Leandro Vendramin

# Galois theory

## Notes

Friday 25th March, 2022

# Preface

The notes correspond to the bachelor course *Galois theory* of the Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Faculty of Sciences, Department of Mathematics and Data Sciences. The course is divided into thirteen two-hours lectures.

The material is somewhat standard. Basic texts on fields and Galois theory are for example [1]. . .

As usual, we also mention a set of great expository papers by Keith Conrad available at `https://kconrad.math.uconn.edu/blurbs/`. The notes are extremely well-written and are useful at at every stage of a mathematical career.

This version was compiled on Friday 25$^{\text{th}}$ March, 2022 at 22:12.

Leandro Vendramin
Brussels, Belgium

# Contents

# List of topics

# Lecture 1

## §1.  Fields

Recall that a **field** is a commutative ring such that $1 \neq 0$ and that every non-zero element is invertible. Examples of (infinite) fields are $\mathbb{Q}$, $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{C}$. If $p$ is a prime number, then $\mathbb{Z}/p$ is a field.

**Example 1.1.** The abelian group $\mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2$ is a field with multiplication

$$(a,b)(c,d) = (ac+bd, ad+bc+bd).$$

**Example 1.2.** $\mathbb{Q}(i) = \{a+bi : a,b \in \mathbb{Q}\}$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ are fields.

<span style="border:1px solid;padding:2px">`xca:Q(i)`</span> **Exercise 1.3.** Prove that $\mathbb{Q}(i)$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ are not isomorphic as fields.

If $R$ is a ring, there exists a unique ring homomorphism $\mathbb{Z} \to R$, $m \mapsto m1$. The image $\{m1 : m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ of this homomorphism is a subring of $R$ and it is known as the **ring of integers** of $R$. The kernel is a subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}$ and hence it is generated by some $t \geq 0$. The integer $t$ is the **characteristic** of the ring $R$.

**Exercise 1.4.** The characteristic of a field is either zero or a prime number.

Recall that a commutative ring $R$ is an **integral domain** if $xy = 0 \implies x = 0$ or $y = 0$. Fields are integral domains.

**Exercise 1.5.** Let $K$ be a field. Prove that the following statements are equivalent:

**1)** $K$ is of characteristic zero.
**2)** The additive order of 1 is infinite.
**3)** The additive order of each $x \neq 0$ is infinite.
**4)** The ring of integers of $K$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$.

**Exercise 1.6.** Let $K$ be a field. Prove that the following statements are equivalent:

**1)** $K$ is of characteristic $p$.

1

**2)** The additive order of 1 is $p$.

**3)** The additive order of each $x \neq 0$ is $p$.

**4)** The ring of integers of $K$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/p$.

**Definition 1.7.** A **subfield** of a ring $R$ is a subring of $R$ that is also a field.

Note that if $K$ is a subfield of $E$, then the characteristic of $K$ coincides with the chacteristic of $E$. Moreover, if $K \to L$ is a field homomorphis, then $K$ and $L$ have the same characteristic.

**Exercise 1.8.** Let $K$ be a field of characteristic $p$. Prove that $K \to K$, $x \mapsto x^{p^n}$, is a field homomorphism for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Note that finite fields are of characteristic $p$.

Let $K$ be a subfield of a field $E$. Then $E$ is a $K$-vector space with the usual scalar multiplication $K \times E \to E$, $(\lambda, x) \mapsto \lambda x$.

**Definition 1.9.** A field $K$ is **prime** if there are no proper subfields of $K$.

Examples of prime fields are $\mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathbb{Z}/p$ for $p$ a prime number.

**Proposition 1.10.** *Let $K$ be a field. The following statements hold:*

*1) $K$ contains a unique prime field, it is known as the **prime subfield** of $K$.*

*2) The prime subfield of $K$ is either isomorphic to $\mathbb{Q}$ if the characteristic of $K$ is zero, or it is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/p$ for some prime number $p$ if the characteristic of $K$ is $p$.*

*Proof.* To prove the first claim let $L$ be the intersection of all the subfields of $K$. Then $L$ is a subfield of $K$. If $F$ is a subfield of $L$, then $F$ is a subfield of $K$. Thus $L \subseteq F$ and hence $F = L$, which proves that $L$ is prime. If $L_1$ is a subfield of $K$ and $L_1$ is prime, then $L \subseteq L_1$ and hence $L = L_1$.

Let $K_0$ be the prime field of $K$. Suppose that $K$ is of characteristic $p > 0$. Then the ring $K_{\mathbb{Z}}$ of integers of $K$ is a field isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/p$ and hence $K_0 \simeq K_{\mathbb{Z}}$. Suppose now that the characteristic of $K$ is zero. Let $E = \{m1/n1 : m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \neq 0\}$. We claim that $K_0 = E$. Since $K_{\mathbb{Z}} \subseteq K_0$, it follows that $E \subseteq K_0$. Hence $E = K_0$, as $E$ is a subfield of $K$. $\qquad\square$

**Definition 1.11.** Let $E$ be a field and $K$ be a subfield of $E$. Then $E$ is an **extension** of $K$. We will use the notation $E/K$.

If $E$ is an extension of $K$, then $E$ is a $K$-vector space.

**Definition 1.12.** The degree of an extension $E$ of $K$ is the integer $\dim_K E$. It will be denoted by $[E : K]$.

We say that $E$ is a finite extension of $K$ if $[E : K]$ is finite.

**Example 1.13.** Let $K$ be a field. Then $[K : K] = 1$. Conversely, if $E$ is an extension of $K$ and $[E : K] = 1$, then $K = E$. If not, let $x \in E \setminus K$. We claim that $\{1, x\}$ is linearly independent over $K$. Indeed, if $a1 + bx = 0$ for some $a, b \in K$, then $bx = -a$. If $b \neq 0$, then $x = -a/b \in K$, a contradiction. If $b = 0$, then $a = 0$.

We know that $[\mathbb{C} : \mathbb{R}] = 2$.

**Example 1.14.** A basis of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ is given by $\{1, \sqrt{2}\}$. Then $[\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}) : \mathbb{Q}] = 2$.

**Example 1.15.** Since $\mathbb{Q}$ is numerable and $\mathbb{R}$ is not, $[\mathbb{R} : \mathbb{Q}] > \aleph_0$. If $\{x_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}\}$ is a numerable basis of $\mathbb{R}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$, for each $n$ consider the $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space $V_n$ generated by $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$. Then

$$\mathbb{R} = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} V_n,$$

is numerable, as each $V_n$ is numerable, a contradiction.

If $E$ is an extension of $K$ and $E$ is finite, then $[E : K]$ is finite.

**Proposition 1.16.** *Let $K$ be a finite field. Then $|K| = p^m$ for some prime number $p$ and some $m \geq 1$.*

*Proof.* We know that the prime subfield of $K$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/p$. In particular, $|K_0| = p$. Since $K$ is finite, $[K : K_0] = m$ for some $m$. If $\{x_1, \ldots, x_m\}$ is a basis of $K$ over $K_0$, then each element of $K$ can be written uniquely as $\sum_{i=1}^m a_i x_i$ for some $a_1, \ldots, a_m \in K_0$. Then $K \simeq K_0^m$ and hence $|K| = |K_0^m| = p^m$. $\qquad \square$

**Definition 1.17.** Let $E$ be an extension of $K$. A **subextension** $F$ of $K$ is a subfield $F$ of $E$ that contains $K$, that is $K \subseteq F \subseteq E$.

**Definition 1.18.** Let $E$ and $E_1$ be extensions over $K$. An extension **homomorphism** $E \to E_1$ is a field homomorphism $\sigma : E \to E_1$ such that $\sigma(x) = x$ for all $x \in K$.

To describe the homomorphism $\sigma : E \to E_1$ of the extensions over $K$ one typically writes the commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
K & = & K \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow \\
E & \xrightarrow{\ \sigma\ } & E_1
\end{array}
$$

We write $\mathrm{Hom}(E/K, E_1/K)$ to denote the set of homomorphism $E \to E_1$ of extensions of $K$. Note that if $\sigma \in \mathrm{Hom}(E/K, E_1/K)$, then $\sigma$ is a $K$-linear map, as

$$\sigma(\lambda x) = \sigma(\lambda)\sigma(x) = \lambda \sigma(x)$$

for all $\lambda \in K$ and $x \in E$.

**Example 1.19.** The conjugation map $\mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$, $z \mapsto \bar{z}$, is an endomorphism of $\mathbb{C}$ as an extension over $\mathbb{R}$. Let $\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R})$. Then

$$\varphi(x + iy) = \varphi(x) + \varphi(i)\varphi(y) = x + \varphi(i)y$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $\varphi(i)^2 = \varphi(i^2) = \varphi(-1) = -1$, it follows that $\varphi(i) \in \{-i, i\}$. Thus either $\varphi(x + iy) = x + iy$ or $\varphi(x + iy) = x - iy$.

**Exercise 1.20.** Prove that if $K$ is a field and $\sigma: K \to K$ is a field homomorphism, then $\sigma \in \mathrm{Hom}(K/K_0, K/K_0)$.

If $E/K$ is an extension, then

$$\mathrm{Aut}(E/K) = \{\sigma : \sigma: E \to E \text{ is a bijective extension homomorphism}\}$$

is a group with composition.

**Definition 1.21.** Let $E/K$ be an extension. The **Galois group** of $E/K$ is the group $\mathrm{Aut}(E/K)$ and it will be denoted by $\mathrm{Gal}(E/K)$.

A typicall example: $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2$.

**Example 1.22.** Let $\theta = \sqrt[3]{2}$ and let $E = \{a + b\theta + c\theta^2 : a, b, c \in \mathbb{Q}\}$. Note that

$$a + b\theta + c\theta^2 = 0 \iff a = b = c = 0.$$

Then $E$ is an extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ such that $[E : \mathbb{Q}] = 3$. We claim that $\mathrm{Gal}(E/\mathbb{Q})$ is trivial. If $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(E/\mathbb{Q})$ and $z = a + b\theta + c\theta^2$, then $\sigma(z) = a + b\sigma(\theta) + c\sigma^2(\theta)$. Since $\sigma(\theta)^3 = \sigma(\theta^3) = \sigma(2) = 2$, it follows that $\sigma(\theta) = \theta$ and therefore $\sigma = \mathrm{id}$.

**Exercise 1.23.** Prove that the polynomial $X^3 - 2$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}[X]$.

# Lecture 2

If $E/K$ is an extension and $S$ is a subset of $E$, then there exists a unique smallest subextension $F/K$ of $E/K$ such that $S \subseteq F$. In fact,

$$F = \bigcap \{T : T \text{ is a subfield of } E \text{ that contains } K \cup S\}$$

If $L/K$ is a subextension of $E/K$ such that $S \subseteq L$, then $F \subseteq L$ by definition. The extension $F$ is known as the **subextension generated by** $S$ and it will be denoted by $K(S)$. If $S = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ is finite, then $K(S) = K(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is said to be of **finite type**.

**Example 1.24.** If $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ is a basis of $E$ over $K$, then $E = K(e_1, \ldots, e_n)$.

**Example 1.25.** The field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ is precisely the extension of $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q}$ generated by $\sqrt{2}$.

Let $E/K$ be an extension and $S$ and $T$ be subsets of $E$. Then

$$K(S \cup T) = K(S)(T) = K(T)(S).$$

If, moreover, $S \subseteq T$, then $K(S) \subseteq K(T)$.

## §2.   Algebraic extensions

**Definition 2.1.** Let $E/K$ be an extension. An element $x \in E$ is **algebraic** over $K$ if there exists a non-zero polynomial $f(X) \in K[X]$ such that $f(x) = 0$. If $x$ is not algebraic over $K$, then it is called **trascendent** over $K$.

If $E/K$ is an extension, let

$$\overline{K}_E = \{x \in E : x \text{ is algebraic over } K\}.$$

**Definition 2.2.** An extension $E/K$ is **algebraic** if every $x \in E$ is algebraic over $K$.

If $K$ is a field, every $x \in K$ is algebraic over $K$, as $x$ is a root of $X - x \in K[X]$. In particular, $K/K$ is an algebraic extension.

**Example 2.3.** $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}$ is an algebraic extension. If $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$, then $z$ is a root of the polynomial $X^2 + (z + \overline{z})X + |z|^2 \in \mathbb{R}[X]$.

If $F/K$ is an algebraic extension and $x \in E$ is algebraic over $K$, then $x$ is algebraic over $E$.

**Example 2.4.** $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})/\mathbb{Q}$ is algebraic, as the number $a + b\sqrt{2}$ is a root of the polynomial $X^2 - 2aX + (a^2 - 2b^2) \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$.

The extension $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}$ is not algebraic.

If $E/K$ is an extension and $x \in E$ is algebraic over $K$, then the evaluation homomorphism $K[X] \to E$, $f \mapsto f(x)$, is not injective. In particular, its kernel is a non-zero ideal and hence it is generated by a monic polynomial $f$.

**Definition 2.5.** Let $E/K$ be an extension and $x \in E$ be an algebraic element. The monic polynomial that generates the kernel of $K[X] \to E$, $f \mapsto f(x)$, is known as the **minimal polynomial** of $x$ over $K$ and it will be denoted by $f(x, K)$. The **degree** of $x$ over $K$ is then $\deg f(x, K)$.

Some basic properties of the minimal polynomial of an algebraic element:

**Proposition 2.6.** *Let $E/K$ be an extension and $x \in E$.*

*1) If $g \in K[X] \setminus \{0\}$ is such that $g(x) = 0$, then $f(x, K)$ divides $g$. In particular, $\deg f(x, K) \le \deg g$.*
*2) $f(x, K)$ is irreducible in $K[X]$.*
*3) If $F/K$ is a subextension of $E/K$, then $f(x, F)$ divides $f(x, K)$.*

*Proof.* Write $f = f(x, K)$ to denote the minimal polynomial of $x$. To prove 1) note that $g(x) = 0$ implies that $g$ belongs to the kernel of the evaluation map, so $g$ is a multiple of $f$. To prove 2) note that if $f = pq$ for some $p, q \in K[X]$ such that $0 < \deg p, \deg q < \deg f$, then $f(x) = 0$ implies that either $p(x) = 0$ or $q(x) = 0$, a contradiction. Finally we prove 3). Since $f \in K[X] \subseteq F[X]$ and $f(x) = 0$, it follows from 1) that $f(x, F)$ divides $f$. $\qquad\square$

Some easy examples: $f(i, \mathbb{R}) = X^2 + 1$ and $f(\sqrt[3]{2}, \mathbb{Q}) = X^3 - 2$.

**Example 2.7.** Let us compute $f(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}, \mathbb{Q})$. Let $\alpha = \sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}$. Then

$$\alpha - \sqrt{2} = \sqrt{3} \implies (\alpha - \sqrt{2})^2 = 3 \implies \alpha^2 - 2\sqrt{2}\alpha + 2 = 3$$
$$\implies \alpha^2 - 1 = 2\sqrt{2}\alpha \implies (\alpha^2 - 1)^2 = 8\alpha^2 \implies \alpha^4 - 10\alpha^2 + 1 = 0.$$

Thus $\alpha$ is a root of $g = X^4 - 10X^2 + 1$. To prove that $g = f(\alpha, \mathbb{Q})$ it is enough to prove that $g$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}[X]$. First note that the roots of $g$ are $\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}$, $\sqrt{2} - \sqrt{3}$, $-\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}$ and $-\sqrt{2} - \sqrt{3}$. This means that if $g$ is not irreducible, then $g = hh_1$ for some polynomials $h, h_1 \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ such that $\deg h = \deg h_1 = 2$. This is not possible, as $(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}) + (\sqrt{2} - \sqrt{3}) = 2\sqrt{2} \notin \mathbb{Q}$, $(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}) + (-\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}) = 2\sqrt{3} \notin \mathbb{Q}$ and $(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3})(-\sqrt{2} - \sqrt{3}) = -5 - 2\sqrt{6} \notin \mathbb{Q}$.

**Proposition 2.8.** *Let $F/K$ be a subextension and $E/K$. Then*

$$[E : K] = [E : F][F : K].$$

*Proof.* Let $\{e_i : i \in I\}$ be a basis of $E$ over $F$ and $\{f_j : j \in J\}$ be a basis of $F$ over $K$. If $x \in E$, then $x = \sum_i \lambda_i e_i$ (finite sum) for some $\lambda_i \in F$. For each $i$, $\lambda_i = \sum_j a_{ij} f_j$ (finite sum) for some $a_{ij} \in K$. Then $x = \sum_i \sum_j a_{ij}(f_j e_i)$. This means that $\{f_j e_i : i \in I, j \in J\}$ generates $E$ as a $K$-vector space. Let us prove that $\{f_j e_i : i \in I, j \in J\}$ is linearly independent. If $\sum_i \sum_j a_{ij}(f_j e_i) = 0$ (finite sum) for some $a_{ij} \in K$, then

$$0 = \sum_i \left( \sum_j a_{ij} f_j \right) e_i \implies \sum_j a_{ij} f_j = 0 \text{ for all } i \in I$$
$$\implies a_{ij} = 0 \text{ for all } i \in I \text{ and } j \in J. \qquad \square$$

We state a lemma:

**Lemma 2.9.** *If $A$ is a finite-dimensional commutative algebra over $K$ and $A$ is an integral domain, then $A$ is a field.*

*Proof.* Let $a \in A \setminus \{0\}$. We need to prove that there exists $b \in A$ such that $ab = 1$. Let $\theta \colon A \to A$, $x \mapsto ax$. Clearly $\theta$ is an algebra homomorphism. It is injective, since $A$ is an integral domain. Since $\dim_K A < \infty$, it follows that $\theta$ is an isomorphism. In particular, $\theta(A) = A$, which means that there exists $b \in A$ such that $1 = ab$. $\qquad \square$

Let $E/K$ be an extension and $x \in E \setminus K$. Then

$$K[x] = \{ y = f(x) : \text{ for some } f \in K[X] \}$$

is a subring of $E$ that contains $K$. More generally, if $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in E$, then

$$K[x_1, \ldots, x_n] = \{ f(x_1, \ldots, x_n) : f \in K[X_1, \ldots, X_n] \}$$

is a subring of $E$. Clearly, $K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ is a domain and

$$K(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \left\{ \frac{f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)}{g(x_1, \ldots, x_n)} : f, g \in K[X_1, \ldots, X_m] \text{ with } g(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \neq 0 \right\}$$

is the extension of $K$ generated by $x_1, \ldots, x_n$. Note that

$$K(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = (K(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1})(x_n)).$$

The previous construction can be generalized. Let $I$ be a non-empty set. For each $i \in I$ let $X_i$ be an indeterminate. Consider the polynomial ring $K[\{X_i : i \in I\}]$ and let $S = \{x_i : i \in I\}$ be a subset of $E$. There exists a unique algebras homomorphism $K[\{X_i : i \in I\}] \to E$ such that $X_i \mapsto x_i$ for all $i \in I$. The image is denoted by $K[S]$.

**Exercise 2.10.** Prove that $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}] = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$.

**Theorem 2.11.** *Let $E/K$ be an extension and $x \in E \setminus K$. The following statements are equivalent:*

*1) $x$ is algebraic over $K$.*
*2) $\dim_K K[x] < \infty$.*
*3) $K[x]$ is a field.*
*4) $K[x] = K(x)$.*

*Proof.* We first prove 1) $\implies$ 2). Let $z \in K[x]$, say $z = h(x)$ for some $h \in K[X]$. There exists $g \in K[X]$ such that $g \neq 0$ and $g(x) = 0$. Divide $h$ by $g$ to obtain polynomials $q, r \in K[X]$ such that $h = gq + r$, where $r = 0$ or $\deg r < \deg g$. This implies that

$$z = h(x) = g(x)q(x) + r(x) = r(x).$$

If $\deg g = m$, then $r = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} a_i X^i$ for some $a_0, \dots, a_{m-1} \in K$. Thus $z = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} a_i x^i$, so $K[x] \subseteq \langle 1, x, \dots, x^{m-1} \rangle$.

The previous lemma proves that 2) $\implies$ 3).

It is trivial that 3) $\implies$ 4).

It remains to prove that 4) $\implies$ 1). Since $x \neq 0$, $1/x \in K[x]$. There exists $a_0, \dots, a_n \in K$ such that $1/x = a_0 + a_1 x + \cdots + a_n x^n$. Thus

$$a_n x^{n+1} + \cdots + a_1 x^2 + a_0 x - 1 - 0$$

so $x$ is a root of $a_n X^{n+1} + \cdots + a_0 X - 1 \in K[X] \setminus \{0\}$. $\qquad\square$

Note that if $x$ is algebraic over $K$, then $K[x] \simeq K[X]/(f(x, K))$.

**Corollary 2.12.** *If $E/K$ is finite, then $E/K$ is algebraic.*

*Proof.* Let $n = [E : K]$ and $x \in E$. The set $\{1, x, \dots, x^n\}$ is linearly dependent, so there exist $a_0, \dots, a_n \in K$ not all zero such that $a_0 + a_1 x + \cdots + a_n x^n = 0$. Thus $x$ is a root of the non-zero polynomial $a_0 + a_1 X + \cdots + a_n X^n \in K[X]$. $\qquad\square$

We note that the converse of the previous corollary does not hold.

**Corollary 2.13.** *If $E/K$ is an extension and $x_1, \dots, x_n \in E$ are algebraic over $K$, then $K(x_1, \dots, x_n)/K$ is finite and $K(x_1, \dots, x_m) = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$.*

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on $n$. The case $n = 1$ follows immediately from the theorem. So assume the result holds for some $n \geq 1$. Since the extensions $K(x_1, \dots, x_n)/K(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$ and $K(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})/K$ are both finite, it follows that $K(x_1, \dots, x_n)/K$ is finite. Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
K(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= K(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})(x_n) \\
&= K(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})[x_n] = K[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}][x_n] = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]. \quad\square
\end{aligned}
$$

**Corollary 2.14.** *Let $E = K(S)$. Then $E/K$ is algebraic if and only if $x$ is algebraic over $K$ for all $x \in S$.*

*Proof.* Let us prove the non-trivial implication. Let $z \in K(S)$. In particular, there exists a finite subset $T \subseteq S$ such that $z \in K(T)$. The previous corollary implies that $K(T)/K$ is algebraic and hence $z$ is algebraic. □

**Corollary 2.15.** *If $E/K$ is an extension, then $\overline{K}_E$ is a subfield of $E$ that contains $K$. Moreover, $K(\overline{K}_E)/K$ is algebraic.*

*Proof.* By definition, $K(\overline{K}_E)/K$ is algebraic. Thus $K(\overline{K}_E) \subseteq \overline{K}_E$. From this it follows that $K(\overline{K}_E) = \overline{K}_E$. □

The following exercise is now almost trivial:

**Exercise 2.16.** Let $E/K$ be an extension of finite type. Prove that $E/K$ is algebraic if and only if $E/K$ is finite.

Let $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{C} : \alpha \text{ is algebraic over } \mathbb{Q}\}$. Then $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ is the field of algebraic numbers. Can you compute $[\overline{\mathbb{Q}} : \mathbb{Q}]$?

# Lecture 3

Algebraic field extensions form a nice class of extensions. The same happens with finite field extensions.

**Proposition 2.17.** *Let $F/K$ is a subextension of $E/K$. Then $E/K$ is algebraic if and only if $E/F$ and $F/K$ are algebraic.*

*Proof.* We know that if $E/K$ is algebraic, then $E/F$ and $F/K$ are both algebraic. Let us assume that $E/F$ and $F/K$ are both algebraic. Let $x \in E$ and let $L$ be the subextension over $K$ generated by the coefficients of $f(x, F)$, the minimal polynomial of $x$ over $F$. Then $L/K$ is finite, since it is generated by finitely many algebraic elements. Moreover, $x$ is algebraic over $L$. Since

$$[L(x) : K] = [L(x) : L][L : K] < \infty,$$

$L(x)/K$ is algebraic. In particular, $x$ is algebraic over $K$. $\square$

**Exercise 2.18.** Let $F/K$ is a subextension of $E/K$. Prove that $E/K$ is finite if and only if $E/F$ and $F/K$ are finite.

Let $F \subseteq E$ and $L \subseteq E$. The composite of $F$ and $L$ is defined as

$$FL = K(F \cup L) = F(L) = L(F)$$

and it is equal to the smallest field that contains $F$ and $L$.

**Exercise 2.19.** If $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ and $L = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})$, then $FL = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3})$. Compute $[\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}) : \mathbb{Q}]$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}) \cap \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})$.

**Exercise 2.20.** Let $\xi \in \mathbb{C}$ be a primitive cubic root of one. If $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})$ and $L = \mathbb{Q}(\xi)$, then $FL = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2}, \xi)$. Compute $[\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2}, \xi) : \mathbb{Q}]$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2}) \cap \mathbb{Q}(\xi)$.

**Exercise 2.21.** Let $E/K$ and $F/K$ be extensions, where both $E$ and $F$ are subfields of a field $L$. If $F/K$ is algebraic, then $EF/E$ is algebraic.

**Exercise 2.22.** Let $E/K$ and $F/K$ be extensions, where both $E$ and $F$ are subfields of a field $L$. If $F/K$ is finite, then $EF/E$ is finite.

The solution to the previous exercise shows, in particular, that $[EF : E] \leq [F : K]$.

**Lemma 2.23.** *Let $\sigma \colon K \to L$ be a field homomorphism. Then there exists an extension $E/K$ and a field isomorphism $\varphi \colon E \to L$ such that $\varphi|_K = \sigma$.*

*Proof.* Let $A$ be a set in bijection with $L \setminus \sigma(K)$ and disjoint with $K$. Let $E = K \cup A$. If $\theta \colon A \to L \setminus \sigma(K)$ is bijective, then let

$$\varphi \colon E \to L, \quad \varphi(x) = \begin{cases} \sigma(x) & \text{if } x \in K, \\ \theta(x) & \text{if } x \in A. \end{cases}$$

Then $\varphi$ is a bijective map such that $\varphi|_K = \sigma$. Transport the operations of $L$ onto $E$, that is to define binary operations on $E$ as follows:

$$(x, y) \mapsto x \oplus y = \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(x) + \varphi(y)), \qquad (x, y) \mapsto x \odot y = \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(x)\varphi(y)).$$

Then, for example,

$$x \oplus y = \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(x) + \varphi(y)) = \varphi^{-1}(\sigma(x) + \sigma(y)) = \varphi^{-1}(\sigma(x+y)) = \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(x+y)) = x+y$$

for all $x, y \in K$. $\qquad \square$

If $\sigma \colon A \to B$ is a ring homomorphism, then $\sigma$ induces a ring homomorphism $\overline{\sigma} \colon A[X] \to B[X]$, $\sum_i a_i X^i \mapsto \sum \sigma(a_i) X^i$.

**Theorem 2.24.** *Let $K$ be a field and $f \in K[X]$ be such that $\deg f > 0$. Then there exists an extension $E/K$ such that $f$ admits a root in $E$.*

*Proof.* We may assume that $f$ is irreducible over $K$. Let $L = K[X]/(f)$ and $\pi \colon K[X] \to L$ be the canonical map. Then $L$ is a field (the reader should explain why). The field homomorphism $\sigma \colon K \to L$, $a \mapsto \pi(aX^0)$. Let $g = \overline{\sigma}(f) \in L[X]$.
We claim that $\pi(X)$ is a root of $g$ in $L$. Suppose that $f = \sum_i a_i X^i$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} g(\pi(X)) &= \overline{\sigma}(f)(\pi(X)) \\ &= \sum_i \sigma(a_i)\pi(X)^i = \sum_i \pi(a_i X^0)\pi(X^i) = \pi\left(\sum a_i X^i\right) = \pi(f) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The previous lemma states that there exists an extension $E/K$ and an isomorphism $\varphi \colon E \to L$ such that $\varphi|_K = \sigma$. Note that $\varphi(x) = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$. If $u = \pi(X)$, then $\varphi^{-1}(u)$ is a root of $f$ in $E$, as

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(f(\varphi^{-1}(u))) &= \varphi\left(\sum_i a_i \varphi^{-1}(u)^i\right) = \varphi\left(\sum_i a_i \varphi^{-1}(u^i)\right) \\ &= \sum_i \varphi(a_i)u^i = \sum_i \sigma(a_i)u^i = g(u) = 0. \qquad \square \end{aligned}$$

As a corollary, if $K$ is a field and $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in K[X]$ are polynomials of positive degree, then there exists an extension $E/K$ such that each $f_i$ admits a root in $E$. This is proved by induction on $n$.

**Definition 2.25.** A field $K$ is **algebraically closed** if each $f \in K[X]$ of positive degree admits a root in $K$.

The *fundamental theorem of algebra* states that $\mathbb{C}$ is algebraically closed. A typical proof uses complex analysis. Later we will give a proof of this result using Galois theory.

**Proposition 2.26.** *The following statements are equivalent:*

*1) $K$ is algebraically closed.*
*2) If $f \in K[X]$ is irreducible, then $\deg f = 1$.*
*3) If $f \in K[X]$ is non-zero, then $f$ decomposes linearly in $K[X]$, that is*

$$f = a \prod_{i=1}^{n} (X - \alpha_i)^{m_i}$$

*for some $a \in K$ and $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in K$.*
*4) If $E/K$ is algebraic, then $E = K$.*

*Proof.* $1) \implies 2 \implies 3)$ are exercises.

Let us prove that $3) \implies 4)$. Let $x \in E$. Decompose $f(x, K)$ linearly in $K[X]$ as $f(x, K) = a \prod_{i=1}^{n} (X - \alpha_i)^{m_i}$ and evaluate on $x$ to obtain that $x = \alpha_j$ for some $j$.

To prove that $4) \implies 1)$ let $f \in K[X]$ be such that $\deg f > 0$. There exists an extension $E/K$ such that $f$ has a root $x$ in $E$. The extension $K(x)/K$ is algebraic and hence $K(x) = K$, so $x \in K$. $\qquad\square$

## §3.   Artin's theorem

**Definition 3.1.** The **algebraic closure** of a field $K$ is an algebraic extension $C/K$ such that $C$ is algebraically closed.

For example, $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}$ is an algebraic closure but $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}$ it is not.

`pro:Artin`  **Proposition 3.2.** *Let $C$ be algebraically closed and $\sigma \colon K \to C$ be a field homomorphism. If $E/K$ is algebraic, then there exists a field homomorphism $\varphi \colon E \to C$ such that $\varphi|_K = \sigma$.*

*Proof.* Suppose first that $E = K(x)$ and let $f = f(x, K)$. Let $\overline{\sigma}(f) \in C[X]$ and let $y \in C$ be a root of $\overline{\sigma}(f)$. If $z \in E$, then $z = g(x)$ for some $g \in K[X]$. Let $\varphi \colon E \to C$, $z \mapsto \overline{\sigma}(g)(y)$.

The map $\varphi$ is well-defined. If $z = h(x)$ for some $h \in K[X]$, then

$$0 = g(x) - h(x) = (g - h)(x)$$

and thus $f$ divides $g - h$. In particular, $\overline{\sigma}(f)$ divides $\overline{\sigma}(g - h) = \overline{\sigma}(g) - \overline{\sigma}(h)$ and hence $(\overline{\sigma}(g) - \overline{\sigma}(h))(y) = 0$.

It is an exercise to show that the map $\varphi$ is a ring homomorphism.

Let $a \in K$. It follows that $\varphi|_K = \sigma$, as

$$\varphi(a) = \overline{\sigma}(aX^0)(y) = \sigma(a)$$

Let us now prove the proposition in full generality. Let $X$ be the set of pairs $(F, \tau)$, where $F$ is a subfield of $E$ that contains $K$ and $\tau \colon F \to C$ is a field homomorphism such that $\tau|_K = \sigma$. Note that $(K, \sigma) \in X$, so $X$ is non-empty. Moreover, $X$ is partially ordered by

$$(F, \tau) \leq (F_1, \tau_1) \iff F \subseteq F_1 \text{ and } \tau_1|_F = \tau.$$

If $\{(F_i, \tau_i) : i \in I\}$ is a chain in $X$, then $F = \cup_{i \in I} F_i$ is a subfield of $E$ that contains $K$. Moreover, if $z \in F$, then $z \in F_i$ for some $i \in I$ and then one defines $\tau(z) = \tau_i(z)$. It is an exercise to prove that $\tau$ is well-defined. Since $(F, \tau) \in X$ is an upper bound, Zorn's lemma implies that there exists a maximal element $(E_1, \theta) \in X$. We claim that $E = E_1$. If not, let $z \in E \setminus E_1$. Since we know the proposition is true for the extension $E_1(z)/K$, let $\rho \colon E_1(z) \to C$ be a field homomorphism such that $\rho|_{E_1} = \sigma$. Then, in particular, $\rho|_K = \sigma$. This implies that $(E_1(z), \rho) \in X$ and hence $(E_1, \theta) < (E_1(z), \rho)$, a contradiction to the maximality of $(E_1, \theta)$. $\qquad\square$

# Lecture 4

The previous proposition will be used to prove that the algebraic closure always exists.

**Theorem 3.3 (Artin).** *Let $K$ be a field. Then $K$ admits an algebraic closure $C/K$. If $C_1/K$ is an algebraic closure, then the extensions $C/K$ and $C_1/K$ are isomorphic.*

*Proof.* Let us first prove the uniqueness. The previous proposition implies the existence of an extensions homomorphism $\varphi \colon C \to C_1$. Let $y \in C_1$ and $f = f(y, K)$ be the minimal polynomial of $y$ in $K$. Since $f$ admits a factorization

$$f = \lambda \prod (X - \alpha_i)^{m_i}$$

in $C[X]$, it follows that

$$f = \overline{\varphi}(f) = \prod (X - \varphi(\alpha_i))^{m_i}$$

Since $0 = f(y)$, we conclude that $y = \varphi(\alpha_j)$ for some $j$. In particular, $\varphi$ is surjective and hence $\varphi$ is bijective.

We now prove the existence. Let us assume that $K$ admits an extension $E/K$ with $E$ algebraically closed. We will prove later that this extension indeed exists, at the moment we only want to get an algebraic extension from this setting. Let

$$F = \{x \in E : x \text{ is algebraic over } K\}.$$

Then $F/K$ is algebraic. Let $g \in F[X]$ be such that $\deg g > 0$. Since $E$ is algebraically closed, $g$ admits a root $\alpha$ in $E$. In particular, $\alpha$ is algebraic over $F$ and hence $\alpha$ is algebraic over $K$. This implies that $\alpha \in F$, thus $F$ is algebraically closed. This proves that $F/K$ is an algebraic closure.

Let us prove that there exists an extension $E_1/K$ such that every polynomial $f \in K[X]$ with $\deg f > 0$ has a root in $E_1$. Let $\{f_i : i \in I\}$ be the family of monic irreducible polynomials with coefficients in $K$. We may think that $f_i = f_i(X_i)$. Let $R = K[\{X_i : i \in I\}]$ and let $J$ be the ideal of $R$ generated by the $f_i(X_i)$. We claim that $J \neq R$. If not, $1 \in J$, so

$$1 = \sum_{j=1}^{m} g_j f_{i_j}(X_j)$$

for some $g_1, \ldots, g_m \in R$. There exists an extension $F/K$ such that $f_{i_j}$ has a root $\alpha_j$ in $F$ for all $j$. Let

$$\sigma: R \to F, \quad \sigma(X_k) = \begin{cases} \alpha_j & \text{if } k = i_j, \\ 0 & \text{if } k \notin \{i_1, \ldots, i_m\}. \end{cases}$$

Then $1 = \sigma(1) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sigma(g_j) f_{i_j}(\alpha_j) = 0$, a contradiction.

Since $J$ is a proper ideal, it is contained in a maximal ideal $M$. Let $L = R/M$ and let $\sigma: K \to L$ be the composition $K \hookrightarrow R \to R/M = L$, where $\pi: R \to R/M$ is the canonical map. As we did before, $\pi(X_i)$ is a root of $\overline{\sigma}(f_i)$ for all $i$n and there exists an extension $E_1/K$ such that every $f_i$ has a root in $E_1$. Proceeding in this way, we construct a sequence

$$E_1 \subseteq E_2 \subseteq \cdots$$

of fields such that every polynomial of positive degree and coefficients in $E_k$ admits a root in $E_{k+1}$. Let $E = \cup E_k$. We claim that $E$ is algebraically closed. In fact, let $g \in E[X]$ be such that $\deg g > 0$. Then, since $g \in E_r[X]$ for some $r$, it follows that $g$ has a root in $E_{r+1} \subseteq E$. □

## §4.   Decomposition fields

**Definition 4.1.** Let $K$ be a field and $f \in K[X]$ be such that $\deg f > 0$. A **decomposition field** of $f$ over $K$ is field $E$ that contains $K$ and that satisfies the following properties:

**1)** $f$ factorizes linearly in $E[X]$.
**2)** if $F$ is a field such that $K \subseteq F \subseteq E$ and $f$ factorizes linearly in $F[X]$, then $F = E$.

Easy examples:

**Example 4.2.** $\mathbb{C}$ is a decomposition field of $X^2 + 1 \in \mathbb{R}[X]$.

**Example 4.3.** $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}]$ is a decomposition field of $X^2 - 2 \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$.

**Example 4.4.** $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})$ is not a decomposition field of $X^3 - 2 \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$. However, if $\omega$ ia a primitive cubic root of one, then $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2}, \omega)$ is is a decomposition field of $X^3 - 2 \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$.

**Proposition 4.5.** *E is a decomposition field of $f \in K[X]$ if and only if $f$ factorizes linearly in $E[X]$ and $E = K(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, where $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ are the roots of $f$.*

*Proof.* Let $f = a \prod_{i=1}^{r} (X - x_i)^{n_i}$ and $F = K(x_1, \ldots, x_r)$ with $x_1, \ldots, x_r \in E$. Since $f$ factorizes linearly in $F[X]$, it follows that $F = E$. Conversely, let $E = K(x_1, \ldots, x_r)$ and assume that $f$ factorizes linearly in $F[X]$. Then, in particular, $x_1, \ldots, x_r \in F$. Hence $E \subseteq F$ and $F = E$. □

One immediately obtains the following consequence: If $E$ is a decomposition field of $f \in K[X]$, then $E/K$ is finite.

**Theorem 4.6.** *Let $f \in K[X]$ be such that $\deg f > 0$. There exists a (unique up to extension isomorphism) decomposition field of $f$ over $K$.*

*Proof.* Let $C/K$ be an algebraic closure. Write $f = a \prod_{i=1}^{r} (X - x_i)^{n_i}$ in $C[X]$. Then $E = K(x_1, \ldots, x_r)$ is a decomposition field of $f$ over $K$. Let us prove uniqueness: if $E_1/K$ is a decomposition field of $f$ over $K$, then $E_1/K$ is algebraic and thus Proposition 3.2 implies that there exists $\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}(E_1/K, C/K)$, that is $\varphi \colon E_1 \to C$ is a field homomorphism such that $\varphi|_K$ is the identity. Factorize $f$ linearly in $E_1[X]$ and apply $\overline{\varphi}$:

$$f = a \prod_{j=1}^{s} (X - y_j)^{m_j} \implies f = \overline{\varphi}(f) = \varphi(a) \prod_{j=1}^{s} (X - \varphi(y_j))^{m_j}$$

so $f$ factorizes linearly in $\varphi(E_1)$. Moreover, $E_1 = K(y_1, \ldots, y_s)$ and it follows that $\varphi(E_1) = K(\varphi(y_1), \ldots, \varphi(y_s))$. Thus $\varphi(E_1)$ is a decomposition field of $f$. Since $\varphi(E_1) \subseteq C$, it follows that $\varphi(E_1) = E$. $\qquad\square$

**Exercise 4.7.** If $E/K$ is finite and $\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}(E/K, E/K)$, then $\varphi$ is an isomorphism.

Let $C$ be an algebraic closure of $K$ and $G = \mathrm{Gal}(C/K)$. The group $G$ acts on $C$

$$\sigma \cdot x = \sigma(x), \quad \sigma \in G, x \in C.$$

The orbits are of the form

$$O_G(x) = \{\sigma(x) : \sigma \in G\} = \{y \in C : y = \sigma(x) \text{ for some } \sigma \in G\}$$

The elements $x, y \in C$ are **conjugate** if $y = \sigma(x)$ for some $\sigma \in G$.

**Proposition 4.8.** *Let $C$ be an algebraic closure of $K$ and $x, y \in C$. Then $x$ and $y$ are conjugate if and only if $f(x, K) = f(y, K)$. In particular, $O_G(x)$ is finite.*

*Proof.* Let $G = \mathrm{Gal}(C/K)$. If $x$ and $y$ are conjugate, say $y = \sigma(x)$ for some $\sigma \in G$, let us write $g = f(x, K)$ as

$$g = X^n + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i X^i.$$

Then $0 = g(x) = x^n + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i x^i$ and hence $y$ is a root of $g$, as

$$0 = \sigma\left(x^n + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i x^i\right) = \sigma(x)^n + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sigma(a_i)\sigma(x)^i$$

$$= \sigma(x)^n + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i \sigma(x)^i = y^n + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i y^i.$$

Thus $f(y, K) = g$.

Conversely, assume that $f(x, K) = f(y, K)$. Let $g = f(x, K) = f(y, K)$ and let

$$\varphi \colon K[x] \to K[y], \quad h(x) \mapsto h(y).$$

Let us show that the map $\varphi$ is well-defined: we need to show that if $h_1(x) = h_2(x)$, then $h_1(y) = \varphi(h_1(x)) = \varphi(h_2(x)) = h_2(y)$. If $h_1(x) = h_2(x)$, then

$$(h_1 - h_2)(x) = h_1(x) - h_2(x) = 0.$$

Thus implies that $g$ divides $h_1 - h_2$. In particular, $h_1(y) = h_2(y)$.

A straightforward calculation shows that $\varphi$ is a field homomorphism such that $\varphi|_K = \mathrm{id}$, so $\varphi$ is an extension homomorphism such that $\varphi(x) = y$. There exists $\sigma \in \mathrm{Hom}(C/K, C/K)$ such that $\sigma|_{K[x]} = \varphi$. Since $\sigma$ is a bijective, $\sigma(x) = \varphi(x) = y$ and hence $O_G(x) = O_G(y)$. □

**Proposition 4.9.** *Let $C$ be an algebraic closure of $K$ and $x$. Then*

$$f(x, K) = \prod_{y \in O_G(x)} (X - y)^m$$

*for some $m$.*

*Proof.* For each $y \in O_G(x)$ let $m_y$ be the multiplicity of $y$ in $f(x, K)$. Then, for example, $f(x, K) = (X - x)^{m_x} g$ for some $g$. If $y \in O_G(x)$, then $y = \sigma(x)$ for some $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(C/K)$. Since

$$\overline{\sigma}(f(x, K)) = f(x, K) = (X - y)^{m_x} \overline{\sigma}(g),$$

it follows that $m_y \geq m_x$. By symmetry, we conclude that $m_x = m_y$. □

The previous proposition shows, in particular, that all the roots of an irreducible polynomial $f \in K[X]$ in an algebraic closure $C$ of $K$ have the same multiplicity. This is clearly not true if $f$ is not irreducible. Find an example.

**Definition 4.10.** Let $K$ be a field and $\{f_i : i \in I\}$ be a non-empty family of polynomials of positive degree with coefficients in $K$. A **decomposition field** of $\{f_i : i \in I\}$ is an extension $E/K$ such that every $f_i$ factorizes linearly in $E[X]$ and if $F/K$ is a subextension of $E/K$ such that every $f_i$ factorizes linearly in $F[X]$, then $F = E$.

**Exercise 4.11.** Prove that $E/K$ is a decomposition field of $\{f_i : i \in I\}$ if and only if every $f_i$ factorizes linearly in $E[X]$ and $E = K(S)$ where $S = \{$roots of $f_i$ for all $i\}$.

**Exercise 4.12.** Prove that if $E/K$ is a decomposition field of $\{f_i : i \in I\}$, then $E/K$ is algebraic. If, moreover, $I$ is finite, then $E/K$ is a decomposition field of $\prod_{i \in I} f_i$.

**Exercise 4.13.** Prove that there exists a decomposition field of $\{f_i : i \in I\}$ and it is unique up to extension isomorphism.

## §5.   Normal extensions

**Proposition 5.1.** *Let $E/K$ be an algebraic extension and $\sigma \in \mathrm{Hom}(E/K, E/K)$. Then $\sigma$ is bijective.*

*Proof.* Let $x \in E$ and $C$ be an algebraic closure of $K$ that contains $E$. There exists $\varphi \colon C \to C$ such that $\varphi|_E = \sigma$. Thus $\varphi|_K = \sigma|_K = \mathrm{id}_K$. Let $G = \mathrm{Gal}(C/K)$. Then $\varphi \in G$. If $z \in O_G(x)$, then $z = \tau(x)$ for some $\tau \in G$ and hence

$$\varphi(z) = \varphi(\tau(x)) = (\varphi\tau)(x).$$

This implies that $\varphi(z) \in O_G(x)$ and $\varphi(O_G(x)) = O_G(x)$. Thus $\sigma|_{(E \cap O_G(x))}$ is injective, as

$$\sigma(E \cap O_G(x)) = \varphi(E \cap O_G(x))$$
$$= \varphi(E) \cap \varphi(O_G(x)) = \sigma(E) \cap O_G(x) \subseteq E \cap O_G(x).$$

Since $|E \cap O_G(x)| < \infty$, it follows that $E \cap O_G(x) = \sigma(E \cap O_G(x))$ and hence $x$ belongs to the image of $\sigma$. □

# Lecture 5

**Definition 5.2.** Let $E/K$ be an algebraic extensions and $C$ be an algebraic closure of $K$. Then $E/K$ is **normal** if $\sigma(E) \subseteq E$ for all $\sigma \in \mathrm{Hom}(E/K, C/K)$.

Note that $\sigma(E) \subseteq E$ in the previous definition is equivalent to $\sigma(E) = E$.

**Example 5.3.** The extension $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})/\mathbb{Q}$ is not normal. Why?

Some trivial examples of normal extensions: $K/K$ is normal and if $C$ is an algebraic closure of $K$, then $C/K$ is normal.

**Example 5.4.** The extension $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})/\mathbb{Q}$ is normal. In fact, every extension generated by algebraic elements of degree two is normal.

**Exercise 5.5.** Let $\xi$ be a primitive cubic root of one. Then $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2}, \xi)/\mathbb{Q}$ is normal.

The following result is useful but technical, that is why we leave the proof as an exercise.

**Exercise 5.6.** Prove that the previous definition depends on $E$ and not on the algebraic closure $C$.

Some properties:

**Proposition 5.7.** *Let $E/K$ be a normal extension and $f \in K[X]$ be an irreducible polynomial that admits a root $x$ in $E$. Then $f$ factorizes linearly in $E$.*

*Proof.* We may assume that $f$ is monic. Let $C/K$ be an algebraic closure of $K$ containing $E$. Let $y$ be a root of $f$ in $C$. Since $f = f(x, K) = f(y, K)$, it follows that $y = \sigma(x)$ for some $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(C/K)$. Since $E/K$ is normal, $\sigma|_E : E \to C$ is an automorphism of $E/K$, that is $\sigma(E) \subseteq E$. In particular, $y \in E$. $\qquad\square$

Let $K \subseteq F \subseteq E$ be a tower of fields. If $E/K$ is normal, then $E/F$ is normal. However, Note that $E/K$ normal does not imply $F/K$ normal, as this would imply that every extension is normal. Moreover, $E/F$ normal and $F/K$ normal do not imply $E/K$ normal.

**Example 5.8.** The extensions $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[4]{2})/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})/\mathbb{Q}$ are both normal, but $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[4]{2})/\mathbb{Q}$ is not normal, as the roots of $X^4 - 2$ are $\sqrt{2}$, $-\sqrt{2}$, $\sqrt{2}i$ and $-\sqrt{2}i$.

Recall that if $C$ is an algebraic closure of $K$ and $x \in C$, then

$$f(x, K) = \prod (X - y)^m,$$

where the product is taken over all $y \in O_{\mathrm{Gal}(C/K)}(x)$. If $E/K$ is normal and $x \in E$, then there exists $m$ such that

$$f(x, K) = \prod (X - y)^m,$$

where the product is taken over all $y \in O_{\mathrm{Gal}(E/K)}(x)$.

**Proposition 5.9.** *Let $E/K$ and $F/K$ be extensions. If $F/K$ is normal, then $EF/E$ is normal.*

*Proof.* Let $C$ be an algebraic closure of $E$ containing $EF$. Let $\sigma \in \mathrm{Hom}(EF/E, C/E)$. We claim that $\sigma(EF) = EF$. Let

$$\overline{K} = \{x \in C : x \text{ is algebraic over } K\}.$$

Then $\overline{K}$ is an algebraic closure over $K$ and $F \subseteq \overline{K}$. Since $F/K$ is normal and $\sigma|_F \in \mathrm{Hom}(F/K, \overline{K}/K)$, it follows that $\sigma(F) = F$. If $z \in EF$, then $z = \sum_{i=1}^m e_i f_i$ for some $e_1, \ldots, e_m \in E$ and $f_1, \ldots, f_m \in F$. Since $\sigma(e_i) = e_i$ for all $i$,

$$\sigma(z) = \sum_{i=1}^m \sigma(e_i)\sigma(f_i) = \sum_{i=1}^m e_i \sigma(f_i) \in EF. \qquad \square$$

**Proposition 5.10.** *Let $E/K$ be an algebraic extension. Then $E/K$ is normal if and only if $E/K$ is the decomposition field of a family of polynomials of $K[X]$ of positive degree.*

*Proof.* Let $G = \mathrm{Gal}(E/K)$. If $x \in E$ and $f(x, K) = \prod_{y \in O_G(x)}(X - y)^m$, then $f(x, K)$ factorizes linearly in $E[X]$. Thus $E/K$ is a decomposition field of the family $\{f(x, K) : x \in E\}$. Conversely, assume that $E/K$ is a decomposition field of the family $\{f_i : i \in I\}$. Then $E = K(S)$ where $S$ is the set of roots of the polynomials $f_i$. Let $C/K$ be an algebraic closure of $K$ that contains $E$ and let $\sigma \in \mathrm{Hom}(E/K, C/K)$. Let $x \in S$. Then $x$ is a root of some $f_j = \sum a_k X^k$. Since $f_j(x) = 0$, it follows that $\sigma(x)$ is a root of $f_j$, as

$$f_j(\sigma(x)) = \sum a_k \sigma(x)^k = \sum \sigma(a_k)\sigma(x^k) = \sigma\left(\sum a_k x^k\right) = \sigma(0) = 0.$$

Hence $\sigma(E) \subseteq E$. $\qquad \square$

## §6.   Dedekind's theorem

Note that every extension homomorphism $E/K \to F/K$ is, in particular, a $K$-linear map $E \to F$, that is

$$\mathrm{Hom}(E/K, F/K) \subseteq \mathrm{Hom}_K(E, F).$$

If $F/K$ is an extension and $V$ is a $K$-vector space, the set $\mathrm{Hom}_K(E, F)$ of $K$-linear maps is a vector space over $F$ with $(a \cdot f)(v) = af(v)$ for $a \in F$, $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_K(E, F)$ and $v \in V$.

xca:dim

**Exercise 6.1.** Prove that $\dim_F \mathrm{Hom}_K(V, F) \geq \dim_K V$. Moreover, if $\dim_K V < \infty$, then $\dim_F \mathrm{Hom}_K(V, F) = \dim_K V$.

If $V$ is a vector space and $S$ is a (possibly infinite) subset of $V$, then $S$ is linearly independent if every finite subset of $S$ is linearly independent.

**Theorem 6.2 (Dedekind).** *Let $E/K$ and $F/K$ be extensions and let $\{\varphi_i : i \in I\}$ be a subset of $\mathrm{Hom}(E/K, F/K)$, i.e. a family of extension homomorphisms. Assume that $\varphi_i \neq \varphi_j$ if $i \neq j$. Then the subset $\{\varphi_i : i \in I\} \subseteq \mathrm{Hom}_K(E, F)$ is linearly independent over $F$.*

*Proof.* Assume it is not. Let $\{\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_n\}$ be linearly dependent over $F$ with $n$ minimal. Clearly, $n > 1$. We may assume that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \varphi_i = 0 \tag{5.1}$$

eq:Dedekind1

for some $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in F$ all different from zero. Let $z \in E \setminus \{0\}$ be such that $\varphi_1(z) \neq \varphi_2(z)$. If $x \in E$, then

$$0 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \varphi_i\right)(xz) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \varphi_i(xz) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \varphi_i(x) \varphi_i(z) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (a_i \varphi_i(z)) \varphi_i\right)(x).$$

Thus

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (a_i \varphi_i(z)) \varphi_i = 0. \tag{5.2}$$

eq:Dedekind2

Since $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \varphi_i = 0$ and $\varphi_1(z) \neq 0$, subtracting (5.1) and (5.2) we obtain that

$$a_1 \varphi_1 + a_2 \frac{\varphi_2(z)}{\varphi_1(z)} \varphi_2 + \cdots + a_n \frac{\varphi_n(z)}{\varphi_1(z)} \varphi_n = 0.$$

Thus

$$\left(a_2 - a_2 \frac{\varphi_2(z)}{\varphi_1(z)}\right) \varphi_2 + \cdots + \left(a_n - a_n \frac{\varphi_n(z)}{\varphi_1(z)}\right) \varphi_n = 0.$$

Since $a_n \neq 0$ and $\varphi_2(z) \neq \varphi_1(z)$, the scalar $a_2 - a_2 \frac{\varphi_2(z)}{\varphi_1(z)} \neq 0$ and hence $\{\varphi_2, \ldots, \varphi_n\}$ is linearly dependent, a contradiction. $\qquad\square$

If $E/K$ and $F/K$ are extensions, let $\gamma(E/K, F/K) = |\operatorname{Hom}(E/K, F/K)|$.

**Exercise 6.3.** Prove the following statements:

**1)** $\gamma(E/K, F/K) \leq \dim_F \operatorname{Hom}_K(E, F)$.
**2)** If $[E : K] < \infty$, then $\gamma(E/K, F/K) \leq [E : K]$.
**3)** If $x$ is algebraic over $K$, then $\gamma(K(x)/K, F/K) \leq \deg(x, K)$.

If $C$ is an algebraic closure of $K$, then we define $\gamma(E/K) = \gamma(E/K, C/K)$. This definition does not depend on the algebraic closure.

`xca:gamma_C` **Exercise 6.4.** If $C$ and $C_1$ are algebraic closures of $K$, then

$$|\operatorname{Hom}(E/K, C/K)| = |\operatorname{Hom}(E/K, C_1/K)|.$$

`pro:gamma_orbit` **Proposition 6.5.** *Let $C$ be an algebraic closure of $K$ and $G = \operatorname{Gal}(C/K)$. If $x \in C$, then $\gamma(K(x)/K) = |O_G(x)|$.*

*Proof.* If $\sigma \in \operatorname{Hom}(K(x)/K, C/K)$, then there exists $\phi \in G$ such that $\phi|_{K(x)} = \sigma$. Thus $\sigma(x) = \phi(x) \in O_G(x)$. Conversely, if $y \in O_G(x)$, then there exists $\tau \in G$ such that $y = \tau(x)$. Hence $\tau|_{K(x)} \in \operatorname{Hom}(K(x)/K, C/K)$ and $\tau|_{K(x)}(x) = y$. In particular, $\gamma(K(x)/K)$ divides $\deg(x, K)$. $\qquad\square$

**Exercise 6.6.** If $E/K$ is finite, then $|\operatorname{Gal}(E/K)| \leq [E : K]$. Moreover, $E/K$ is normal if and only if $|\operatorname{Gal}(E/K)| = \gamma(E/K)$.

# Lecture 6

If $t\colon A \to B$ is a surjective map, then $a \sim a_1 \iff t(a) = t(a_1)$ defines an equivalence relation on $A$. The set $\overline{A}$ of equivalence classes is in bijective correspondence with $B$, $\overline{A} \to B, \overline{a} \mapsto t(a)$. Moreover, if $|t^{-1}(\{b\})| = m$ for all $b \in B$, then $|A| = m|\overline{A}| = m|B|$.

**Proposition 6.7.** *Let $E/K$ be algebraic and $F/K$ be a subextension such that $E/F$ is finite. Then $\gamma(E/K) = \gamma(E/F)\gamma(F/K)$.*

*Proof.* Assume that $E = F(x)$. Let $f = f(x, F) = \sum b_i X^i$ and let $G = \mathrm{Gal}(E/F)$. Let $C$ be an algebraic closure of $K$ containing $E$. The map

$$\lambda\colon \mathrm{Hom}(E/K, C/K) \to \mathrm{Hom}(F/K, C/K), \quad \sigma \mapsto \sigma|_F,$$

is well-defined. It is surjective: if $\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}(F/K, C/K)$, then $\varphi\colon F \to C$ is, in particular, a field homomorphism. Since $E/F$ is algebraic, by Proposition 3.2 there exists a field homomorphism $\sigma\colon E \to C$ such that $\sigma|_F = \varphi$. Since $\sigma|_K = \varphi|_K = \mathrm{id}$, in particular $\sigma \in \mathrm{Hom}(E/K, C/K)$.

For $\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}(F/K, C/K)$,

$$\lambda^{-1}(\{\varphi\}) = \{\sigma \in \mathrm{Hom}(E/K, C/K) : \sigma|_F = \varphi\}$$

and let $R_\varphi$ be the set of roots (in $C$) of the polynomial $\overline{\varphi}(f) = \sum \varphi(b_i) X^i$.

*Claim.* The map $\alpha\colon \lambda^{-1}(\{\varphi\}) \to R_\varphi$, $\sigma \mapsto \sigma(x)$, is well-defined.

We need to show that $\sigma(x)$ is a root of $\overline{\varphi}(f)$:

$$\overline{\varphi}(f)(\sigma(x)) = \sum \varphi(b_i)\sigma(x)^i = \sum \sigma(b_i)\sigma(x^i)$$
$$= \sum \sigma(b_i x^i) = \sigma\left(\sum b_i x^i\right) = \sigma(f(x)) = \sigma(0) = 0.$$

*Claim.* The map $\beta\colon R_\varphi \to \lambda^{-1}(\{\varphi\})$, $y \mapsto \sigma_y$, where $\sigma_y(z) = \overline{\varphi}(h)(y)$ if $z = h(x)$, is well-defined.

We need to show that if $z = h(x)$ and $z = h_1(x)$ for some $h, h_1 \in F[X]$, then $\overline{\varphi}(h)(y) = \overline{\varphi}(h_1)(y)$. The assumptions imply that $(h - h_1)(x) = 0$ and hence $f$ divides $h - h_1$. Since $\overline{\varphi}$ is a ring homomorphism, $\overline{\varphi}(f)$ divides $\overline{\varphi}(h) - \overline{\varphi}(h_1)$. This implies $(\overline{\varphi}(h) - \overline{\varphi}(h_1))(y) = 0$. We also need to show that $\sigma_y|F = \varphi$: if $f \in F$, then write $f = fX^0 \in F[X]$. Thus $\sigma_y(f) = \overline{\varphi}(fX^0)(y) = \varphi(f) \in C$. We now left as an exercise to prove that $\sigma_y \in \mathrm{Hom}(E/K, C/K)$.

*Claim.* $|\lambda^{-1}(\{\varphi\})| = |R_\varphi|$.

For this we need to show that $\beta$ is the inverse of $\alpha$, that is $\alpha \circ \beta = \mathrm{id}$ and $\beta \circ \alpha = \mathrm{id}$. To prove that $\beta \circ \alpha = \mathrm{id}$ let $\sigma$ be such that $\sigma|_F = \varphi$. Then $y = \sigma(x) \in R_\varphi$. Let $z = h(x) = \sum a_i x^i \in F[x] = E$. Then

$$\overline{\varphi}(h)(y) = \sum \varphi(a_i)y^i = \sum \sigma(a_i)y^i = \sigma\left(\sum a_i x^i\right) = \sigma(y).$$

Conversely, if $y \in R_\varphi$, then

$$\alpha(\sigma_y) = \sigma_y(x) = y,$$

as $\sigma_y(x) = \overline{\varphi}(X)(y) = y$.

*Claim.* If $\phi \in \mathrm{Gal}(C/K)$ is such that $\phi|_F = \varphi$, then $O_{\mathrm{Gal}(C/K)}(x) = \phi^{-1}(R_\varphi)$.

Let us first prove $O_{\mathrm{Gal}(C/K)}(x) \supseteq \phi^{-1}(R_\varphi)$. If $y \in R_\varphi$, then

$$f(\phi^{-1}(y)) = \sum b_i \phi^{-1}(y^i) = \phi^{-1}\left(\sum \phi(b_i)y^i\right)$$
$$= \phi^{-1}\left(\sum \varphi(b_i)y^i\right) = \phi^{-1}\overline{\varphi}(f)(y)) = \phi^{-1}(0) = 0.$$

Now we prove $O_{\mathrm{Gal}(C/K)}(x) \subseteq \phi^{-1}(R_\varphi)$. Let $z \in O_{\mathrm{Gal}(C/K)}(x)$ and $y \in C$ be such that $\phi^{-1}(y) = z$. Then $\overline{\varphi}(f)(y) = 0$, as

$$\overline{\varphi}(f)(y) = \sum \varphi(b_i)y^i$$
$$= \sum \varphi(b_i)\phi(z^i) = \sum \phi(b_i)\phi(z^i) = \phi\left(\sum b_i z^i\right) = \phi(f(z)) = \phi(0) = 0.$$

It follows that $|\lambda^{-1}(\varphi)| = |O_{\mathrm{Gal}(C/K)}(x)|$ for all $\varphi$. By using the argument before the proposition,

$$\gamma(E/K) = |\mathrm{Hom}(E/K, C/K)|$$
$$= |O_{\mathrm{Gal}(C/K)}(x)||\mathrm{Hom}(F/K, C/K)|$$
$$= |O_{\mathrm{Gal}(C/K)}(x)|\gamma(F/K).$$

Since $\gamma(K(x)/K) = |O_{\mathrm{Gal}(C/K)}(x)|$ by Proposition 6.5, the claim follows.

For the general case we assume that $E = F(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$. We proceed by induction on $n$. If $n = 0$, then $E = F$ and the result is trivial. If $n > 0$, let $L = F[x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}]$

and $E = L(x_n)$. The case proved implies that $\gamma(E/F) = \gamma(E/L)\gamma(L/F)$. By the inductive hypothesis, $\gamma(L/K) = \gamma(L/F)\gamma(F/K)$. Thus

$$\gamma(E/F)\gamma(F/K) = \gamma(E/L)\gamma(L/F)\gamma(F/K) = \gamma(E/L)\gamma(L/K) = \gamma(E/K),$$

again using the previous case. $\qquad\qquad\square$

## §7.   Separable extensions

**Definition 7.1.** Let $E/K$ be an algebraic extension and $x \in E$. Then $x$ is **separable** over $K$ if $x$ is a simple root of $f(x,K)$.

An algebraic extension $E/K$ is **separable** if every $x \in E$ is separable over $K$. Clearly, $K/K$ is separable.

**Exercise 7.2.** Prove that an element $x$ is separable over $K$ if and only if $x$ is a simple root of a polynomial with coefficients in $K$.

If $F/K$ is a subextension of $E/K$ and $x \in E$ is separable over $K$, then $x$ is separable over $F$.

**Exercise 7.3.** If $C$ is an algebraic closure of $K$, $x \in C$ and $G = \text{Gal}(C/K)$ Prove that the following statements are equivalent:

**1)** $x$ is separable over $K$.
**2)** Every $y \in O_G(x)$ is separable over $K$.
**3)** $\gamma(K(x)/K) = [K(x) : K] = \deg f(x,K)$.

Let $K$ be any field and $g \in K[X]$. Let $z$ be a root of $g$. Then $z$ is a multiple root of $g$ if and only if $z$ is a root of $g'$.

**Exercise 7.4.** Prove that if $K$ has characteristic zero or $K$ is finite, then every algebraic extension of $K$ is separable.

A consequence: Let $E/K$ be a finite extension. Then $E/K$ is separable if and only if $\gamma(E/K) = [E : K]$.

**Example 7.5.** Let $E = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3})$. Then $[E : \mathbb{Q}] = 4$ and $\text{Gal}(E/Q) \simeq C_2 \times C_2$. The extension $E/Q$ is normal, as it is the decomposition field of $(X^2 - 2)(X^2 - 3)$ and it is separable as $\mathbb{Q}$ has characteristic zero.

**Example 7.6.** Let $E$ be a decomposition field of $X^4 - 2$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. Then $E/\mathbb{Q}$ is normal and separable. Note that $E = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[4]{2}, i)$, so $[E : \mathbb{Q}] = 8 = |\text{Gal}(E/\mathbb{Q})|$.
Let us compute $\text{Gal}(E/\mathbb{Q})$. If $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(E/\mathbb{Q})$, then $\sigma(\sqrt[4]{2}) \in \{\sqrt[4]{2}, -\sqrt[4]{2}, \sqrt[4]{2}i, -\sqrt[4]{2}i\}$ and $\sigma(i) \in \{-i, i\}$. Two examples are

$$\alpha\colon \begin{cases} \sqrt[4]{2} \mapsto \sqrt[4]{2}i, \\ i \mapsto i, \end{cases} \qquad \beta\colon \begin{cases} \sqrt[4]{2} \mapsto \sqrt[4]{2}, \\ i \mapsto -i. \end{cases}$$

It follows that $\mathrm{Gal}(E/\mathbb{Q})$ is isomorphic to the group $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$, which turns out to be isomorphic to the dihedral group of eight elements.

Another consequence: If $E = K(S)$, then $E/K$ is separable if and only if every $x \in S$ is separable over $K$. One first does the case $E = K(x)$ and then proceed by induction.

xca:separable1 | **Exercise 7.7.** Let $K \subseteq F \subseteq E$ be a tower of fields. Prove that if $E/K$ is separable, then $F/K$ and $E/F$ are separable.

xca:separable2 | **Exercise 7.8.** Let $E/K$ and $F/K$ be extensions. Prove that if $E/K$ is separable, then $EF/E$ is separable.

# Lecture 7

If $E/K$ is algebraic, then $F = \{x \in E : x \text{ is separable over } K\}$ is a subfield of $E$ that contains $K$. Note that $F = K(F)$, as $K(F)$ is separable because it is generated by separable elements. Note that $F/K$ is separable and $E/F$ is a **purely inseparable** extension, meaning that for every $x \in E \setminus F$, the polynomial $f(x, F)$ is not separable.

**Proposition 7.9.** *If $E/K$ is separable and finite, then $E = K(x)$ for some $x \in E$.*

*Proof.* Let us assume that $K$ is finite. Then $E$ is finite and hence the multiplicative group $E^\times = E \setminus \{0\}$ is cyclic, say $E^\times = \langle x \rangle$. It follows that $E = K(x)$.

Let us now assume that $K$ is infinite. We may assume that $K = K(x, y)$ and let $n = [E : K]$. Let $C$ be an algebraic closure of $K$ containing $E$. Assume that $\text{Hom}(E/K, C/K) = \{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n\}$. Let

$$f = \prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} \left(\sigma_i(y) - \sigma_j(y)\right) + X\left(\sigma_i(x) - \sigma_j(x)\right) \in C[X].$$

Then $f \ne 0$, as $f$ is a product of non-zero polynomials. Since $K$ is infinite, there exists $c \in K$ such that $f(c) \ne 0$. Let $r, s \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ be such that $r \ne s$. Since $c \in K$ and

$$\sigma_r(y) - \sigma_s(y) + c(\sigma_r(x) - \sigma_s(x)) \ne 0,$$

it follows that $\sigma_r(y + cx) \ne \sigma_s(y + cx)$. Thus $\gamma(K(y + cx)/K) \ge n$. Now

$$n \ge [K(y + cx) : K] = \gamma(K(y + cx)/K) \ge n,$$

so $[K(y + cx) : K] = n$ and hence $K(y + cx) = E$. $\qquad\square$

For example, $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, i) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2} + i)$.

**Proposition 7.10.** *Let $E/K$ be a finite extension. Then $E = K(x)$ for some $x \in E$ if and only if $E/K$ admits finitely many subextensions.*

*Proof.* We may assume that $K$ is infinite, otherwise the result is trivial. Let us assume that $E = K(x)$. If $F/K$ is a subextension of $E/K$, let $g = f(x, F)$. Then $g$ divides

$f(x, K)$. So we constructed a map that assigns to every subextension $F/K$ a (monic) divisor of $f(x, K)$ in $E[X]$. Let us show that $g$ completely determines $F/K$. Let $F_0/K$ be the subextension generated by the coefficients of $g$. Then $F_0 \subseteq F$ and $g$ is irreducible in $F_0[X]$. Thus

$$[E : F_0] = [F_0(x) : F_0] = \deg f(x, F_0) = \deg g = [F(x) : F] = [E : F]$$

and hence $F = F_0$. This means that the assignment is injective and therefore there are finitely many fields between $K$ and $E$.

Let us prove the converse. As before let us assume that $E = K(x, y)$. For each $a \in K$ we consider the extension $K(ay + x)/K$. By assumption, there exist $a, b \in K$ such that $a \neq b$ and $K(x + ay) = K(x + by) = L$. We claim that $L = E$. Note that $x + ay \in L$ and $x + by \in L$, so $(a - b)y \in L$ and hence $y \in L$. Since $K \subseteq L$, it follows that $x \in L$ and therefore $L = E$. $\qquad\square$

As a consequence, if $E/K$ is finite and separable, then $E/K$ admits finitely many subextensions.

# Lecture 8

## §8. Galois extensions

Let $E/K$ be an algebraic extension. Assume that $E = K(S)$ and let $C$ be an algebraic closure of $K$ containing $E$. Let

$$T = \{y \in C : y \text{ is a root of } f(x, K) \text{ for some } x \in S\}$$

and let $L = K(T)$. Then $E \subseteq L$, as $S \subseteq T$. The extension $L/K$ is normal, as $L/K$ is a decomposition field of the family $\{f(x, K) : x \in S\}$. Moreover, $L$ is the smallest normal extension of $K$ containing $E$. The field $L$ is the **normal closure** of $E$ (with respect to $C$).

**Exercise 8.1.** If $E/K$ is finite, then $L/K$ is finite

**Exercise 8.2.** If $E/K$ is separable, then $L/K$ is separable.

Let $E/K$ be an extension and $S \subseteq \mathrm{Gal}(E/K)$ be a subset. the set

$$^S E = \{x \in E : \sigma(x) = x \text{ for all } \sigma \in S\}$$

is a subfield of $E$ that contains $K$. The subfield $^S E$ is known as the **fixed field** of $S$.

**Definition 8.3.** Let $E/K$ be an algebraic extension and $G = \mathrm{Gal}(E/K)$. Then $E/K$ is a **Galois extension** if $^G E = K$.

Clearly, $K/K$ is a Galois extension. Note that $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})/\mathbb{Q}$ is not a Galois extension. Why?

**Exercise 8.4.** Prove that $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3})/\mathbb{Q}$ is a Galois extension.

**Exercise 8.5.** If the characteristic of $K$ is different from two, then every quadratic extension of $K$ is a Galois extension.

**Exercise 8.6.** Let $E/K$ be an algebraic extension and $G = \mathrm{Gal}(E/K)$. Let $F = {}^G E$. Prove that $\mathrm{Gal}(E/F) = G$ and hence $E/F$ is a Galois extension.

**Proposition 8.7.** *Let $E/K$ be an algebraic extension. Then $E/K$ is a Galois extension if and only if $E/K$ is normal and separable.*

*Proof.* Let $G = \mathrm{Gal}(E/K)$. Let us first assume that $E/K$ is Galois. Let $x \in E$ and $f_x = \prod_{y \in O_G(x)} (X - y) \in E[X]$. If $\varphi \in G$, then $\overline{\varphi}(f_x) = \prod_{y \in O_G(x)} (X - \varphi(y))$... (pag70) $\qquad \square$

**Definition 8.8.** Let $K$ be a field and $f \in K[X]$. Then $f$ is **separable** if all roots of $f$ are simple (in some algebraic closure of $K$).

**Proposition 8.9.** *Let $E/K$ be a finite extension. Then $E/K$ is a Galois extension if and only if $E$ is a decomposition field over $K$ of a separable polynomial $f \in K[X]$.*

*Proof.* $\qquad \square$

In the previous case, $\mathrm{Gal}(E/K)$ is known as the **Galois group** of the polynomial $f$. The notation is $\mathrm{Gal}(f, K)$.

thm:ArtinGalois

**Theorem 8.10 (Artin).** *Let $E$ be a field and $G$ be a finite group of automorphisms of $E$. If $K = {}^G E$, then $E/K$ is a Galois extension, $[E : K] = |G|$ and $\mathrm{Gal}(E/K) = G$.*

Before proving the theorem, we need a lemma.

**Lemma 8.11.** *Let $E/K$ be a separable extension such that $\deg(x, K) \leq m$ for all $x \in E$. Then $E/K$ is finite and $[E : K] \leq m$.*

*Proof.* Let $z \in E$ be of maximal degree. If $x \in E$, then $K(x, z)/K$ is separable. Then $K(x, z) = K(y)$ for some $y$. It follows that

$$K(z) \subseteq K(x, z) = K(y).$$

Since $\deg(z, K) \leq \deg(y, K)$, it follows that $\deg(z, K) = \deg(y, K)$ and hence $K(y) = K(z)$. In particular, $x \in K(z)$ and therefore $E = K(z)$. $\qquad \square$

Now we are ready to prove Artin's theorem:

*Proof of Theorem 8.10.* Note that $G \subseteq \mathrm{Gal}(E/K)$. Let $x \in E$ and

$$f_x = \prod_{y \in O_G(x)} (X - y).$$

Since $f_x \in K[X]$, it follows that $E/K$ is normal and separable, so $E/K$ is a Galois extension. Moreover,

$$\deg(x, K) \leq \deg f_x = |O_G(x)| \leq |G|.$$

By the previous lemma, $E/K$ is finite and $[E : K] \leq |G|$. This implies that $|G(E/K)| = [E : K] \leq |G|$ and hence $|G(E/K)| = |G|$. $\qquad \square$

## §9. Galois' correspondence

**Theorem 9.1 (Galois).** *Let $E/K$ be a finite Galois extension and $G = \mathrm{Gal}(E/K)$. There exists a bijective correspondence*

$$\{F : K \subseteq F \subseteq E \ \textit{subfields}\} \to \{\textit{subgroups of } G\}$$

*The correspondence is given by $F \mapsto G(E/F)$ and ${}^S E \leftarrow\!\shortmid S$. Moreover, normal subextensions of $E/K$ correspond to normal subgroups of $G$.*

# Lecture 9

## §10.  The fundamental theorem of algebra

We now present an easy proof of the fundamental theorem of algebra based on the ideas of Galois Theory. We need the following well-known facts:

1) Every real polynomial of odd degree admits a real root. This means that $\mathbb{R}$ does not admit extension of odd degree $> 1$.
2) Every complex number admits a square root in $\mathbb{C}$. This means that $\mathbb{C}$ does not admit degree-two extensions.

**Theorem 10.1.** *The field $\mathbb{C}$ is algebraically closed.*

*Proof.* Let $E/\mathbb{C}$ be an algebraic finite extension. Then $E/\mathbb{R}$ is finite separable extension of even degree. There exists a Galois extension $L/\mathbb{R}$ such that $E \subseteq L$, so $[L:\mathbb{R}]$ is even. Let $G = \mathrm{Gal}(L/\mathbb{R})$. Then $|G| = 2^m s$ for some odd number $s$. If $T$ is a 2-Sylow subgroup of $G$, then there exists a subextension $F/\mathbb{R}$ of degree $s$. Since $\mathbb{R}$ does not admit extensions of odd degree $> 1$, $s = 1$ and hence $G$ is a 2-group. In particular, $|\mathrm{Gal}(L/\mathbb{C})| = 2^{m-1}$. If $m > 1$, let $U$ be a subgroup of $\mathrm{Gal}(L/\mathbb{C})$ of order $2^{m-2}$. Then $U$ corresponds to a subextension $L_1/\mathbb{C}$ of degree two, a contradiction. Hence $m = 1$ and $[L:\mathbb{C}] = 1$, so $L = \mathbb{C}$ and $E = \mathbb{C}$. $\qquad\square$

# Some solutions

**6.1** Let $\{v_i : i \in I\}$ be a basis of $V$ over $K$. For each $i \in I$ let $f_i : V \to F$, $f_i(v_j) = \delta_{ij}$. Then $\{f_i : i \in I\}$ is linearly independent over $F$. In fact, let $\sum a_i f_i = 0$, where each $a_i \in F$. Then $a_i = 0$ for almost all $i$. If $j \in I$, then

$$0 = \left(\sum a_i f_i\right)(v_j) = \sum a_i f_i(v_j) = a_j.$$

Now assume that $\dim_K V = n$. Let $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ be a basis of $V$ over $K$. We claim that $\{f_1, \ldots, f_n\}$ is a basis of $\mathrm{Hom}_K(V, F)$ over $F$. If $g \in \mathrm{Hom}_K(V, F)$, then $g = \sum g(v_i) f_i$. If $1 \le k \le n$, then

$$\left(\sum g(v_i) f_i\right)(v_k) = \sum g(v_i) f_i(v_k) = g(v_k).$$

**6.4** We need to find a bijective map

$$\mathrm{Hom}(E/K, C/K) \to \mathrm{Hom}(E/K, C_1/K).$$

If $\sigma \in \mathrm{Hom}(E/K, C/K)$, then $\theta^{-1}\sigma \in \mathrm{Hom}(E/K, C_1/K)$. If $\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}(E/K, C_1/K)$, then $\theta\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}(E/K, C/K)$. The maps $\sigma \mapsto \theta^{-1}\sigma$ and $\varphi \mapsto \theta\varphi$ are inverse to each other.

# References

1. J. Rotman. *Galois theory*. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1998.

# Index