Experimental Design and Data Analysis: Assignment 6

Andrew Bedard (2566978) & Simone van Gompel (2567525) Group 19

May 23, 2015

Exercise 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Exercise 2 1 The data contained in psi.txt was read in, the following figures were obtained.

Fitting a logistic regression model with psi and gpa as explanatory variables for the outcome being that the student passed their assessment or not, we obtain

the following table:

Coefficients:

0.001

Estimate Std. Error z value
$$\Pr(>|z|)$$
 (Intercept) -11.602 4.213 -2.754 0.00589 ** psi 2.338 1.041 2.246 0.02470 * gpa 3.063 1.223 2.505 0.01224 * Signif. codes:

0.05

0.1

1

Figure 1: Parameter estimation for logistic regression model

0.01

Thus we determine that our logistic regression model should be:

**

$$Pr(pass = 1) = \frac{\exp(-11.602 + 2.338 * psi + 3.063 * gpa)}{1 + \exp(-11.602 + 2.338 * psi + 3.063 * gpa)}$$
(1)

3

Based on the p-value obtained in Figure:1, we reject the null hypothesis that there is no effect of psi on the outcomes of the students final assessment. Further based on our parameters for the logistic regression model, we see that a positive value, ie. 1, for psi causes an increase in probability of passing, so we conclude that psi does in fact work.

4

To estimate the probability that a student with a *gpa* equal to 3 who receives *psi* passes the assignment, we simply enter our values into equation 1, our logistic regression model.

$$Pr(pass = 1) = \frac{\exp(-11.602 + 2.338 * (1) + 3.063 * (3))}{1 + \exp(-11.602 + 2.338(1) + 3.063 * (3))} = 0.4813$$

So there is a 48.13 % chance of a student with gpa of 3 who receives psi of passing the final assignment.

Similarly if we wish to estimate the probability that a student with a gpa of 3 who does not receive psi passing the final assignment, we simply replace the value of psi with 0, and substitute this into equation 1

$$Pr(pass = 1) = \frac{\exp(-11.602 + 2.338 * (0) + 3.063 * (3))}{1 + \exp(-11.602 + 2.338(0) + 3.063 * (3))} = 0.0822$$

Thus there is a 8.22 % chance of a student with a gpa of 3 who did not take psi passing the final assignment.

5

We may investigate the relative change in odds of passing the final assignment for students with psi rather than those without psi by simply taking the exponential of the change in our linear predictor, in this case taking psi = 1 as opposed to 0 increases our linear predictor by 2.338 as we can see in Figure:1, thus our difference in odds is exp(2.338) = 10.3605.

6

Using the alternative method of analysis, and obtaining the matrix:

$$\begin{bmatrix}
 1, 1 \\
 1, 1
 \end{bmatrix}
 \begin{bmatrix}
 2, 1
 \end{bmatrix}
 \begin{bmatrix}
 3, 1
 \end{bmatrix}
 \begin{bmatrix}
 8, 1
 \end{bmatrix}
 \begin{bmatrix}
 2, 1
 \end{bmatrix}
 \begin{bmatrix}
 3, 1
 \end{bmatrix}
 \begin{bmatrix}
 4, 1
 \end{bmatrix}
 \begin{bmatrix}
 4$$

 $Fisher \ 's _Exact _Test _for _Count _Data$

```
data: __x
p-value_=_0.0265
alternative_hypothesis: _true_odds_ratio_is_not_equal_to_1
95_percent_confidence_interval:
_0.02016297_0.95505763
sample_estimates:
odds_ratio
_0.1605805
```

7

8

Exercise 3

1

2

3

4

5

- 1 R-Code
- 1.1 Exercise 1
- 1.2 Exercise 2
- 1.3 Exercise 3
- 1.4 Exercise 4