AI Usage Disclosure Form

Team (include all names) or Student Name: Andrew Atwater

Assignment Title: Travel Destination Website

1. Level of AI Assistance Implemented

Select the option that best describes your use of Generative AI for this assignment:

- [ ] **No AI used:** Did not use AI. All work is entirely my own, 100% human authored.
- [ ] **Minimal AI assistance:** AI was utilized for basic brainstorming, grammar checks, or formatting / basic debugging. No major impact from AI is present, more than 90% of the logic and coding is my own.
- [x] **Substantial AI assistance:** AI was utilized to generate outlines, draft sections, creating draft code blocks, and / or debug code. Greater than 70% of the code is my own.
- [ ] **Full/Primary AI Generation:** The AI produced the bulk of the content based on my prompts. My role was prompt engineering and refinement. Less than 50% of the code is my own creation.

2. Usage Log & Citation

In accordance with academic integrity standards, please list the tools used and the specific prompts provided. If more than one tool was used, create new entries below for each tool. Include all prompts utilized. Additional space may be used to accommodate more uses.

- · **Tool Name(s): ChatGPT 5.2**

- · **Primary Use (Describe how the tool was used): Helping to center images, specific CSS syntax, generated website content**

- · **Specific Prompt(s) Used:**

- ● **How can I force these two images into equal-size cards even though the images have different aspect ratios using CSS?**
- ● **There is no right side padding between the images and their cards, can you identify the issue?**
- ● **Generate a couple paragraphs each for the about and info pages - for about, include park history, wildlife, and terrain, and for info include how to book a reservation, and other logistical advice**

- · **Percentage of project created by tool: 20%**

· **APA or MLA for citation of interaction with AI.**

"How can I force these two images into equal-size cards even though the images have different aspect ratios using CSS?" prompt. ChatGPT, 9 Feb. Version 5.2, OpenAI, <u>chatgpt.com</u>.

"There is no right side padding between the images and their cards, can you identify the issue?" prompt. ChatGPT, 9 Feb. Version 5.2, OpenAI, <u>chatgpt.com</u>.

"Generate a couple paragraphs each for the about and info pages - for about, include park history, wildlife, and terrain, and for info include how to book a reservation, and other logistical advice" prompt. ChatGPT, 9 Feb. Version 5.2, OpenAI, <u>chatgpt.com</u>.

3. Critical Reflection

Give brief explanations / reasonings / reflections on the following prompts. Be honest, reflective, and clear.

· **Assignment Approach:** Explain how you broke the assignment down into smaller tasks before using AI. Which tasks did you delegate to the AI and which did you keep for yourself to maintain control over the program's architecture?

I attempted to complete every aspect of the assignment on my own, and only relied on AI to solve a problem when I needed an answer that applied to my specific scenario and I couldn't find a solution on a verified resource. I used AI to generate some of the page content as I felt as though this was not a large part of the learning process of this assignment and actually understanding the basics of HTML and CSS were far more important, so I decided to save some time researching and writing.

· **Verification & Accuracy:** How did you test the AI-generated code to ensure it was functional and followed the assignment's specific logic requirements?

I only used AI to help format images, and when they were visually appealing and aligned it was functional.

· **Ownership of Logic:** Which specific functions or logic blocks are your original work? How does this project demonstrate your personal mastery of the course material?

All code is my own, except for using object-fit and justify-content for images. Previously I was having trouble getting started on centering images exactly where I wanted them with a card

border and a drop shadow, but I already knew I could insert images as I had with the logo and the favicon previously so I figured I needed a little push with something a little more advanced.

· **Prompt refinement:** Describe a specific instance where the AI provided an incorrect or inefficient solution. How did you identify the error, and how did you modify your prompt or the code to fix it?

Visually, my images were not aligned properly on my cards but were squished to the right. This had to do with my images inheriting from my previous CSS which the AI pointed out and I separated this inheritance.

· **Efficiency improvement:** Did the AI suggest a method or library you hadn't considered? Compare the AI's approach to how you would have solved it manually—which is more efficient, reliable, and readable, and why?

Being an almost absolute CSS beginner, it showed me the justify-content and object-fit methods which I had no idea existed. Looking back, I could have used a CSS generator to help me but as I said above I had 2 images with different aspect ratios that I wanted to fit in equal sized boxes

- **Learning Impact:** What parts of the code did you write entirely manually to ensure you understood the concept? Did using AI clarify the concepts or simply provide a solution?

I did 95% of this code manually, the only exception being the help with these images in the content box, which I now understand better than I did before and can apply the concepts in the future. I don't count using AI to generate site content as part of the code as having good writing isn't necessarily a learning target here otherwise I would have gladly researched and implemented my own writing.

- **Without AI:** Were there aspects of the assignment you completed without AI assistance? Did this assist with understanding the concepts clearly?

Yes most of this project was done without AI and I understood concepts clearly, I just needed assistance with one very specific issue.

- **Professional Readiness:** In a technical interview, how would you explain the logic of the AI-generated portions of this code? What is the risk of relying on AI for this specific type of task in a professional setting?

I would explain that the content boxes force the images to be the same aspect ratio ensuring that they are the same size on the page, effectively a "crop". AI can obviously generate code that doesn't make any sense but works perfectly, which is extremely hard to work through and explain. But for a small issue fix like this I feel that it is fine.

· **Strategic Use:** Identify one specific logic problem where the AI's first suggestion failed or was inefficient. How did your own understanding of the course material help you guide the AI toward the correct final solution?

AI didn't really make any specific logic errors, it was my past code that made the AI solution not work on the first try. So in a way it was the AI that guided my understanding of the material to a better place by pointing out my error.

Honor Pledge

I certify that this disclosure is a complete and honest account of the tools used. I remain responsible for the accuracy and originality of the final submission.

By submitting this reflection / disclosure you are asserting your acknowledgement of the above statement.

Criteria	Exceeds Expectations (A)	Meets Expectations (B/C)	Below Expectations (D/F)
Transparency & Log	All tools are listed with exact prompts used. Citations (APA/MLA) are perfectly formatted.	Most tools and prompts are listed. Citation is present but may have minor formatting errors.	Missing prompts or tool names. No citation provided for AI use.
Architectural Control	Clearly identifies which logic blocks were kept manual to maintain control over the program's structure.	Briefly mentions manual vs. delegated tasks but lacks depth in explaining the "why."	Does not explain which parts are original or how the student retained and maintained control of assignment.

Verification & Mastery	Provides a detailed account of how the code was tested for logic requirements. Can explain logic in a professional/interview context.	Lists basic tests (e.g., "I ran the code") but doesn't connect tests to specific logic requirements.	No evidence of testing. Code appears to be an "opaque box" the student cannot explain.
Critical Reflection	Specifically describes identifying an AI error/inefficiency and the steps taken to fix it using course knowledge.	Mentions a challenge or prompt refinement but lacks a clear technical explanation of the fix.	Reflection is superficial or claims "AI was perfect" without critical evaluation.
Learning Impact	Deeply reflects on how manual portions ensured understanding of concepts (e.g., DOM traversal or Event Loops).	Identifies manual portions but doesn't explain the impact on their learning or mastery.	Minimal or no reflection on how the work relates to course objectives or personal mastery.

~Note: This course disclosure form was developed by the instructor with the assistance of AI to ensure written clarity, conciseness, and an emphasis on growth-based learning.

Google. (2026, January 15). *Course AI Disclosure Form and Refinement* [Generative AI chat]. Gemini 1.5 Flash. https://gemini.google.com/