product of the n pmf/pdf viewed as a function of a parameter θ . Take the natural log of the likelihood to get the log-likelihood function $l(\hat{\theta}) = log(L(\theta))$ and collect terms involving θ . Find the value of θ for which log-likelihood is maximised. This is typically done by finding $\hat{\theta}$ that solves $l'(\hat{\theta}) = \frac{d}{d\theta} log(L(\hat{\theta})) = 0$. If the estimate $\hat{\theta}$ obtained in step 3 corresponds to a

2.

3.

4

The likelihood function, $L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i | \theta)$ is the

 $\label{eq:maximum} \begin{array}{l} \frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} I(\theta) < 0 \text{, then } \theta \text{ is confirmed as the} \\ \text{maximum likelihood estimator (MLE) of } \theta. \\ \text{Remarks:} \\ \bullet \qquad \text{In large sample sizes, the MLE progressively becomes} \end{array}$

unbiased, efficient and consistent. This can be proved under mild technical assumptions. In small sample sizes there is no such guarantee and the quality of a MLE can vary. Bayesian parameter estimatior is an area of statistics that deals with this problem MLE generalizes to multi-parameter distributions. Yet

(local maxima).

this requires multivariate calculus and the maximization may give more than one answer if I has several peaks