Leandro Vendramin

Galois theory

Notes

Wednesday 2nd March, 2022

Preface

The notes correspond to the bachelor course Galois theory of the Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Faculty of Sciences, Department of Mathematics and Data Sciences. The course is divided into thirteen two-hours lectures.

The material is somewhat standard. Basic texts on fields and Galois theory are for example [1]...

As usual, we also mention a set of great expository papers by Keith Conrad available at https://kconrad.math.uconn.edu/blurbs/. The notes are extremely well-written and are useful at at every stage of a mathematical career. This version was compiled on Wednesday $2^{\rm nd}$ March, 2022 at 16:20.

Leandro Vendramin Brussels, Belgium

Contents

1	• •	•		•	 	•	•	 	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	 •	•	•	•	 •	•	•	•	•	•	 •	•	•	•	 •	•	•	•	•	 •	•	•	•	٠.	1
2					 			 					•												 					•															5
3					 			 					•												 					•															11
4		•			 			 												•	 				 									•	 										15
5					 			 					•								 				 					•					 										19
6																																													
7																																													
8					 			 												•	 				 									•	 										31
Some																																													
Refer	en	c	es		 			 					•								 •									•					 •					 •					35
Index					 			 																	 																				37

List of topics

81	rields	1
§ 2	Algebraic extensions	5
§3	Artin's theorem	13
§4	Decomposition fields	16
§5	Normal extensions	19
§7	Separable extensions	24
§8	Galois extensions	27
§9	Galois' correspondence	29
810	The fundamental theorem of algebra	31

§1. Fields

Recall that a **field** is a commutative ring such that $1 \neq 0$ and that every non-zero element is invertible. Examples of (infinite) fields are \mathbb{Q} , \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{C} . If p is a prime number, then \mathbb{Z}/p is a field.

Example 1.1. The abelian group $\mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2$ is a field with multiplication

$$(a,b)(c,d) = (ac+bd,ad+bc+bd).$$

Example 1.2. $\mathbb{Q}(i) = \{a + bi : a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\}$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ are fields.

xca:Q(i)

Exercise 1.3. Prove that $\mathbb{Q}(i)$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ are not isomorphic as fields.

If R is a ring, there exists a unique ring homomorphism $\mathbb{Z} \to R$, $m \mapsto m1$. The image $\{m1 : m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ of this homomorphism is a subring of R and it is known as the **ring of integers** of R. The kernel is a subgroup of \mathbb{Z} and hence it is generated by some $t \geq 0$. The integer t is the **characteristic** of the ring R.

Exercise 1.4. The characteristic of a field is either zero or a prime number.

Recall that a commutative ring R is an **integral domain** if $xy = 0 \implies x = 0$ or y = 0. Fields are integral domains.

Exercise 1.5. Let *K* be a field. Prove that the following statements are equivalent:

- 1) *K* is of characteristic zero.
- **2**) The additive order of 1 is infinite.
- 3) The additive order of each $x \neq 0$ is infinite.
- **4)** The ring of integers of K is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z} .

Exercise 1.6. Let K be a field. Prove that the following statements are equivalent:

1) K is of characteristic p.

- 2) The additive order of 1 is p.
- 3) The additive order of each $x \neq 0$ is p.
- **4)** The ring of integers of *K* is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}/p .

Definition 1.7. A **subfield** of a ring *R* is a subring of *R* that is also a field.

Note that if K is a subfield of E, then the characteristic of K coincides with the chacteristic of E. Moreover, if $K \to L$ is a field homomorphis, then K and L have the same characteristic.

Exercise 1.8. Let K be a field of characteristic p. Prove that $K \to K$, $x \mapsto x^{p^n}$, is a field homomorphism for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Note that finite fields are of characteristic p.

Let *K* be a subfield of a field *E*. Then *E* is a *K*-vector space with the usual scalar multiplication $K \times E \to E$, $(\lambda, x) \mapsto \lambda x$.

Definition 1.9. A field *K* is **prime** if there are no proper subfields of *K*.

Examples of prime fields are \mathbb{Q} and \mathbb{Z}/p for p a prime number.

Proposition 1.10. *Let K be a field. The following statements hold:*

- 1) K contains a unique prime field, it is known as the **prime subfield** of K.
- 2) The prime subfield of K is either isomorphic to \mathbb{Q} if the characteristic of K is zero, or it is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}/p for some prime number p if the characteristic of K is p.

Proof. To prove the first claim let L be the intersection of all the subfields of K. Then L is a subfield of K. If F is a subfield of L, then E is a subfield of E. Thus $E \subseteq F$ and hence E = L, which proves that $E \subseteq L$ is prime. If $E \subseteq L$ is a subfield of $E \subseteq L$ and hence $E \subseteq L$.

Let K_0 be the prime field of K. Suppose that K is of characteristic p > 0. Then the ring $K_{\mathbb{Z}}$ of integers of K is a field isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}/p and hence $K_0 \simeq K_{\mathbb{Z}}$. Suppose now that the characteristic of K is zero. Let $E = \{m1/n1 : m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \neq 0\}$. We claim that $K_0 = E$. Since $K_{\mathbb{Z}} \subseteq K_0$, it follows that $E \subseteq K_0$. Hence $E = K_0$, as E is a subfield of K.

Definition 1.11. Let E be a field and K be a subfield of E. Then E is an **extension** of K. We will use the notation E/K.

If E is an extension of K, then E is a K-vector space.

Definition 1.12. The degree of an extension E of K is the integer $\dim_K E$. It will be denoted by [E:K].

We say that E is a finite extension of K if [E:K] is finite.

Example 1.13. Let K be a field. Then [K : K] = 1. Conversely, if E is an extension of K and [E : K] = 1, then K = E. If not, let $x \in E \setminus K$. We claim that $\{1, x\}$ is linearly independent over K. Indeed, if a1 + bx = 0 for some $a, b \in K$, then bx = -a. If $b \ne 0$, then $x = -a/b \in K$, a contradiction. If b = 0, then a = 0.

We know that $[\mathbb{C} : \mathbb{R}] = 2$.

Example 1.14. A basis of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ over \mathbb{Q} is given by $\{1, \sqrt{2}\}$. Then $[\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}) : \mathbb{Q}] = 2$.

Example 1.15. Since \mathbb{Q} is numerable and \mathbb{R} is not, $[\mathbb{R} : \mathbb{Q}] > \aleph_0$. If $\{x_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}\}$ is a numerable basis of \mathbb{R} over \mathbb{Q} , for each n consider the \mathbb{Q} -vector space V_n generated by $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$. Then

$$\mathbb{R}=\bigcup_{n\geq 1}V_n,$$

is numerable, as each V_n is numerable, a contradiction.

If E is an extension of K and E is finite, then [E:K] is finite.

Proposition 1.16. Let K be a finite field. Then $|K| = p^m$ for some prime number p and some $m \ge 1$.

Proof. We know that the prime subfield of K is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}/p . In particular, $|K_0| = p$. Since K is finite, $[K:K_0] = m$ for some m. If $\{x_1, \ldots, x_m\}$ is a basis of K over K_0 , then each element of K can be written uniquely as $\sum_{i=1}^m a_i x_i$ for some $a_1, \ldots, a_m \in K_0$. Then $K \simeq K_0^m$ and hence $|K| = |K_0^m| = p^m$.

Definition 1.17. Let *E* be an extension of *K*. A **subextension** *F* of *K* is a subfield *F* of *E* that contains *K*, that is $K \subseteq F \subseteq E$.

Definition 1.18. Let E and E_1 be extensions over K. An extension **homomorphism** $E \to E_1$ is a field homomorphism $\sigma \colon E \to E_1$ such that $\sigma(x) = x$ for all $x \in K$.

To describe the homomorphism $\sigma: E \to E_1$ of the extensions over K one typically writes the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
K & \longrightarrow & K \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
E & \stackrel{\sigma}{\longrightarrow} & E_1
\end{array}$$

We write $\operatorname{Hom}(E/K, E_1/K)$ to denote the set of homomorphism $E \to E_1$ of extensions of K. Note that if $\sigma \in \operatorname{Hom}(E/K, E_1/K)$, then σ is a K-linear map, as

$$\sigma(\lambda x) = \sigma(\lambda)\sigma(x) = \lambda\sigma(x)$$

for all $\lambda \in K$ and $x \in E$.

Example 1.19. The conjugation map $\mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$, $z \mapsto \overline{z}$, is an endomorphism of \mathbb{C} as an extension over \mathbb{R} . Let $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R})$. Then

$$\varphi(x+iy) = \varphi(x) + \varphi(i)\varphi(y) = x + \varphi(i)y$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $\varphi(i)^2 = \varphi(i^2) = \varphi(-1) = -1$, it follows that $\varphi(i) \in \{-i, i\}$. Thus either $\varphi(x+iy) = x+iy$ or $\varphi(x+iy) = x-iy$.

Exercise 1.20. Prove that if K is a field and $\sigma: K \to K$ is a field homomorphism, then $\sigma \in \text{Hom}(K/K_0, K/K_0)$.

If E/K is an extension, then

$$Aut(E/K) = {\sigma : \sigma : E \rightarrow E \text{ is a bijective extension homomorphism}}$$

is a group with composition.

Definition 1.21. Let E/K be an extension. The **Galois group** of E/K is the group Aut(E/K) and it will be denoted by Gal(E/K).

A typicall example: $Gal(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2$.

Example 1.22. Let $\theta = \sqrt[3]{2}$ and let $E = \{a + b\theta + c\theta^2 : a, b, c \in \mathbb{Q}\}$. Note that

$$a + b\theta + c\theta^2 = 0 \iff a = b = c = 0.$$

Then E is an extension of \mathbb{Q} such that $[E:\mathbb{Q}]=3$. We claim that $Gal(E/\mathbb{Q})$ is trivial. If $\sigma \in Gal(E/\mathbb{Q})$ and $z=a+b\theta+c\theta^2$, then $\sigma(z)=a+b\sigma(\theta)+c\sigma^2(\theta)$. Since $\sigma(\theta)^3=\sigma(\theta^3)=\sigma(2)=2$, it follows that $\sigma(\theta)=\theta$ and therefore $\sigma=id$.

Exercise 1.23. Prove that the polynomial $X^3 - 2$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}[X]$.

If E/K is an extension and S is a subset of E, then there exists a unique smallest subextension F/K of E/K such that $S \subseteq F$. In fact,

$$F = \bigcap \{T : T \text{ is a subfield of } E \text{ that contains } K \cup S\}$$

If L/K is a subextension of E/K such that $S \subseteq L$, then $F \subseteq L$ by definition. The extension F is known as the **subextension generated by** S and it will be denoted by K(S). If $S = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ is finite, then $K(S) = K(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is said to be of **finite type**.

Example 1.24. If $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is a basis of E over K, then $E = K(e_1, \dots, e_n)$.

Example 1.25. The field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ is precisely the extension of \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q} generated by $\sqrt{2}$.

Let E/K be an extension and S and T be subsets of E. Then

$$K(S \cup T) = K(S)(T) = K(T)(S).$$

If, moreover, $S \subseteq T$, then $K(S) \subseteq K(T)$.

§2. Algebraic extensions

Definition 2.1. Let E/K be an extension. An element $x \in E$ is **algebraic** over K if there exists a non-zero polynomial $f(X) \in K[X]$ such that f(x) = 0. If x is not algebraic over K, then it is called **trascendent** over K.

If E/K is an extension, let

$$\overline{K}_E = \{x \in E : x \text{ is algebraic over } K\}.$$

Definition 2.2. An extension E/K is **algebraic** if every $x \in E$ is algebraic over K.

If *K* is a field, every $x \in K$ is algebraic over *K*, as *x* is a root of $X - x \in K[X]$. In particular, K/K is an algebraic extension.

Example 2.3. \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R} is an algebraic extension. If $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$, then z is a root of the polynomial $X^2 + (z + \overline{z})X + |z|^2 \in \mathbb{R}[X]$.

If F/K is an algebraic extension and $x \in E$ is algebraic over K, then x is algebraic over E.

Example 2.4. $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})/\mathbb{Q}$ is algebraic, as the number $a+b\sqrt{2}$ is a root of the polynomial $X^2-2aX+(a^2-2b^2)\in\mathbb{Q}[X]$.

The extension \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q} is not algebraic.

If E/K is an extension and $x \in E$ is algebraic over K, then the evaluation homomorphism $K[X] \to E$, $f \mapsto f(x)$, is not injective. In particular, its kernel is a non-zero ideal and hence it is generated by a monic polynomial f.

Definition 2.5. Let E/K be an extension and $x \in E$ be an algebraic element. The monic polynomial that generates the kernel of $K[X] \to E$, $f \mapsto f(x)$, is known as the **minimal polynomial** of x over K and it will be denoted by f(x, K). The **degree** of x over K is then deg f(x, K).

Some basic properties of the minimal polynomial of an algebraic element:

Proposition 2.6. Let E/K be an extension and $x \in E$.

- 1) If $g \in K[X] \setminus \{0\}$ is such that g(x) = 0, then f(x,K) divides g. In particular, $\deg f(x,K) \leq \deg g$.
- 2) f(x,K) is irreducible in K[X].
- 3) If F/K is a subextension of E/K, then f(x,F) divides f(x,K).

Proof. Write f = f(x, K) to denote the minimal polynomial of x. To prove 1) note that g(x) = 0 implies that g belongs to the kernel of the evaluation map, so g is a multiple of f. To prove 2) note that if f = pq for some $p, q \in K[X]$ such that $0 < \deg p, \deg q < \deg f$, then f(x) = 0 implies that either p(x) = 0 or q(x) = 0, a contradiction. Finally we prove 3). Since $f \in K[X] \subseteq F[X]$ and f(x) = 0, it follows from 1) that f(x, F) divides f.

Some easy examples: $f(i,\mathbb{R}) = X^2 + 1$ and $f(\sqrt[3]{2},\mathbb{Q}) = X^3 - 2$.

Example 2.7. Let us compute $f(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}, \mathbb{Q})$. Let $\alpha = \sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}$. Then

$$\alpha - \sqrt{2} = \sqrt{3} \implies (\alpha - \sqrt{2})^2 = 3 \implies \alpha^2 - 2\sqrt{2}\alpha + 2 = 3$$
$$\implies \alpha^2 - 1 = 2\sqrt{2}\alpha \implies (\alpha^2 - 1)^2 = 8\alpha^2 \implies \alpha^4 - 10\alpha^2 + 1 = 0.$$

Thus α is a root of $g = X^4 - 10X^2 + 1$. To prove that $g = f(\alpha, \mathbb{Q})$ it is enough to prove that g is irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}[X]$. First note that the roots of g are $\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}$, $\sqrt{2} - \sqrt{3}$, $-\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}$ and $-\sqrt{2} - \sqrt{3}$. This means that if g is not irreducible, then $g = hh_1$ for some polynomials $h, h_1 \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ such that $\deg h = \deg h_1 = 2$. This is not possible, as $(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}) + (\sqrt{2} - \sqrt{3}) = 2\sqrt{2} \notin \mathbb{Q}$, $(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}) + (-\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}) = 2\sqrt{3} \notin \mathbb{Q}$ and $(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3})(-\sqrt{2} - \sqrt{3}) = -5 - 2\sqrt{6} \notin \mathbb{Q}$.

Proposition 2.8. Let F/K be a subextension and E/K. Then

$$[E:K] = [E:F][F:K].$$

Proof. Let $\{e_i: i \in I\}$ be a basis of E over F and $\{f_j: j \in J\}$ be a basis of F over K. If $x \in E$, then $x = \sum_i \lambda_i e_i$ (finite sum) for some $\lambda_i \in F$. For each $i, \lambda_i = \sum_j a_{ij} f_j$ (finite sum) for some $a_{ij} \in K$. Then $x = \sum_i \sum_j a_{ij} (f_j e_i)$. This means that $\{f_j e_i: i \in I, j \in J\}$ generates E as a K-vector space. Let us prove that $\{f_j e_i: i \in I, j \in J\}$ is linearly independent. If $\sum_i \sum_j a_{ij} (f_j e_i) = 0$ (finite sum) for some $a_{ij} \in K$, then

$$0 = \sum_{i} \left(\sum_{j} a_{ij} f_{j} \right) e_{i} \implies \sum_{j} a_{ij} f_{j} = 0 \text{ for all } i \in I$$

$$\implies a_{ij} = 0 \text{ for all } i \in I \text{ and } j \in J.$$

We state a lemma:

Lemma 2.9. If A is a finite-dimensional commutative algebra over K and A is an integral domain, then A is a field.

Proof. Let $a \in A \setminus \{0\}$. We need to prove that there exists $b \in A$ such that ab = 1. Let $\theta \colon A \to A$, $x \mapsto ax$. Clearly θ is an algebra homomorphism. It is injective, since A is an integral domain. Since $\dim_K A < \infty$, it follows that θ is an isomorphism. In particular, $\theta(A) = A$, which means that there exists $b \in A$ such that 1 = ab.

Let E/K be an extension and $x \in E \setminus K$. Then

$$K[x] = \{ y = f(x) : \text{ for some } f \in K[X] \}$$

is a subring of E that contains K. More generally, if $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in E$, then

$$K[x_1,...,x_n] = \{ f(x_1,...,x_n) : f \in K[X_1,...,X_n] \}$$

is a subring of E. Clearly, $K[x_1,...,x_n]$ is a domain and

$$K(x_1,...,x_n) = \left\{ \frac{f(x_1,...,x_n)}{g(x_1,...,x_n)} : f,g \in K[X_1,...,X_m] \text{ with } g(x_1,...,x_n) \neq 0 \right\}$$

is the extension of K generated by x_1, \ldots, x_n . Note that

$$K(x_1,...,x_n) = (K(x_1,...,x_{n-1})(x_n).$$

The previous construction can be generalized. Let I be a non-empty set. For each $i \in I$ let X_i be an indeterminate. Consider the polynomial ring $K[\{X_i : i \in I\}]$ and let $S = \{x_i : i \in I\}$ be a subset of E. There exists a unique algebras homomorphism $K[\{X_i : i \in I\}] \to E$ such that $X_i \mapsto x_i$ for all $i \in I$. The image is denoted by K[S].

Exercise 2.10. Prove that $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}] = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$.

Theorem 2.11. Let E/K be an extension and $x \in E \setminus K$. The following statements are equivalent:

- 1) x is algebraic over K.
- 2) $\dim_K K[x] < \infty$.
- 3) K[x] is a field.
- **4)** K[x] = K(x).

Proof. We first prove 1) \Longrightarrow 2). Let $z \in K[x]$, say z = h(x) for some $h \in K[X]$. There exists $g \in K[X]$ such that $g \neq 0$ and g(x) = 0. Divide h by g to obtain polynomials $q, r \in K[X]$ such that h = gq + r, where r = 0 or $\deg r < \deg g$. This implies that

$$z = h(x) = g(x)q(x) + r(x) = r(x).$$

If deg g = m, then $r = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} a_i X^i$ for some $a_0, \dots, a_{m-1} \in K$. Thus $z = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} a_i x^i$, so $K[x] \subseteq \langle 1, x, \dots, x^{m-1} \rangle$.

The previous lemma proves that $2) \implies 3$.

It is trivial that $3) \implies 4$.

It remains to prove that 4) \Longrightarrow 1). Since $x \ne 0$, $1/x \in K[x]$. There exists $a_0, \ldots, a_n \in K$ such that $1/x = a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_nx^n$. Thus

$$a_n x^{n+1} + \cdots + a_1 x^2 + a_0 x - 1 - 0$$

so *x* is a root of $a_n X^{n+1} + \dots + a_0 X - 1 \in K[X] \setminus \{0\}$.

Note that if x is algebraic over K, then $K[x] \simeq K[X]/(f(x,K))$.

Corollary 2.12. *If* E/K *is finite, then* E/K *is algebraic.*

Proof. Let n = [E : K] and $x \in E$. The set $\{1, x, ..., x^n\}$ is linearly dependent, so there exist $a_0, ..., a_n \in K$ not all zero such that $a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_nx^n = 0$. Thus x is a root of the non-zero polynomial $a_0 + a_1X + \cdots + a_nX^n \in K[X]$.

We note that the converse of the previous corollary does not hold.

Corollary 2.13. If E/K is an extension and $x_1, ..., x_n \in E$ are algebraic over K, then $K(x_1, ..., x_n)/K$ is finite and $K(x_1, ..., x_m) = K[x_1, ..., x_n]$.

Proof. We proceed by induction on n. The case n = 1 follows immediately from the theorem. So assume the result holds for some $n \ge 1$. Since the extensions $K(x_1, ..., x_n)/K(x_1, ..., x_{n-1})$ and $K(x_1, ..., x_{n-1})/K$ are both finite, it follows that $K(x_1, ..., x_n)/K$ is finite. Moreover,

$$K(x_1,...,x_n) = K(x_1,...,x_{n-1})(x_n)$$

= $K(x_1,...,x_{n-1})[x_n] = K[x_1,...,x_{n-1}][x_n] = K[x_1,...,x_n]. \square$

Corollary 2.14. Let E = K(S). Then E/K is algebraic if and only if x is algebraic over K for all $x \in S$.

§2 Algebraic extensions

Proof. Let us prove the non-trivial implication. Let $z \in K(S)$. In particular, there exists a finite subset $T \subseteq S$ such that $z \in K(T)$. The previous corollary implies that K(T)/K is algebraic and hence z is algebraic.

Corollary 2.15. If E/K is an extension, then \overline{K}_E is a subfield of E that contains K. Moreover, $K(\overline{K}_E)/K$ is algebraic.

Proof. By definition, $K(\overline{K}_E)/K$ is algebraic. Thus $K(\overline{K}_E) \subseteq \overline{K}_E$. From this it follows that $K(\overline{K}_E) = \overline{K}_E$.

The following exercise is now almost trivial:

Exercise 2.16. Let E/K be an extension of finite type. Prove that E/K is algebraic if and only if E/K is finite.

Let $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} = \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{C} : \underline{\alpha} \text{ is algebraic over } \mathbb{Q} \}$. Then $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ is the field of algebraic numbers. Can you compute $[\overline{\mathbb{Q}} : \mathbb{Q}]$?

Algebraic field extensions form a nice class of extensions. The same happens with finite field extensions.

Proposition 2.17. Let F/K is a subextension of E/K. Then E/K is algebraic if and only if E/F and F/K are algebraic.

Proof. We know that if E/K is algebraic, then E/F and F/K are both algebraic. Let us assume that E/F and F/K are both algebraic. Let $x \in E$ and let L be the subextension over K generated by the coefficients of f(x, F), the minimal polynomial of x over F. Then L/K is finite, since it is generated by finitely many algebraic elements. Moreover, x is algebraic over L. Since

$$[L(x):K] = [L(x):L][L:K] < \infty,$$

L(x)/K is algebraic. In particular, x is algebraic over K.

Exercise 2.18. Let F/K is a subextension of E/K. Prove that E/K is finite if and only if E/F and F/K are finite.

Let $F \subseteq E$ and $L \subseteq E$. The composite of F and L is defined as

$$FL = K(F \cup L) = F(L) = L(F)$$

and it is equal to the smallest field that contains F and L.

Exercise 2.19. If $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ and $L = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})$, then $FL = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3})$. Compute $[\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}) : \mathbb{Q}]$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}) \cap \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})$.

Exercise 2.20. Let $\xi \in \mathbb{C}$ be a primitive cubic root of one. If $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})$ and $L = \mathbb{Q}(\xi)$, then $FL = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2}, \xi)$. Compute $[\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2}, \xi) : \mathbb{Q}]$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2}) \cap \mathbb{Q}(\xi)$.

Exercise 2.21. Let E/K and F/K be extensions, where both E and F are subfields of a field E. If E/K is algebraic, then E/E is algebraic.

Exercise 2.22. Let E/K and F/K be extensions, where both E and F are subfields of a field E. If E/K is finite, then E/E is finite.

The solution to the previous exercise shows, in particular, that $[EF : E] \le [F : K]$.

Lemma 2.23. Let $\sigma: K \to L$ be a field homomorphism. Then there exists an extension E/K and a field isomorphism $\varphi: E \to L$ such that $\varphi|_K = \sigma$.

Proof. Let *A* be a set in bijection with $L \setminus \sigma(K)$ and disjoint with *K*. Let $E = K \cup A$. If $\theta: A \to L \setminus \sigma(K)$ is bijective, then let

$$\varphi \colon E \to L, \quad \varphi(x) = \begin{cases} \sigma(x) & \text{if } x \in K, \\ \theta(x) & \text{if } x \in A. \end{cases}$$

Then φ is a bijective map such that $\varphi|_K = \sigma$. Transport the operations of L onto E, that is to define binary operations on E as follows:

$$(x, y) \mapsto x \oplus y = \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(x) + \varphi(y)), \qquad (x, y) \mapsto x \odot y = \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(x)\varphi(y)).$$

Then, for example,

$$x \oplus y = \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(x) + \varphi(y)) = \varphi^{-1}(\sigma(x) + \sigma(y)) = \varphi^{-1}(\sigma(x+y)) = \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(x+y)) = x + y$$
 for all $x, y \in K$.

If $\sigma: A \to B$ is a ring homomorphism, then σ induces a ring homomorphism $\overline{\sigma}: A[X] \to B[X], \sum_i a_i X^i \mapsto \sum_i \sigma(a_i) X^i$.

Theorem 2.24. Let K be a field and $f \in K[X]$ be such that $\deg f > 0$. Then there exists an extension E/K such that f admits a root in E.

Proof. We may assume that f is irreducible over K. Let L = K[X]/(f) and $\pi: K[X] \to L$ be the canonical map. Then L is a field (the reader should explain why). The field homomorphism $\sigma: K \to L$, $a \mapsto \pi(aX^0)$. Let $g = \overline{\sigma}(f) \in L[X]$.

We claim that $\pi(X)$ is a root of g in L. Suppose that $f = \sum_i a_i X^i$. Then

$$\begin{split} g(\pi(X)) &= \overline{\sigma}(f)(\pi(X)) \\ &= \sum_i \sigma(a_i) \pi(X)^i = \sum_i \pi(a_i X^0) \pi(X^i) = \pi(\sum_i a_i X^i) = \pi(f) = 0. \end{split}$$

The previous lemma states that there exists an extension E/K and an isomorphism $\varphi \colon E \to L$ such that $\varphi|_K = \sigma$. Note that $\varphi(x) = 0$ if and only if x = 0. If $u = \pi(X)$, then $\varphi^{-1}(u)$ is a root of f in E, as

$$\varphi(f(\varphi^{-1}(u))) = \varphi\left(\sum_{i} a_{i} \varphi^{-1}(u)^{i}\right) = \varphi\left(\sum_{i} a_{i} \varphi^{-1}(u^{i})\right)$$
$$= \sum_{i} \varphi(a_{i}) u^{i} = \sum_{i} \sigma(a_{i}) u^{i} = g(u) = 0.$$

As a corollary, if K is a field and $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in K[X]$ are polynomials of positive degree, then there exists an extension E/K such that each f_i admits a root in E. This is proved by induction on n.

Definition 2.25. A field K is **algebraically closed** if each $f \in K[X]$ of positive degree admits a root in K.

The fundamental theorem of algebra states that \mathbb{C} is algebraically closed. A typical proof uses complex analysis. Later we will give a proof of this result using Galois theory.

Proposition 2.26. The following statements are equivalent:

- 1) K is algebraically closed.
- 2) If $f \in K[X]$ is irreducible, then $\deg f = 1$.
- 3) If $f \in K[X]$ is non-zero, then f decomposes linearly in K[X], that is

$$f = a \prod_{i=1}^{n} (X - \alpha_i)^{m_i}$$

for some $a \in K$ and $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in K$.

4) If E/K is algebraic, then E=K.

Proof. 1) \Longrightarrow 2 \Longrightarrow 3) are exercises.

Let us prove that 3) \Longrightarrow 4). Let $x \in E$. Decompose f(x, K) linearly in K[X] as $f(x, K) = a \prod_{i=1}^{n} (X - \alpha_i)^{m_i}$ and evaluate on x to obtain that $x = \alpha_j$ for some j.

To prove that $4) \implies 1$ let $f \in K[X]$ be such that $\deg f > 0$. There exists an extension E/K such that f has a root x in E. The extension K(x)/K is algebraic and hence K(x) = K, so $x \in K$.

§3. Artin's theorem

Definition 3.1. The **algebraic closure** of a field K is an algebraic extension C/K such that C is algebraically closed.

For example, \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R} is an algebraic closure but \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q} it is not.

pro:Artin

Proposition 3.2. Let C be algebraically closed and $\sigma: K \to C$ be a field homomorphism. If E/K is algebraic, then there exists a field homomorphism $\varphi: E \to C$ such that $\varphi|_K = \sigma$.

Proof. Suppose first that E = K(x) and let f = f(x, K). Let $\overline{\sigma}(f) \in C[X]$ and let $y \in C$ be a root of $\overline{\sigma}(f)$. If $z \in E$, then z = g(x) for some $g \in K[X]$. Let $\varphi \colon E \to C$, $z \mapsto \overline{\sigma}(g)(y)$.

The map φ is well-defined. If z = h(x) for some $h \in K[X]$, then

$$0 = g(x) - h(x) = (g - h)(x)$$

and thus f divides g - h. In particular, $\overline{\sigma}(f)$ divides $\overline{\sigma}(g - h) = \overline{\sigma}(g) - \overline{\sigma}(h)$ and hence $(\overline{\sigma}(g) - \overline{\sigma}(h))(y) = 0$.

It is an exercise to show that the map φ is a ring homomorphism.

Let $a \in K$. It follows that $\varphi|_K = \sigma$, as

$$\varphi(a) = \overline{\sigma}(aX^0)(y) = \sigma(a)$$

Let us now prove the proposition in full generality. Let X be the set of pairs (F, τ) , where F is a subfield of E that contains K and $\tau \colon F \to C$ is a field homomorphism such that $\tau|_K = \sigma$. Note that $(K, \sigma) \in X$, so X is non-empty. Moreover, X is partially ordered by

$$(F,\tau) \leq (F_1,\tau_1) \Longleftrightarrow F \subseteq F_1 \text{ and } \tau_1|_F = \tau.$$

If $\{(F_i, \tau_i) : i \in I\}$ is a chain in X, then $F = \bigcup_{i \in I} F_i$ is a subfield of E that contains K. Moreover, if $z \in F$, then $z \in F_i$ for some $i \in I$ and then one defines $\tau(z) = \tau_i(z)$. It is an exercise to prove that τ is well-defined. Since $(F, \tau) \in X$ is an upper bound, Zorn's lemma implies that there exists a maximal element $(E_1, \theta) \in X$. We claim that $E = E_1$. If not, let $z \in E \setminus E_1$. Since we know the proposition is true for the extension $E_1(z)/K$, let $\rho: E_1(z) \to C$ be a field homomorphism such that $\rho|_{E_1} = \sigma$. Then, in particular, $\rho|_K = \sigma$. This implies that $(E_1(z), \rho) \in X$ and hence $(E_1, \theta) < (E_1(z), \rho)$, a contradiction to the maximality of (E_1, θ) .

The previous proposition will be used to prove that the algebraic closure always exists.

Theorem 3.3 (Artin). *Let* K *be a field. Then* K *admits an algebraic closure* C/K. *If* C_1/K *is an algebraic closure, then the extensions* C/K *and* C_1/K *are isomorphic.*

Proof. Let us first prove the uniqueness. The previous proposition implies the existence of an extensions homomorphism $\varphi \colon C \to C_1$. Let $y \in C_1$ and f = f(y, K) be the minimal polynomial of y in K. Since f admits a factorization

$$f = \lambda \prod (X - \alpha_i)^{m_i}$$

in C[X], it follows that

$$f = \overline{\varphi}(f) = \prod (X - \varphi(\alpha_i))^{m_i}$$

Since 0 = f(y), we conclude that $y = \varphi(\alpha_j)$ for some j. In particular, φ is surjective and hence φ is bijective.

We now prove the existence. Let us assume that K admits an extension E/K with E algebraically closed. Let

$$F = \overline{K}_E = \{x \in E : x \text{ is algebraic over } K\}.$$

Then F/K is algebraic. Let $g \in F[X]$ be such that $\deg g > 0$. Since E is algebraically closed, g admits a root α in E. In particular, α is algebraic over F and hence α is algebraic over K. This implies that $\alpha \in F$, thus F is algebraically closed. This proves that F/K is an algebraic closure.

Let us prove that there exists an extension E_1/K such that every polynomial $f \in K[X]$ with deg f > 0 has a root in E_1 . Let $\{f_i : i \in I\}$ be the family of monic irreducible polynomials with coefficients in K. We may think that $f_i = f_i(X_i)$. Let $R = K[\{X_i : i \in I\}]$ and let J be the ideal of R generated by the $f_i(X_i)$. We claim that $J \neq R$. If not, $1 \in J$, so

$$1 = \sum_{j=1}^{m} g_j f_{i_j}(X_j)$$

for some $g_1, ..., g_m \in R$. There exists an extension F/K such that f_{i_j} has a root α_j in F for all j. Let

$$\sigma \colon R \to F, \quad \sigma(X_k) = \begin{cases} \alpha_j & \text{if } k = i_j, \\ 0 & \text{if } k \notin \{i_1, \dots, i_m\}. \end{cases}$$

Then $1 = \sigma(1) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sigma(g_j) f_{i_j}(\alpha_j) = 0$, a contradiction.

Since J is a proper ideal, it is contained in a maximal ideal M. Let L = R/M and let $\sigma \colon K \to L$ be the composition $K \hookrightarrow R \to R/M = L$. As we did before, $\pi(X_i)$ is a root of $\overline{\sigma}(f_i)$ for all in and there exists an extension E_1/K such that every f_i has a root in E_1 . Proceeding in this way, we construct a sequence

$$E_1 \subseteq E_2 \subseteq \cdots$$

of fields such that every polynomial of positive degree and coefficients in E_k admits a root in E_{k+1} . Let $E = \bigcup E_k$. We claim that E is algebraically closed. In fact, let $g \in E[X]$ be such that deg g > 0. Then, since $g \in E_r[X]$ for some r, it follows that g has a root in $E_{r+1} \subseteq E$.

§4. Decomposition fields

Definition 4.1. Let K be a field and $f \in K[X]$ be such that $\deg f > 0$. A **decomposition field** of f over K is field E that contains K and that satisfies the following properties:

- 1) f factorizes linearly in E[X].
- 2) if F is a field such that $K \subseteq F \subseteq E$ and f factorizes linearly in F[X], then F = E.

Easy examples:

Example 4.2. \mathbb{C} is a decomposition field of $X^2 + 1 \in \mathbb{R}[X]$.

Example 4.3. $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}]$ is a decomposition field of $X^2 - 2 \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$.

Example 4.4. $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})$ is not a decomposition field of $X^3 - 2 \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$. However, if ω ia a primitive cubic root of one, then $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2}, \omega)$ is is a decomposition field of $X^3 - 2 \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$.

Proposition 4.5. E is a decomposition field of $f \in K[X]$ if and only if f factorizes linearly in E[X] and $E = K(x_1, ..., x_n)$ where $x_1, ..., x_n$ are roots of f.

Proof. Let $f = a \prod_{i=1}^{r} (X - x_i)^{n_i}$ and $F = K(x_1, ..., x_r)$ with $x_1, ..., x_r \in E$. Since f factorizes linearly in F[X], it follows that F = E. Conversely, let $E = K(x_1, ..., x_r)$

and assume that f factorizes linearly in F[X]. Then, in particular, $x_1, \ldots, x_r \in F$. Hence $E \subseteq F$ and F = E.

One immediately obtains the following consequence: If E is a decomposition field of $f \in K[X]$, then E/K is finite.

Theorem 4.6. Let $f \in K[X]$. There exists a (unique up to extension isomorphism) decomposition field of f over K.

Proof. Let C/K be an algebraic closure. Write $f = a \prod_{i=1}^{r} (X - x_i)^{n_i}$ in C[X]. Then $E = K(x_1, \dots, x_r)$ is a decomposition field of f over K. Let us prove uniqueness: if E_1/K is a decomposition field of f over K, then E_1/K is algebraic and thus Proposition 3.2 implies that there exists $\varphi \in \operatorname{Hom}(E_1/K, C/K)$ such that $\varphi|_K$ is the identity. Factorize f linearly in $E_1[X]$ and apply $\overline{\varphi}$:

$$f = a \prod_{j=1}^{s} (X - y_j)^{m_j} \implies \overline{\varphi}(f) = \varphi(a) \prod_{j=1}^{s} (X - \varphi(y_j))^{m_j}$$

so f factorizes linearly in $\varphi(E_1)$. Moreover, $E_1 = K(y_1, ..., y_s)$ and it follows that $\varphi(E_1) = K(\varphi(y_1), ..., \varphi(y_s))$. Thus $\varphi(E_1)$ is a decomposition field of f. Since $\varphi(E_1) \subseteq C$, it follows that $\varphi(E_1) = E$.

Exercise 4.7. If E/K is finite and $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(E/K, E/K)$, then φ is an isomorphism.

Let C be an algebraic closure of K and G = Gal(C/K). The group G acts on C

$$\sigma \cdot x = \sigma(x), \quad \sigma \in G, x \in C.$$

The orbits are of the form

$$O_G(x) = {\sigma(x) : \sigma \in G} = {y \in C : y = \sigma(x) \text{ for some } \sigma \in G}$$

The elements $x, y \in C$ are **conjugate** if $y = \sigma(x)$ for some $\sigma \in G$.

Proposition 4.8. Let C be an algebraic closure of K and $x, y \in C$. Then x and y are conjugate if and only if f(x, K) = f(y, K). In particular, $O_G(x)$ is finite.

Proof. Let $G = \operatorname{Gal}(C/K)$. If x and y are conjugate, say $y = \sigma(x)$ for some $\sigma \in G$, let us write g = f(x, K) as

$$g = X^n + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i X^i$$
.

Then $0 = g(x) = x^n + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i x^i$ and hence y is a root of g, as

$$0 = \sigma \left(x^n + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i x^i \right) = \sigma(x)^n + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sigma(a_i) \sigma(x)^i$$
$$= \sigma(x)^n + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i \sigma(x)^i = y^n + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i y^i.$$

Thus f(y, K) = g.

Conversely, assume that f(x, K) = f(y, K). Let g = f(x, K) = f(y, K) and let

$$\varphi \colon K[x] \to K[y], \quad h(x) \mapsto h(y).$$

Let us show that the map φ is well-defined: we need to show that if $h_1(x) = h_2(x)$, then $h_1(y) = \varphi(h_1(x)) = \varphi(h_2(x)) = h_2(y)$. If $h_1(x) = h_2(x)$, then

$$(h_1 - h_2)(x) = h_1(x) - h_2(x) = 0.$$

Thus implies that g divides $h_1 - h_2$. In particular, $h_1(y) = h_2(y)$.

A straightforward calculation shows that φ is a field homomorphism such that $\varphi|_K = \mathrm{id}$, so φ is an extension homomorphism such that $\varphi(x) = y$. There exists $\sigma \in \mathrm{Hom}(C/K, C/K)$ such that $\sigma|_{K[x]} = \varphi$. Since σ is a bijective, $\sigma(x) = \varphi(x) = y$ and hence $O_G(x) = O_G(y)$.

Proposition 4.9. Let C be an algebraic closure of K and x. Then

$$f(x,K) = \prod_{y \in O_G(x)} (X - y)^m$$

for some m.

Proof. For each $y \in O_G(x)$ let m_y be the multiplicity of y in f(x,K). Then, for example, $f(x,K) = (X-x)^{m_x}g$ for some g. If $y \in O_G(x)$, then $y = \sigma(x)$ for some $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(C/K)$. Since

$$\overline{\sigma}(f(x,K)) = f(x,K) = (X-y)^{m_x} \overline{\sigma}(g),$$

it follows that $m_y \ge m_x$. By symmetry, we conclude that $m_x = m_y$.

The previous proposition shows, in particular, that all the roots of an irreducible polynomial $f \in K[X]$ in an algebraic closure C of K have the same multiplicity. This is clearly not true if f is not irreducible. Find an example.

Definition 4.10. Let K be a field and $\{f_i : i \in I\}$ be a non-empty family of polynomials of positive degree with coefficients in K. A **decomposition field** of the $\{f_i : i \in I\}$ is an extension E/K such that every f_i factorizes linearly in E[X] and if F/K is a subextension of E/K such that every f_i factorizes linearly in F[X], then F = E.

Exercise 4.11. Prove that E/K is a decomposition field of $\{f_i : i \in I\}$ if and only if every f_i factorizes linearly in E[X] and E=K(S) where $S=\{\text{roots of } f_i \text{ for all } i\}$.

Exercise 4.12. Prove that if E/K is a decomposition field of $\{f_i : i \in I\}$, then E/K is algebraic. If, moreover, I is finite, then E/K is a decomposition field of $\prod_{i \in I} f_i$.

Exercise 4.13. Prove that there exists a decomposition field of $\{f_i : i \in I\}$ and it is unique up to extension isomorphism.

§5. Normal extensions

Proposition 5.1. Let E/K be an algebraic extension and $\sigma \in \text{Hom}(E/K, E/K)$. Then σ is bijective.

Proof. Let $x \in E$ and C be an algebraic closure of K that contains E. There exists $\varphi \colon C \to C$ such that $\varphi|_E = \sigma$. Thus $\varphi|_K = \sigma|_K = \mathrm{id}_K$. Let $G = \mathrm{Gal}(C/K)$. Then $\varphi \in G$. If $z \in O_G(x)$, then $z = \tau(x)$ for some $\tau \in G$ and hence

$$\varphi(z) = \varphi(\tau(x)) = (\varphi\tau)(x).$$

This implies that $\varphi(z) \in O_G(x)$ and $\varphi(O_G(x)) = O_G(x)$. Thus $\sigma|_{(E \cap O_G(x))}$ is injective, as

$$\begin{split} \sigma(E \cap O_G(x)) &= \varphi(E \cap O_G(x)) \\ &= \varphi(E) \cap \varphi(O_G(x)) = \sigma(E) \cap O_G(x) \subseteq E \cap O_G(x). \end{split}$$

Since $|E \cap O_G(x)| < \infty$, it follows that $E \cap O_G(x) = \sigma(E \cap O_G(x))$ and hence x belongs to the image of σ .

Definition 5.2. Let E/K be an algebraic extensions and C be an algebraic closure of K. Then E/K is **normal** if $\sigma(E) \subseteq E$ for all $\sigma \in \operatorname{Hom}(E/K, C/K)$.

Note that $\sigma(E) \subseteq E$ in the previous definition is equivalent to $\sigma(E) = E$.

Example 5.3. The extension $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})/\mathbb{Q}$ is not normal. Why?

Some trivial examples of normal extensions: K/K is normal and if C is an algebraic closure of K, then C/K is normal.

Example 5.4. The extension $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})/\mathbb{Q}$ is normal. In fact, every extension generated by algebraic elements of degree two is normal.

Exercise 5.5. Let ξ be a primitive cubic root of one. Then $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2}, \xi)/\mathbb{Q}$ is normal.

The following result is useful but technical, that is why we leave the proof as an exercise.

Exercise 5.6. Prove that the previous definition depends on E and not on the algebraic closure C.

Some properties:

Proposition 5.7. Let E/K be a normal extension and $f \in K[X]$ be an irreducible polynomial that admits a root x in E. Then f factorizes linearly in E.

Proof. We may assume that f is monic. Let C/K be an algebraic closure of K containing E. Let y be a root of f in C. Since f = f(x, K) = f(y, K), it follows that $y = \sigma(x)$ for some $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(C/K)$. Since E/K is normal, $\sigma|_E : E \to C$ is an automorphism of E/K, that is $\sigma(E) \subseteq E$. In particular, $y \in E$.

Let $K \subseteq F \subseteq E$ be a tower of fields. If E/K is normal, then E/F is normal. However, Note that E/K normal does not imply F/K normal, as this would imply that every extension is normal. Moreover, E/K normal and F/K normal do not imply E/K normal.

Example 5.8. The extensions $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[4]{2})/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})/\mathbb{Q}$ are both normal, but $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[4]{2})/\mathbb{Q}$ is not normal, as the roots of $X^4 - 2$ are $\sqrt{2}$, $-\sqrt{2}$, $\sqrt{2}i$ and $-\sqrt{2}i$.

Recall that if C is an algebraic closure of K and $x \in C$, then

$$f(x,K) = \prod (X - y)^m,$$

where the product is taken over all $y \in O_{Gal(C/K)}(x)$. If E/K is normal and $x \in E$, then there exists m such that

$$f(x,K) = \prod (X - y)^m,$$

where the product is taken over all $y \in O_{Gal(E/K)}(x)$.

Proposition 5.9. Let $K \subseteq F \subseteq E$ be a tower of fields. If F/K is normal, then EF/E is normal.

Proof. Let C be an algebraic closure of E containing EF. Let $\sigma \in \text{Hom}(EF/E, C/E)$. We claim that $\sigma(EF) = EF$. Let

$$\overline{K} = \{x \in C : x \text{ is algebraic over } K\}.$$

Then \overline{K} is an algebraic closure over K and $F \subseteq \overline{K}$. Since F/K is normal and $\sigma|_F \in \operatorname{Hom}(F/K,\overline{K}/K)$, it follows that $\sigma(F) = F$. If $z \in EF$, then $z = \sum_{i=1}^m e_i f_i$ for some $e_1, \ldots, e_m \in E$ and $f_1, \ldots, f_m \in F$. Then

$$\sigma(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sigma(e_i)\sigma(f_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} e_i\sigma(f_i) \in EF.$$

Proposition 5.10. Let E/K be an algebraic extension. Then E/K is normal if and only if E/K is the decomposition field of a family of polynomials of K[X] of positive degree.

Proof. Let $G = \operatorname{Gal}(E/K)$. If $x \in E$ and $f(x,K) = \prod_{y \in O_G(x)} (X-y)^m$, then f(x,K) factorizes linearly in E[X]. Thus E/K is a decomposition field of the family $\{f(x,K): x \in E\}$. Conversely, assume that E/K is a decomposition field of the family $\{f_i: i \in I\}$. Then E = K(S) where S is the set of roots of the polynomials f_i . Let C/K be an algebraic closure of K that contains E and let $\sigma \in \operatorname{Hom}(C/K, E/K)$. Let $E \in S$. Then $E \in S$ is a root of some $E \in S$. Since $E \in S$ is a root of $E \in S$. Then $E \in S$ is a root of some $E \in S$. Since $E \in S$ is a root of $E \in S$.

$$f_j(\sigma(x)) = \sum a_k \sigma(x)^k = \sum \sigma(a_k) \sigma(x^k) = \sigma\left(\sum a_k x^k\right) = \sigma(0) = 0.$$
 Hence $\sigma(E) \subseteq E$.

§6. Dedekind's theorem

Note that every extension homomorphism $E/K \to F/K$ is, in particular, a K-linear map $E \to F$, that is

$$\operatorname{Hom}(E/K, F/K) \subseteq \operatorname{Hom}_K(E, F)$$
.

If F/K is an extension and V is a K-vector space, the set $\operatorname{Hom}_K(E,F)$ of K-linear maps is a vector space over F with $(a \cdot f)(v) = af(v)$ for $a \in F$, $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_K(E,F)$ and $v \in V$.

xca:dim

Exercise 6.1. Prove that $\dim_F \operatorname{Hom}_K(V, F) \ge \dim_K V$. Moreover, if $\dim_K V < \infty$, then $\dim_F \operatorname{Hom}_K(V, F) = \dim_K V$.

If *V* is a vector space and *S* is a (possibly infinite) subset of *V*, then *S* is linearly independent if every finite subset of *S* is linearly independent.

Theorem 6.2 (Dedekind). Let E/K and F/K be extensions and let $\{\varphi_i : i \in I\}$ be a subset of $\operatorname{Hom}(E/K, F/K)$, i.e. a family of extension homomorphisms. Assume that $\varphi_i \neq \varphi_j$ if $i \neq j$. Then the subset $\{\varphi_i : i \in I\} \subseteq \operatorname{Hom}_K(E, F)$ is linearly independent over F.

Proof. Assume it is not. Let $\{\varphi_1, ..., \varphi_n\}$ be linearly dependent over F with n minimal. Clearly, n > 1. We may assume that $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \varphi_i = 0$ for some $a_1, ..., a_n \in F$ all different from zero. Let $z \in E \setminus \{0\}$ be such that $\varphi_1(z) \neq \varphi_2(z)$. If $x \in E$, then

$$0 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \varphi_i\right)(xz) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \varphi_i(xz) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \varphi_i(x) \varphi_i(z) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (a_i \varphi_i(z)) \varphi_i\right)(x).$$

Thus

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (a_i \varphi_i(z)) \varphi_i = 0.$$

Since $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \varphi_i = 0$ and $\varphi_1(z) \neq 0$,

$$a_1\varphi_1 + a_2 \frac{\varphi_2(z)}{\varphi_1(z)} \varphi_2 + \dots + a_n \frac{\varphi_n(z)}{\varphi_1(z)} \varphi_n = 0.$$

Thus

$$\left(a_2 - a_2 \frac{\varphi_2(z)}{\varphi_1(z)}\right) \varphi_2 + \dots + \left(a_n - a_n \frac{\varphi_n(z)}{\varphi_1(z)}\right) \varphi_n = 0.$$

Since $a_n \neq 0$ and $\varphi_2(z) \neq \varphi_1(z)$, the scalar $(a_2 - a_2 \frac{\varphi_2(z)}{\varphi_1(z)} \neq 0$ and hence $\{\varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_n\}$ is linearly dependent, a contradiction.

If E/K and F/K are extensions, let $\gamma(E/K, F/K) = |\operatorname{Hom}(E/K, F/K)|$.

Exercise 6.3. Prove the following statements:

- 1) $\gamma(E/K, F/K) \leq \dim_F \operatorname{Hom}_K(E, F)$.
- 2) If $[E:K] < \infty$, then $\gamma(E/K, F/K) \le [E:K]$.
- 3) If x is algebraic over K, then $\gamma(K(x)/K, F/K) \le \deg(x, K)$.

If C is an algebraic closure of K, then we define $\gamma(E/K) = \gamma(E/K, C/K)$. This definition does not depend on the algebraic closure.

xca:gamma_C

Exercise 6.4. If C and C_1 are algebraic closures of K, then

$$|\text{Hom}(E/K, C/K)| = |\text{Hom}(E/K, C_1/K)|.$$

Proposition 6.5. Let C be an algebraic closure of K and G = Gal(C/K). If $x \in C$, then $\gamma(K(x)/K) = |O_G(x)|$.

Proof. If $\sigma \in \text{Hom}(K(x)/K, C/K)$, then there exists $\phi \in G$ such that $\phi|_{K(x)} = \sigma$. Thus $\sigma(x) = \phi(x) \in O_G(x)$. Conversely, if $y \in O_G(x)$, then there exists $\tau \in G$ such that $y = \tau(x)$. Hence $\tau|_{K(x)} \in \text{Hom}(K(x)/K, C/K)$ and $\tau|_{K(x)}(x) = y$. In particular, $\gamma(K(x)/K)$ divides $\deg(x,K)$.

Exercise 6.6. If E/K is finite, then $|\operatorname{Gal}(E/K)| \le [E:K]$. Moreover, E/K is normal if and only if $|\operatorname{Gal}(E/K)| = \gamma(E/K)$.

If $t: A \to B$ is a surjective map, then $a \sim a_1 \iff t(a) = t(a_1)$ defines an equivalence relation on A. The set \overline{A} of equivalence classes is in bijective correspondence with B, $\overline{A} \to B$, $\overline{a} \mapsto t(a)$. Moreover, if $|t^{-1}(\{b\})| = m$ for all $b \in B$, then $|A| = m|\overline{A}| = m|B|$.

Proposition 6.7. Let E/K be algebraic and F/K be a subextension such that E/F is finite. Then $\gamma(E/K) = \gamma(E/F)\gamma(F/K)$.

Proof. Assume that E = F(x). Let $f = f(x, F) = \sum b_i X^i$ and let G = Gal(E/F). Let C be an algebraic closure of K containing E. The map

$$\lambda : \operatorname{Hom}(E/K, C/K) \to \operatorname{Hom}(F/K, C/K), \quad \sigma \mapsto \sigma|_F,$$

is surjective. If $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(F/K, C/K)$, then

$$\lambda^{-1}(\{\varphi\}) = \{ \sigma \in \operatorname{Hom}(E/K, C/K) : \sigma|_F = \varphi \}.$$

Assume that $\sigma|_F = \varphi$. Then $\sigma(x)$ is a root of $\overline{\varphi}(f)$ in C, as

$$\overline{\varphi}(f)(\sigma(x)) = \sum \varphi(b_i)\sigma(x)^i$$

$$= \sum \sigma(b_i)\sigma(x^i) = \sum \sigma(b_ix^i) = \sigma\left(\sum b_ix^i\right) = \sigma(f(x)) = \sigma(0) = 0.$$

So there is an injective map from $\lambda^{-1}(\{\varphi\})$ to the set R_{φ} of roots of $\overline{\varphi}(f)$ in C. Conversely, if $y \in R_{\varphi}$ and $z \in E$, say z = h(x) for some $h \in F[X]$, let $\sigma_y(z) = \overline{\varphi}(h)(y)$.

This is well-defined: if z = t(x) for some $t \in F[X]$, then, since (t - h)(x) = 0, it follows that f divides h - t. This implies that $\overline{\varphi}(f)$ divides $\overline{\varphi}(h) - \overline{\varphi}(t)$ and hence $\overline{\varphi}(h)(y) = \overline{\varphi}(t)(y)$. Since $\sigma_y|_F = \varphi$, $\sigma_y \in \lambda^{-1}(\varphi)$. Moreover, $\sigma_y(x) = y$.

Since the map is surjective, $|\lambda^{-1}(\varphi)| = |R_{\varphi}|$.

We claim that $\phi^{-1}(R_{\varphi}) = O_G(x)$. Let us first prove $\phi^{-1}(R_{\varphi}) \subseteq O_G(x)$. There exists $\phi \in G$ such that $\phi|_F = \varphi$. If $y \in R_{\varphi}$, then

$$f(\phi^{-1}(y)) = \sum b_i \phi^{-1}(y^i) = \phi^{-1} \left(\sum \phi(b_i) y^i \right)$$
$$= \phi^{-1} \left(\sum \varphi(b_i) y^i \right) = \phi^{-1} \overline{\varphi}(f)(y) = \phi^{-1}(0) = 0.$$

Now we prove $\phi^{-1}(R_{\varphi}) \supseteq O_G(x)$. Let $z \in O_G(x)$ and $y \in C$ be such that $\phi^{-1}(y) = z$. Then $\overline{\varphi}(f)(y) = 0$, as

$$\begin{split} \overline{\varphi}(f)(y) &= \sum \varphi(b_i) y^i \\ &= \sum \varphi(b_i) \phi(z^i) = \sum \phi(b_i) \phi(z^i) = \phi\left(\sum b_i z^i\right) = \phi(f(z)) = \phi(0) = 0. \end{split}$$

Hence $|R_{\varphi}| = |\phi^{-1}(R_{\varphi})| = |O_G(x)|$ and therefore $|\lambda^{-1}(\{\varphi\})| = |O_G(x)|$ for all φ . This implies that $\gamma(E/K) = \gamma(F/K)|O_G(x)| = \gamma(F/K)\gamma(F(x)/F)$.

For the general case we assume that $E = F[x_1, ..., x_n]$. We proceed by induction on n. If n = 0, then E = F and the result is trivial. If n > 0, let $L = F[x_1, ..., x_{n-1}]$ and $E = L(x_n)$. The previous case implies that $\gamma(E/F) = \gamma(E/L)\gamma(L/F)$. By the inductive assumption, $\gamma(L/K) = \gamma(L/F)\gamma(F/K)$. Thus

$$\gamma(E/F)\gamma(F/K) = \gamma(E/L)\gamma(L/F)\gamma(F/K) = \gamma(E/L)\gamma(L/K) = \gamma(E/K),$$

again using the previous case.

§7. Separable extensions

Definition 7.1. Let E/K be an algebraic extension and $x \in E$. Then x is **separable** over K if x is a simple root of f(x, K).

An algebraic extension E/K is **separable** if every $x \in E$ is separable over K. Clearly, K/K is separable.

Exercise 7.2. Prove that an element x is separable over K if and only if x is a simple root of a polynomial with coefficients in K.

If F/K is a subextension of E/K and $x \in E$ is separable over K, then x is separable over F.

Exercise 7.3. If C is an algebraic closure of K, $x \in C$ and G = Gal(C/K) Prove that the following statements are equivalent:

- 1) x is separable over K.
- 2) Every $y \in O_G(x)$ is separable over K.
- 3) $\gamma(K(x)/K) = [K(x) : K] = \deg f(x, K)$.

Let K be any field and $g \in K[X]$. Let z be a root of g. Then z is a multiple root of g if and only if z is a root of g'.

Exercise 7.4. Prove that if *K* has characteristic zero or *K* is finite, then every algebraic extension of *K* is separable.

A consequence: Let E/K be a finite extension. Then E/K is separable if and only if $\gamma(E/K) = [E:K]$.

Example 7.5. Let $E = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3})$. Then $[E : \mathbb{Q}] = 4$ and $Gal(E/Q) \simeq C_2 \times C_2$. The extension E/Q is normal, as it is the decomposition field of $(X^2 - 2)(X^2 - 3)$ and it is separable as \mathbb{Q} has characteristic zero.

Example 7.6. Let *E* be a decomposition field of $X^4 - 2$ over \mathbb{Q} . Then E/\mathbb{Q} is normal and separable. Note that $E = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[4]{2}, i)$, so $[E : \mathbb{Q}] = 8 = |\operatorname{Gal}(E/\mathbb{Q})$.

Let us compute $\operatorname{Gal}(E/\mathbb{Q})$. If $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(E/\mathbb{Q})$, then $\sigma(\sqrt[4]{2}) \in \{\sqrt[4]{2}, -\sqrt[4]{2}i, -\sqrt[4]{2}i\}$ and $\sigma(i) \in \{-i, i\}$. Two examples are

$$\alpha : \begin{cases} \sqrt[4]{2} \mapsto \sqrt[4]{2}i, \\ i \mapsto i, \end{cases} \qquad \beta : \begin{cases} \sqrt[4]{2} \mapsto \sqrt[4]{2}, \\ i \mapsto -i. \end{cases}$$

It follows that $Gal(E/\mathbb{Q})$ is isomorphic to the group $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$, which turns out to be isomorphic to the dihedral group of eight elements.

Another consequence: If E = K(S), then E/K is separable if and only if every $x \in S$ is separable over K. One first does the case E = K(x) and then proceed by induction.

xca:separable1

Exercise 7.7. Let $K \subseteq F \subseteq E$ be a tower of fields. Prove that if E/K is separable, then F/K and E/F are separable.

xca:separable2

Exercise 7.8. Let E/K and F/K be extensions. Prove that if E/K is separable, then EF/E is separable.

If E/K is algebraic, then $F = \{x \in E : x \text{ is separable over } K\}$ is a subfield of E that contains K. Note that F = K(F), as K(F) is separable because it is generated by separable elements. Note that F/K is separable and E/F is a **purely inseparable** extension, meaning that for every $x \in E \setminus F$, the polynomial f(x, F) is not separable.

Proposition 7.9. *If* E/K *is separable and finite, then* E=K(x) *for some* $x \in E$.

Proof. Let us assume that K is finite. Then E is finite and hence the multiplicative group $E^{\times} = E \setminus \{0\}$ is cyclic, say $E^{\times} = \langle x \rangle$. It follows that E = K(x).

Let us now assume that K is infinite. We may assume that K = K(x,y) and let n = [E : K]. Let C be an algebraic closure of K containing E. Assume that $\text{Hom}(E/K, C/K) = \{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n\}$. Let

$$f = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left(\sigma_i(y) - \sigma_j(y) \right) + X \left(\sigma_i(x) - \sigma_j(x) \right) \in C[X].$$

Then $f \neq 0$, as f is a product of non-zero polynomials. Since K is infinite, there exists $c \in K$ such that $f(c) \neq 0$. Let $r, s \in \{1, ..., n\}$ be such that $r \neq s$. Since $c \in K$ and

$$\sigma_r(y) - \sigma_s(y) + c(\sigma_r(x) - \sigma_s(x)) \neq 0$$
,

it follows that $\sigma_r(y+cx) \neq \sigma_s(y+cx)$. Thus $\gamma(K(y+cx)/K) \geq n$. Now

$$n \ge [K(y+cx):K] = \gamma(K(y+cx)/K) \ge n,$$

so
$$[K(y+cx):K] = n$$
 and hence $K(y+cx) = E$.

For example, $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2},i) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}+i)$.

Proposition 7.10. Let E/K be a finite extension. Then E = K(x) for some $x \in E$ if and only if E/K admits finitely many subextensions.

Proof. We may assume that K is infinite, otherwise the result is trivial. Let us assume that E = K(x). If F/K is a subsextension of E/K, let g = f(x, F). Then g divides f(x, K). So we constructed a map that assigns to every subextension F/K a (monic) divisor of f(x, K) in E[X]. Let us show that g completely determines F/K. Let F_0/K be the subextension generated by the coefficients of g. Then $F_0 \subseteq F$ and g is irreducible in $F_0[X]$. Thus

$$[E:F_0] = [F_0(x):F_0] = \deg f(x,F_0) = \deg g = [F(x):F] = [E:F]$$

and hence $F = F_0$. This means that the assignment is injective and therefore there are finitely many fields between K and E.

Let us prove the converse. As before let us assume that E = K(x,y). For each $a \in K$ we consider the extension K(ay+x)/K. By assumption, there exist $a,b \in K$ such that $a \ne b$ and K(x+ay) = K(x+by) = L. We claim that L = E. Note that $x+ay \in L$ and $x+by \in L$, so $(a-b)y \in L$ and hence $y \in L$. Since $K \subseteq L$, it follows that $x \in L$ and therefore L = E.

As a consequence, if E/K is finite and separable, then E/K admits finitely many subextensions.

§8. Galois extensions

Let E/K be an algebraic extension. Assume that E = K(S) and let C be an algebraic closure of K containing E. Let

$$T = \{ y \in C : y \text{ is a root of } f(x, K) \text{ for some } x \in S \}$$

and let L = K(T). Then $E \subseteq L$, as $S \subseteq T$. The extension L/K is normal, as L/K is a decomposition field of the family $\{f(x,K) : x \in S\}$. Moreover, L is the smallest normal extension of K containing E. The field L is the **normal closure** of E (with respect to C).

Exercise 8.1. If E/K is finite, then L/K is finite

Exercise 8.2. If E/K is separable, then L/K is separable.

Let E/K be an extension and $S \subseteq Gal(E/K)$ be a subset. the set

$${}^{S}E = \{x \in E : \sigma(x) = x \text{ for all } \sigma \in S\}$$

is a subfield of E that contains K. The subfield ${}^{S}E$ is known as the **fixed field** of S.

Definition 8.3. Let E/K be an algebraic extension and G = Gal(E/K). Then E/K is a **Galois extension** if $^GE = K$.

Clearly, K/K is a Galois extension. Note that $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})/\mathbb{Q}$ is not a Galois extension. Why?

Exercise 8.4. Prove that $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3})/\mathbb{Q}$ is a Galois extension.

Exercise 8.5. If the characteristic of K is different from two, then every quadratic extension of K is a Galois extension.

Exercise 8.6. Let E/K be an algebraic extension and G = Gal(E/K). Let $F = {}^GE$. Prove that Gal(E/F) = G and hence E/F is a Galois extension.

Proposition 8.7. Let E/K be an algebraic extension. Then E/K is a Galois extension if and only if E/K is normal and separable.

Proof. Let $G = \operatorname{Gal}(E/K)$. Let us first assume that E/K is Galois. Let $x \in E$ and $f_x = \prod_{y \in O_G(x)} (X - y) \in E[X]$. If $\varphi \in G$, then $\overline{\varphi}(f_x) = \prod_{y \in O_G(x)} (X - \varphi(y))$... (pag70)

Definition 8.8. Let K be a field and $f \in K[X]$. Then f is **separable** if all roots of f are simple (in some algebraic closure of K).

Proposition 8.9. Let E/K be a finite extension. Then E/K is a Galois extension if and only if E is a decomposition field over K of a separable polynomial $f \in K[X]$.

In the previous case, Gal(E/K) is known as the **Galois group** of the polynomial f. The notation is Gal(f,K).

thm:ArtinGalois

Theorem 8.10 (Artin). Let E be a field and G be a finite group of automorphisms of E. If $K = {}^{G}E$, then E/K is a Galois extension, [E:K] = |G| and Gal(E/K) = G.

Before proving the theorem, we need a lemma.

Lemma 8.11. Let E/K be a separable extension such that $\deg(x,K) \leq m$ for all $x \in E$. Then E/K is finite and $[E:K] \leq m$.

Proof. Let $z \in E$ be of maximal degree. If $x \in E$, then K(x,z)/K is separable. Then K(x,z) = K(y) for some y. It follows that

$$K(z) \subseteq K(x,z) = K(y)$$
.

Since $\deg(z, K) \le \deg(y, K)$, it follows that $\deg(z, K) = \deg(y, K)$ and hence K(y) = K(z). In particular, $x \in K(z)$ and therefore E = K(z).

Now we are ready to prove Artin's theorem:

Proof of Theorem 8.10. Note that $G \subseteq Gal(E/K)$. Let $x \in E$ and

$$f_X = \prod_{y \in O_G(x)} (X - y).$$

Since $f_x \in K[X]$, it follows that E/K is normal and separable, so E/K is a Galois extension. Moreover,

$$\deg(x, K) \le \deg f_x = |O_G(x)| \le |G|$$
.

By the previous lemma, E/K is finite and $[E:K] \le |G|$. This implies that $|G(E/K)| = [E:K] \le |G|$ and hence |G(E/K)| = |G|.

§9. Galois' correspondence

Theorem 9.1 (Galois). Let E/K be a finite Galois extension and G = Gal(E/K). There exists a bijective correspondence

$$\{F: K \subseteq F \subseteq E \ subfields\} \rightarrow \{subgroups \ of \ G\}$$

The correspondence is given by $F \mapsto G(E/F)$ and ${}^SE \leftarrow S$. Moreover, normal subextensions of E/K correspond to normal subgroups of G.

§10. The fundamental theorem of algebra

We now present an easy proof of the fundamental theorem of algebra based on the ideas of Galois Theory. We need the following well-known facts:

- 1) Every real polynomial of odd degree admits a real root. This means that \mathbb{R} does not admit extension of odd degree > 1.
- 2) Every complex number admits a square root in \mathbb{C} . This means that \mathbb{C} does not admit degree-two extensions.

Theorem 10.1. *The field* \mathbb{C} *is algebraically closed.*

Proof. Let E/\mathbb{C} be an algebraic finite extension. Then E/\mathbb{R} is finite separable extension of even degree. There exists a Galois extension L/\mathbb{R} such that $E \subseteq L$, so $[L:\mathbb{R}]$ is even. Let $G = \operatorname{Gal}(L/\mathbb{R})$. Then $|G| = 2^m s$ for some odd number s. If T is a 2-Sylow subgroup of G, then there exists a subextension F/\mathbb{R} of degree s. Since \mathbb{R} does not admit extensions of odd degree > 1, s = 1 and hence G is a 2-group. In particular, $|\operatorname{Gal}(L/\mathbb{C})| = 2^{m-1}$. If m > 1, let U be a subgroup of $\operatorname{Gal}(L/\mathbb{C})$ of order 2^{m-2} . Then U corresponds to a subextension L_1/\mathbb{C} of degree two, a contradiction. Hence m = 1 and $[L:\mathbb{C}] = 1$, so $L = \mathbb{C}$ and $E = \mathbb{C}$. □

Some solutions

6.1 Let $\{v_i : i \in I\}$ be a basis of V over K. For each $i \in I$ let $f_i : V \to F$, $f_i(v_j) = \delta_{ij}$. Then $\{f_i : i \in I\}$ is linearly independent over F. In fact, let $\sum a_i f_i = 0$, where each $a_i \in F$. Then $a_i = 0$ for almost all i. If $j \in I$, then

$$0 = \left(\sum a_i f_i\right)(v_j) = \sum a_i f_i(v_j) = a_j.$$

Now assume that $\dim_K V = n$. Let $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ be a basis of V over K. We claim that $\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$ is a basis of $\operatorname{Hom}_K(V, F)$ over F. If $g \in \operatorname{Hom}_K(V, F)$, then $g = \sum g(v_i) f_i$. If $1 \le k \le n$, then

$$\left(\sum g(v_i)f_i\right)(v_k) = \sum g(v_i)f_i(v_k) = g(v_k).$$

6.4 We need to find a bijective map

$$\operatorname{Hom}(E/K, C/K) \to \operatorname{Hom}(E/K, C_1/K)$$
.

If $\sigma \in \operatorname{Hom}(E/K, C/K)$, then $\theta^{-1}\sigma \in \operatorname{Hom}(E/K, C_1/K)$. If $\varphi \in \operatorname{Hom}(E/K, C_1/K)$, then $\theta \varphi \in \operatorname{Hom}(E/K, C/K)$. The maps $\sigma \mapsto \theta^{-1}\sigma$ and $\varphi \mapsto \theta \varphi$ are inverse to each other.

References

1. J. Rotman. Galois theory. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1998.

Index

Artin's theorem, 15, 28

Decomposition field, 16, 18 Dedekind's theorem, 21

Extension

Galois, 27

Galois' theorem, 29

Subfield, 2