The Atternative Hypothesis: a difference exists, e.g., the new feature increases user engagement. If the test group shows much higher engagement than the control group, then we will reject the null hypothesis and conclude that the evidence favours the alternative hypothesis. Parametric tests: Suppose again we have a random i.i.d. sample

 $(X_1, ..., X_n)$  of a random variable X from an unknown distribution

**The Null Hypothesis:** there is no difference in user engagement

between the two groups.

 $H_1: \theta > \theta_0$ .

Parametric tests typically assume the sample comes from a parametric family  $P(\cdot \mid \theta)$  and test whether we could reasonably assume  $\theta = \theta_0$  for some particular value  $\theta_0$ . **Hypotheses:** Formally, let  $H_0$  be the null hypothesis and  $H_1$  be the alternative hypothesis.

One-sided test:  $H_0$ :  $\theta = \theta_0$  versus  $H_1$ :  $\theta < \theta_0$ :  $H_0$ :  $\theta = \theta_0$  versus

Two-sided test:  $H_0$ :  $\theta = \theta_0$  versus  $H_0$ :  $\theta \neq \theta_0$ .