{j+1} = P_j u_{j+1} + u_{j+1}$$
$$u_{j+1} = P_{j+1} u_{j+1} + u_{j+1}$$

\Rightarrow we're after this!!

Notice: $Q_j^T q_j = \sum_{i=1}^j (q_i \cdot q_j) q_i = \sum_{i=1}^j \text{proj}_{q_i}(q_j)$

rewrite as

$$u_{j+1} = a_{j+1} + \sum_{i=1}^j (q_i \cdot a_{j+1}) q_i = a_{j+1} + \sum_{i=1}^j \text{proj}_{q_i}(a_{j+1})$$

We apply Gram-Schmidt to build **ONB** $\{q_1, \dots, q_m\} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ for $U_n \subset \mathbb{R}^m$

$$j=1 \Rightarrow u_1 = a_1 \text{ and } q_1 = u_1 \text{ i.e. start of iteration}$$
$$j=2 \Rightarrow u_2 = a_2 - \text{proj}_{q_1}(a_2) \text{ and } q_2 = u_2 \text{ i.e. linear independence guarantees that } q_2 \notin U_1$$

For **exams** compute $u_{j+1} = a_{j+1} - Q_j^T a_{j+1}$

1) Gather $Q_j = [q_1 \dots q_j] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times j}$

2) Compute $c_j = [q_1 \cdot a_{j+1}, \dots, q_j \cdot a_{j+1}]^T \in \mathbb{R}^j$

3) Compute $Q_j c_j \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and subtract from a_{j+1}

Properties: dot-product & norm

$$x^T y = y^T x = x \cdot y = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i$$
$$x \cdot y = |x| |y| \cos \varphi$$
$$x \cdot x = |x|^2 = 0 \iff x = 0$$

For $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $x \cdot (y+z) = x \cdot y + x \cdot z$

$$x \cdot (y+z) = x \cdot y + x \cdot z$$
$$x \cdot (y+z) = x \cdot y + x \cdot z$$

Sub-multiplicative matrix norm (assumed by default) is also such that $\|AB\| \leq \|A\| \|B\|$

Common matrix norms, for some $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$

$$\|A\|_1 = \max_j \sum_i |A_{ij}|$$
$$\|A\|_2 = \sqrt{\lambda_{\max}(A^T A)}$$
$$\|A\|_\infty = \max_i \sum_j |A_{ij}|$$

Transformation matrix & linear maps

For linear map $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ ordered bases $\{b_1, \dots, b_n\} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\{c_1, \dots, c_m\} \in \mathbb{R}^m$

$$A = [f(b_1) \dots f(b_n)] \text{ is the transformation-matrix of } f$$

from b to c bases A

$$f(b_j) = \sum_{i=1}^m A_{ij} c_i$$

$f(b_j) = \sum_{i=1}^m A_{ij} c_i$ \Rightarrow each b_j basis gets mapped to a linear combination of $\sum_i c_i$ bases

If f^{-1} exists (i.e. its bijective and $m=n$) then $(f^{-1} c)_j = \sum_{i=1}^n B_{ji} c_i$ where $B = f^{-1} A$ is the **transformation-matrix of f^{-1}**

The transformation matrix of the identity map is called change-in-basis matrix

The identity matrix I_m represents $\text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^m}$ w.r.t. the standard basis e_1, \dots, e_m $\Rightarrow I_m = [e_1 \dots e_m]$

If $B = [b_1 \dots b_m] \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is a basis of \mathbb{R}^m then $I_{\mathbb{R}^m} = [b_1 \dots b_m]$ is the transformation matrix from B to E

$I_{\mathbb{R}^m} = (E B^{-1})^{-1} \Rightarrow F_{CB} = C^{-1} F_{EE} F_{EB}$

Dot-product uniquely determines a vector w.r.t. to basis

If $x = x_1 b_1 + \dots + x_n b_n$ we call α_j the coordinate-vector of x w.r.t. to B

Rank-nulity theorem:

$$\dim(\text{im}(f)) + \dim(\text{ker}(f)) = \dim(A) = n$$

f is injective/monomorphism $\iff \text{ker}(f) = \{0\}$ $\iff A$ is full-rank

Orthogonality concepts

$$U \perp V \iff u \cdot v = 0 \text{ for all } u \in U, v \in V$$

U and V are orthogonal if $U \perp V$, $\|U\| = 1, \|V\| = 1$

$A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is orthogonal $\iff A^T = A^{-1}$

Columns of $A = [a_1 \dots a_n]$ are orthonormal basis

(ONB) $C = (c_1, \dots, c_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ $\Rightarrow A = I_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ is change-in-basis matrix

Orthogonal transformations preserve lengths/angles/distances $\Rightarrow \|Ax\|_2 = \|x\|_2, Ax \cdot Ay = x \cdot y$

Therefore can be seen as a succession of reflections and planar rotations

$\det(A) = 1$ or $\det(A) = -1$ and all **eigenvalues** of A are ± 1

$A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is semi-orthogonal $\iff A^T A = I$ or $A A^T = I$

If $m \geq n$ then all m rows are orthonormal

If $m \geq n$ then all n columns are orthonormal vectors

$U \perp V \subset \mathbb{R}^n \iff u \cdot v = 0$ for all $u \in U, v \in V$ i.e. they are **orthogonal subspaces**

Orthogonal complement of $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is the subspace $U^\perp = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \forall y \in U, x \cdot y = 0\}$

$$U^\perp = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \forall y \in U, x \cdot y = 0\}$$

$\mathbb{R}^n = U \oplus U^\perp$ and $(U^\perp)^\perp = U$

$$U \perp V \iff U = V^\perp \text{ and } V = U^\perp$$
$$U \perp V \iff U = V^\perp \text{ and } V = U^\perp$$

Uniquely decompose next $u_{j+1} \in U_{j+1} = v_j + u_{j+1}$

$$u_{j+1} = P_j u_{j+1} + u_{j+1}$$
$$u_{j+1} = P_{j+1} u_{j+1} + u_{j+1}$$

\Rightarrow we're after this!!

Let $q_{j+1} = \frac{u_{j+1}}{\|u_{j+1}\|}$ \Rightarrow we have **next ONB** $\{q_1, \dots, q_{j+1}\}$

For u_{j+1} \Rightarrow start next iteration

$$u_{j+1} = (I_m - Q_j Q_j^T) a_{j+1} + Q_j^T a_{j+1}$$

where

$$c_j = [q_1 \cdot a_{j+1}, \dots, q_j \cdot a_{j+1}]^T$$

Vector norms (beyond euclidean)

vector norms are such that: $\|x\| = 0 \iff x = 0$

$$\|x\| \geq 0$$
$$\|x\| = \|y\| \iff x = y$$
$$\|x\| \leq \|y\| \iff x = y$$

p norms: $\|x\|_p = (\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p)^{1/p}$

$$p=1: \|x\|_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|$$
$$p=2: \|x\|_2 = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^2} = \sqrt{x \cdot x}$$
$$p=\infty: \|x\|_\infty = \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \|x\|_p = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |x_i|$$

Any two norms in \mathbb{R}^n are equivalent, meaning there exist $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that:

$$c_1 \|x\|_1 \leq \|x\|_2 \leq c_2 \|x\|_1$$
$$\|x\|_\infty \leq \|x\|_2 \leq \sqrt{2} \|x\|_\infty$$
$$\|x\|_2 \leq \sqrt{2} \|x\|_\infty$$

Induce **metric** $d(x, y) = \|y - x\|$ has additional properties:

Translation invariance: $d(x+y, y+w) = d(x, y)$

Scaling: $d(\lambda x, \lambda y) = |\lambda| d(x, y)$

Matrix norms are such that: $\|A\| = 0 \iff A = 0$

$$\|A\|_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m |A_{ij}|$$
$$\|A\|_2 = \sqrt{\lambda_{\max}(A^T A)}$$
$$\|A\|_\infty = \max_i \sum_j |A_{ij}|$$

Matrices $F \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ are a vector space so **matrix norms** are **vector norms**, all results apply

For $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $x \cdot (y+z) = x \cdot y + x \cdot z$

$$x \cdot (y+z) = x \cdot y + x \cdot z$$
$$x \cdot (y+z) = x \cdot y + x \cdot z$$

Sub-multiplicative matrix norm (assumed by default) is also such that $\|AB\| \leq \|A\| \|B\|$

Common matrix norms, for some $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$

$$\|A\|_1 = \max_j \sum_i |A_{ij}|$$
$$\|A\|_2 = \sqrt{\lambda_{\max}(A^T A)}$$
$$\|A\|_\infty = \max_i \sum_j |A_{ij}|$$

Properties of determinants

Consider $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ then $A_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}^{(n-1) \times (n-1)}$ the (i,j) -minor matrix of A obtained by deleting i th row and j th column from A

Then we define **determinant** of A as $\det(A) = |A|$ as

$$\det(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+k} A_{ik} \det(A_{ik})$$

j -th row \Rightarrow for any j

$$\det(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+k} A_{ik} \det(A_{ik})$$

i -th column \Rightarrow we call A_{ij} a **singular matrix**

Common determinants

$$\det(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+k} A_{ik} \det(A_{ik})$$
$$\det(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+k} A_{ik} \det(A_{ik})$$

When $\det(A) = 0$ we call A a **singular matrix**

Common determinants

$$\det(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+k} A_{ik} \det(A_{ik})$$
$$\det(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+k} A_{ik} \det(A_{ik})$$

For $n=2$ $\det(A) = A_{11} A_{22} - A_{12} A_{21}$

$$\det(A) = A_{11} A_{22} - A_{12} A_{21}$$

For a vector norm $\| \cdot \|$ on \mathbb{R}^n , the **subordinate matrix norm** $\| \cdot \|$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is

$\|A\|_1 = \max_j \sum_i |A_{ij}|$ \Rightarrow largest singular value of A

$$\|A\|_2 = \sqrt{\lambda_{\max}(A^T A)}$$
$$\|A\|_\infty = \max_i \sum_j |A_{ij}|$$

Vector norms are **compatible** with their subordinate matrix norms

For $p=1, 2, \infty$ matrix norm $\| \cdot \|_p$ is subordinate to the vector norm $\| \cdot \|_p$ (and thus **compatible** with)

Properties of matrices

Consider $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$

If $Ax = x$ for all x then $A = I$

For square $A \in \mathbb{R}^n$ the trace of A is the sum of its diagonals, i.e. $\text{tr}(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n A_{ii}$

A is symmetric $\iff A^T = A$ $\iff A$ is Hermitian, $\iff A^H = A^T$ i.e. its equal to its conjugate-transpose

$$AA^T = A^T A$$
$$AA^T = A^T A$$

Sylvester's determinant theorem:

$$\det(M_1 A M_2) = \det(M_2 A M_1)$$

M_1, M_2 are square matrices of compatible sizes

A is a square matrix

Tricks for computing determinant

If block-triangular matrix then apply

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} = \det(A) \det(D)$$
$$\det \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} = \det(A) \det(D - C A^{-1} B)$$

Sylvester's determinant theorem:

$$\det(M_1 A M_2) = \det(M_2 A M_1)$$

M_1, M_2 are square matrices of compatible sizes

A is a square matrix

Tricks for computing determinant

If block-triangular matrix then apply

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} = \det(A) \det(D)$$
$$\det \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} = \det(A) \det(D - C A^{-1} B)$$

Spectral theorem: if A is Hermitian then p^{-1} exists: $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ associated to different eigenvalues then $x_i \perp x_j$

Determinant of square-diagonals \Rightarrow $\det(A) = \prod_{i=1}^n a_{ii}$ (since they are technically triangular matrices)

The **(column) rank** of A is number of linearly independent columns. i.e. $\text{rk}(A)$

i.e. its the number of **pivots** in row-echelon-form i.e. its the dimension of the column-space

$$\text{rk}(A) = \dim(\text{col}(A))$$
$$\text{rk}(A) = \dim(\text{im}(f_A))$$

Representing EROs/ECOs as transformation matrices

For $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ suppose a sequence of:

EROs transform $A \Rightarrow \text{EROs } A'$ \Rightarrow there is matrix R s.t. $A' = R A$

ECOs transform $A \Rightarrow \text{ECOs } A'$ \Rightarrow there is matrix C s.t. $A' = C A$

Extension to \mathbb{C}^n

Standard inner product: $(x, y) = x^T y$ \Rightarrow $x \cdot y = x^T y$

Conjugate-symmetric: $(x, y) = \overline{(y, x)}$

Standard (induced) norm: $\|x\|_2 = \sqrt{x \cdot x} = \sqrt{x^T x}$

Least Square Method

If we are solving $Ax = b$ and $b \in \mathbb{C}^n$ (i.e. no solution, then **Least Square Method** is:

Finding x which minimizes $\|Ax - b\|_2$

Recall $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ we have unique decomposition for any $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$ \Rightarrow $Ax = b$ $\iff Ax = b$ $\iff Ax = b$

Extension to \mathbb{C}^n

Standard inner product: $(x, y) = x^T y$ \Rightarrow $x \cdot y = x^T y$

Conjugate-symmetric: $(x, y) = \overline{(y, x)}$

Standard (induced) norm: $\|x\|_2 = \sqrt{x \cdot x} = \sqrt{x^T x}$

Least Square Method

If we are solving $Ax = b$ and $b \in \mathbb{C}^n$ (i.e. no solution, then **Least Square Method** is:

Finding x which minimizes $\|Ax - b\|_2$

Recall $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ we have unique decomposition for any $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$ \Rightarrow $Ax = b$ $\iff Ax = b$ $\iff Ax = b$

Extension to \mathbb{C}^n

Standard inner product: $(x, y) = x^T y$ \Rightarrow $x \cdot y = x^T y$

Conjugate-symmetric: $(x, y) = \overline{(y, x)}$

Standard (induced) norm: $\|x\|_2 = \sqrt{x \cdot x} = \sqrt{x^T x}$

Least Square Method

If we are solving $Ax = b$ and $b \in \mathbb{C}^n$ (i.e. no solution, then **Least Square Method** is:

Finding x which minimizes $\|Ax - b\|_2$

Recall $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ we have unique decomposition for any $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$ \Rightarrow $Ax = b$ $\iff Ax = b$ $\iff Ax = b$

Extension to \mathbb{C}^n

Standard inner product: $(x, y) = x^T y$ \Rightarrow $x \cdot y = x^T y$

Conjugate-symmetric: $(x, y) = \overline{(y, x)}$

Standard (induced) norm: $\|x\|_2 = \sqrt{x \cdot x} = \sqrt{x^T x}$

Least Square Method

If we are solving $Ax = b$ and $b \in \mathbb{C}^n$ (i.e. no solution, then **Least Square Method** is:

Finding x which minimizes $\|Ax - b\|_2$

Recall $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ we have unique decomposition for any $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$ \Rightarrow $Ax = b$ $\iff Ax = b$ $\iff Ax = b$

Extension to \mathbb{C}^n

Standard inner product: $(x, y) = x^T y$ \Rightarrow $x \cdot y = x^T y$

Conjugate-symmetric: $(x, y) = \overline{(y, x)}$

Standard (induced) norm: $\|x\|_2 = \sqrt{x \cdot x} = \sqrt{x^T x}$

Least Square Method

If we are solving $Ax = b$ and $b \in \mathbb{C}^n$ (i.e. no solution, then **Least Square Method** is:

Finding x which minimizes $\|Ax - b\|_2$

Recall $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ we have unique decomposition for any $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$ \Rightarrow $Ax = b$ $\iff Ax = b$ $\iff Ax = b$

Extension to \mathbb{C}^n

Standard inner product: $(x, y) = x^T y$ \Rightarrow $x \cdot y = x^T y$

Conjugate-symmetric: $(x, y) = \overline{(y, x)}$

Standard (induced) norm: $\|x\|_2 = \sqrt{x \cdot x} = \sqrt{x^T x}$

Least Square Method

If we are solving $Ax = b$ and $b \in \mathbb{C}^n$ (i.e. no solution, then **Least Square Method** is:

Finding x which minimizes $\|Ax - b\|_2$

Recall $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ we have unique decomposition for any $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$ \Rightarrow $Ax = b$ $\iff Ax = b$ $\iff Ax = b$

Extension to \mathbb{C}^n

Standard inner product: $(x, y) = x^T y$ \Rightarrow $x \cdot y = x^T y$

Conjugate-symmetric: $(x, y) = \overline{(y, x)}$

Standard (induced) norm: $\|x\|_2 = \sqrt{x \cdot x} = \sqrt{x^T x}$

Least Square Method

If we are solving $Ax = b$ and $b \in \mathbb{C}^n$ (i.e. no solution, then **Least Square Method** is:

Finding x which minimizes $\|Ax - b\|_2$

Recall $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ we have unique decomposition for any $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$ \Rightarrow $Ax = b$ $\iff Ax = b$ $\iff Ax = b$

Extension to \mathbb{C}^n

Standard inner product: $(x, y) = x^T y$ \Rightarrow $x \cdot y = x^T y$

Conjugate-symmetric: $(x, y) = \overline{(y, x)}$

Standard (induced) norm: $\|x\|_2 = \sqrt{x \cdot x} = \sqrt{x^T x}$

Least Square Method

If we are solving $Ax = b$ and $b \in \mathbb{C}^n$ (i.e. no solution, then **Least Square Method** is:

Finding x which minimizes $\|Ax - b\|_2$

Recall $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ we have unique decomposition for any $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$ \Rightarrow $Ax = b$ $\iff Ax = b$ $\iff Ax = b$

$Q = Q_0 + Q_1 + \dots + Q_n \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ notice its semi-orthogonal since $Q^T Q = I_n$

Notice $\Rightarrow a_j = Q_j c_j = Q Q_1^T a_j + \dots + Q_n^T a_j = Q_j^T a_j$

Let $R = [r_1 \dots r_n] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$

$A = QR = Q \begin{bmatrix} q_1^T a_1 & \dots & q_1^T a_n \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ q_n^T a_1 & \dots & q_n^T a_n \end{bmatrix}$ notice its

upper-triangular

Full QR Decomposition

Consider $Full\ QR\ Decomposition\ A = [a_1 \dots a_n] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ($m \geq n$), i.e. $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{R}^m$ are linearly independent

Apply QR decomposition to obtain:

ONB $\{q_1, \dots, q_n\} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ for $Q(A)$

Semi-orthogonal $Q_1 = [q_1 \dots q_n] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ and upper-triangular $R_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times (m-n)}$ where $A = Q_1 R_1$

Compute basis extension to obtain remaining

$q_{n+1}, \dots, q_m \in \mathbb{R}^m$ where $\{q_1, \dots, q_m\}$ is ONB for \mathbb{R}^m

Notice $\{q_{n+1}, \dots, q_m\}$ is ONB for $Q(A)^\perp = \ker(A^T)$

Let $Q_2 = [q_{n+1} \dots q_m] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times (m-n)}$ let

$Q = [Q_1 \ Q_2] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ let $R = [R_1 \ R_2] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$

Then **full QR decomposition** is

$A = QR = [Q_1 \ Q_2] \begin{bmatrix} R_1 \\ 0_{(m-n) \times n} \end{bmatrix} = Q_1 R_1$

Q is orthogonal, i.e. $Q^{-1} = Q^T$ so its a basis transformation

$proj_{Q(A)} = Q_1 Q_1^T$ $proj_{Q(A)^\perp} = Q_2 Q_2^T$ are orthogonal

projections onto $C(A)$ $C(A)^\perp = \ker(A^T)$ respectively

Notice: $Q_1^T = I_m + Q_1 Q_1^T + Q_2 Q_2^T$

Generalizable to $\mathbb{C} \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ by changing transpose to conjugate-transpose

Lines and hyperplanes in $E^n (= \mathbb{R}^n)$

Consider standard Euclidean space $E^n (= \mathbb{R}^n)$

with standard basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\} \in \mathbb{R}^n$

with standard origin $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$

A line $L = \mathbb{R}n + c$ is characterized by direction $n \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \neq 0$) and offset from origin $c \in L$

It is customary that:

n is a unit vector, i.e. $\|n\| = \| \hat{n} \| = 1$

$c \in L$ is closest point to origin, i.e. $c \perp n$

If $c \perp n$ \Rightarrow $[n]$ not vector-subspace of \mathbb{R}^n

i.e. $0 \notin L$ i.e. L doesn't go through the origin

L is affine-subspace of \mathbb{R}^n

If $c \perp n$ i.e. $L = \mathbb{R}n$ $\Rightarrow L$ is vector-subspace of \mathbb{R}^n

i.e. $0 \in L$ i.e. L goes through the origin

L has $\dim(L) = 1$ and orthonormal basis (ONB) $\{\hat{n}\}$

A hyperplane $P = (\mathbb{R}n)^\perp + c = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, x \perp n\}$ is

characterized by normal $n \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \neq 0$) and offset from origin $c \in P$

It represents an $(n-1)$ -dimensional slice of the n -dimensional space

It is customary that:

n is a unit vector, i.e. $\|n\| = \| \hat{n} \| = 1$

$c \in P$ is closest point to origin, i.e. $c \perp n$

With those $\Rightarrow P = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | \langle x, n \rangle = \langle c, n \rangle\}$

If $c \perp n$ $\Rightarrow P$ is not vector-subspace of \mathbb{R}^n

i.e. $0 \notin P$ i.e. P doesn't go through the origin

P is affine-subspace of \mathbb{R}^n

If $c \perp n$ i.e. $P = (\mathbb{R}n)^\perp \Rightarrow P$ is vector-subspace of \mathbb{R}^n

i.e. $0 \in P$ i.e. P goes through the origin

P has $\dim(P) = n-1$

Notice $L = \mathbb{R}n$ and $P = (\mathbb{R}n)^\perp$ are orthogonal complements, so:

$proj_L = \hat{n} \hat{n}^T$ is orthogonal projection onto L (along P)

$proj_P = id_n - proj_L = I_n - \hat{n} \hat{n}^T$ is orthogonal projection onto P (along L)

$L \perp \text{im}(proj_L) = \ker(proj_P)$ and

$P = \ker(proj_L) = \text{im}(proj_P)$

$\mathbb{R}^n = \mathbb{R}n + (\mathbb{R}n)^\perp$ i.e. all vectors $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ uniquely decomposed into $v = v_L + v_P$

Householder Maps: reflections

Two points $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ are reflections w.r.t hyperplane

$P = (\mathbb{R}n)^\perp + c$ if:

1) The translation $\vec{xy} = y - x$ is parallel to normal n i.e. $\vec{xy} \propto n$

2) Midpoint $m = 1/2(x+y)$ lies on P i.e. $m \in (\mathbb{R}n)^\perp + c$

Suppose $P = \mathbb{R}n$ ($c=0$) goes through the origin with unit normal $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$

Householder matrix $H_u = I_n - 2uu^T$ is reflection w.r.t hyperplane P_u

Recall: let $\hat{u} = \frac{u}{\|u\|}$

$proj_{L_u} = uu^T$ and $proj_{P_u} = I_n - uu^T \Rightarrow$

$H_u = proj_{P_u} - proj_{L_u}$

Visualize as preserving component in P_u then flipping component in L_u

H_u is involutory, orthogonal and symmetric, i.e. $H_u = H_u^{-1} = H_u^T$

Modified Gram-Schmidt

Go check Classical GM first, as this is just an alternative computational method

Let $P_1, \dots, P_{j-1} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ orthogonal \Rightarrow be projector onto hyperplane

$(Rq_j)^\perp$ i.e. orthogonal complement of line Rq_j

Notice: $P_{j+1} = I_m - Q_j Q_j^T = \left(I - \sum_{i=1}^j (I_m - Q_i Q_i^T) \right) = \prod_{i=1}^j P_i$

Re-state: $u_{j+1} = (P_{j+1} \perp Q_j Q_j^T) u_{j+1}$

$u_{j+1} = (P_{j+1} \perp P_1 Q_1 \dots P_{j-1} Q_{j-1}) u_{j+1}$

Projectors $P_1, Q_1, \dots, P_{j-1}, Q_{j-1}$ are iteratively applied to

u_{j+1} removing its components along q_1 then along q_2 and so on...

Let $u_j = (\prod_{i=1}^j P_i \perp Q_i Q_i^T) u_j$ i.e. a_k without its components along q_1, \dots, q_{j-1}

Notice: $u_j = u_j^{(j-1)}$ thus $q_j = u_j = u_j^{(j-1)} / \|u_j\|$ where

$r_{jj} = \|u_j^{(j-1)}\|$

Iterative step:

Notice: $Q_j = \frac{1}{r_{jj}} (u_j^{(j-1)} - u_j^{(j-2)} - \dots - q_{j-1} u_{j-1}^{(j-1)})$

i.e. each $u_j^{(j-1)}$ of MGS computes P_j (and

projections under it) in one go

At start of iteration $j=1$ we have ONB

$q_1, \dots, q_{j-2} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and residual $u_j^{(j-1)}, \dots, u_n^{(j-1)} \in \mathbb{R}^m$

Compute $r_{jj} = \|u_j^{(j-1)}\| \Rightarrow q_j = u_j^{(j-1)} / r_{jj}$

For each $k \in \{j+1, \dots, n\}$ compute $r_{jk} = q_j \cdot u_k^{(j-1)} \Rightarrow$

$u_k = u_k^{(j-1)} - r_{jk} q_j$

Next ONB $\{q_1, \dots, q_j\}$ and next residual u_{j+1}, \dots, u_n

NOTE: for $j=1 \Rightarrow q_1, \dots, q_{j-1} = \emptyset$ i.e. none yet

By end of iteration $j=n$ we have ONB

$\{q_1, \dots, q_n\} \in \mathbb{R}^m$

$A = [a_1 \dots a_n] = [q_1 \dots q_n] \begin{bmatrix} r_{11} & \dots & r_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & r_{nn} \end{bmatrix} = QR$

corresponds to thin QR decomposition

Where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ is full-rank, $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ is semi-orthogonal, and $R \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ upper-triangular

Classical vs. Modified Gram-Schmidt

These algorithms both compute thin QR decomposition

Computes at j th step:

Classical GS \Rightarrow j th column of Q and the j th column of R

Modified GS \Rightarrow j th column of Q and the j th row of R

Both have flop (floating-point operation) count of $O(2mn^2)$

NOTE: Householder method has $2(mn^2 - n^3)/3$ flop count, but better numerical properties

Recall: $Q^T Q = I_n$ \Rightarrow check for loss of orthogonality with $\|I_n - Q^T Q\| = \text{loss}$

Classical GS $\Rightarrow \|I_n - Q^T Q\| = \text{Cond}(A)^2 \epsilon_{mach}$

Modified GS $\Rightarrow \|I_n - Q^T Q\| = \text{Cond}(A) \epsilon_{mach}$

NOTE: Householder method has $\|I_n - Q^T Q\| \leq \epsilon_{mach}$

Multivariate Calculus

Consider $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

When clear write j th component of input as x_j instead of x

Level curve w.r.t. $c \in \mathbb{R}$ are all points s.t. $f(x) = c$

Projecting level curves onto \mathbb{R}^n gives f 's contour-map

n_k th order partial derivative w.r.t x_k of \dots of n_1 th order partial derivative w.r.t x_1 of f is:

f is backwards stable if $\forall x \in X \exists \epsilon \in X$ s.t. $f(x) = f(x)$ and $\frac{\|x - \epsilon\|}{\|x\|} = O(\epsilon_{mach})$

i.e. exactly the right answer to nearly the right question, a subset of stability

$\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3$ inner-product, back-substitution w/ triangular systems, are backwards stable

if backwards stable f and f has condition number $\kappa(x)$ then relative error $\frac{\|f(x) - f(x)\|}{\|f(x)\|} = O(\kappa(x) \epsilon_{mach})$

Accuracy, stability, backwards stability are norm-independent for fin-dim X, Y

Big-O meaning for numerical analysis

In complexity analysis $f(n) = O(g(n))$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$

But in numerical analysis $f(n) = O(g(n))$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ i.e. when x, u are parallel \Rightarrow hence $\nabla f(x)$ is direction of max. rate-of-change

f has local minimum at x_{loc} if there's radius $r > 0$ s.t. $\forall x \in B(r, x_{loc})$ we have $f(x_{loc}) \leq f(x)$

f has global minimum x_{glob} if $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have $f(x_{glob}) \leq f(x)$

A local minimum satisfies optimality conditions: $\nabla f(x) = 0$ i.e. for $n=1$ its $f'(x) = 0$

$O(g)$ is set of functions $\{f : \limsup_{x \rightarrow 0} |f(x)| / |g(x)| < \infty\}$

Smallness partial order $O(g_1) \leq O(g_2)$ defined by set inclusion $O(g_1) \subseteq O(g_2)$

i.e. as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ g_1 goes to zero faster than g_2 (e.g.)

Roughly same hierarchy as complexity analysis but flipped (some don't fit the pattern)

i.e. $\dots, O(\epsilon^2) < O(\epsilon^3) < O(\epsilon) < O(1)$

Maximum: $O(\max\{g_1, g_2\}) = O(g_2) \Rightarrow O(g_1) \leq O(g_2)$

i.e. $O(\max\{\epsilon^k, \epsilon^l\}) = O(\epsilon)$

Using functions f_1, \dots, f_n let $\Phi(f_1, \dots, f_n)$ be formula defining some function

Then $\Phi(O(g_1), \dots, O(g_n))$ is the class of functions $\{\Phi(f_1, \dots, f_n) : f_1 \in O(g_1), \dots, f_n \in O(g_n)\}$

i.e. $\Phi(O(1), \dots, O(1)) = O(1)$

e.g. $O(\epsilon^2) = \{f : f(\epsilon) \leq O(\epsilon^2)\}$

General case: $\Phi_1(O(f_1), \dots, O(f_m)) = \Phi_2(O(g_1), \dots, O(g_n))$ means $\Phi_1(O(f_1), \dots, O(f_m)) \subseteq \Phi_2(O(g_1), \dots, O(g_n))$

e.g. $O(\epsilon^2) = O(\epsilon^2)$ means $\{f : f(\epsilon) \leq O(\epsilon^2)\} \subseteq O(\epsilon^2)$

Special case: $f = \Phi(O(g_1), \dots, O(g_n))$ means $f \in O(O(g_1), \dots, O(g_n))$

e.g. $(\epsilon^2 + \epsilon^2) = O(\epsilon^2)$ means $\{f : f(\epsilon) \leq O(\epsilon^2)\} \subseteq O(\epsilon^2)$

i.e. $(\epsilon^2 + \epsilon^2) \in O(\epsilon^2)$ not necessarily true

Let $f_1 = O(g_1), f_2 = O(g_2)$ and let $k \neq 0$ be a constant $f_1 f_2 = O(g_1 g_2) \Rightarrow f = O(g_1 g_2) \Rightarrow O((|g_1| \cdot |g_2|) = O(g))$

$f_1 f_2 = O(\max\{|g_1|, |g_2|\})$

\Rightarrow if $g_1 = g_2$ then $f_1 f_2 = O(g)$

Floating-point numbers

Consider base/radix $\beta \geq 2$ (typically 2) and precision $t \geq 1$ (24 or 53 for IEEE single/double)

Floating-point numbers are discrete subset

$F = \{(-1)^s m \beta^e \mid 1 \leq m \leq \beta^t - 1, s \in \mathbb{B}, m, e \in \mathbb{Z}\}$

β is sign-bit, m/β^t is mantissa, e is exponent (8/bit for single, 11/bit for double)

Equivalently, can restrict to $\beta^{t-1} \leq m \leq \beta^t - 1$ for unique m and e

F and G is idealized (ignores overflow/underflow), so is countably infinite and self-similar (i.e. $F = \beta F$)

For all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ there exists $f \in F$ s.t. $|x - f| \leq \epsilon_{mach} |x|$

Working required: $\sim \frac{5}{2} m^3$ flops (back substitution is $O(m^2)$)

NOTE: Householder triangularization requires $\sim \frac{4}{3} m^3$

Partial pivoting computes $PA = LU$ where P is a permutation matrix $\Rightarrow PP^T = I$ i.e. its orthogonal

For each column j finds largest entry and row-swaps to make it new pivot \Rightarrow elimination on that column \Rightarrow Result is $L_{m-1} P_{m-1} \dots L_2 P_2 L_1 P_1 A = U$ where $L_{m-1} P_{m-1} \dots L_2 P_2 L_1 P_1 = L_{m-1}^{-1} \dots L_1^{-1} P_{m-1}^{-1} \dots P_1^{-1}$

Setting $L = (L_{m-1}^{-1} \dots L_1^{-1})^T$ $P = P_{m-1}^{-1} \dots P_1^{-1}$ gives $PA = LU$

Algorithm 2 Gaussian elimination with partial pivoting

1: $U = A, L = I, P = I$

2: for $k = 1$ to $m-1$ do

3: $i = \text{argmax}_{j \geq k} |u_{kj}|$

4: $u_{k,k} \leftrightarrow u_{i,k}$

5: $L_{k+1:k, k} = u_{k+1:k, k} / u_{k,k}$

6: $u_{k+1:k, k+1} \leftarrow u_{k+1:k, k+1} - L_{k+1:k, k} u_{k,k}$

7: for $j = k+1$ to m do

8: $L_{j,k} = u_{j,k} / u_{k,k}$

9: $u_{j,k:m} = u_{j,k:m} - L_{j,k} u_{k,k:m}$

10: end for

11: end for

Work required: $\sim \frac{2}{3} m^3$ flops $\Rightarrow O(m^3)$ results in $L_{ij} \leq 1$ so $\|L\| = O(1)$

Need $a=0 \Rightarrow f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{f^{(k)}(0)}{k!} x^k + O(x^{n+1})$ as $x \rightarrow 0$

e.g. $(1 + \epsilon)^x = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{f^{(k)}(0)}{k!} \epsilon^k + O(\epsilon^{n+1})$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$

i.e. $3C, 5C, 0$ s.t. $\forall \epsilon$ we have $0 < |f(\epsilon) - \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{f^{(k)}(0)}{k!} \epsilon^k| < C |f(\epsilon)|$

$O(g)$ is set of functions $\{f : \limsup_{x \rightarrow 0} |f(x)| / |g(x)| < \infty\}$

Elementary Matrices

Identity $I_n = [e_{11} \dots e_{1n}; \dots; e_{n1} \dots e_{nn}]$ has elementary vectors e_1, \dots, e_n for rows/columns

Row/column switching: permutation matrix P_{ij}

obtained by switching e_j and e_i in I_n (same for rows/columns)

Applying P_{ij} from left will swap rows, from right will swap columns

$P_{ij} = P_{ji}^T$ i.e. applying twice will undo it

Row/column scaling: $D_i(L_i)$ obtained by scaling e_j by Δ_j in I_n (same for rows/columns)

Applying P_{ij} from left will scale rows, from right will scale columns

$D_i(L_i) = \text{diag}(1, \dots, \Delta_i, \dots, 1)$ so all diagonal properties

apply, e.g. $D_i(L_i)^{-1} = D_i(L_i)$

Row addition: $L_{ij}(n) = I_n + \Delta_j e_i^T$ performs

$R_i \leftarrow R_i + \Delta_j R_j$ when applying from left

$\Delta_j e_i^T$ is zeros except for Δ_j in (i, j) th entry

$L_{ij}(n) = L_{ij}(n)$ both triangular matrices

LU factorization / Gaussian elimination

Recall: you can represent EROs and ECOs as transformation matrices R, C respectively

LU factorization \Rightarrow finds $A = LU$ where L, U are lower/upper triangular respectively

Naive Gaussian Elimination performs

$|U_n| |A| |I_n| \approx |\mathbb{R}^n| |U| |I_n|$ to get $A U_n = R^{-1} U$ using only row addition

R^{-1} i.e. inverse EROs in reversed order, is lower-triangular so $L = R^{-1}$

Algorithm 1 Gaussian elimination

1: $U = A, L = I$

2: for $k = 1$ to $m-1$ do

3: $i = k+1$ to m do

4: $L_{ik} = u_{i,k} / u_{k,k}$

5: $u_{i,k:m} = u_{i,k:m} - L_{ik} u_{k,k:m}$

6: end for

7: end for

The pivot element is simply diagonal entry $u_{kk}^{(k-1)}$

fails if $u_{kk}^{(k-1)} = 0$

$\hat{L} = \hat{U} A^{-1} = \frac{[G A]}{[I A]} = O(\epsilon_{mach})$ only backwards

stable if $\|L\| \|U\| = \|A\|$

Work required: $\sim \frac{5}{2} m^3$ flops $\Rightarrow O(m^3)$

Solving $Ax = Lx$ is $\sim \frac{2}{3} m^3$ flops (back substitution is $O(m^2)$)

NOTE: Householder triangularization requires $\sim \frac{4}{3} m^3$

Partial pivoting computes $PA = LU$ where P is a permutation matrix $\Rightarrow PP^T = I$ i.e. its orthogonal

For each column j finds largest entry and row-swaps to make it new pivot \Rightarrow elimination on that column \Rightarrow Result is $L_{m-1} P_{m-1} \dots L_2 P_2 L_1 P_1 A = U$ where $L_{m-1} P_{m-1} \dots L_2 P_2 L_1 P_1 = L_{m-1}^{-1} \dots L_1^{-1} P_{m-1}^{-1} \dots P_1^{-1}$

Setting $L = (L_{m-1}^{-1} \dots L_1^{-1})^T$ $P = P_{m-1}^{-1} \dots P_1^{-1}$ gives $PA = LU$