

Group 2: Algorithm Alliance



Buis, Johan J.J.G. - Galanti, Samuele S. - Leucă, Daniel D. - Mattiuzzo, Alessandro A. - Pieleanu, Andrei A.



1 2 3 4 5

Checkpoint 5 Sprint D review 06-06-2023



Mattiuzzo, Alessandro A. 3 days ago

We looked at:

- Improvements that we made to the UI

Doing next:

- No underlining if it's not a link
- Remove quotes from names
- Make colours and verb tenses consistent
- Make more use of bootstrap
- Improve Alignment of content
- Make links more dynamic
- Profile page should be findable
- Improve Filter buttons layout
- Remove ID from BranchSelector on Report Library



Write a summary of what you discussed with your teacher...

Post Feedback

Checkpoint 4 Sprint C review 16-05-2023

Mattiuzzo, Alessandro A. a month ago

Doing well:

- Good working backend-frontend connection

To improve:

- take care of UI
- add more mock data for the demo

What we are doing next:

- work on login functionality
- pick one nice-to-have feature to distinguish from other projects (eg. statistics)
- Turn the FE viewing path to human readable text
- Focus on the visualization of data (Heatmap, CI Dashboard)



Gupta, Roopali R. (Teacher) a month ago

Peer review not done

Checkpoint 3 Status update meeting: 9th May 09-05-2023

Mattiuzzo, Alessandro A. a month ago

Doing well:

- Good to have jacoco working and setup: it's what the client asked
- C1-2-3 diagrams look well designed. (just re-export to PNG)
- Backend progress is great
- Frontend refactoring and state management is good

To improve:

- Sharing the C4 is useless if done after the implementation. Next time, do it earlier.
- For the demo use one laptop only: don't switch between laptops.
- When submitting documents, let Ms Gupta know which documents we want feedback on.
- Research documents should not have the solution in the research question: should be open to all options
- Follow the standards when making the research documents (eg. APA)
- Improve over time and show the clients any changes done to the wireframes

What we are doing next:

- Make a research document to expand on options to test the front-end (and why we chose selenium)
- Make a changed wireframe based on the feedback
- Start focusing on the design of the Front End
- Try to understand how the jacoco works / what information it gives
- Look at the work division for the next sprint and try to switch roles
- Daniel Left the group

Checkpoint 2 Status update meeting: 27th Apr 27-04-2023

Galanti, Samuele S. 2 months ago

MEETING WITH: MISS ROOPALI, DANIEL, ANDREI, SAMUELE, ALESSANDRO AND JOHAN – 27/04/2023

POINTS OF ACTION

- Backend progress (Andrei, Johan, Daniel)
 - o Authentication, Authorization, Login, Controllers.
 - o User roles.
 - o Testing.
- Frontend progress (Alessandro, Samuele)
 - o Refactoring.
 - o Redux implementation.
 - o Connection to backend controllers.
 - o Implementation.
- Impact on society document (Johan)
- React/redux implementation and research paper. (Alessandro, Samuele)

SMALL SUMMARY

- Context Diagram
- Architecture Diagram
- Update the current documentations.
- Upload MoM every meeting
- More communication with the client necessary
- No point in creating diagrams and documentation after implementation.
- The whole group should work on the Impact on society document.
- Tic-Tool missing, must be completed.
- Documentation is edited on SharePoint and then uploaded on GitLab when completed.

Checkpoint 1 Sprint B review 20-04-2023

Mattiuzzo, Alessandro A. 2 months ago

We looked at the Front End. Structure of report library and navigation is OK. Backend functionality is also OK. In the next sprint we have to focus on:

- Filters for errors (Both BE & FE)
- Login
- Add commits layer to FE
- Connect BE to FE (Refactoring API calls from FE)
- Adding controllers on BE for specific calls
- Create a C4 diagram
- Add SonarQube to backend to see unit tests coverage (goal is minimum 80%)



Gupta, Roopali R. (Teacher) 2 months ago

Nothing from the documentation was submitted (updated project plan, tictool form, context diagrams, research), these could not be discussed. What ever was delivered and demoed to the client was good enough. Hence it is not a negative smiley. The documentation and the submissions should not be ignored next sprint onwards.

