## Leandro Vendramin

# Galois theory

Notes

Monday 14<sup>th</sup> February, 2022

### **Preface**

The notes correspond to the bachelor course *Galois theory* of the Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Faculty of Sciences, Department of Mathematics and Data Sciences. The course is divided into thirteen two-hours lectures.

The material is somewhat standard. Basic texts on fields and Galois theory are for example [1]...

As usual, we also mention a set of great expository papers by Keith Conrad available at https://kconrad.math.uconn.edu/blurbs/. The notes are extremely well-written and are useful at at every stage of a mathematical career.

This version was compiled on Monday 14<sup>th</sup> February, 2022 at 13:11.

Leandro Vendramin Brussels, Belgium

## **Contents**

| 1    |     |    |    |   | • |  | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | <br> | <br> | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • |  | • | <br> |  |    |
|------|-----|----|----|---|---|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|------|------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|---|------|--|----|
| 2    |     | •  |    |   |   |  |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |      | <br> |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |  |   |      |  | 4  |
| Refe | ere | er | 1( | e | S |  |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |      | <br> |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |  |   |      |  | 1: |
| Inde | ex  |    |    |   |   |  |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   | <br> | <br> |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |  |   |      |  | 1′ |

## **List of topics**

| §1         | Fields               | ]  |
|------------|----------------------|----|
| §2         | Algebraic extensions | 4  |
| §3         | Artin's theorem      | 11 |
| <b>§</b> 4 | Decomposition fields | 13 |

#### Lecture 1

#### §1. Fields

Recall that a **field** is a commutative ring such that  $1 \neq 0$  and that every non-zero element is invertible. Examples of (infinite) fields are  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{C}$ . If p is a prime number, then  $\mathbb{Z}/p$  is a field.

**Example 1.1.** The abelian group  $\mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2$  is a field with multiplication

$$(a,b)(c,d) = (ac+bd,ad+bc+bd).$$

**Example 1.2.**  $\mathbb{Q}(i) = \{a + bi : a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\}$  and  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$  are fields.

xca:Q(i)

**Exercise 1.3.** Prove that  $\mathbb{Q}(i)$  and  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$  are not isomorphic as fields.

If R is a ring, there exists a unique ring homomorphism  $\mathbb{Z} \to R$ ,  $m \mapsto m1$ . The image  $\{m1 : m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  of this homomorphism is a subring of R and it is known as the **ring of integers** of R. The kernel is a subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}$  and hence it is generated by some  $t \geq 0$ . The integer t is the **characteristic** of the ring R.

**Exercise 1.4.** The characteristic of a field is either zero or a prime number.

Recall that a commutative ring R is an **integral domain** if  $xy = 0 \implies x = 0$  or y = 0. Fields are integral domains.

**Exercise 1.5.** Let *K* be a field. Prove that the following statements are equivalent:

- 1) *K* is of characteristic zero.
- **2**) The additive order of 1 is infinite.
- 3) The additive order of each  $x \neq 0$  is infinite.
- **4)** The ring of integers of K is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

**Exercise 1.6.** Let K be a field. Prove that the following statements are equivalent:

1) K is of characteristic p.

- 2) The additive order of 1 is p.
- 3) The additive order of each  $x \neq 0$  is p.
- **4)** The ring of integers of *K* is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}/p$ .

**Definition 1.7.** A **subfield** of a ring *R* is a subring of *R* that is also a field.

Note that if K is a subfield of E, then the characteristic of K coincides with the chacteristic of E. Moreover, if  $K \to L$  is a field homomorphis, then K and L have the same characteristic.

**Exercise 1.8.** Let K be a field of characteristic p. Prove that  $K \to K$ ,  $x \mapsto x^{p^n}$ , is a field homomorphism for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ .

Note that finite fields are of characteristic p.

Let *K* be a subfield of a field *E*. Then *E* is a *K*-vector space with the usual scalar multiplication  $K \times E \to E$ ,  $(\lambda, x) \mapsto \lambda x$ .

**Definition 1.9.** A field *K* is **prime** if there are no proper subfields of *K*.

Examples of prime fields are  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}/p$  for p a prime number.

**Proposition 1.10.** *Let K be a field. The following statements hold:* 

- 1) K contains a unique prime field, it is known as the **prime subfield** of K.
- 2) The prime subfield of K is either isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Q}$  if the characteristic of K is zero, or it is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}/p$  for some prime number p if the characteristic of K is p.

*Proof.* To prove the first claim let L be the intersection of all the subfields of K. Then L is a subfield of K. If F is a subfield of L, then E is a subfield of E. Thus  $E \subseteq F$  and hence E = L, which proves that  $E \subseteq L$  is prime. If  $E \subseteq L$  is a subfield of  $E \subseteq L$  and hence  $E \subseteq L$ .

Let  $K_0$  be the prime field of K. Suppose that K is of characteristic p > 0. Then the ring  $K_{\mathbb{Z}}$  of integers of K is a field isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}/p$  and hence  $K_0 \simeq K_{\mathbb{Z}}$ . Suppose now that the characteristic of K is zero. Let  $E = \{m1/n1 : m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \neq 0\}$ . We claim that  $K_0 = E$ . Since  $K_{\mathbb{Z}} \subseteq K_0$ , it follows that  $E \subseteq K_0$ . Hence  $E = K_0$ , as E is a subfield of K.

**Definition 1.11.** Let E be a field and K be a subfield of E. Then E is an **extension** of K. We will use the notation E/K.

If E is an extension of K, then E is a K-vector space.

**Definition 1.12.** The degree of an extension E of K is the integer  $\dim_K E$ . It will be denoted by [E:K].

We say that E is a finite extension of K if [E:K] is finite.

**Example 1.13.** Let K be a field. Then [K : K] = 1. Conversely, if E is an extension of K and [E : K] = 1, then K = E. If not, let  $x \in E \setminus K$ . We claim that  $\{1, x\}$  is linearly independent over K. Indeed, if a1 + bx = 0 for some  $a, b \in K$ , then bx = -a. If  $b \ne 0$ , then  $x = -a/b \in K$ , a contradiction. If b = 0, then a = 0.

We know that  $[\mathbb{C} : \mathbb{R}] = 2$ .

**Example 1.14.** A basis of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  is given by  $\{1, \sqrt{2}\}$ . Then  $[\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}) : \mathbb{Q}] = 2$ .

**Example 1.15.** Since  $\mathbb{Q}$  is numerable and  $\mathbb{R}$  is not,  $[\mathbb{R} : \mathbb{Q}] > \aleph_0$ . If  $\{x_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}\}$  is a numerable basis of  $\mathbb{R}$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , for each n consider the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space  $V_n$  generated by  $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ . Then

$$\mathbb{R}=\bigcup_{n\geq 1}V_n,$$

is numerable, as each  $V_n$  is numerable, a contradiction.

If E is an extension of K and E is finite, then [E:K] is finite.

**Proposition 1.16.** Let K be a finite field. Then  $|K| = p^m$  for some prime number p and some  $m \ge 1$ .

*Proof.* We know that the prime subfield of K is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}/p$ . In particular,  $|K_0| = p$ . Since K is finite,  $[K:K_0] = m$  for some m. If  $\{x_1, \ldots, x_m\}$  is a basis of K over  $K_0$ , then each element of K can be written uniquely as  $\sum_{i=1}^m a_i x_i$  for some  $a_1, \ldots, a_m \in K_0$ . Then  $K \simeq K_0^m$  and hence  $|K| = |K_0^m| = p^m$ .

**Definition 1.17.** Let *E* be an extension of *K*. A **subextension** *F* of *K* is a subfield *F* of *E* that contains *K*, that is  $K \subseteq F \subseteq E$ .

**Definition 1.18.** Let E and  $E_1$  be extensions over K. An extension **homomorphism**  $E \to E_1$  is a field homomorphism  $\sigma \colon E \to E_1$  such that  $\sigma(x) = x$  for all  $x \in K$ .

To describe the homomorphism  $\sigma: E \to E_1$  of the extensions over K one typically writes the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
K & \longrightarrow & K \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
E & \stackrel{\sigma}{\longrightarrow} & E_1
\end{array}$$

We write  $\operatorname{Hom}(E/K, E_1/K)$  to denote the set of homomorphism  $E \to E_1$  of extensions of K. Note that if  $\sigma \in \operatorname{Hom}(E/K, E_1/K)$ , then  $\sigma$  is a K-linear map, as

$$\sigma(\lambda x) = \sigma(\lambda)\sigma(x) = \lambda\sigma(x)$$

for all  $\lambda \in K$  and  $x \in E$ .

**Example 1.19.** The conjugation map  $\mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ ,  $z \mapsto \overline{z}$ , is an endomorphism of  $\mathbb{C}$  as an extension over  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R})$ . Then

$$\varphi(x+iy) = \varphi(x) + \varphi(i)\varphi(y) = x + \varphi(i)y$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ . Since  $\varphi(i)^2 = \varphi(i^2) = \varphi(-1) = -1$ , it follows that  $\varphi(i) \in \{-i, i\}$ . Thus either  $\varphi(x+iy) = x+iy$  or  $\varphi(x+iy) = x-iy$ .

**Exercise 1.20.** Prove that if K is a field and  $\sigma: K \to K$  is a field homomorphism, then  $\sigma \in \text{Hom}(K/K_0, K/K_0)$ .

If E/K is an extension, then

$$Aut(E/K) = {\sigma : \sigma : E \rightarrow E \text{ is a bijective extension homomorphism}}$$

is a group with composition.

**Definition 1.21.** Let E/K be an extension. The **Galois group** of E/K is the group Aut(E/K) and it will be denoted by Gal(E/K).

A typicall example:  $Gal(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2$ .

**Example 1.22.** Let  $\theta = \sqrt[3]{2}$  and let  $E = \{a + b\theta + c\theta^2 : a, b, c \in \mathbb{Q}\}$ . Note that

$$a+b\theta+c\theta^2=0 \iff a=b=c=0.$$

Then E is an extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$  such that  $[E:\mathbb{Q}]=3$ . We claim that  $Gal(E/\mathbb{Q})$  is trivial. If  $\sigma \in Gal(E/\mathbb{Q})$  and  $z=a+b\theta+c\theta^2$ , then  $\sigma(z)=a+b\sigma(\theta)+c\sigma^2(\theta)$ . Since  $\sigma(\theta)^3=\sigma(\theta^3)=\sigma(2)=2$ , it follows that  $\sigma(\theta)=\theta$  and therefore  $\sigma=id$ .

**Exercise 1.23.** Prove that the polynomial  $X^3 - 2$  is irreducible in  $\mathbb{Q}[X]$ .

#### Lecture 2

If E/K is an extension and S is a subset of E, then there exists a unique smallest subextension F/K of E/K such that  $S \subseteq F$ . In fact,

$$F = \bigcap \{T : T \text{ is a subfield of } E \text{ that contains } K \cup S\}$$

If L/K is a subextension of E/K such that  $S \subseteq L$ , then  $F \subseteq L$  by definition. The extension F is known as the **subextension generated by** S and it will be denoted by K(S). If  $S = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$  is finite, then  $K(S) = K(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$  is said to be of **finite type**.

**Example 1.24.** If  $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$  is a basis of E over K, then  $E = K(e_1, \ldots, e_n)$ .

**Example 1.25.** The field  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$  is precisely the extension of  $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q}$  generated by  $\sqrt{2}$ .

Let E/K be an extension and S and T be subsets of E. Then

$$K(S \cup T) = K(S)(T) = K(T)(S).$$

If, moreover,  $S \subseteq T$ , then  $K(S) \subseteq K(T)$ .

#### **§2.** Algebraic extensions

**Definition 2.1.** Let E/K be an extension. An element  $x \in E$  is **algebraic** over K if there exists a non-zero polynomial  $f(X) \in K[X]$  such that f(x) = 0. If x is not algebraic over K, then it is called **trascendent** over K.

If E/K is an extension, then

$$\overline{K}_E = \{x \in E : x \text{ is algebraic over } K\}$$

is the **algebraic closure** of K in E.

**Definition 2.2.** An extension E/K is algebraic if every  $x \in E$  is algebraic over K.

If *K* is a field, every  $x \in K$  is algebraic over *K*, as *x* is a root of  $X - x \in K[X]$ . In particular, K/K is an algebraic extension.

**Example 2.3.**  $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}$  is an algebraic extension. If  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ , then z is a root of the polynomial  $X^2 + (z + \overline{z})X + |z|^2 \in \mathbb{R}[X]$ .

If F/K is an algebraic extension and  $x \in E$  is algebraic over K, then x is algebraic over E.

**Example 2.4.**  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})/\mathbb{Q}$  is algebraic, as the number  $a+b\sqrt{2}$  is a root of the polynomial  $X^2-2aX+(a^2-2b^2)\in\mathbb{Q}[X]$ .

The extension  $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}$  is not algebraic.

If E/K is an extension and  $x \in E$  is algebraic over K, then the evaluation homomorphism  $K[X] \to E$ ,  $f \mapsto f(x)$ , is not injective. In particular, its kernel is a non-zero ideal and hence it is generated by a monic polynomial f.

**Definition 2.5.** Let E/K be an extension and  $x \in E$  be an algebraic element. The monic polynomial that generates the kernel of  $K[X] \to E$ ,  $f \mapsto f(x)$ , is known as the **minimal polynomial** of x over K and it will be denoted by f(x, K). The **degree** of x over K is then deg f(x, K).

Some basic properties of the minimal polynomial of an algebraic element:

**Proposition 2.6.** Let E/K be an extension and  $x \in E$ .

- 1) If  $g \in K[X]$  is such that g(x) = 0, then f(x, K) divides g.
- 2) If g(x) = 0 and  $g \neq 0$ , then  $\deg g \geq \operatorname{gr} f(x, K)$ .
- 3) f(x,K) is irreducible in K[X].
- **4)** If g(x) = 0 and g(X) is monic and irreducible, then g = f(x, K).
- 5) If F/K is a subextension of E/K, then f(x,F) divides f(x,K).

*Proof.* Write f = f(x, K) to denote the minimal polynomial of x. To prove 1) note that g(x) = 0 implies that g belongs to the kernel of the evaluation map, so g is a multiple of f. Now 2) follows from 1). To prove 3) note that if f = gh for some  $g, h \in K[X]$  such that  $0 < \deg g, \deg h < \deg f$ , then f(x) = 0 implies that either g(x) = 0 or h(x) = 0, a contradiction. 4) is trivial. Finally we prove 5). Since  $f \in K[X] \subseteq F[X]$  and f(x) = 0, it follows from 3) that f(x, F) divides f.

Some easy examples:  $f(i,\mathbb{R}) = X^2 + 1$  and  $f(\sqrt[3]{2},\mathbb{Q}) = X^3 - 2$ .

**Example 2.7.** Let us compute  $f(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}, \mathbb{Q})$ . Let  $\alpha = \sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}$ . Then

$$\alpha - \sqrt{2} = \sqrt{3} \implies (\alpha - \sqrt{2})^2 = 3 \implies \alpha^2 - 2\sqrt{2}\alpha + 2 = 3$$
$$\implies \alpha^2 - 1 = 2\sqrt{2}\alpha \implies (\alpha^2 - 1)^2 = 8\alpha^2 \implies \alpha^4 - 10\alpha^2 + 1 = 0.$$

Thus  $\alpha$  is a root of  $g = X^4 - 10X^2 + 1$ . To prove that  $g = f(\alpha, \mathbb{Q})$  it is enough to prove that g is irreducible in  $\mathbb{Q}[X]$ . First note that the roots of g are  $\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}$ ,  $\sqrt{2} - \sqrt{3}$ ,  $-\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}$  and  $-\sqrt{2} - \sqrt{3}$ . This means that if g is not irreducible, then  $g = hh_1$  for some polynomials  $h, h_1 \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$  such that  $\deg h = \deg h_1 = 2$ . This is not possible, as  $(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}) + (\sqrt{2} - \sqrt{3}) = 2\sqrt{2} \notin \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}) + (-\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}) = 2\sqrt{3} \notin \mathbb{Q}$  and  $(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3})(-\sqrt{2} - \sqrt{3}) = -5 - 2\sqrt{6} \notin \mathbb{Q}$ .

**Proposition 2.8.** Let F/K be a subextension and E/K. Then

$$[E:K] = [E:F][F:K].$$

*Proof.* Let  $\{e_i: i \in I\}$  be a basis of E over K and  $\{f_j: j \in J\}$  be a basis of F over K. If  $x \in E$ , then  $x = \sum_i \lambda_i e_i$  (finite sum) for some  $\lambda_i \in F$ . For each  $i, \lambda_i = \sum_j a_{ij} f_j$  (finite sum) for some  $a_{ij} \in K$ . Then  $x = \sum_i \sum_j a_{ij} (f_j e_i)$ . This means that  $\{f_j e_i: i \in I, j \in J\}$  generates E as a K-vector space. Let us prove that  $\{f_j e_i: i \in I, j \in J\}$  is linearly independent. If  $\sum_i \sum_j a_{ij} (f_j e_i) = 0$  (finite sum) for some  $a_{ij} \in K$ , then

$$0 = \sum_{i} \left( \sum_{j} a_{ij} f_{j} \right) e_{i} \implies \sum_{j} a_{ij} f_{j} = 0 \text{ for all } i \in I$$

$$\implies a_{ij} = 0 \text{ for all } i \in I \text{ and } j \in J.$$

We state a lemma:

**Lemma 2.9.** If A is a finite-dimensional commutative algebra over K and A is an integral domain, then A is a field.

*Proof.* Let  $a \in A \setminus \{0\}$ . We need to prove that there exists  $b \in A$  such that ab = 1. Let  $\theta \colon A \to A$ ,  $x \mapsto ax$ . Clearly  $\theta$  is an algebra homomorphism. It is injective, since A is an integral domain. Since  $\dim_K A < \infty$ , it follows that  $\theta$  is an isomorphism. In particular,  $\theta(A) = A$ , which means that there exists  $b \in A$  such that 1 = ab.

**Theorem 2.10.** Let E/K be an extension and  $x \in E \setminus K$ . The following statements are equivalent:

- 1) x is algebraic over K.
- 2)  $\dim_K K[x] < \infty$ .
- 3) K[x] is a field.
- **4)** K[x] = K(x).

*Proof.* We first prove  $1) \Longrightarrow 2$ ). Let  $z \in K[x]$ , say z = h(x) for some  $h \in K[X]$ . There exists  $g \in K[X]$  such that  $g \neq 0$  and g(x) = 0. Divide h by g to obtain polynomials  $q, r \in K[X]$  such that h = gq + r, where r = 0 or  $\deg r < \deg g$ . This implies that

$$z = h(x) = g(x)q(x) + r(x) = r(x).$$

If deg g = m, then  $r = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} a_i X^i$  for some  $a_0, \dots, a_{m-1} \in K$ . Thus  $z = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} a_i x^i$ , so  $K[x] \subseteq \langle 1, x, \dots, x^{m-1} \rangle$ .

The previous lemma proves that  $2) \implies 3$ .

It is trivial that  $3) \implies 4$ .

It remains to prove that 4)  $\Longrightarrow$  1). Let us prove that  $K(x) \subseteq K[x]$ . Since  $x \ne 0$ ,  $1/x \in K[x]$ . There exists  $a_0, \dots, a_n \in K$  such that  $1/x = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_nx^n$ . Thus

$$a_n x^{n+1} + \dots + a_1 x^2 + a_0 x - 1 \neq 0$$

and x is a root of  $a_n X^{n+1} + \cdots + a_0 X - 1 \in K[X]$ .

Note that if x is algebraic over K, then  $K[x] \simeq K[X]/(f(x,K))$ .

**Corollary 2.11.** *If* E/K *is finite, then* E/K *is algebraic.* 

*Proof.* Let n = [E : K] and  $x \in E$ . The set  $\{1, x, ..., x^n\}$  is linearly dependent, so there exist  $a_0, ..., a_n \in K$  not all zero such that  $a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_nx^n = 0$ . Thus x is a root of the non-zero polynomial  $a_0 + a_1X + \cdots + a_nX^n \in K[X]$ .

We note that the converse of the previous corollary does not hold.

**Corollary 2.12.** If E/K is an extension and  $x_1, ..., x_n \in E$  are algebraic over K, then  $K(x_1, ..., x_n)/K$  is finite and  $K(x_1, ..., x_m) = K[x_1, ..., x_n]$ .

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on n. The case n = 1 follows immediately from the theorem. So assume the result holds for some  $n \ge 1$ . Since the extensions  $K(x_1, ..., x_n)/K(x_1, ..., x_{n-1})$  and  $K(x_1, ..., x_{n-1})/K$  are both finite, it follows that  $K(x_1, ..., x_n)/K$  is finite. Moreover,

$$K(x_1,...,x_n) = K(x_1,...,x_{n-1})(x_n)$$
  
=  $K(x_1,...,x_{n-1})[x_n] = K[x_1,...,x_{n-1}][x_n] = K[x_1,...,x_n]. \square$ 

**Corollary 2.13.** Let E = K(S). Then E/K is algebraic if and only if x is algebraic over K for all  $x \in S$ .

*Proof.* Let us prove the non-trivial implication. Let  $z \in K(S)$ . In particular, there exists a finite subset  $T \subseteq S$  such that  $z \in K(T)$ . The previous corollary implies that K(T)/K is algebraic and hence z is algebraic.

**Corollary 2.14.** If E/K is an extension, then  $\overline{K}_E$  is a subfield of E that contains K. Moreover,  $K(\overline{K}_E)/K$  is algebraic.

*Proof.* By definition,  $K(\overline{K}_E)/K$  is algebraic. Thus  $K(\overline{K}_E) \subseteq \overline{K}_E$ . From this it follows that  $K(\overline{K}_E) = \overline{K}_E$ .

The following exercise is now almost trivial:

**Exercise 2.15.** Let E/K be an extension. Prove that E/K is algebraic if and only if E/K is finite of finite type.

Algebraic field extensions form a nice class of extensions. The same happens with finite field extensions.

**Proposition 2.16.** Let F/K is a subextension of E/K. Then E/K is algebraic if and only if E/F and F/K are algebraic.

*Proof.* We know that if E/K is algebraic, then E/F and F/K are both algebraic. Let us assume that E/F and F/K are both algebraic. Let  $x \in E$  and let L be the subextension over K generated by the coefficients of f(x, F), the minimal polynomial of x over F. Then L/K is finite, since it is generated by finitely many algebraic elements. Moreover, x is algebraic over L. Since

$$[L(x):K] = [L(x):L][L:K] < \infty,$$

L(x)/K is algebraic. In particular, x is algebraic over K.

**Exercise 2.17.** Let F/K is a subextension of E/K. Prove that E/K is finite if and only if E/F and F/K are finite.

**Exercise 2.18.** Let E/K and F/K be extensions, where both E and F are subfields of a field L. If F/K is algebraic, then EF/E is algebraic.

**Exercise 2.19.** Let E/K and F/K be extensions, where both E and F are subfields of a field E. If E/K is finite, then EE/E is finite.

The solution to the previous exercise shows, in particular, that  $[EF:E] \leq [F:K]$ .

**Lemma 2.20.** Let  $\sigma: K \to L$  be a field homomorphism. Then there exists an extension E/K and a field isomorphism  $\varphi: E \to L$  such that  $\varphi|_K = \sigma$ .

*Proof.* Let *A* be a set in bijection with  $L \setminus \sigma(K)$  and disjoint with *K*. Let  $E = K \cup A$ . If  $\theta \colon A \to L \setminus \sigma(K)$  is bijective, then let

$$\varphi \colon E \to L, \quad \varphi(x) = \begin{cases} \sigma(x) & \text{if } x \in K, \\ \theta(x) & \text{if } x \in A. \end{cases}$$

Then  $\varphi$  is a bijective map such that  $\varphi|_K = \sigma$ . Transport the operations of L onto E, that is to define binary operations on E as follows:

$$(x, y) \mapsto x \oplus y = \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(x) + \varphi(y)), \qquad (x, y) \mapsto x \odot y = \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(x)\varphi(y)).$$

Then, for example,

$$x \oplus y = \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(x) + \varphi(y)) = \varphi^{-1}(\sigma(x) + \sigma(y)) = \varphi^{-1}(\sigma(x+y)) = \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(x+y)) = x+y$$
 for all  $x, y \in K$ .

If  $\sigma: A \to B$  is a ring homomorphism, then  $\sigma$  induces a ring homomorphism  $\overline{\sigma}: A[X] \to B[X], \sum_i a_i X^i \mapsto \sum_i \sigma(a_i) X^i$ .

**Theorem 2.21.** Let K be a field and  $f \in K[X]$  be such that  $\deg f > 0$ . Then there exists an extension E/K such that f admits a root in E.

*Proof.* We may assume that f is irreducible over K. Let L = K[X]/(f) and  $\pi: K[X] \to L$  be the canonical map. Then L is a field. The field homomorphism  $\sigma: K \to L, a \mapsto \pi(aX^0)$ . Let  $g = \overline{\sigma}(f) \in L[X]$ .

We claim that  $\pi(X)$  is a root of g in L. Suppose that  $f = \sum_i a_i X^i$ . Then

$$\begin{split} g(\pi(X)) &= \overline{\sigma}(f)(\pi(X)) \\ &= \sum_i \sigma(a_i) \pi(X)^i = \sum_i \pi(a_i X^0) \pi(X^i) = \pi(\sum_i a_i X^i) = \pi(f) = 0. \end{split}$$

The previous lemma states that there exists an extension E/K and an isomorphism  $\varphi \colon E \to L$  such that  $\varphi|_K = \sigma$ . If  $u = \pi(X)$ , then  $\varphi^{-1}(u)$  is a root of f in E, as

$$\varphi(f(\varphi^{-1}(u))) = \varphi\left(\sum_{i} a_{i} \varphi^{-1}(u)^{i}\right) = \varphi\left(\sum_{i} a_{i} \varphi^{-1}(u^{i})\right)$$
$$= \sum_{i} \varphi(a_{i}) u^{i} = \sum_{i} \sigma(a_{i}) u^{i} = g(u) = 0.$$

As a corollary, if K is a field and  $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in K[X]$  are polynomials of positive degree, then there exists an extension E/K such that each  $f_i$  admits a root in E. This is proved by induction on n.

**Definition 2.22.** A field K is **algebraically closed** if each  $f \in K[X]$  of positive degree admits a root in K.

The fundamental theorem of algebra states that  $\mathbb{C}$  is algebraically closed. A typical proof uses complex analysis. Later we will give a proof of this result using Galois theory.

**Proposition 2.23.** *The following statements are equivalent:* 

- 1) K is algebraically closed.
- 2) If  $f \in K[X]$  is irreducible, then  $\deg f = 1$ .
- 3) If  $f \in K[X]$  is non-zero, then f decomposes linearly in K[X], that is

$$f = a \prod_{i=1}^{n} (X - \alpha_i)^{m_i}$$

for some  $a \in K$  and  $\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n \in K$ . 4) If E/K is algebraic, then E = K.

*Proof.* 1)  $\Longrightarrow$  2  $\Longrightarrow$  3) are exercises.

Let us prove that 3)  $\Longrightarrow$  4). Let  $x \in E$ . Decompose f(x, K) linearly in K[X] as  $f(x, K) = a \prod_{i=1}^{n} (X - \alpha_i)$  and evaluate on x to obtain that  $x = \alpha_j$  for some j.

To prove that  $4) \implies 1$  let  $f \in K[X]$  be such that  $\deg f > 0$ . There exists an extension E/K such that f has a root x in E. The extension K(x)/K is algebraic and hence K(x) = K, so  $x \in K$ .

#### §3. Artin's theorem

**Definition 3.1.** The **algebraic closure** of a field K is an algebraic extension C/K such that C is algebraically closed.

For example,  $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}$  is an algebraic closure but  $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}$  it is not.

**Proposition 3.2.** Let C be algebraically closed and  $\sigma: K \to C$  be a field homomorphism. If E/K is algebraic, then there exists a field homomorphism  $\varphi: E \to C$  such that  $\varphi|_K = \sigma$ .

*Proof.* Suppose first that E = K(x) and let f = f(x, K). Let  $\overline{\sigma}(f) \in C[X]$  and let  $y \in C$  be a root of  $\overline{\sigma}(f)$ . If  $z \in E$ , then z = g(x) for some  $g \in K[X]$ . Let  $\varphi \colon E \to C$ ,  $z \mapsto \overline{\sigma}(g)(y)$ .

The map  $\varphi$  is well-defined. If z = h(x) for some  $h \in K[X]$ , then

$$0 = g(x) - h(x) = (g - h)(x)$$

and thus f divides g - h. In particular,  $\overline{\sigma}(f)$  divides  $\overline{\sigma}(g - h) = \overline{\sigma}(g) - \overline{\sigma}(h)$  and hence  $(\overline{\sigma}(g) - \overline{\sigma}(h))(y) = 0$ .

It is an exercise to show that the map  $\varphi$  is a ring homomorphism.

Let  $a \in K$ . Since  $a = (aX^0)(x)$ , it follows that  $\varphi|_K = \sigma$ , as

$$\varphi(a) = \overline{\sigma}(aX^0)(y) = (\sigma(a)X^0)(y) = \sigma(a)$$

and  $\varphi(x) = \overline{\varphi}(X)(y) = y$ .

Let us now prove the proposition in full generality. Let X be the set of pairs  $(F, \tau)$ , where F is a subfield of E that contains K and  $\tau \colon F \to C$  is a field homomorphism such that  $\tau|_K = \sigma$ . Note that  $(K, \sigma) \in X$ , so X is non-empty. Moreover, X is partially ordered by

$$(F,\tau) \leq (F_1,\tau_1) \iff F \subseteq F_1 \text{ and } \tau_1|_F = \tau.$$

If  $\{(F_i, \tau_i) : i \in I\}$  is a chain in X, then  $F = \bigcup_{i \in I} F_i$  is a subfield of E that contains K. Moreover, if  $z \in F$ , then  $z \in F_i$  for some  $i \in I$  and then one defines  $\tau(z) = \tau_i(z)$ . It is an exercise to prove that  $\tau$  is well-defined. Since  $(F, \tau) \in X$  is an upper bound, Zorn's lemma implies that there exists a maximal element  $(E_1, \theta) \in X$ . We claim that  $E = E_1$ . If not, let  $z \in E \setminus E_1$ . Since we know the proposition is true for the extension  $E_1(z)/K$ , let  $\rho: E_1(z) \to C$  be a field homomorphism such that  $\rho|_{E_1} = \sigma$ . Then, in particular,  $\rho|_K = \sigma$ . This implies that  $(E_1(z), \rho) \in X$  and hence  $(E_1, \theta) < (E_1(z), \rho)$ , a contradiction to the maximality of  $(E_1, \theta)$ .

The previous proposition will be used to prove that the algebraic closure always exists.

**Theorem 3.3 (Artin).** Let K be a field. Then K admits an algebraic closure C/K. If  $C_1/K$  is an algebraic closure, then the extensions C/K and  $C_1/K$  are isomorphic.

*Proof.* Let us first prove the uniqueness. The previous proposition implies the existence of an extensions homomorphism  $\varphi \colon C \to C_1$ . Let  $y \in C_1$  and f = f(y, K) be the minimal polynomial of y in K. Since f admits a factorization

$$f = \lambda \prod (X - \alpha_i)^{m_i}$$

in C[X], it follows that

$$f = \overline{\varphi}(f) = \prod (X - \varphi(\alpha_i))^{m_i}$$

Since 0 = f(y), we conclude that  $y = \varphi(\alpha_j)$  for some j. In particular,  $\varphi$  is surjective and hence  $\varphi$  is bijective.

We now prove the existence. Let us assume that K admits an extension E/K with E algebraically closed. Let  $F = \dots$  Then F/K is algebraic. Let  $g \in F[X]$  be such that deg g > 0. Since E is algebraically closed, g admits a root  $\alpha$  in E. In particular,  $\alpha$  is algebraic over F and hence  $\alpha$  is algebraic over F. This implies that  $\alpha \in F$ , thus F is algebraically closed. This proves that F/K is an algebraic closure.

Let us prove that there exists an extension  $E_1/K$  such that every polynomial  $f \in K[X]$  with deg f > 0 has a root in  $E_1$ . Let  $\{f_i : i \in I\}$  be the family of monic irreducible polynomials with coefficients in K. We may think that  $f_i = f_i(X_i)$ . Let  $R = K[\{X_i : i \in I\}]$  and let J be the ideal of R generated by the  $f_i(X_i)$ . We claim that  $J \neq R$ . If not,  $1 \in J$ , so

$$1 = \sum_{i=1}^{m} g_{j} f_{i_{j}}(X_{j})$$

for some  $g_1, ..., g_m \in R$ . There exists an extension F/K such that  $f_{i_j}$  has a root  $\alpha_j$  in F for all j. Let

$$\sigma \colon R \to F, \quad \sigma(X_k) = \begin{cases} \alpha_j & \text{if } k = i_j, \\ 0 & \text{if } k \notin \{i_1, \dots, i_m\}. \end{cases}$$

Then  $1 = \sigma(1) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sigma(g_j) f_{i_j}(\alpha_j)$ , a contradiction.

Since J is a proper ideal, it is contained in a maximal ideal M. Let L = R/M and let  $\sigma \colon K \to L$  be given by... Then  $\pi(X_i)$  is a root of  $\overline{\sigma}(f_i)$  for all i and there exists an extension  $E_1/K$  such that every  $f_i$  has a root in  $E_1$ . Proceeding in this way, we construct a sequence

$$E_1 \subseteq E_2 \subseteq \cdots$$

of fields such that every polynomial of positive degree and coefficients in  $E_k$  admits a root in  $E_{k+1}$ . Let  $E = \bigcup E_k$ . We claim that E is algebraically closed. In fact, let  $g \in E[X]$  be such that deg g > 0. Then, since  $g \in E_r[X]$  for some r, it follows that g has a root in  $E_{r+1} \subseteq E$ .

#### §4. Decomposition fields

**Definition 4.1.** Let K be a field and  $f \in K[X]$  be such that  $\deg f > 0$ . A **decomposition field** of f over K is field E that contains K and that satisfies the following properties:

- 1) f factorizes linearly in E[X].
- 2) if F is a field such that  $K \subseteq F \subseteq E$  and f factorizes linearly in F[X], then F = E.

Easy examples:

**Example 4.2.**  $\mathbb{C}$  is a decomposition field of  $X^2 + 1 \in \mathbb{R}[X]$ .

**Example 4.3.**  $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}]$  is a decomposition field of  $X^2 - 2 \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ .

**Example 4.4.**  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})$  is not a decomposition field of  $X^3 - 2 \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ . However, if  $\omega$  ia a primitive cubic root of one, then  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2}, \omega)$  is a decomposition field of  $X^3 - 2 \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ .

**Proposition 4.5.** E is a decomposition field of  $f \in K[X]$  if and only if f factorizes linearly in E[X] and  $E = K(x_1, ..., x_n)$  where  $x_1, ..., x_n$  are roots of f.

Proof.

## References

1. J. Rotman. Galois theory. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1998.

## Index

Artin's theorem, 11

Subfield, 2