

Rubric for Systematic Literature Review

Criteria	Excellent (9-10)	Good (7-8)	Satisfactory (5-6)	Needs Improvement (3-4)	Poor (0-2)
Introduction and Background	Comprehensive background, clear research question, and objectives.	Good background, mostly clear research question and objectives.	Adequate background, research question, and objectives.	Incomplete background, unclear research question or objectives.	Lacks background, research question, and objectives.
Search Strategy	Thorough, well-documented search strategy with appropriate databases and keywords.	Good search strategy, adequately documented with appropriate databases and keywords.	Adequate search strategy, some documentation, and appropriate databases and keywords.	Incomplete search strategy, inadequate documentation or inappropriate databases/keywords.	Lacks a systematic search strategy, poor documentation, and inappropriate databases/keywords.
Selection Criteria	Clear, justified inclusion/exclusion criteria, well-applied.	Clear inclusion/exclusion criteria, mostly well-applied.	Inclusion/exclusion criteria present, somewhat applied.	Vague inclusion/exclusion criteria, poorly applied.	Lacks inclusion/exclusion criteria or poorly justified and applied.
Data Extraction	Comprehensive data extraction, well-organized and consistent.	Good data extraction, mostly organized and consistent.	Adequate data extraction, somewhat organized.	Incomplete data extraction, poorly organized.	Lacks data extraction or very disorganized.
Quality Assessment	Thorough assessment of study quality, clear criteria, and justification.	Good quality assessment, clear criteria, and justification.	Adequate quality assessment, some criteria, and justification.	Incomplete quality assessment, unclear criteria.	Lacks quality assessment or criteria.
Synthesis and Analysis	Thorough synthesis, clear analysis, and well-	Good synthesis and analysis, mostly well-	Adequate synthesis and analysis, some support for	Incomplete synthesis and analysis, weak support for	Lacks synthesis and analysis, unsupported conclusions.

Criteria	Excellent (9-10)	Good (7-8)	Satisfactory (5-6)	Needs Improvement (3-4)	Poor (0-2)
	supported conclusions.	supported conclusions.	conclusions.	conclusions.	
Discussion and Implications	Comprehensive discussion, clear implications, and relevance.	Good discussion, clear implications, and relevance.	Adequate discussion, some implications and relevance.	Incomplete discussion, unclear implications or relevance.	Lacks discussion, implications, and relevance.
References	Comprehensive, correctly formatted references.	Good, mostly correctly formatted references.	Adequate, somewhat correctly formatted references.	Incomplete or poorly formatted references.	Lacks references or very poorly formatted.
Presentation and Structure	Clear, well-structured, and logically presented review.	Mostly clear and well-structured review.	Adequate clarity and structure.	Incomplete clarity and structure.	Lacks clarity and structure.
Critical Thinking and Originality	High level of critical thinking and originality.	Good critical thinking and originality.	Adequate critical thinking and some originality.	Limited critical thinking and originality.	Lacks critical thinking and originality.